

Mc 2362

Gobt. of (1884) Madras.
PENSION.

2.C.

Issued

Recd. 17/3/84.

Encl.

G.O., 5th March 1884, No. 184.

MARCH.

N^o 737 W of 18th March 1884

Special gratuity.

Communicating to the Public Works department and to the officers concerned letter from Government of India stating that no refund of the — recovered from overseer Manickavasagam Pillay need be made to him nor he be called upon to make any further payment of the same.

1765-21.7.80
2399-19.10.81
2527-1-11.81
110-14-1.82
9132-18-10.82
14652-6.8-83
29900-22-10.83.

DC 21/3.

Recorded.
Cy. 17/3
17.3.84

C. N. 188.

N. 110 of 14th Jan'y/82

Distribution + Return of the 4th Circle for the
Quarles ended 31st Decr 1881.

+ telegraph with other Returns 14/1/82

The following corrections
have been made in the above
Return. They should be noted
in the Supg: Engrs' ^{office} copy

Mr. Hannon's name has
been struck out, this Officer being
on furlough. Mr. Davidson has
been entered as Offg: Engr: and
Mr. Norris as Temporary
Engr:.

2nd grade has been altered
to 1st grade against the name
of Mr. Hall.

Mr. Smith's initials have
been corrected to C. A. and
Sergeant C. Smith shown as a
Superior of the 2nd grade,
his name being removed
from the Column of Observer

The grading of Mr. A. E.
Vas has been altered from

1. to 2nd grade of Overseers

Overseers M. V.

Manickavasagam Pillay
and C. Murugasa Mudali

should be entered as
temporary - Vide G.O.

N^o 3109 W of 14th Decr 1881

and Memo N^o 72 dated
10th January 1882.

R.S.

RE

13.1.82

CR N^o 110 of 14 Jan 82

CR N^o 72 of 10-1-82

B N^o 3109 W of 14-12-81.

B N^o 2867-8 W of 16-11-81.

CR N^o 2307 of 10-10-81.

CR N^o 2454 of 27-10-81.

To

The Supg: Engr 4th Circle

Recd 14-1-82-
Sd. in Receipt Rgr

1070/1

Sd. 14/1/82

N. No 4408 H. 14-10-78.

B. 1353 H. 31-12-79

" 357 H. 16-2-80

Memo 1766 21-7-

" 2226 2-10-

B. 2341 H. 11-10-

A. 2343 H. 22-9-81

B. 2345 H. 27-9-

" 2867 H. 16-11-

" 3109 H. 14-12-

Memo 45-9-1-82.

" 72-10-1-

" 110-14-1-

O. H. 1738 14-2-

A. 806 H. 27-3-

Memo 1610 C. 8-5-

" 1137 C. 26-5-

" 1616 C. 6-9-

" 1764 C. 2-10-

KEEP WITH

Genl. No.

dated

18

[Exr. Wks. Form No. 111.]

GOVT.
OF MADRAS.

1882.83

P. W.
DEPT.

EXAMINER, P. W. ACCOUNTS.

Madras

11th October 1882

OFFICE NOTE No. 580.

To
Register

If Conductor Jack has
retired there is no objection to
exclude him from the calcula-
tion from date of retirement.
Moothiah Pillay and Moor-
gasa Moodliar were appointed
Overseers on the permanent upper
Subordinate Establishment in
Chief Engineer's H^o 2454 dated
27th October 1881, and it was only
with reference to the Government
of India's Public Works Depart-
ment Resolution H^o 1115-34 G.
dated 24th August 1881, defining
the conditions under which men
who were compulsorily retired
may be reemployed, that the
word temporary has been en-
tered against their names.
The latter, Moorgasa Moodliar
has however been declared to
have retired voluntarily, vide
Chief Engineer's Public Works
Department's Office Note H^o 1738
dated 14th February 1882, and the
word

old 20.10

only 14/1/82 Re 30/10

that the pay of the two temporary overseers should be charged against the grant for Temporary Establishments. These three subordinates need not therefore be counted against the total numerical scale.

Apparently then two permanent appointments (Vice Jack & Meerchumsden Salute, pensioned) and one Sole pro tem appointment (Vice Harrainsamoy, Waidu employed on the Kineedy Estate) may at once be made. Please favor me with your views on this point.

Please also state the amount to be appropriated from the budget grant for temporary establishment

for the current official year, on account of the pay ~~of~~ of the two temporary overseers alone named.

5.10.82

D.W.

Cu

is therefore whether
point is to be taken to avoid

D.W.

The question involved
in the enclosed papers is
how the excess interest
on the loan made by the
L. of D. for the M. Harbor
works should finally be
adjusted.

The sanctioned loan of
Rs 62,80,000 included
a sum of Rs 4,30,000 for
interest. It is shown in
the within office notes that
the total interest to the
completion of the works,
at 4%, will probably amount
to 7,17,905, giving an excess
of Rs 2,87,905 on the original
estimate of Rs 4,30,000.

But it is expected that
there will be a saving
on the works estimate
(Rs 8,50,790) of Rs 1,22,641

(1)

and setting this against the
excess interest (2,87,905-1,22,641)
the net excess will be Rs 1,65,264.

The Govt. of India have ruled
that this excess must fall
on Provincial, but Secy. thinks
that it will eventually have
to be added to the capital
outlay, & that the idea of
charging it to provincial
will never be enforced.
W. thinks a reference on the
point must sooner or
later be made to G. of I.,
but on the 20th Sept. last
he ordered the subject to
lie over until the final
grant for completing the
works be made.

Application for the
final grant has now
gone to G. of I. (A. G. No 276520
of 28th Dec 80, containing the
Budget Estimate of the works
for 1881-82) & the question

is therefore whether
point relating to an
of interest shall now be
taken up, or further be-
ferred.

The figures given above
may require modification
when the actuals to the
end of the current year
are known. They are based
on the assumption that
the expenditure during 1880-81
will be Rs 14,20,752 & in
1881-82, Rs 3,42,694. The
following figures have now
been obtained from the
Budget Section -

Estimate	58,50,790
Outlay to end of 1879-80 -	39,72,329
Grant for 1880-81 -	15,37,230
B. Est. for 1881-82 -	3,78,247
	<u>58,87,806</u>
more than Est. -	<u>37,016.</u>

Throughout the correspondence
however, it is shown that the
works, including the cost
of

workings. will be carried
out within the estimate.

Cy
19/1

Refer in all means;
as soon as we receive
the budget order of Govt.
on the Harbour Budget
we shall see whether they
raise the question, and tell
them there is no occasion
for us to raise it.

D
19/1

The orders of the Govt. of
India on the Harbour budget
for 81-82 will be found in
No. 909 Wd 4 Apr 81.
The question has not been
raised, & this file may
therefore still lie over.

Cy
12/4/81.

BUILDINGS AND ROADS.
CIVIL WORKS.

Govt. of 1881 Madras.
PUBLIC WORKS.

To

THE SUPERINTENDENT,
MADRAS HARBOUR WORKS,
&c., &c., &c.

Dated April, 4th.

Issued

Recd.

Encl.

G. O., 4th April 1881, No. 909 W.

APRIL.

Nos. 11-12.

Budget Estimate of the Madras Harbour
Works for 1881-82.—Orders of the Govern-
ment of India on the —, communicated to
the Superintendent, Madras Harbour Works,
with instructions.

2. A further distribution of the expenditure between 38—Productive Public Works and 34—Other Public Works is given in the following table :—

	Budget Estimate, 1881-82.	Regular Estimate, 1880-81.	Budget Estimate, 1880-81.	Actuals, 1879-80.
	RS.	RS.	RS.	RS.
Productive Public Works	3,78,247	7,00,000	7,00,000	8,50,000
Other Public Works	Nil.	7,57,230	5,00,000	5,28,740
Total ...	3,78,247	14,57,230	12,00,000	13,78,740

3. The budget estimate is passed as submitted, but the Government of India requests that Part I of the final issue of the budget estimate may be arranged as shown in paragraph 1.

ORDER.—Ordered, that the foregoing be communicated to the Government of Madras for information and guidance, with a request that 30 printed copies of the final issue of the budget estimate may be submitted so as to reach this office as soon possible.

(Signed) J. J. McLEOD INNES,
for Secy. to the Govt. of India.

No. 12.

ORDER THEREON, 4th April 1881, No. 909 W.

COMMUNICATED to the Superintendent, Madras Harbour Works, for early submission of the final issue of the budget estimate, in accordance with the orders of the Government of India.

(True Extract.)

(Signed) R. H. SANKEY, Colonel, R.E.,
Secretary to Govt., P.W.D.

To the Superintendent, Madras Harbour Works.
" Examiner of Public Works Accounts.

Exd. W. J. Lewis.
Spare Copies

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS.

PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT.

4th April 1881, No. 909 W.

Buildings and Roads.
Civil Works.

Read the following paper:—

No. 11. Proceedings of the Government of India, Public Works Department, (Account—General), dated Fort William, 21st March 1881, No. 149 A—G.

38—PRODUCTIVE PUBLIC WORKS.

Orders on the Budget Estimate of the Madras Harbour Works for 1881-82.

Read the following papers:—

Docket by Secretary to Government of Madras, Public Works Department, No. 2,948 A—W, dated 28th December 1880, submitting budget estimate for 1881-82.

RESOLUTION.—The budget estimate for 1881-82 has been prepared for an expenditure of Rs. 3,78,247, of which Rs. 8,000 is for outlay in England. The distribution by departmental heads is shown below:—

	Budget Estimate, 1881-82.	Regular Estimate, 1880-81.	Budget Estimate, 1880-81.	Actuals, 1879-80.
	RS.	RS.	RS.	RS.
Original Works	2,95,804	14,03,086	10,65,856	11,66,210
Repairs	59,443	53,144	53,144	50,300
Establishment	5,000	11,000	11,000	4,270
Tools and Plant	18,000	70,000	70,000	1,57,960
Suspense				
	3,78,247	15,37,230	12,00,000	13,78,740
Deduct—Amount expected to lapse from the grant of Rs. 2,00,000 for expenditure in England	...	80,000	...	...
Total ...	3,78,247	14,57,230	12,00,000	13,78,740

The figures of the regular estimate for 1880-81, as originally entered in the budget estimate for 1881-82, worked up to the grant for the year, viz., Rs. 15,37,230. Subsequent to the submission of the budget estimate, the Government of Madras applied for, and received permission to utilize in India, half a lakh from the grant of two lakhs assigned for expenditure in England. The outlay in England is expected to amount to Rs. 60,000. Hence the saving on this grant may be Rs. 90,000; but it is thought more prudent to estimate it at Rs. 80,000.

With reference
to Dy. W's remarks,
this matter may stand
over till next
year, when the
final grant for
completing the
works will be
made?

20/9/80
C. H. W.
Stand over
as proposed

20/9/80
L. J. over
23/9

By W.

Please see the
fresh Statement
prepared by the C,
calculated at 4%.

2. Assuming the provisions
for interest on loan
in 6 rupees at par,
there will be an
excess of rupees

(7,17,905 - 4,30,000 =) 2,87,905

~~of the~~

3. After paying for
the masonry, and
carrying out the
pier heads according
to Mr Parker's design,
there will be a
saving (see the Work,
Est. of Rs 58,50,790)

of Rs 1,22,641 (see
the linked file).

4. ~~deducting~~ ^{adding} this saving
against the excess under
M.

18/9/80

C. 3.9.80

interest, there will
be a net excess of
Rs. 1,65,264 on the
total sanctioned estimate
for works & interest
of Rs. 6,28,000, which
will come on Provincial.
5. Should the reference
be now made to
the Govt. of India,
or ought it to
be deferred for
the present, as
revised in your
office note of
the 19th August, 1880?

14/9/80 M.P.

Deferred I should say -

The excess of interest
charge will eventually come
added to the capital;
the idea of charging it to Pro-
vincial will never be endorsed -

D 97

Part of file of papers
32/30/80 retained at Madras
GOVT. } 1880. } P. N.
OF MADRAS. } DEPT.

SECRETARY. 30/8

Madras, 25 Aug 1880.
Fort St. George.

OFFICE NOTE

492

To C.

Your office note of the
10th July, No 289.

2. The Govt. of India
having notified that
they will not press their
orders directing interest
on the Madras Harbour
works to be charged at
4 1/2 per cent, will you
please have a fresh
statement prepared
showing approximately
the charge for interest
on loan, calculated
at 4 per cent, to the
end of 1881-82, as-
suming the outlay
during 1880-81 to be
14,20,752, and in

OF

187

C. 3. 9. 80

1-82, Rs 3,42,694-

3. The outlay to April 1880 is taken from your statement. Please check the figures.

[Signature]

H.

26/8/80

26/8

L.D.C.

Please have the statement made out & sent to Mr.

Dec

TO 490 2^d Sept^r 1880.

REQUIRED STATEMENT PRE-

PARED AND HEREWITH FORWARDED.

H.

2/9

FOR OFFG. C.

1 Enclo. Recd
1/9 2/9

W.B.
2/9

x

Rs.

Outlay to April 1880.. 39,64,703

Outlay on works during 1880-81, Cal. culated from budget estimate..... 12,00,000

Outlay on moorings during 1880-81 2,20,752

Outlay on works during 1881-82 being balance of works estimate, after deducting anticipated saving on total estimate. 3,42,694

Saving anticipated on works estimate, after providing for moorings and for modified pier heads as designed by Mr. Parkes..... 1,22,641

[Signature]
58,50,790

Statement showing the approximate amount of interest on loan during the period of construction of the
Madras Harbour Works, at 4 per Cent.

Period	Outlay during the year	Outlay to end of each year	Half outlay of the year	Total on which interest is calculated	Interest for each year	Remarks
1845-46	128,314		64,158	64,158	2566	
1846-47	105,215	128,314	52,607	60,924	25,234	
1847-48	725,360	113,552	362,680	149,212	57,849	
1848-49	434,699	185,892	364,349	22,262,241	89,050	
1849-50	* 13,48,434	25,93,591	689,369	32,82,960	1,24,318	* Includes March supplementary - 1850
1850-51	14,20,452	29,42,328	7,10,246	46,82,404	1,84,308	
1851-52	3,42,694	53,93,080	1,71,347	55,64,424	2,22,544	
			Total	1,49,44,626	7,14,905	

M. Mary.

For Offg. Examiner Public Works Accounts.

MS 2-9.

703

100

752

694

1

70

3.

By the time the C copies, it is probable that one or other design will be decided on. ~~the reference~~

MS 25/8
26/8

the office note to sanction with the C is put up - a file, which was
2. It has been assumed that Mr. Parker's design has been requested to be the ~~piece~~ the marked passage in the Secretary of State's File. Despatch No. 453 of 19 Decr. 1878, printed with O.G. No. 936, 7 May, 1880, File. Dept., and the enclosed statement from the Examiner. It was printed out of the H.M. early this year that unless done could be revised, as was the original intention, while the harbour was still under construction. ^{amount allowed for} the "interest on loan" in the estimate would prove insufficient. I am not sure if I have
and C that

C. AW
9/8/80

Statement showing the approximate amount of interest on loan during the period of construction of the
 Madras Harbour Works at 4 per Cent.

	Outlay during the year	Outlay to end of first year	Half outlay of the year	Total on which interest is calculated	Interest for each year	Remarks.
1875-76	128,314	-	64,158	64,158	2,566	
1876-77	100,521	128,314	50,260	620,924	25,234	
1877-78	125,360	113,532	56,266	1496,212	59,849	
1878-79	134,639	185,842	92,420	22,262,441	89,050	
1879-80	134,639	25,935	12,967	32,82,960	1,34,318	* Includes March supplemental - 1880
1880-81	142,452	39,42,325	19,711	46,82,404	1,84,308	
1881-82	342,694	53,13,080	26,564	53,64,424	2,22,544	
			Total	1,99,44,626	4,14,905	

Feb 2-9.

M. J. J.

For Offg. Examiner Public Works Accounts.

703
100
752

W. L. C. AW
 9/1/80
 Dy. H.

In connection with the
 linked file, which was
 returned from circulation
 this morning, your
 attention is requested to
 the marked passage in
 the Secretary of State's
 Finl. Despatch No. 453
 of 19 Decr. 1878, printed
 with O. G. No. 936, 7 May,
 1880, Finl. Dept., and
 to the enclosed statement
 from the Examiner. It
 was printed out to
 the H. C. early this
 year that unless done
 could be tried, as
 was the original intention,
 while the harbour was
 still under construction,
 the "interest on loan" in
 the estimate would prove
 insufficient.
 I am not sure if I have
 W. and C. Thal

the period of construction of the

calculated rightly, - & the
 savings question being of
 urgency, have thought it
 best to send down the
 papers as they stand,
 tonight - but as far
 as I can make out,
 assuming the outlay in
 the current year to
 reach the estimate of
 Rs. 12,00,000, and the
 outlay in 1881-82 to
 be Rs. 6,96,566 (carried
 at as shown in the margin)
 the interest during period
 of construction will
 amount to Rs. 7,99,848,
 as in round numbers
 & looks against the
 provision of Rs. 43,000.
 This except, equally with
 any excess on the cost
 of the works proper, will
 fall against Real. funds.
 And it will depend on

See period
 additions to C's
 statement.

Estimate ...	£ 58,50,790
Saving as	
Estimated in Cf.	4,20,173
Rs. 1120 d/10/5/80.	
A.W.	
	= 54,30,617
Deduct outlay to March 31 st /80	
as given in C's statement	
...	£ 39,64,703
do. do. to	
March 31 st /81 as	
Estimated ...	12,00,000
	= 51,64,703
∴ Balance of estimated outlay	£
...	= 2,65,914
Add cost of materials	2,20,752
" additional cost of	
pier heads as rate	
proposed by Mr. Parker	2,09,900
	= £ 6,96,566

the Port trust being in
 working order, so as to
 admit of dues being levied,
 at the date of completion,
 whether the interest will
 amount only to the sum
 retained. The Secy. of
 State is certain not to
 agree to rule III in para. 4
 of G. of I. Resolution
 No. 783, 20 February, 1879;
 if indeed that Govt.
 really intended to make
 excess interest during
 construction charged
 against any other funds
 than those provided on
 the sanctioned estimate.
 It is not unlikely that
 the rule is only meant
 to reaffirm the old
 arrangement under which
 interest on loan was
 during construction was
 charged against the
 estimate, not against
 the port of Madras.
 17/7. A.P.
 and that

W

There will be a
 saving on the works
 estimate, after providing
 for mowings and for
 the Parks' fire sheds,
 of Rs 1,20,000, or
 thereabouts -

There will apparently
 be an excess, if the
 harbour earns no income
 till 02/03 of about
 Rs 2,70,000 on interest,
 in as far
 but as this excess is due
~~entirely~~ to the
 increase in the rate
 from 4 to 4 1/2 per cent

* This point must
 be sanctioned

* certainly but
 the principle will
 have to be agreed
 to by the S of I
 to whom a reference
 will be needed
 When we have forecasted
 the outlay sufficiently
 accurately (as should
 now be done, if money
 referred to the C) a
 computation should be
 given showing year
 by year interest
 due respectively at
 4 and 4 1/2 % when
 the S of I may be
 definitely asked
 to add the difference
 to the sanctioned
 Estimate of Rs
 62,80,000

it ought to be treated
 as an addition to the
 sanctioned estimate
 of Rs 62,80,000 -
 It should however be
 remembered that the
 works estimate, on which
 the sum of Rs 1,20,000
 is calculated, is Rs
 58,50,790; the total
 expenditure against the
 sanction of Rs 62,80,000
 will therefore be, in
 round numbers
 Rs 58,31,000
 + 4,30,000
 62,61,000
 which is well within
 the sanctioned amount
 The difference is due
 to the sanction of Rs 62,80,000

Y.O.W. and C.H.

There will be a
 saving on the works
 estimate, after providing
 for mowings and for
 the Parks' fire heads,
 of Rs 1,20,000, or
 thereabouts -

There will apparently
 be an excess, if the
 Durban earns no income
 till 02/03 of about
 Rs 2,70,000 on interest,
 in as far
 but as this excess is due
~~wholly~~ to the
 increase in the rate
 from 4 to 4 1/2 per cent

x This point must
 be mentioned

x certainly but
 the principle will
 have to be agreed
 to by the S of I
 to whom a reference
 will be needed
 When we have forecasted
 the outlay sufficiently
 accurately (as shall
 now be done, if money
 referred to the C) a
 computation should be
 given showing year
 by year interest
 due respectively at
 4 and 4 1/2 % when
 the S of I may be
 definitely asked
 to add the difference
 to the sanctioned
 Estimate of Rs
 62,80,000

it might be treated
 as an addition to the
 sanctioned estimate
 of Rs 62,80,000 -
 It should however be
 remembered that the
 works estimate, on which
 the sum of Rs 1,20,000
 is calculated, is Rs
 58,50,790; the total
 expenditure against the
 sanction of Rs 62,80,000
 will therefore be, in
 round numbers
 Rs 58,31,000
 + 4,30,000
 61,61,000
 which is well within
 the sanctioned amount
 The difference is due
 to the sanction of Rs 62,80,000

J. W. and C. H.

including ^{separate} provision for contingencies and repairs during construction, both of which are included in the estimated charge against the works estimate of

Rs 50,50,690-

Under the circumstances I see no occasion for any special action in consequence of the increase of interest charge-

D.

19.7
C. A. W. There is no urgency in this matter but as I see a reference to this I will come or later be necessary please draw up a careful office note in circulation after we have the facts see my marginal notes 9/8/80

As before noted the first thing is to show accurately present outlay and forecast all probable outlay to completion of the work

This has been carefully done.

M.P. 18/7

Madras, 10th JULY 1880.

OFFICE NOTE No. 289.

To

Enc: 2

A STATEMENT SHOWING THE APPROXI-

MATE CHARGE FOR INTEREST ON LOAN,

DURING THE CONSTRUCTION OF THE

MADRAS HARBOR; PREPARED BY THIS

OFFICE IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE IN-

STRUCTIONS CONTAINED IN PARA 27 OF

THE FINANCIAL RESOLUTION OF THE

GOVERNMENT OF INDIA NO. 3567 OF

26TH. OCTOBER 1875 IS ENCLOSED.

KEEP WITH

Genl. No.

dated

18

10/7

FOR OFFG. C.

8/38.
10.7

W.B.
13/7

Sent again 3.9.80
Q. 13.7.1880 R. 12.7

Charles

Modras
 10th July/80.
S.B.D.
 107.

Atchafalaya

for 6 fgs. Laminaria P. W. Sects:

out 1-10
only 29/9

6019

Can.

I have been over this matter with the Supt. Detach Branch in the Engr Office & find that the difference between your figures & C's arises as follows -

You exclude in your
Calculation

Sub E. III - Jack

Over III - Moothia Pillay

" - *Microgaster hoodi*

But you include

Supr I Meershamsuden
Salih

Or. III Narrainoury
Naidu

The Enr's Office include
Jack because they have not
yet received any notification
of his retirement, & also
also Moorthia Pillay and
Moorojara Mood & because
although they are considered
by D.W. and C that

"Temporary" under the J. & H.
Resolution, yet their pay
is not met from the Budget
grant for temp. estab. &
they may receive promotion
in the regular course.

But they exclude
Meershumundun Salih
who has recently been
pensioned, & Harrainsawmy
Kaidi, who is supernumerary
& does not count against
the sanctioned scale so
long as the Kennedy estate
pays his salary &c.

Excluding Manicava-
Jagann Pillay, the man
who has now resigned,
& you therefore get 182
while the C's office get
183 upper Sub. - we
can however safely consider
Const. Jack a Casualty,
& this will allow of one
appt. being made.
I find that the order

into by S.W. 1

pensioning Meershumundun
Salih has not been com-
municated. Tom & I
have written to the Pension
Dept to have this done.

If the two temporary
oversers above named
are not to be counted
against the sanctioned
scale, then their pay
should be allotted
from the grant for temp.
estab., & if this be
done, we shall, including
Jack's retirement, have
three vacancies -

I need not mention
that we shall shortly
have all the apprentices
to provide for -

C

29/5/02

S.A.W.

I think it can
now be arranged
by S.W. and C. that

2 Permanent appoints &
 1 Sub problem apptd can
 be made at once. The
 "Temporary" men being
 charged to the grant
 for Temporary Establish.
 which is only right &
 proper.

* vice Jack & Murshum Shu Deen
 & vice Harrai Sammy Haider

Please advise me
 as to final decision.

R.S.
 2/10.

C.A.W.

Please see the C's dft
 no 580 d/11/10/92, to our
 reference on the subject.

McC. is wrong about
 Murngore woods - vide

memo no 72 d/10.1.82

" - 1137C d/26.5.82

" - 1616C d/6.9.82

Cu
 12/10/92

only 14/10/92 Re 30/10

into by SW at
 Madras, to whom
 Colonel Mead's letter
 might be sent for
 disposal?

R.S.
 29.9

my work done

Att
 29/9

only 4/9 Re 18/9 - Received 18/9

10/10

Sub-sec 18/10/92

To W. C. Ho. 6605
S. E. letter 407 of
27 Sep. 82.

Manikavasagam Pillay
has been offered a
place by the Local
Fund Board. The S.E.
has recommended the
acceptance of his
resignation, about
which there is per-
haps no difficulty -
but he also wants
an Overseer appointed
in his place. The
question is whether
there is room for a
new appointment.
C says there is not.
The calculations made
by me however would
seem to show that
there is one vacancy.
And this point is
now being enquired
into

Saw C. Ho. 6019
A separate letter
from the S.E. is going
down on this subject.
The man's resignation
may be accepted I
think, & relief
promised in due
course.
I shall take up
the general question
as to vacancies as
soon as I can find
time.

R.S.
30/9.
Dr. W.
Draft disposal
submitted.
C.
2/10/82

A. N. 448 8/15.9.82.
No. 732 of 13. Sept. 1882
To C.

Do you consider
that there is room
for the appointment
of an Overseer on the
permanent Establish-
ment?

The temporary men
would of course be
excluded from the
calculation of present
strength.

13.9.82.
B.W.
N. 499 27.10.9.82.

TO W.
NO. EXCLUDING MANICAVASAGUM
PILLAY WE HAVE AT PRESENT 185 UPPER
SUBORDINATES AGAINST THE SANCTIONED
SCALE (185), AND THIS NUMBER DOES
NOT INCLUDE THE UPPER SUBORDINATES
WHO ARE CHARGEABLE TO THE GRANT

Oct 14.9
only 4/9 Retd 18/9 - Rescinded 18/9

P. W. C. Ho. 6605
From
The Super Engineer
Fourth Circle.

Dated 5th }
resps: 2nd } Sept. 1882
Recd 6th
N. 376

Overseer, Manicavasagam
Pillay: -
Forwarding an
application from —,
requesting to be allowed
to take up an appoint-
ment in the Local Fund
Board; and recommend-
ing his resignation in
the S.P.M., requesting
that another Overseer
be appointed in his
stead.

No. 29

To, J. W. Davidson Esq.
Executive Engineer
Coimbatore Division

Sir,

Referring to your endorsement
No. 551 dated 15th August 1882 kindly
permitting me to apply for an ap-
pointment under the Local Land
Board, I beg leave to submit here-
with the copy of Memo from the Pre-
sident, which I received through
the Local Land Engineer, appoint-
ing me as Supervisor for the Sattia-
mangalam Sub Division and humbly
request you will be pleased to allow
me to take up the appointment
and order to relieve my charge in
the D. D. W. at an early date.

I beg to

Signed N. Manickavasagam
Querser D. D. W.

Forwarded to the Supy.
Engineer

Erode

2nd September 1882

No. 407

From

The Superintending Engineer
IV Circle

To

The Chief Engineer
P. W. Department

Sir

I have the honor to invite
your early attention to my endorse-
ment No. 376 dated 6th instant
and request you will be so good
as to issue orders to relieve Over-
seer N. Manickavasagam Pillay
at once so that he may take up
his appointment in the Local
Land Establishment, Coimbatore

Papers sent to
Govt on the 25th
Sept 82.

I have the honor to be
Sir

Your most obedt Servt

M. Manickavasagam
Superintending Engineer
IV Circle

CC No 1764 C 22 October
The resignation 182

of overseer N. Manika;
vacuum filling is
accepted, & the man
may at once be relieved
of his duties

2. an overseer to
take his place will
be appointed as soon
as possible, if the total
number of upper subordi-
nates will admit of it

Relieved 9-10-82-
(No 7162)
20-10-82

S B Southfield
For PWA
rel 15.9

From J. N. Cho. 6605
The Capt. Engineer,
Fourth Circle

Dated 27th } Sept. 1882.
resp: 27th }
Recd. 2 Oct. 82

No. 407
No 1764 C 2-10-82
Mamcarasagum Sillay,
Overseer: -

Requesting to
issue orders to relieve
— at once.

Cofn 499 a 16 9 82
No 913 C of. R. act 1/2

with means

From J. N. Cho. 6907
The Capt. Engineer,
Fourth Circle.

132 C of. 13.9.82
1764 C of 2.10.82

Dated 9th } October 1882.
resp: 10th }
Recd: 11

No. 431
No 913 C of. 18th Oct 1882

Overseer: -

Requesting to
have either a new overseer
or one of the apprentices
— being permanently
appointed to the De-
partment.

rel 15.10 Licent 16/10
Cofn 11-10-82 Re 16/10

rel 16/10 Sub Dir 16/10

Cumbhatm October 1882

No. 431

From,

The Superintending Engineer,

Fourth Circle.

To,

The Chief Engineer

P. W. De par.

Sir,

With reference to your

Memorandum No. 1764 C of 2nd

instant, I have the honor to

report that owing to the re-

signation of Mani Kanasagam

Pillay and Mr Pereira having

to take sick leave, the Coimbatore

Division will now be

two Overseers short. I shall

therefore be glad to have either

a new Overseer or one of the

+ Put up with C
6019 Dismissed by
No 732 E 8
13/9/82

+ Put up with C
on 499 + - sick

+ 16/9/82
Cochin 30/9/82

+ Again sent to
Duty with 802
580 from C on 13th Oct 1882

16/10/82

C No 6605
6907

J. W. D.

No 913 Ed 18th October 1882

Letter from the S.E. Fourth Circle No. 407
27. 9. 82

do do .. 431 d 19. 10. 82.

Having in view the number of Appren-
tice Supervisors & Overseers who will shortly
become available for the regular duties
of the Dept, no new appointments to the
Upper Subordinate Estab^t can at
present be made.

2. The requirements of the S.E. Fourth
Circle will be kept in view when the
postings are being considered.

(Sd) J Shaw Stewart, Col M E
Ag Chf Eng. PWD

The S.E. Fourth Circle ✓

Disp. 18/10

W. M. M. M.

18/10

Sub Dir. B. P. T. T. T.

6603
Apprentices now being per-
manently appointed to the
Department.

I have the honor to be
Sir

Your most obed^t Serv^t

L. V. Gatty. Colonel &c

Superintending Engineer
in Circle

2.c.

1465c. 6-2-23.

No 913 Ed 18th Oct 1882

P. H. B. P. L.
Madras 6th August 1883

No 1465-C

Endorsement by the Examiner,
P. H. B. P. L. No 347 L. E. 4th dated
27th July 1883, (on memo from
the Supg Engineer, Fourth Circle
forwarding letter from N. Manicka-
vasagum Pillay, stating his claims
for pension) —

Referred to the Pension
Department for consideration
N. Manickavasagum Pillay
an Engineer 2nd grade retired in
March 1880 under the Provisions
of Govt of India Resolution
No 2079 dated 31st July 1879, and
in July 1880 he was permanently
reappointed to the Department
in the same grade. Subsequently,
however, on it being pointed out
that his discharge in March 1880
was virtually compulsory,
his ~~per~~ reappointment was
declared, under instructions

from

W. M. M. M.

Sub Dir. B. P. L.

For 18/10

1465
from the Govt of India, to be
only temporary with reference to
G.O. 2343 W of 22nd Sept/81.

In October 1882, he
was permitted to resign his
temporary appointment for
employment under the L.F.
Board Coimbatore, and he
now claims to be readmitted
to the Pension granted to him
on his retirement in March

1880 - (By order) 1465C of 6-8-83
Jedg lrs
dy. a

No 913 E of 18-10-82

" 1010C of 18-5-82

C.E. No 110 of 14-1-82

Bhs 3109 W of 14-12-81

" 2867 W of 16-11-81

" 2868

C.E. No 2527 of 1-11-81

" 2399 of 19-10-81

G.O. # 2343 W of 22-9-81

No 1766 of 21-7-80

To

The Pension Department

Z.C.

N. 2990 W. 22/10/83

with paper in
original

Dec
98

Supd. in A. N. Kark

Copy 11-10

N. 2990 W of 22nd Oct/83.

B

Recorded

u

Cy.
20/10

Mr. No. 91
Govt. of (1883) Madras
PENSION.

2.L.C.

Issued

Recd. 19/10/83

Encl.

G.O., 2nd October 1883, No. 882.

OCTOBER.

Pension.

Declaring N. Manickavasagam Pillay to be
entitled to the revival of his — Rs. 14-13-8
granted in 1880, and requesting the Examiner of
Public Works Accounts to report whether the
refund of the special gratuity received by him
should be completed.

W.
22/10

10/10

With order
Subd. in A. N. Kark

But subsequently the Government of India directed in Resolution, No. 1115—1134 G, dated 24th August 1881—see also G.O., Public Works Department, No. 2343 W., dated 22nd September 1881, copy herewith—that no upper subordinate who was compulsorily retired should be re-employed otherwise than *temporarily*, and on a reference being made as regards Manickavasagam Pillay, the Government of India decided * that

* *Vide* G.O., No. 3109 W., dated 14th December 1881, copy herewith. he could be reappointed only on a temporary footing. He was therefore considered as temporary employed against a permanent appointment.

2. The enclosures are herewith returned.

ORDER—dated 2nd October 1883, No. 882, Pension.

The Government of India having ruled that Manickavasagam Pillay's re-employment was of a temporary nature, he is entitled to his pension of Rs. 14-13-8 under clause 3, paragraph 2, of the Government of India Resolution of the 24th August 1881, No. 1115—1134-G.

2. The Examiner of Public Works Accounts will report, with reference to clause 5 of the resolution quoted, whether, in his opinion, the refund of the special gratuity should be completed.

(True Extract.)

boees

Acting Under Secy. to Govt.

To the Accountant-General.

- „ Examiner of Public Works Accounts.
- „ Public Works Department.
- „ Superintending Engineer, Fourth Circle.
- „ Collector of Coimbatore.

Exd. T. O'Connor.

280

2ND OCTOBER 1883, No. 882, PENSION.

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS.
PENSION DEPARTMENT.

READ—again G.O., dated 31st March 1883, No. 276, Pension.

READ—also the following papers:—

Endorsement of the Public Works Department, dated Madras, 6th August 1883, No. 1465-C:—

ABSTRACT.—Forwarding papers regarding the claims of N. Manickavasagam Pillay to be readmitted to the pension granted to him on his retirement in March 1880.

No. 54.

OFFICIAL MEMORANDUM.

With reference to his endorsement, No. 347 S. E. 4th, dated 27th July 1883, to the Chief Engineer, Department of Public Works, the undersigned is directed to request the Examiner, Public Works Accounts, to report exactly what Manickavasagam Pillay's position was, and by whom and when he was declared to have been temporarily employed, though in a permanent vacancy.

26th August 1883.

(Signed) J. D. REES,
Acting Under Secy. to Govt.

To the Examiner of Public Works Accounts, with papers to be returned.

From D. C. GORDON, Esq., Examiner of Public Works Accounts, to the Acting Secretary to Government, Pension Department, dated Madras, 15th September 1883, No. 457-X.

With reference to your official memorandum, No. 54, dated 26th August 1883, the following particulars are appended as regards the status of N. Manickavasagam Pillay, late an overseer, second grade, prior to the resignation of his appointment in the Public Works Department.

N. Manickavasagam Pillay, an overseer, second grade, retired from 4th March 1880 under Government of India Resolution, No. 2079, dated 31st July 1879, and was granted a compensation pension of Rs. 14-13-8 per mensem together with a bonus of Rs. 800—*vide* G.O., Pension Department, No. 276, dated 31st March 1880.

Shortly afterwards he was reappointed * to the department as a permanent over-

* Chief Engineer's (Public Works Department) memorandum, No. 1766, dated 21st July 1880 (copy herewith).

seer, second grade. The pension has, it is believed, been withheld from date of re-employment, but the bonus has only been partly recovered—*vide* this office

letter, No. 352-P, dated 15th February 1883 (copy herewith with extract from statement accompanying).

5TH MARCH 1884, No. 184, PENSION.

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS.
PENSION DEPARTMENT.

READ—again G.O., dated 30th November 1883, No. 1079, Pension. *Not correct?*

READ—also the following paper:—

From J. F. FINLAY, Esq., Officiating Under Secretary to the Government of India, Department of Finance and Commerce, to the Secretary to Government, Pension department, dated Calcutta, 22nd February 1884, No. 809.

I am directed to acknowledge the receipt of your letter, No. 1080, dated 30th November 1883, inquiring whether Mr. Manickavasagam Pillay, late an overseer in the Public Works department, who, during his re-employment in the service of Government, refunded only a portion of the special gratuity received by him under Financial resolution, No. 2079, dated 31st July 1879, should now be called upon to refund the balance, or whether, under the provisions of section 176 of the Civil Pension Code, the amount already recovered should be returned to him.

2. In reply, I am directed to say that, under the circumstances stated, no refund should be made to Mr. Manickavasagam Pillay, and he need not be called upon to make any further payment on account of this special gratuity.

Copy of the correspondence forwarded to the Public Works department for information.

ORDER—dated 5th March 1884, No. 184, Pension.

Communicated to the Public Works department, the Examiner of Public Works Accounts, and the Accountant-General.

(True Extract.)

L. D. Rees

Ag. Under Secy. to Govt.

To the Public Works department.
" Examiner of Public Works Accounts.
" Accountant-General.

Exd. J. Wright.