Archives I 10,006—16-8 77.

(1) Number of pages 42 C 21 sheets

18 (2) Date of repair [illegible] 1987.

(3) Initials of the Referencer lova ✓

TAMIL NADU ARCHIVES

GOVERNMENT OF TAMIL NADU

P.W.D. DEPARTMENT

G.O. No. B.P. No.	322 W	Press. Mis.
Dated	8. 2. 1884.	

Not to be folded or roughly handled

21

8TH FEBRUARY 1884, No. 332 W., PUBLIC WORKS.

322/1

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS.

PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT.

General.
Establishment.

READ—the following papers :—

From A. C. CREGEEN, Esq., M.I.C.E., Engineer-in-Chief, Vizagapatam State Railway, to the Joint Secretary to Government, Public Works Department, Railway Branch, dated Waltair, 22nd May 1883, No. 303.

Chapter XI, paragraph 58 *et seq.*, of the Civil Travelling Allowance Code of 1883, provides for the rates of travelling allowance for journeys by sea.

2. For the journey from Madras to Vizagapatam, the Examiner defines passage by sea to mean the passage in a steamer, and therefore objects to pass the charges for landing or embarking, under the new rules.

3. The Examiner states that mileage can be drawn from or to the steamer. This I consider an anomaly. Mileage by road cannot, I think, be held to cover a part journey by sea, when the continuing portion of the journey is made by means of a steamer.

4. Under the rules, I hold that a free passage by sea means that the expenses incurred from the moment of leaving the shore on one side to arrival on shore on the other end of the journey, is the definition of passage by sea at the public expense.

5. And therefore that the cost of embarking and disembarking at the rates prevailing in each port (whether for Sundays or night) should be borne by Government and not by the officer making the journey. The rate for night or Sunday landing or embarking is Rs. 4 and As. 12.

6. I should be glad of a ruling on the point.

From G. H. W. WALKER, Esq., Officiating Under Secretary to the Government of India, Public Works Department (General), to the Secretary to Government, Public Works Department, dated Simla, 25th July 1883, No. 1028 G.

In reply to your letter, No. 1756 W., dated 22nd June 1883, pointing out that no provision has been made in the Civil Travelling Allowance Code for officers travelling on duty by sea being recouped any expenses they may incur in embarking and disembarking, I am directed to state that when the rules of that Code were framed this question was discussed, and it was considered unnecessary to make any such provision.

G.O., dated 21st January 1884, Mis. No. 144, Financial.

Read—the following letter from the Government of India, dated 30th October 1883, No. 4049 :—

I am directed to acknowledge the receipt of your letter, No. 1995, dated 8th September 1883, and, in reply, to state that His Excellency the Governor-General in Council regrets that he is unable to modify the order conveyed in the letter from the Public Works Department, No. 1028 G., dated the 25th July 1883, regarding the payment of charges incurred in embarking and disembarking by officers proceeding on duty by sea.

with d/o correspondence
for perusal & return

A. to the Dep. Secretary

GENERAL.
ESTABLISHMENT.

Govt. of (1884) Madras.

PUBLIC WORKS.

Dated February, 8th.

Recd. *Encl.*

G.O., 8th Feb. 1884, No. 332 W.

FEBRUARY.

Embarkation and Disembarkation Charges

Proceedings, Financial Department, communicating the refusal of the Government of India to modify their decision not to recoup the — incurred by officers proceeding on duty by sea, communicated to the officers of the Public Works Department.

322/3

(1)

~~ANNEXURE.~~ A

From A. GREGEEN, Esq., M.I.C.E., Engineer-in-Chief, Vizagapatam State Railway, to the Joint Secretary to Government, Railway Branch, dated Waltair, 22nd May 1883, No. 303.

Chapter XI, paragraph 58 *et seq.* of the Civil Travelling Allowance Code of 1883 provides for the rates of travelling allowance for journeys by sea.

2. For the journey from Madras to Vizagapatam, the Examiner defines passage by sea to mean the passage in a steamer, and therefore objects to pass the charges for landing or embarking under the new rules.

3. The Examiner states that mileage can be drawn from or to the steamer. This I consider an anomaly. Mileage by road cannot, I think, be held to cover a part journey by sea, when the continuing portion of the journey is made by means of a steamer.

4. Under the rules, I hold that a free passage by sea means that the expenses incurred from the moment of leaving the shore on one side to arrival on shore on the other end of the journey, is the definition of passage by sea at the public expense.

5. And therefore that the cost of embarking and disembarking at the rates prevailing in each port (whether for Sundays or night) should be borne by Government and not by the officer making the journey. The rate for night or Sunday landing or embarking is Rs. 4 and As. 12.

6. I should be glad of a ruling on the point.

Office Note from the Examiner of Public Works Accounts, dated Madras, 1st June 1883, No. 235.

The rules under Chapter XI of the Civil Travelling Allowance Code provide nothing for embarkation or debarkation journeys.

2. In my opinion there are no grounds for claiming on behalf of such journeys in connection with a steamer, privileges which do not attach to trips for embarkation in, or debarkation from, a train.

3. In both cases mileage can be passed.

(2)

From G. H. W. WALKER, Esq., Officiating Under Secretary to the Government of India, Public Works Department (General), to the Secretary to Government, Public Works Department, dated Simla, 25th July 1883, No. 1028-G.

In reply to your letter No. 1756 W., dated 22nd June 1883, pointing out that no provision has been made in the Civil Travelling Allowance Code for officers travelling on duty by sea being recouped any expenses they may incur in embarking and disembarking, I am directed to state that when the rules of that Code were framed this question was discussed, and it was considered unnecessary to make any such provision.

Office Note from the Secretary to Government, Public Works Department, to the Examiner of Public Works Accounts, dated 9th August 1883, No. 272 E.

Your Office Note 235, dated 1st June 1883.

You state that mileage is admissible under the Travelling Allowance Code for journeys undertaken in connection with a sea-going steamer.

Please quote the section of the rules held to support this view.

Order—dated 21st January 1884, Mis. No. 144, Financial.

Communicated to the Public Works Department.

(True Extract.)

(Signed) J. D. REES,
Acting Under Secretary.

ORDER—dated 8th February 1884, No. 332 W., Public Works.

Communicated to the officers of the Public Works Department for information.

(True Extract.)

Major, R.E.,
Under Secy. to Govt., P.W.D.

To the Chief Engineer for Irrigation.
" Consulting Engineer for Railways.
" Examiner, Public Works Accounts.
" Examiner, Railway Accounts.
To Superintending Engineers.
To the Consulting Architect to Government.
" General Superintendent, Public Works Stores.
" Superintendent, Madras Harbour Works.

Engineer Chief
State Rlys.

Exd. E. Fernandez.
Spare Copies

with d/o correspondence
for perusal & return

Asst. Under Secretary

From D. C. GORDON, Esq., Examiner of Public Works Accounts, to the Secretary to Government, Public Works Department, dated 17th August 1883, No. 509.

I do so under the spirit of Section 3, definitions, item *h*.

No. 315 E.

Transferred to the Financial Department for disposal with reference to the orders in that Department, No. 1704, dated 9th August 1883.

OOTACAMUND,
29th August 1883.
(Signed) J. SHAW-STEWART, Colonel, R.E.,
Joint Secy. to Govt., P.W.D.

To the Financial Department, with original file.

Exd. J. Wright.

(with d/o correspondence
for perusal & return

Ast. Under Secretary

Financial Department. 3

PROCEEDINGS OF THE MADRAS GOVERNMENT.

322/6

READ the following

from the Government of India, No 4049 dated 30th October 1883.

I am directed to acknowledge the receipt of your letter No 1995, dated the 8th September 1883, and in reply to state that His Excellency the Governor General in Council regrets that he is unable to modify the order conveyed in the letter from the Public Works Department No: 1028 G dated the 25th July 1883, regarding the payment of Charges incurred in embarking and disembarking by Officers proceeding on duty by sea.

Order thereon 21 January 1884 No 144 Fin:

Communicated to the Public Works Department

(True Extract)

To

The P.W.D (with d/o correspondence for perusal & return)

S.D.

Ag Under Secretary

+ 2nd Call – 1st sent 25-1-84 –

322/7

For C.No. 534

MEMORANDUM.

Requested ~~for reference the under-mentioned papers~~:-

Vide 3156 29-8-83

The return of the papers sent to the Fin[l] Deptt on the 9th Novr 1883 with P. W. C. No. 8282 regarding ? payment of charges incurred by officers in embarking & disembarking on duty by sea –

R. Sarsons
Chief Assistant

Government Office,
P. W. Department,
2nd February 1883

To. The Chief Asstt Public Wks Deptt
Fort

---

4 P

322/8

No. 303

From

ENGINEER IN CHIEF

Vizagapatam State Railway.

To The ... Secretary to Govt
... Branch
...dras

1883.

58 et seq.
...wance Code
...s of Travell
... by sea. –
... from Madras
...iner defines
... the passage
... objects
...ding or
... Rules. –
...es that Mile-
... to the Steam
...maly. Mile-
...k, be held
... sea, when
...e journey is
made by means of a Steamer. –
Under the rules, I hold that

4

a

To C.A.P.

Finl. C. No.: 2673 contains papers which should be on record in the P.W.D. e.g. our letter to India 1756 W. d/22nd June/83. Had you not better return them to us?

Your No.: 1995 d/8 Sep. 83 (Finl) contains the papers in print and you will therefore still have copies for reference.

6.2.84

C.A. [illegible] Please [illegible] all [illegible]

1492

Extract with G.O. No. 322 W of 8-2-84

To C.A.W.

Your C. No. cannot be traced.

The origl. papers recd. with P.W. Endorst. No. 315 E d/29th Augt./83 are herewith sent, it being understood that they are the papers required. A copy of Finl. letter to the Govt. of India No. 1995 d/8th Septr./83 with which the papers above referred to are printed, is also sent.

Please return original papers (Finl. C. No. 2673/83).

Chief Assistant

4 Feby/84

No. 303

4 P

322/8

From

ENGINEER IN CHIEF

Vizagapatam State Railway.

To

The Joint Secretary to Govt.
Madras Railway Branch
Madras

Dated Waltair 22nd May 1883.

Sir,

1 Chapter XI. para 58 et seq. of the Civil Travelling allowance Code of 1883 provides, for the rates of Travelling allowance for Journeys by sea.–

2 For the Journey from Madras to Vizagapatam, the Examiner defines passage by sea, to mean the passage in a steamer and therefore, objects to pass the charges for landing or embarking under the New Rules.–

3 The Examiner states that mileage can be drawn from, or to the steamer. This I consider an anomaly. Mileage by road cannot, I think, be held to cover a part journey by sea, when the continuing portion of the journey is made by means of a steamer.–

4 Under the rules, I hold that a

~~P.W.C. No. 4484~~

P.W.C. No. 5981/83
4651

a free passage by sea means, that the expenses incurred from the moment of leaving the shore on one side to arrival on shore on the other end of the journey, is the definition of passage by sea at the public expense.

Recd 29/5/83

5 And therefore that the cost of embarking and disembarking at the rates prevailing in each port (whether for Sundays or night) should be borne by Government and not by the officer making the journey. The rate for night or Sunday landing or embarking is rupees four & annas twelve.

6 I should be glad of a ruling on the point.

I have the honor to be
Sir,
Your most obedt. Servant
Amb. Pregeen

Engr. in Chief S. I. Ry

R. No. 209 of 30.5.83.

Dft. No. 1240 D d/30th May/83

To C. For favour of his views.

D.R.

---

5 P P.W.C. No. 4598

1268 D 8.1.6.83

[Exr. Wks. Form No. 111.]

EXAMINER
2 JUN 83
MADRAS

GOVT. OF MADRAS. 1883. P. W. DEPT.

EXAMINER, P. W. ACCOUNTS.

322/9

Madras, 1st June ~~May~~ 1883

Recd 1

OFFICE NOTE No. 235

Enc: 1

To

R.

Your Office Note No. 1240 D. dated 30th May 1883.

The rules under Chapter XI of the Civil Travelling Allowance Code provide nothing for embarkation or debarkation journeys.

2. In my opinion, there are no grounds for claiming on behalf of such journeys in connection with a Steamer, privileges which do not attach to trips for embarkation in or debarkation from a train.

3. In both cases mileage can be passed.

D.C.E.

C.

31/5

D.R.

C. K. W.

Dft. [illegible] or supplied

16.6

KEEP WITH Genl. No.

dated 18 .

Transferred to W for disposal.

Under Section 608 P.W.D. Code, the actual expenses incurred in embarking or landing at Ports in this Presidency are admitted to a maximum sum of R3 as part of an Officer's travelling allowance; but under the new Civil Travelling Allowance Code no such claim can be admitted.

3. Undersigned ~~[illegible]~~ suggests that the Government of India should be moved to revise the new C. T. A. Code in this respect. Owing to geographical condition the necessity for the allowance in question is greater in this Presidency than in other parts of India.

W.S.

4.6.83

Ody 8/6 Recd 21/6

Cir/B

322/10

No 1755W Public Works Department

Proceedings of the Madras Government
Under date the 22d June/83 Read the following

Letter from Engineer-in Chief.	A.E. No 303 d/22d May/83
Office Note from Examiner P.W. accounts }	" " 235 d/1st June/83
——— do ———	" " 243 d/2d June/83.

Ordered that the Government of India be addressed.

A.E. No 1756W d/22d June/83.

To
The Secy: to the Govt: of India. P.W.D.

Sir,

Under the Rules hitherto in force in this Presidency an officer travelling on duty by sea was entitled to draw,

Cong: Engr: for Railways &
Examiner. P.W. Accts. &

Desptd 22/6

as part of his travelling allowance, the actual expenses incurred in embarking or landing at Ports, up to a maximum of Rs 3/-; but the rules of the revised Code as given in Chapter XI, para 58 et seq contain no provision for such charges.

2. I am to bring the omission to the notice of the Government of India, and to request that the rules hitherto prevailing may be embodied in the revised Code. I am to add that owing to Geographical conditions, the necessity for the allowance in question is greater in this Presidency than in

other

other ports of India.

~~Attt~~

322/N

14.6.83

Despd
22/6

R.S.

The Hon R. E. Sullivan

Nos 1755/1756 Wd/22-6-83

Cu 15/6 R 18/6 Do23/6

---

6 P

No. 1028 G.

All communications should be addressed to the Secretary.

GOVERNMENT OF INDIA.

PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT.

322/12

GENERAL.

To

The Secretary to the Government of Madras
Public Works Department

Simla, 25th July 1883

Sir,

In reply to your letter No. 1756 W, of 22d June/83 pointing out that no provision has been made in the Civil Travelling Allowance Code for officers travelling on duty by sea being recouped any expenses they may incur in embarking and disembarking, I am directed to state that when the rules of that Code were framed this question was discussed, and it was considered unnecessary to make any such provision.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most Obdt. Servant,
G H Walker
Offg. Under Secy. to the Govt. of India

B 1755/1756 ~ 22.0.83

P.W.C. No 6495

A. Communicated to Officers of the P W Department

C.S. 2/8

~~Rtt~~ 4/8/83

Recd 2/8/83

No 272 E d/ Aug 9th 1883

Chief Engineer for Irrigation
Consulting Engineer for Railways
Superintending Engineers 1st to 6th Circles
S. E. Tank maintenance Scheme
" Vizag Raipur State Railway
" Bezvada Hindupur State Railway
Examiner P.W. Accounts
Examiner Ry Accounts
Consulting Architect to Govt
General Superintendent P.W.S.

Dg 2/8 O.C. 2.8 Cir 4/8 R 8/8

Dvn W

78

No 272 E

No R449 ON 403/04
11 AUG 83
P. W.

GOVT. OF MADRAS. } 1883. P. W. DEPT.

SECRETARY.

Pro. No 7091

August 9 1883.

Recd 1/8

OFFICE NOTE. 322/13

To C

your office Note 235 d/ 1st June 1883.

You state that mileage is admissible under the Travelling Allowance Code for journeys undertaken in connection with a sea going Steamer.

Please quote the Section of the Rules held to support this view.

~~Rtt~~

C.S. 9/8 W

To W No 509 d/ 17-8-83
I do so under the specific Section

D/8/8H

Recd 3.2.8
Cir 20/8 R 27/8
with 18/8

Section 3 definitions item &c.

D.C.
C

S.T.
17/8.

~~The Hon H.E. Sullivan~~

Circulated
with reference to
enquiry dated 7/8/83

R.W.

W

Then according to the "spirit" the Bay of Bengal is a river — I don't see it so — we had better get India to put the case lucidly before them — At Calcutta you walk on board your ship (do you not?) & the framers of the Code probably never contemplated any other mode of embarkation.

I think Col. Crozier's contention is perfectly correct. H.S.

24/8.

8 P

P.W. Department
Ootacamund, Augt 29th 1883
No. 215 C.

Transferred to the Financial Department for disposal with reference to the orders in that Department No. 1704 dated 9th August 1883.

[illegible]

28.8.83

Col R.E.
Joint Secy to Govt P.W.D.

The Financial Dept. with [illegible]
[illegible]

~~To the Hon H.E. Sullivan~~

For orders

322/14

I venture to think that this decision has been arrived at by the G of I on insufficient information as to the conditions obtaining in this Presidency.

Our Officers & Subordinates in embarking & disembarking at several of the ports along both coasts are always obliged to incur expenses not included in the voyage — and at some of them, under certain circumstances the cost is serious.

E.g. at Cocanada the boats have to traverse the 5 to 6 miles, at Masulipatam 8 miles, &c. to reach the vessels — and at times if there be a heavy surf on, as at Gopalpore very frequently, extra pay must be given!

If permitted I would

Cir 4/8 R 8/8

after obtaining accurate information from the Marine Dept. again address the E. of I. shewing precisely what these charges are

Our subordinates fortunately when accompanied by their families have to meet charges much in excess of ordinary travelling allowances, and matters of this kind are unusually important to them

RHH
4/8/83

Before doing so I would call on the Examiner P.W.A. to quote his authority for his statement in para 3 of his ~~[illegible]~~ Office Note No 235 d. [illegible] to the effect that mileage can be passed for boat passage between the shore & the vessel. I can't find any provision in the code vide Sec: 3(h) & Sec 63. The former sanctions mileage only in the case of travelling by road. - H.L.

Recd 8th Septr 1883
No 1995

No 481

Despd 1/12/83

322/17

Fort St. George.
30th November 1883.

...ence to your
... the 30 October
... if there is
... this Govt.
... with the reasons
... the Govt. of
... is to modify
... ed on the
... ment of
... disembarkation
... stated in
... 8 Septr. last,
... mstances in
this presidency are different
from those elsewhere

In any reply to this letter, please quote
its No. and date.

FINANCIAL SECRETARY

No. 108.

Deptt. of Finance
and Commerce,
Calcutta, the 9th Jany
1884.

322/15

Dear Sir,

Your Demi-Official of the 30th November 1883.-

The reason for disallowing charges on account of embarking and landing incurred by Officers proceeding on journeys by sea, is that the rules of the Government of India which regulated travelling allowance for such journeys before the issue of the Civil Travelling Allowance Code did not render admissible the payment of such embarking and landing charges; the special rule applicable to Officers of the Public Works Department in Madras, the existence of which was for the first time brought to the notice of the Government of India in your Letter No 1756 W. dated the 22nd July 1883, shewed that the sum

allowed

after obtaining accurate
information from the Marine
Dept. again address the
E of I shewing precisely
what these charges are

Our Subor
-dinately wh
by the Ja
usual charg
excess of
allowances,
of the U
imbortant

413

Before doing so I w
call on the Finance
P.W.D. to [illegible]
for his statement [illegible]
3 of his ~~[illegible]~~ offic
No 235 [illegible]

---

allowed by the Madras orders was not other than insignificant, the maximum being fixed at Rupees 3 in each case, and the Government of India did not therefore think it necessary to make a special exception in the case of landing or embarking at Madras ports, more than at other ports on the Coasts, which are similarly exposed and difficult of access, e.g. Cuttack.—

The special circumstances of Madras were not over-looked at the time when the provisions of the Civil Travelling Allowance Code were being settled, nor were the framers of the Code solely responsible for the rule as it now stands.— The Code was submitted in draft to the Madras Government for review in order that any omissions might be pointed out; the Madras Government did not then represent that a special rule was required for embarkations and disembarkations at Madras.—

Yours sincerely
Westland

C. G. Master Esqr.

MR 8/1

No 488

Despd 1/12/83

Fort St. George.
30th November 1883.

Dear Sir,

manner to your
the 30 October
k if there is
to this Govt.
with the reasons
the Govt. of
ie to modify
ed on the
yment of
disembarkation

stated in
8 Septr. last,
umstances in
this presidency are different
from those elsewhere

after obtaining accurate information from the Marine Dept. again address the G of I shewing precisely what these charges are

Our sub
-tantaly
by the J
ment cha
excess of
allowance
for the
substantial

41

Before doing so
call on the Exam
P.W.D. to produce
for the statement
3 of the ~~[illegible]~~
No 235

D.O. No 16

From
The Finl. Secy.
to G. of I.

6 W 2
534/84

Dd 9 Jany/84
Rd 15 do.

Embarking & dis-embarking charges by ~~sea~~ officers proceeding on duty by sea.

14/1

4M

No 488

Despd 1/12/83

Fort St. George.
30th November 1883.

Dear Sir,

With reference to your letter, No. 4049 of the 30 October 1883, I am to ask if there is any objection to this Govt. being favored with the reasons which induce the Govt. of India to decline to modify the orders passed on the subject of payment of embarkation & disembarkation charges.

As was stated in my letter of the 8th Sept. last, No. 1995, the circumstances in this presidency are different from those elsewhere in consequence of the geographical formation of the coast & this being so, It is submitted That the strongly expressed opinion of this Government is worthy of special consideration and weight ~~it is~~ ~~thought~~ reasonably be allowed more weight than that of the framers of the Code who, although they may possibly have given the question full consideration yet possessed no local knowledge of this portion of the empire.

To

D. M. Barbour Esq Desp 1/12/83

270

No 458

d/30th Nov/83

To

[illegible]

CW 8-1 / 534/83

Note for Hon. Member

With reference to the Hon'ble Member's remarks, a case in point (G.O. 17-11-83, No 2660) is put up. Perhaps the reference might be made d/o?

EM
26.11.83

Ord d/o
30/8/4

No 2811 R

conl.

322/19

to G.O. 144 d/21st Jany/84 Fin.) returned). RS

Regr: Fin Dept No 7995 - 8-9-83.

DWCW 534

[6]

Govt. of (188) Madras.

To

The PW Dept

Res 22/1/84

No 2

Proceedings, dated 21/1/ 1884
No. 144 Fin.

Subject.

Cong letter from the Govt of I. stating that the Governor Genl in Council is unable to modify the order conveyed in the letter from PW Dept regarding the payment of charges incurred in embarking & disembarking by officers proceeding on duty by sea

No 20/11 H...

A

Genl Estabt

PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT.

No. 332 W

PROCEEDINGS OF THE MADRAS GOVERNMENT

UNDER date the 8th Feby/84

322/20

READ the following

Letter from A. C. Cregeen Esquire M.I.C.E., Engr. in: Chief Vizagapatam State Railway — H.E. No. 303 d/ 22nd May/83.
From the Govt: of India " — " 1028 G d/ 25th July/83
— do — " — " 4049 d/ 30th Octr/83
Pros: of the Financial Dept: " — " 144 d/ 21st January/84.

5

Communicated to the officers of the P.W. Department for information.

8/14/2

CJ.
6-2-84

M
6·2·84

11 Chief Engr: for Irrgn:
11 Consg: Engr: for Rys.
11 Ex: P.W. Accounts
7 Ex: Ry: Accounts
10 Suptg: Engineers
3 Consg: Archt: to Govt
3 Genl: Supt: P.W. Stores
3 Supt: M. H. Works.
Engrs.-in-Chief State Railways-
(The Chief Secy: with d/o correspdce
(Enclo: to G.O. 144 d/ 21st Jany/84 Finl: returned) RS

6

322/21

7 C
475 D 10.3.84
738 C 25.3.84
a 1368 D 2.6.84

[illegible]
[illegible]
1 1/2

534.

Govt. of (1883) Madras.

PUBLIC WORKS.

11/2

Dated (217)

Recd. Encl.

G. O. 8th Feby 1884 No. 332 W.

Embarkation &
Disembarkation charges

Procs: Fin Deptt commg the refusal of the Govt of I to modify their decision not to recoup the — incurred by officers proceeding on duty by sea, & commd to the officers of the Pro Deptt.

~~200~~
~~10~~ 200

TF

ERC
9/2
12/20

Entered in Receipt Regr