

No. 24 W

FROM

THE SUPERINTENDENT,

MADRAS HARBOUR WORKS,

TO The Hon: Colonel J. W. M. Shaw-Stewart, P.E.
Secretary to Government
Public Works Department,
Chennai
MADRAS, 31st October 1884

Sir,

I have the honour to report that
Mr. Beardmore, Assistant Superintendent
of these works proposes to avail himself
of the 3 months Privilege leave granted
him by G.O. No. 2377 W dated 25th Septem-
ber 1884, from November 3rd —

2. He has asked me to advance him
2 months pay, which I had the im-
pression it was in my power to do. —

Finding now, however, that such an
advance can only be made with Govern-
ment sanction I beg very respectfully
that the sanction may be accorded. —

3. Mr. Beardmore will be travelling
about India away from lines of railway
for a great portion of his absence on

leave

leave, nor is he able to appoint special
places to which his pay might be forward-
ed to him, and it is on these accounts
that he asks for the advance which
I now have the honour to submit
to Government for favourable consider-
ation. -

I have the honour to be,
Sir,
Yours most Obedt Servt

J. W. Thompson.
Superintendent
U. S. Works

R. No. 541
3. 11. 84.

Chester
3rd Nov/84

No. 1960 D

To
9
For remarks

CW

1. 11. 84

DW

W

11. 84

No. 566 of 3rd Nov/84

W

There is no rule under which an advance can be sanctioned to an officer going on Privilege leave except Section 138 rule 1 of the Civil Leave Code. Mr. Beardmore can draw pay during his absence on Privilege leave.

OC

C

Gu.

3/11

4/11

3/11

Buildings & Roads

Thou
The Superintendent
Mallras Harbour Works

No. 24 1r

Dated 31st October 1884

To SW.
For order
R.S. 11. 84

Mr Beardmore

Recommends an advance
of 2 months pay to
during his absence on
P. Leave —

To

C. a. w.

This request of Mr. Beardmore's for an advance of 2 months' pay seems to me unusual, & unless there is a precedent for it it must be refused. There is no rule under which he is entitled to it, the section quoted by C. not applying to Mr. Beardmore. Draft accordingly — unless such advances have been granted before.

CW

4. 11. 84

To SW.

The rules are clearly against such advances. I can remember no precedent.

R.S.

4. 11. 84

R. N. 541

N. 2780-W

PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT.

PROCEEDINGS OF THE MADRAS GOVERNMENT.

Under date the

Read the following

Letter from the Supt:
Madras Harbour Works H.E. N. 24. W. 31st Oct. /84
Office note from the
Ex: P.W. Accounts "—" 566 d/3^d Nov. /84

The advance ap=
plied for by Mr. Beard=
more is not admissible
under the rules, - which
however provide for
pay being drawn while
on privilege leave.

Cy.
5.11.84

W.
5.11.84

Supt: M.H. Works
Ex: P.W. Accts.

Dec. 7-11-84.

R.S.

