

10
2/10
(1) Number of pages 20
(2) Date of repair 9/88
(3) Initials of the Referencer Vh-
29-9-88

TAMIL NADU ARCHIVES

GOVERNMENT OF TAMIL NADU

J.W.D. DEPARTMENT

G.O. No.	2703 W	Press.
B.P. No.		Mis.
Dated	3. 11. 1884	198

10

Not to be folded or roughly handled.

76
3RD NOVEMBER 1884, No. 2703 W., PUBLIC WORKS.

2703
GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS.

PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT.

Buildings and Roads.
Civil Works.

READ—the following paper:—

Proceedings of the Board of Revenue, dated 20th September 1884, Mis. No. 9068.

Read—letter from the President, Local Fund Board, Salem District, to the Secretary to the Board of Revenue, dated 18th September 1884, reference on No. 561 L.F.

Resolution—dated 20th September 1884, Mis. No. 9068.

The alterations made in the estimate, amounting to Rs. 1,036, for the defensive works at Vániyambádi, are approved, and the sanction of Government is requested for the deviations in the estimate of Rs. 6,656 sanctioned in G.O., Public Works Department, dated 20th November 1882, No. 3071 W., for the Local Fund chattram at Morappur.

(A true Extract.)

(Signed) G. MACKENZIE,
Acting Sub-Secretary.

No. 2233, Financial.

Transferred to the Public Works Department for the necessary sanction.

(By Order.)

OOTACAMUND,
3rd October 1884.

(Signed) H. TREMENHEERE,
Acting Assistant Secretary.

ORDER—dated 3rd November 1884, No. 2703 W., Public Works.

Sanctioned.

(True Extract.)

Major, R.E.,
Under Secy. to Govt., P.W.D.

To the Board of Revenue, with six enclosures.
" Superintending Engineer, IV Circle.
" Financial Department.

Exd. E. Fernandez.
Spare Copies

BUILDINGS AND ROADS.
CIVIL WORKS

Govt. of (1884) Madras.
PUBLIC WORKS.

Dated November, 3rd.

Recd.

Encl.

G.O., 3rd Nov. 1884, No. 2703 W.

NOVEMBER.

Local Fund Chattrams at
Morappur

Certain deviations in the estimate for —,
sanctioned.

20TH NOVEMBER 1882, No. 3071 W., PUBLIC WORKS.

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS.

PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT.

Buildings and Roads.
Civil Works.

No. 131.

READ—Proceedings of the Board of Revenue, dated 13th November 1882, No. 6522,
Routine.

Read—again letter from the Acting Collector and President, Local Fund Boards,
Salem, dated 29th August 1882, No. 56, and reference thereon, dated
9th September 1882.

Read—also letter from the same officer, dated 28th October 1882, No. 71.

Resolution—dated 13th November 1882, No. 6522, Routine.

Submitted for the sanction of Government through the Chief Engineer, Public
Works Department.

(True Extract.)

(Signed) R. SEWELL,
Ag. Sub-Secretary.

No. 132.

ORDER—dated 20th November 1882, No. 3071 W., Public Works.

The plan and estimate, amounting to Rs. 6,656, for constructing two Local
Fund Chattrams at Morappur in the Salem Division, are sanctioned.

2. This sanction has been registered as Local No. 25 W. of 1882-83, and
classified as Civil Buildings, Original Works.

(True Extract.)

(Signed) R. H. SANKEY, Colonel, R.E.,
Secretary to Govt., P.W.D.

To the Board of Revenue, with four enclosures.
" Financial Department.
" Accountant-General.

Exd. C. Howarth.
Spare Copies

BUILDINGS AND ROADS.
CIVIL WORKS.

Gobt. of (1882) Madras.
PUBLIC WORKS.

Dated November, 20th.

Recd.

Encl.

G.O., 20th Nov. 1882, No. 3071 W.

NOVEMBER.

Nos. 131-132.

Local Fund Chattrams at
Morappur.

The plan and estimate for constructing two
—, sanctioned.

Public Works Department, 1884-85.

Current No. 5330

Letter No. 2232

Dated the 20th October 82

From the Public Works Department

NOTE OF REMARKS.

1. Two deviation statements
for two completed works
are herewith submitted.
One has been disposed
of by the P.W. Board as
the amount of the original
work is less than Rs 5000.
The other relates to the
construction of two L. &
Chattrams at Morappur,
Salem District. The
estimate for the latter
work amounts to Rs 5,000
and was sanctioned on 18.8.
No. 3071 of the 20th Nov. 82.
2. The main deviations are
attributable to a change
of site. The site adopted
is preferable as regards
the foundations of the
buildings, being hard
and rocky, but it has

Revised to 6.23.10.84
2.10.84

its drawbacks - three wells
only can be sunk, or
rather, have been sunk,
for the sum provided for
sinking four wells at the
originally chosen site.

3. The chief deviations are

in the following
items: Brick in Mortar,
brick in Mud; rough stone
in Mortar; Roofing; and
flooring.

4. This statement should
have been submitted to
S.E. IV.

9th Oct. 1884

BH

8330

2703

C.A.W.

We are not able
to quote a precedent
because deviation statements
very rarely come up
for sanction.

In the case of all
works carried out
by the S.W. Dept.,
Supply Commrs have full
powers with regard
to deviation statements,
vide Code para 278(c).

If the changes in a
work cause an excess
of more than 5 per cent
over the sanctioned
amount, a revised
estimate is required
for sanction, but
if the sanctioned
amount be not
exceeded, or if the
excess be not more
than 5 per cent

that then 5 percent, a
deviation statement is
sanctioned by the
S.S.

In the case of Local
Fund Works, the Board
of Rev. have power of
sanction up to Rs 5000,
& for works of above that
amount they have had
no defined powers with
respect to passing devia-
tions. This is why they
have sent up the present
deviation statement for
the sanction of Govt.

There is no rule
with regard to giving a
new register number
when a deviation statement
is sanctioned. It was
proposed to register the
sanction in the present
case, but because in
reality it is a fresh
sanction, which should
properly find a place

270^h
in the Budget register.
It is however not a
point of any importance,
as the amount of the
estimate remains un-
altered, & if the new
register number be
considered unnecessary,
the word
"sanctioned" will
be a sufficient dis-
posal on the Rev.
Board's Part.

As
W. J. P.
Told / Submitted
L.S.
24.10.81

PROCEEDINGS OF THE MADRAS GOVERNMENT.

UNDER date the

2703 Read the following

Proceedings of the Board of } A. E. N° 9068 this 20th Sept/84
 Revenue

Endorsement thereon by the } N° 2233 of 3rd Oct/84
 Financial Department

The deviations
 in the estimate of Rs. 6656
 for constructing two Local
 Fund ghattrams at
 Morappur are sanctioned.

2. This sanction
 has been registered at
 Local N° 119 of 1884-85.

To
 The Board of Revenue with
 6 enclosures.

Sd/- Engr. IV Circle.

Financial Department.

C.D.
 10.10.84

6

Would the word "sanctioned"
not be sufficient disposal?
The Board of Revenue's Resolution

The sum sanctioned is not
altered. What then is the
necessity for a fresh register
or a new number?

On these points, G.O. of
20.11.82, No. 2071 W seems
sufficient.

Tot

B-10

A new registry has been
is apparently needed, as the
sanction given is distinct
from that accorded in No.
2071 W of 20.11.82. The totals of
the two sanctions are the
same, but the
details differ.

W

13.10.84

Please let me see any
similar case of a new
Register number in such
circumstances. 2703

B

W

13.10.84

Please look up a
precedent from the
Budget Registers. We
cannot trace one by
the indexes.

W

15.10.84



2703.

Proceedings of the Board of Revenue.

Dated 20th September 1884.

No. 9068 Miscellaneous.

READ letter from the President, Local Fund Board,
Salem District, dated 18th September 1884,
Reference on No. $\frac{561}{27}$.

Resolution: -

The alterations made in the estimate
amounting to Rs. 1036, for the defensive works
at Tanienbody are approved, and the sanction
of Government is requested for the deviations
in the estimate of Rs. 6656, sanctioned in
L.C. P.W.D., dated 20th November 1882, No. 3071-W
for the Local Fund Chuttram at Morappur.

(True Extract)

Wm MacRorie
By Sub. Secretary

The Chief Secretary to Govt. & D.
with letter & enclosures in original to be returned
" President, L.F. Board Salem.
" Accountant General.

2703.

3037.5

Kind (1884) Depart

From

24

H. Thomsen

No. 9068

Dated 20th September 1884

Received 23 22

Enclosures

SUBJECT.

8203

P330

Govt. of (1884) Madras.

PUBLIC WORKS.

(107)

Dated

5/11/84

Recd.

Book

W.O. 32 Dec 1884, No. 27034

Lt. Chatterjee at
Barrackpore

Carriage Department
the Govt. for
Sanitation

70
21

10/12/84

10/12/84