G. O. No 398 W. d 14-2-84
B. No 1539 W. " 20-6-84.

No 2652 W. 28th Octr/84

Communicated to the Supg Eng. IV Circle, with advertence to his letter No. 434 E W of 23rd Septr 1884.

CES.
20.10.84

22.10.84

10-6-11 ✓

S.E. IV Circle ✓
Exr, P. W. A. ✓

D 29/10

13/10

---

[6]

Mdbo 8770

Govt. of {188 } Madras.

(3)

To
The Public Works Deptt

No 2652 W. 28th Octr/84
Ed 20/10/84

Proceedings, dated 11th October 1884
No. 635 Mis.

Subject.

Sanctioning temporary draughtsman to draw pay at Rs 80 per mensem for one year from 3d July/84

(with file of Connected G.Os to be kept in Tack)

Forwarded for the information of the Chief Engineer with a request that he will be good enough to obtain sanction for the employment of Muthu Pillay as draughtsman at Rs 80 per mensem from 3rd July 1884 for one year.

No. 315

Executive Engineer's office
Ootacamund. 20th September 18

From,

The Executive Engineer,
Nilgiri Division

To,

The Superintending Engine
II. Circle.

Sir,

With reference to G.O. No. 1539 W., dated 20th June 1884, sanctioning the continuous employment of a temporary draftsman for another year, on Rs: 80 per mensem, I have the honor to report that the appointment has been filled by one Muthu Pillay from the 3rd July 1884, on the recommendation of the Principal, Civil Engineering College.

2. As Muthu Pillay has

PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT.

PROCEEDINGS OF THE MADRAS GOVERNMENT.

UNDER date the

READ the following

Appeal from S. Kristnasawmi Iyer, late Supt. of Upper Anicut in the Tanjore Division } H. E. No. — d/ 18th September/84

Letter from the Supg: Engr. VI Circle } No. 1044 T.J d/ 9th Octr/84.

Petitioner is informed that the Government decline to interfere in his case.

W
15.10.84

To
The Petitioner
Supg: Engr. VI Circle

I must confess that I don't think the case against him is a very strong one. Had he been deliberately [illegible] nothing could have been easier than to have produced petitions[illegible] vouchers & as he did not do this I am inclined to believe his statement. He is an old servant of the Dept. & this [illegible] his re-employment [illegible] vacancy occurs [illegible] be provided [illegible] grade.

C.S.
13.10.84

No 1720 D. d/ 24-9-84

P. W. D. 6TH CIRCLE.

P.W.C No 8482

From
The Superintending Engineer,
6th Circle.

(8)

To
The Chief Engineer
P. W. D

No. 1044 T.J

Dated 9th } Octr/1884
Received 11th }

Enclosure 1.4

S. Kristnasamy Iyer
late Superintendent

Reports on the
petition of —

OFFICE OF THE SUPERINTENDING ENGINEER,
6TH CIRCLE.

Trichinopoly 9th October 1884

No. 1044 T.J

From

The Superintending Engineer,
6th Circle.

To

The Chief Engineer
P.W.D.
Madras.

Sir,

ENCLOSURE 1.

* Returned.

× in August/82

With reference to your Endorsement No.* 1720 D of 24th September/84, I have the honor to report that S. Kristnasamy Iyer was dismissed by Colonel Beatty× then Superintending Engineer of this Circle after careful enquiry.

The papers, reports, depositions, &ca, are in this Office, I have read them over, and I agree with Col. Beatty that the man was guilty of fraud.

2 In September/82 Kristnasamy Iyer again addressed Col. Beatty, and requested him to reconsider his decision. Col. Beatty forwarded this to the Tanjore Executive Engineer and the Sub-Officer for their opinion whether, taking Kristnasamy Iyer's long

ser

To

His Excellency the Governor in Council,
Fort Saint George.

Through the Chief Engineer, P. W. D.,
Madras.

THE HUMBLE APPEAL OF S. KRISTNASAWMI IYER, LATE
SUPERINTENDENT OF UPPER ANICUT IN THE
TANJORE DIVISION.

MAY IT PLEASE YOUR EXCELLENCY.

With due respect and humble submission, the petitioner most respectfully begs to lay
before your Excellency his Appeal against the decision of the Superintending Engineer Sixth
Circle, and prays your Excellency to call for the records (especially the Review Petition
and the Superintending Engineer's Endorsement thereon and the letters from the Divisional
and Sub-Divisional officers Tanjore expressing their opinion as to the petitioner's re-enter-
tainment) of the case and condescend to per~~sue~~use them and grant the poor petitioner the redress
he earnestly seeks.

That, in May 1882, when the petitioner was Superintendent of Upper Anicut, he was
ordered by Serjeant A. Temple, Supervisor, to purchase some sundry articles such as nails
and ropes for the anicut works.

Your Excellency's poor petitioner begs to bring to notice that no Government money was
advanced for the purchase, but on the contrary, the petitioner had to purchase the things at
his own cost and obtain money from the Supervisor, after the things were approved of and
passed by that officer.

Your Excellency's humblest petitioner borrowed some money from the Supervisor him-
self (which was returned the next day) and effected the purchase of some nails, through
one of the anicut lascars, for Rs. 1-10-0 and again some more nails and ropes for Rs. 9-6-0,
rough another lascar, named Ponnusami, who was much liked by the Supervisor, in all for
11 only—a very small sum. The petitioner entered their price, as stated by the lascar,
piece of paper, and placed the things before the Supervisor for his approval. The Super-
or weighed one of the nails with a small scale he had for his domestic use and said that
nails and ropes were overcharged to the extent of Rs. 3-6-0, which the petitioner had no
eans of knowing, for, neither was he provided with a scale, nor was there any bazaar
ar to enable him to verify the lascar's statement, and, besides, he did not expect that a lascar
ually a Government servant like himself would abuse the trust reposed in him. The peti-
oner called on the lascar Ponnusami who effected the purchase for a pretty large amount
Rs. 9-6-0) to account for the deficiency. The Supervisor himself knew that Ponnusami was
sent to purchase the things.

Here is the delicacy in the case which the petitioner craves your Excellency to consider.
The lascar, finding himself in a dilemma, accounted for the deficiency by saying that he was
instructed by the Superintendent (petitioner) to say that he paid more than what the things
actually cost.

The Supervisor confided in the lascar's statement, got a written plaint from him and
without any enquiry reported to the Sub Divisional Officer that the petitioner had tried to
deceive him to the extent of Rs. 3-6-0, when, during the course of a year and a half the peti-
tioner was in charge of the Anicut, he has carried out about Rs. 12,000 worth of work,
departmentally, to the entire satisfaction of his superior officers.

A momentary consideration will convince any impártial thinker, much more your Excel-
lency, that the opinion formed by the Supervisor, and, at his instance, by the superior officers
is highly improbable, for, will it be charitable to conclude that the petitioner holding a res-
ponsible appointment, and drawing a pensionable pay, with fair prospects before him, would
compromise his own honor and endanger his own situation, by attempting to misappropriate a
paltry sum of Rs. 3, and can he be reasonably expected, as the lascar says in his plaint, to
have sought him to corroborate his (petitioner's) statement by a falsehood, knowing what the
result would be if the lascar had dissembled: Further, will it appear just in the eyes of your
Excellency to attach any value to the lascar's statement made *after* the deficiency was dis-
covered. If the lascar had dealt honestly, and if the allegation that the petitioner instructed
him to utter a falsehood were true, he should have brought the matter to the Supervisor's
notice *before* there was any question at all about deficiency.

Your Excellency's humblest petitioner begs to state, that, without considering it probable
that a lascar getting Rs. 4 per mensem might have deceived the petitioner as well as Govern-
ment and, without holding a regular enquiry which the petitioner craved for, Colonel Beatty
R. E. the then Superintending Engineer dismissed the petitioner for "lying" and "cheating,"
as he himself says in his decision "to set an example to others," when, to quote hi
the charge had been only "fairly proved".

m 1

~~D.Mis. 790~~

8482

CC No. 16950[illegible]

SUPERINTENDING ENGINEER
20 SEP 84
6th CIRCLE

~~Aud 10/9/84~~

~~m 3~~

Chepauk
24th Septr /84

No. 1720 D

Referred to the Supdg Engr VI Circle for report

(By order)

C. Unwin. Major R.E.
Under Secy to Govt P.W.D.

Extract from the Review Petition

Superintending Engineer's remarks in his decision of the case	Petitioner's explanation of the Superintending Engineer's doubts
The Superintendent was called on to produce the original bazaar receipts in support of his statement which he has never done	This I could not do as I was not the purchaser. I asked the lascar whom I sent to purchase the materials to go and fetch bazaar receipts, but he finding that the deceit he intended to practise upon me was likely to have been detected wilfully omitted to obey my bidding.
In order to account for not having the receipts he first stated that he had sent Rs 12 with Ponnusami and only received 11 Rupees worth of Materials and that consequently he had sent the receipts back to Trichinopoly by Ponnusamy to recover the other rupee.	Rs 11 the value of materials is made up of Rs 1. 10. - being the value of materials (nails) purchased by Govinda Pillai lascar and of Rs 9. 6. -. of materials purchased by Ponnusami lascar. When I presented the materials before the Extra Officer for inspection and it was found that the nails weighed less I asked Ponnusami to go and fetch bazaar account since the extra Officer required it, but instead of doing that, he contrived the expedient of presenting a plaint.

Rs 11 the value of materials as charged for in the bill is made up as follows

100 Rope Rollers	Rs 3-2-..
1 Big rope.	Rs ..-14-..
21½ seers of nails @ 4 as per seer.	Rs 5-6-..
Total purchased by Ponnusami lascar.	Rs 9-6-..
6½ seers of nails purchased by Govinda Pillai lascar.	Rs. 1-10-..
	Rs 11-..-..

If, as your honor says, I had stated to have sent Rs 12 with Ponnusami I should have had to recover Rs 2-10-.., and not Rupee one only. I never told Sergt Temple that I sent Rs 12 with Ponnusami but only Rs 10. He has entirely misunderstood me.

If I be allowed the liberty of expressing my opinion, this remark casts an unwarranted aspersion on my character. It pres-

that producing forged receipts is habitual with me. Will Sergt Temple or Mr Bird cite one example of the kind at least within the last two years during which I have carried out Rs 12000 worth of work. It is a pity that the nature of the case should have been so misrepresented to your honor as to necessitate your honor to pass such a remark. Neither Sergt. Temple nor Mr Bird were even pleased to enquire into the matter by examining the respectable men and lascars whom I have cited as witnesses to the fact that Ponnusami stated to have purchased materials for the value I have charged for.

The important point in the case viz whether I have deceived or have been deceived never struck Mr Bird (Offg Executive Engineer). What has happened is this:- Sergt Temple has taken action on the strength of Ponnusami's plaint

……. and no doubt he (Krishnasami) would have produced forged receipts if Sergt Temple had been likely to accept

The Expungation is in my opinion a tissue of lies from beginning to end.

All that can be done is to dismiss the man against whom a charge is fairly proved as in this case.

(he being his own man)
The defendant's side in the case has not been heard at all.

S Kistnasamy Iyer
(True Extract) Late Supt of
D.P. per accnt.

$\frac{2}{7907}$
1/16959/84 8482

SUPERINTENDING ENGINEER
25 SEP 84
6th CIRCLE

---

it turned out that the weight of the nails was probably about 19 seers while 28 were charged in the bill.

The expurgation is in my opinion a tissue of lies from beginning to end.

These Superintendents have very many opportunities of helping themselves at the expense of Govt and it is much to be feared that a good deal of roguery goes on. All that can be done is to dismiss the man against whom a charge is fairly proved as in this case.

I conclude therefore (1) that Kistnasami knew well that vouchers are required (2) that he did receive vouchers from the lascars (3) that his two explanations for not producing them were false and (4) that he did attempt to cheat Govt probably to the extent of Rs 3-6-0.

Superintendent Kistnasami Iyer is therefore dismissed for lying & cheating.

Kumbakonam }
8th August 82 }

(Sd) J. Beatty Col R.E.
Supg Engr 6th Circle

(True copy)

S Kistnasamy Iyer
Late Supt of
D.P. per accnt.

$\frac{8\,2}{7907}$
8482
2/16959/8

SUPERINTENDING ENGINEER
25 SEP 84
6th CIRCLE

Decision of the Superintending Engineer in the Case of Krishnasami Iyr, Superintendent Upper Coleroon Anicut.

The Superintendent is charged with having, on the 16th May, presented a false Statement to the extra officer Sergeant Temple for the purpose of cheating Government. He had bought nails and rope for the Anicut Works which are supposed to have Cost Rs 7 " 10 " 0. and he presented a written Statement unsupported by Vouchers for Rs 11 " 0 " 0.

The Superintendent was called on to produce the original Bazaar receipts in support of his statement, which he has never done:-

In order to account for not having the receipts, he first stated that he had sent Rs 12 with Ponnusami and only received 11 Rupees worth of materials and that consequently he had sent the receipts back to Trichinopoly by Ponnusami, in order to recover the other Rupee.

But in his "Expurgation of the Charges" he writes that he "gave to Ponnusami Rs 10" and that the amount was charged according to "the statement of the lascar who bought them." Since I usually believe lascars under me.

Krishnasamy Iyr is an old servant of the Department and must have known that Vouchers are required in support of all charges of any consequence and no doubt he would have produced forged receipts if Sergeant A. Temple had been likely to accept them - But the Extra officer doubted the Correctness of the weight as Stated by the Superinte

---

to his duties which he performed to my Satisfaction -

He knows a little English & in other respects I consider him well qualified for the post he now holds -

(Sd) C J Littlewood
Supervisor D P W.

Mannargudi
16th Augt ~~1884~~ 1845.

For the short period that I was in charge of the Kumbhakonam Sub Dn Kristnasami Iyr was Superintendent of the Corayar Hd Works and gave satisfaction in the works required of him - He appears to be a good and intelligent man.

(sd) J A Fox
Sub Engineer

Corayar Head.
6-7-77.

T. Krishnasamy Iyr
Late Supt of

SUPERINTENDING ENGINEER
25 SEP 84
6TH CIRCLE

Copies of testimonials

Krishnasami Iyer Served under me as Monegar of the Jembucauvery Head and afterwards as Supt of the Vettaur Head Works - I have always found him attentive to his duties & hardworking - He can read & write English & is Capable of Superintending most river works - Works that have been under his charge I have generally found well done

(Sd) T. Greenway
Assistant Engineer 1st Range

Puduchuthram }
26th June 1874 }

Krishnasami Iyer was only under me for about a month so that I cannot pretend an intimate acquaintance with his work. From the little I saw of him I should say that he was intelligent hardworking & deserving.

(Sd) A H Garrett
Assistant Engineer

11-11-1876.

The bearer Krishnasami Iyer has been employed under my orders for a period of three years He was first entertained as Monegar & subsequently as Ancient Superintendent in the Tanjore & Mannargudi Ranges. I always found him [illegible] & attentive

Engineer

27-1-99
-29

will be extended if the Ass
Engineer does not become fu
and practically qualified
within the year.

CW
D. W.
10. 10. 84

WS
13. 10. 84

CW O.L.S. 27/10 Cir: 18/10 Eng 27/10 31/10

11. 10. 84 R. 24. 10. 84

~~The Hon H. E. Sullivan~~

14-6-99
18-8-20
3-2-09

TARY TO GOVERNM
22 [illegible] 84

No 2647 W. 28th Oct/84.

W.
28.10

To
The Supt Govt Press for publication — 28/10

adras.
64 a.

Notification.

With reference to para 4 of the Resolution of the Government of India in the Public Works Department, dated 14th. February 1883, which was published in the Fort Saint George Gazette of the 27th. idem, it is now notified that on the 1st. April 1885, an appointment of Assistant Engineer, 3rd. Grade, in the Madras P.W.D. will be awarded to that Student of the Madras Civil Engineering College, of pure Asiatic origin, who, in the examination to be held at the College in December, 1884, obtains a certificate of qualification as Assistant Engineer and stands highest on the list of qualified candidates.

In the case of a native student not passing the test, the appointment will be available for the senior European or Eurasian competitor at the same examination.

Superseded

C.G.
24.9.84

Civil
Branch.

No. 499.C.

Dated 22nd September 1884.

FROM

THE PRINCIPAL,
CIVIL ENGINEERING COLLEGE,

To

The Chief Engineer,
Public Works Department.

SIR,

G.O. No. 3144 W B 29-11-82 & G.O. 3354 W B 19-12-82 – with file relating to C.E. College – with ow –

Referring to the notification published in the Fort St. George Gazette dated 27th February 1883, Part I, page 117 regarding the guarantee to this College of one appointment to the Engineer Establishment of the Public Works Department in 1885, and one in 1886, I have the honour to enquire whether you consider it necessary to publish any additional notification, specifying the terms on which the appointments will be made.

2. The present First Department Session will terminate on 31st December

1884: and the final College Examination, on the results of which certificates of qualification as Assistant Engineer are awarded, is held during the last month of the course. The results cannot be published before January 1885. The candidates are at liberty to appear for the B.C.E. degree Examination of the Madras University in January, and all will probably avail themselves of the privilege. The results of this Examination will be made known about the end of February.

3 It will be observed that although the next batch will, strictly speaking, pass out in 1884, yet that any appointment made in 1885 must necessarily be awarded to it, and not to the succeeding batch. The students of the present Senior division of the First Department might therefore be distinctly informed that they are eligible to compete for the appointment.

4. I gather from the terms of the Notification (para. 4 Sec. 2) that the University Degree Examination will not contribute in any way to the award of the guarantee. This I think is as it should be; for the College final Examination extends over a longer period, and is of a more searching character than the University Examination: it therefore does more towards ensuring that the best man should head the list. It might be desirable however to specify that the appointment will be made to that Student of the College of pure Asiatic origin, who stands highest on the list of qualified candidates, as independent persons are, under present rules, at liberty to present themselves for the final Examination

I have the honour to be,
Sir
Your most obedient Servant,
H. D. Love. Capt. R.E.,
Principal

[P. W. D. Form No. M. 112—Inner Sheet.]

Provincial Statement of Objectionable Items in the Accounts of Executive Engineers for the Month of *July* 188*4* requiring the orders of Government.

Item No.	Particulars of each Item.	Month in the Accounts of which Objectionable Expenditure first appeared.	Comparison with Estimate.			Comparison with Appropriation of the Year.]			Expenditure during the Month.	Opinion of the Superintending Engineer, with final Remarks by Examiner.	Orders by Government.
			Amount of Estimate.	Expenditure up to Date.	Excess over Estimate.	Amount of Appropriation.	Expenditure of the Year.	Excess over Appropriation.			
	Deposits Ordinary										
8	Amount of salaries &c of the late Mr Johannus Overseer temporarily held at credit of deposit account	June/84		147						The early adjustment of these items is awaited.	The S. E. should arrange to effect an early adjustment of the items outstanding under "Deposits."
	Do received from V Sambiah being the value of pick axes lost by him temporarily credited to deposits	July/84		3							
	Delay in the submission of accounts.										
9.	Work vouchers for July 1884 Objectionable Items Statement for Do									Brings to notice of Government that these documents have not yet been received in this Office.	The S. E. will see that the returns referred to by the Examiner of P. Works Accts. are submitted at an early date.

The S.E. should arrange to effect an early adjustment of the items outstanding under "Deposits."

The S.E. will see that the returns referred to by the Examiner of P. Works Accts. are submitted at an early date

[P. W. D. Form No. M. 112—Inner Sheet.]

Provincial Statement of Objectionable Items in the Accounts of Executive Engineers for the Month of July 1884 requiring the orders of Government.

Item No.	Particulars of each Item.	Month in the Accounts of which Objectionable Expenditure first appeared.	Comparison with Estimate.			Comparison with Appropriation of the Year.			Expenditure during the Month.	Opinion of the Superintending Engineer, with final Remarks by Examiner.	Orders by Government.
			Amount of Estimate.	Expenditure up to Date.	Excess over Estimate.	Amount of Appropriation.	Expenditure of the Year.	Excess over Appropriation.			
	Provincial Godaveri Central Miscellaneous Irregularities Items outstanding for more than 3 months Since September 1883.										
10.	Amount disallowed from the salary of Delta Superintendent M. Janikiramiah	Jany/84	Amount 12-8-0.							The Executive Engineer states that this amount will be adjusted on receipt of orders of Government on pension papers of Delta Superintendent Ramachendriah in whose place M. Janikiramiah is promoted. This item has been outstanding for nearly one year, and is brought specially, to notice of Government.	Vide orders passed opposite to this item in the O. I. Statement for June 1884.
	Godavari Eastern Want of Estimate Other Incorporated Local										
11.	Kovur Munjair Junction Canal constructing ~~two~~ five second-class ferry boats	May/84	-	272	272					Result of orders passed by Government in Objectionable Items Statement for May 1884 is awaited.	

[P. W. D. Form No. M. 112—Inner Sheet.]

Provincial Statement of Objectionable Items in the Accounts of Executive Engineers for the Month of *July* 1884 requiring the orders of Government.

Item No.	Particulars of each Item.	Month in the Accounts of which Objectionable Expenditure first appeared.	Comparison with Estimate. Amount of Estimate.	Comparison with Estimate. Expenditure up to Date.	Comparison with Estimate. Excess over Estimate.	Comparison with Appropriation of the Year. Amount of Appropriation.	Comparison with Appropriation of the Year. Expenditure of the Year.	Comparison with Appropriation of the Year. Excess over Appropriation.	Expenditure during the Month.	Opinion of the Superintending Engineer, with final Remarks by Examiner.	Orders by Government.
	✓ Excess over Estimate										
12	Kovur Munjair Junction Canal	Feb/84	45,590	52,124	6,534				355.	Result of orders passed by Government in Objectionable Items Statement for May 1884 is awaited.	Revised Estimates are awaited.
	✓ Works for which revised estimates are required.										
13.	Kovur Munjair Junction Canal	Feb/84	45,590	52,124						Do. Do.	

Examiner P. W. Accts Madras.

CH 30/9.

EG 1/10

CS 15.10

WS 1.10.84

The S. E. 1st Circle thro' the Examiner of P. W. Accts.

[P. W. D. Form No. M. 112—Inner Sheet.]

Provincial Statement of Objectionable Items in the Accounts of Executive Engineers for the Month of 188 requiring the orders of Government.

Item No.	Particulars of each Item.	Month in the Accounts of which Objectionable Expenditure first appeared.	Comparison with Estimate.			Comparison with Appropriation of the Year.			Expenditure during the Month.	Opinion of the Superintending Engineer, with final Remarks by Examiner.	Orders by Government.
			Amount of Estimate.	Expenditure up to Date.	Excess over Estimate.	Amount of Appropriation.	Expenditure of the Year.	Excess over Appropriation.			

Provincial Statement of Objectionable Items in the Accounts of Executive Engineers for the Month of 188 requiring the orders of Government.

Item No.	Particulars of each Item.	Month in the Accounts of which Objectionable Expenditure first appeared.	Comparison with Estimate.			Comparison with Appropriation of the Year.			Expenditure during the Month.	Opinion of the Superintending Engineer, with final Remarks by Examiner.	Orders by Government.
			Amount of Estimate.	Expenditure up to Date.	Excess over Estimate.	Amount of Appropriation.	Expenditure of the Year.	Excess over Appropriation.			

Examiner of P. W. Accounts,

Madras.

29 1/10

Provincial Statement of Objectionable Items in the Accounts of Executive Engineers for the Month of June 1884 requiring the orders of Government.

Item No.	Particulars of each Item.	Month in the Accounts of which Objectionable Expenditure first appeared.	Comparison with Estimate.			Comparison with Appropriation of the Year.			Expenditure during the Month.	Opinion of the Superintending Engineer, with final Remarks by Examiner.	Orders by Government.
			Amount of Estimate.	Expenditure up to Date.	Excess over Estimate.	Amount of Appropriation.	Expenditure of the Year.	Excess over Appropriation.			
	Godavari Eastern (Contd) Deposits-Ordinary										
9	Amount of Salaries &ca of the late Mr. Johannes Overseer temporarily held at credit of Deposit account	June/84		147						The Executive Engineer in the Objectionable Items Statement for June states that the father of the late Mr. Johannes has been written to more than once to furnish the letter of administration for the payment of this amount but with no effect. Brought to notice of Government for orders The amount may perhaps be deposited with the District Judge.	The Supdg Engr will arrange to adjust this amount as proposed by the Examiner of P.W. Accounts.
	Godavari Western Provincial Miscellaneous Irregularities Deposits outstanding for more than 3 months										
10	Amount recovered from Office members for value of letter weights lost by them outstanding since September 1883.	Apr/84		5						The Executive Engineer in Objectionable Items Statement for May states that as soon as the bill is received from the Public Works Workshops the amount will be adjusted but as the item has	The Supdg Engr will take steps to expedite the submission of the bills due from the P.W. Workshops

[P. W. D. Form No. M. 112—Inner Sheet.]

Provincial Statement of Objectionable Items in the Accounts of Executive Engineers for the Month of June 1884 requiring the orders of Government.

Item No.	Particulars of each Item.	Month in the Accounts of which Objectionable Expenditure first appeared.	Comparison with Estimate. Amount of Estimate.	Comparison with Estimate. Expenditure up to Date.	Comparison with Estimate. Excess over Estimate.	Comparison with Appropriation of the Year. Amount of Appropriation.	Comparison with Appropriation of the Year. Expenditure of the Year.	Comparison with Appropriation of the Year. Excess over Appropriation.	Expenditure during the Month.	Opinion of the Superintending Engineer, with final Remarks by Examiner.	Orders by Government.
										has been outstanding nearly one year it is specially brought to notice of Government.	
	Provincial Godavari Central Miscellaneous Irregularities Items outstanding for more than 3 months Since September 1883.										
11	Amount disallowed from the salary of Delta Superintendent M. Jannikiramiah	Jan/84	– Amount 12-8-0							The Executive Engineer states that this amount will be adjusted on receipt of orders of Government on pension papers of Delta Superintendent Ramachendriah in whose place M. Jannikiramiah is promoted. This item has been outstanding nearly one year and is brought specially to notice of Government.	The Exr will report the cause of delay in disposing of the pension papers of Delta Supdt Ramachendria. The Supdg Engr will issue instructions to recover the amount outstanding from the party concerned who receive full pay of the grade with retrospective effect when final orders are passed on the pension papers above referred to.

Provincial Statement of Objectionable Items in the Accounts of Executive Engineers for the Month of June 1884 requiring the orders of Government.

Item No.	Particulars of each Item.	Month in the Accounts of which Objectionable Expenditure first appeared.	Comparison with Estimate.			Comparison with Appropriation of the Year.			Expenditure during the Month.	Opinion of the Superintending Engineer, with final Remarks by Examiner.	Orders by Government.
			Amount of Estimate.	Expenditure up to Date.	Excess over Estimate.	Amount of Appropriation.	Expenditure of the Year.	Excess over Appropriation.			
	Provincial Godavari Eastern Delay in the submission of Accounts										
12	Work Vouchers for June 1884 Objectionable Items Statement for _Do_									These accounts which were due in this office on the 20th July 1884 were received on the 22nd August 1884 or one month after due date. The delay in their submission is brought to notice of Government.	The Supt. Engr: will enquire and report the cause of delay brought to notice by the Examiner of P.W. Accounts P W accts.
	Imperial Works for which revised estimates are required										
13	Post Office at Kunnaram	June/84	600	656						The Executive Engineer in Objectionable Items Statement for June 1884 states that a revised estimate for this work has been submitted for sanction.	The Supt Engr will see that a revised estimate is submitted for this work without delay.—

[P. W. D. Form No. M. 112—Inner Sheet.]

Provincial Statement of Objectionable Items in the Accounts of Executive Engineers for the Month of June 1884 requiring the orders of Government.

Item No.	Particulars of each Item.	Month in the Accounts of which Objectionable Expenditure first appeared.	Comparison with Estimate.			Comparison with Appropriation of the Year.			Expenditure during the Month.	Opinion of the Superintending Engineer, with final Remarks by Examiner.	Orders by Government.
			Amount of Estimate.	Expenditure up to Date.	Excess over Estimate.	Amount of Appropriation.	Expenditure of the Year.	Excess over Appropriation.			
	Local. Godavari Eastern Want of Estimate										
14	Kovur Munjair junction Canal — Five second class ferry boats	May/84	.	272	272				.	The Executive Engineer in Objectionable Items Statement for May 1884 stated that this has been included in the estimate amounting to Rs 52,345 for Kovur Munjair junction Canal sent up for sanction.	Revised estimates [illegible] are awaited
	Excess over Estimate										
15	Kovur Munjair Junction Canal	Feb/84	45,590	51,769					53	Result of orders passed by Government in Objectionable Items Statement for April 1884 is awaited.	

Provincial Statement of Objectionable Items in the Accounts of Executive Engineers for the Month of June 1884 requiring the orders of Government.

Item No.	Particulars of each Item.	Month in the Accounts of which Objectionable Expenditure first appeared.	Comparison with Estimate.			Comparison with Appropriation of the Year.			Expenditure during the Month.	Opinion of the Superintending Engineer, with final Remarks by Examiner.	Orders by Government.
			Amount of Estimate.	Expenditure up to Date.	Excess over Estimate.	Amount of Appropriation.	Expenditure of the Year.	Excess over Appropriation.			
	Godavari Eastern Local (continued) Works for which revised estimates are required										
16	Kovur Nimjair Junction Canal	Feb/84	45,590	51,769						Result of orders passed by Government in Objectionable Items Statement for April 1884 is awaited.	Vide orders passed against item No 15

D. C. Gordon

Examiner of P. W. Accounts,
Madras.

E P
2/9

1-9-84.

19.9.84

To S E I Circle
through Exr

.21.8.84, 25.8.84

[P. W. D. Form No. M. 112—Inner Sheet.]

Provincial Statement of Objectionable Items in the Accounts of Executive Engineers for the Month of May 1884 requiring the orders of Government.

No. 2124 [illegible] 7th August/84

Item No.	Particulars of each Item.	Month in the Accounts of which Objectionable Expenditure first appeared.	Comparison with Estimate.			Comparison with Appropriation of the Year.			Expenditure during the Month.	Opinion of the Superintending Engineer, with final Remarks by Examiner.	Orders by Government.
			Amount of Estimate.	Expenditure up to Date.	Excess over Estimate.	Amount of Appropriation.	Expenditure of the Year.	Excess over Appropriation.			
	Ganjam Division **Want of Estimate**										
1.	Repairs to Collector's Bungalow at Chatrapur	May/84	„	234					234	Executive Engineer in Objectionable Item Statement for May/84 states that an Estimate has been submitted to Superintending Engineer for sanction.	The S.E. 1st Circle will see that an Estte is submitted for this work without delay.
	Godaveri Eastern. **Excess over Estimate**										
2.	Constructing a Taluk Cutcherry and out houses at Budrachalam.	Nov/83	7500	9077	1577					Result of orders passed by Government in Objectionable Item Statement for April/84 is awaited.	Revised estimate amounting to Rs. 8860 has been sancd. in G O No. 1748 W d/ 16th July/84
	Works for which revised Estimates are required.										
3.	Constructing a Taluk Cutcherry & out houses at Budrachelam	Do.	7500	9077					2	do.	
4	Constructing a Post office at Dumagudiem	Apl/84	600	653					7	do.	The SE should see that revised estimates for these works are submitted without delay
5.	Additions to the Collector's Cutcherry at Coconada	do.	3187	12437					81	do.	

Provincial Statement of Objectionable Items in the Accounts of Executive Engineers for the Month of May 1884 requiring the orders of Government.

Item No.	Particulars of each Item.	Month in the Accounts of which Objectionable Expenditure first appeared.	Comparison with Estimate.			Comparison with Appropriation of the Year.			Expenditure during the Month.	Opinion of the Superintending Engineer, with final Remarks by Examiner.	Orders by Government.
			Amount of Estimate.	Expenditure up to Date.	Excess over Estimate.	Amount of Appropriation.	Expenditure of the Year.	Excess over Appropriation.			
	Other Miscellaneous Irregularities.										
5.	Miscellaneous advances outstanding for more than three months	—	"	—						Result of orders passed by Government on objectionable Item Statement for April is awaited.	The S. Engr will see that the "Mis advances" are recovered as soon as possible
6	P. Supramanya Aiyar Delta Superintendent Balance of outstanding advance	Apl/84	"	81							
7.	C. Sivasawmy Iyer Delta Superintendent Amount disallowed by Examiner	Jany/84	"	35						Do.	
8.	K. Venkatruthnum Naidu clerk — do.	do.		20						Do.	
9	B. Paparaza clerk Superintending Engineer's office Salary disallowed by Examiner	Apl/84		20.						Do.	

Provincial Statement of Objectionable Items in the Accounts of Executive Engineers for the Month of May 1884 requiring the orders of Government.

Item No.	Particulars of each Item.	Month in the Accounts of which Objectionable Expenditure first appeared.	Comparison with Estimate.			Comparison with Appropriation of the Year.]			Expenditure during the Month.	Opinion of the Superintending Engineer, with final Remarks by Examiner.	Orders by Government.
			Amount of Estimate.	Expenditure up to Date.	Excess over Estimate.	Amount of Appropriation.	Expenditure of the Year.	Excess over Appropriation.			
	Godavery Western Provincial. Miscellaneous Irregularities Deposits outstanding for more than 3 months										
10	Amount recovered from office Members for value of letter weight lost by them outstanding since september/83	Apl/84		5.						Executive Engineer states that as soon as the bill is received from the Superintendent Public Works Workshops who supplied the weights the adjustment will be effected.	Noted
	Provincial. Godavery Central Miscellaneous Irregularities Items outstanding for more than 3 months Since September/83.										
11.	Amount disallowed from the salary of Delta Superintendent M. Jani Karamiah	Jany/84	12-8-0							Executive Engineer states that the amount will be adjusted on receipt of orders of Government on pension papers of Delta Superintendent Ramachendriah, in whose place M. Jani-Karamiah is promoted.	Noted

Provincial Statement of Objectionable Items in the Accounts of Executive Engineers for the Month of May 1884 requiring the orders of Government.

Item No.	Particulars of each Item.	Month in the Accounts of which Objectionable Expenditure first appeared.	Comparison with Estimate.			Comparison with Appropriation of the Year.			Expenditure during the Month.	Opinion of the Superintending Engineer, with final Remarks by Examiner.	Orders by Government.
			Amount of Estimate.	Expenditure up to Date.	Excess over Estimate.	Amount of Appropriation.	Expenditure of the Year.	Excess over Appropriation.			
	Godaveri Eastern Deposits Ordinary.										
12.	Items 2 to 8 and 10 to 12, 14	Apl/84		1309						Result of orders passed by Government on objectionable Items statement for April awaited.	The S. Engr. should see that the balances outstanding in the Schedule of deposits are adjusted as soon as possible — as possible
	Godavery Eastern other Incorporated Local Want of Estimate.										
13	Five second class Ferry Boat for Kowur Munjeri junction Canal.	May/84	0	272	272				37	Executive Engineer in objectionable Items statement for May/84 states that this has been included in the Estimate amounting to Rs. 52345 for Kowur Munjeri junction Canal sent up for sanction.	revised Estimates are awaited Revised estimates are awaited
	Excess over Estimate.										
14	Kowur Munjeri junction Canal.	Febr/84	45590	51769	6179				2502	Result of orders passed by Government on the Objectionable Items Statement for April/84 is awaited.	

Provincial Statement of Objectionable Items in the Accounts of Executive Engineers for the Month of May 1884 requiring the orders of Government.

Item No.	Particulars of each Item.	Month in the Accounts of which Objectionable Expenditure first appeared.	Comparison with Estimate.			Comparison with Appropriation of the Year.			Expenditure during the Month.	Opinion of the Superintending Engineer, with final Remarks by Examiner.	Orders by Government.
			Amount of Estimate.	Expenditure up to Date.	Excess over Estimate.	Amount of Appropriation.	Expenditure of the Year.	Excess over Appropriation.			
	Godavari Eastern Works for which revised Estimates are required.										
15.	Kowur Munjeri junction Canal.	Feby/84	45590	51769.						Result of orders passed by Government on the Objectionable Items statement for April/84 is awaited.	Vide orders passed opposite to item No. 14

D. C. Gordon

Examiner of P. W. Accounts,
Madras.

To
The Supg: Engr I Circle
thro the Exr P.W.A.

20.8.84

22.8.84

through the Examiner

A.P. 4/8