MBo 871/2

GOVERNMENT OF INDIA.

PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT.

GENERAL.

6.S.C

To

SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT
16 OCT 84
P.W. DEPARTMENT

No. 1142G.

Dated Simla, September 29, 1884.

Received 18/10/84 6.S.C

5

No 2580 W. 21-10.84

Circumstances under which officiating promotions may be given to Assistant Engineers by Local Governments and Administrations.

Govt. C. B. Press, Simla.—No. 196 P. W. D.—6-10-84.—472.

One Copy to O.C.G.

Keith Dun

-r. Board,
davari District. He
bsequently appealed to
e Officers of the P.W.D.
d states that Major
emmyrinck R.E., while
der Secy. P.W.D., in-
formed on his petition
at he was eligible for
Govt. employ again. He

No. 482 W of 21-2-84

B No 2580 W. 21st Oct/84

Communicated to the Examiner Public Works Accounts, with reference to G.O. 482 W dated 21st February 1884.

16.10.8

S.A.W.

Herewith the papers connected with C. Purasiah's application which has been disposed of by S.E.T.

C. [illegible]

27.9.8

31

S.A.W.

The Exec. Engr. Cuddapah Dn. has written to ask me to enquire into the case of one C. Purasiah, formerly an Overseer in the P.W.D. Godavari District. The facts are: — The Overseer joined the L.F. service after one of the numerous P.W.D. reductions, and was subsequently dismissed from L.F. employ by the President of the L.F. Board, Godavari District. He subsequently appealed to the Officers of the P.W.D. and states that Major Pennycuick R.E. while Under Secy. P.W.D. endorsed on his petition that he was eligible for Govt. employ again. He

hat officiatin
cers on leave
ber of tempo
ved. This, h

Dul.

Before sending this cover on to Col. Montgomerie, I submit ~~[illegible]~~ for perusal, a note which D. G. desired me to send to you in the event of the applicant's name coming up again.

17/9

Let the whole go on to Col: Montgomerie for his favorable consideration & disposal.

CS
20/9

To Lt. Col. Montgomerie R.E. with previous papers, for perusal & favor of return.

S.A.W. [illegible]

The Exec. Engr. Cuddapah Dn. has written to ask me to enquire into the case of one C. Purasuth, formerly an Overseer in the P. W. D, Godavari District. The facts are: — The Overseer joined the L. F. service after one of the numerous P. W. D reductions, and was subsequently dismissed from L. F. employ by the order of the L. F. Board, Godavari District. He subsequently appealed to the Officer of the P. W. D. and states that Major Pennycuick R.E. while under Secy. P.W.D, endorsed on his petition that he was eligible for Govt. employ again. He

hat *officiati*
cers on leave
ber of tempo
ved. This, h
d m

S.A.W.

Noted. I see that D.W. has, in his note of 20th June last, noted the man as eligible for employment as follows
"Note the man for employ-
"-ment in view of the past
"being. His case was a
"hard one, and he might be
"given another trial".
When the matter again comes up, kindly let D.W. see this note, so that he may be aware that Mr. Taylor, the Exec. Engr. of the Cuddapah Distt., re-commends the petitioner from his own experience of him.

ASK
2-8-83

CM 2/8

S.A.W. [illegible]

The Exec. Engr. Cuddapah Dist. has written to ask me to enquire into the case of one C. Parasnik, formerly an Overseer in the P.W.D. Godavari District. The facts are:— The Overseer joined the L.F. service after one of the numerous P.W.D. reductions, and was subsequently dismissed [illegible] L.F. employ by the [illegible]dent of the L.F. Board, Godavari District. He subsequently appealed to the Officers of the P.W.D. and states that Major Pennycuick R.E. while Under Secy. P.W.D., endorsed on his petition that he was eligible for Govt. employ again. He

hat officiatin
cers on leave
ber of tempo
ved. This, h
d m

further states that he saw Major Pennycuick put away this paper in a drawer of his table, and concludes it is in the Office. He therefore begs to be allowed to have the endorsement, as once armed with it he can obtain employ in the Revenue or other Department. Kindly therefore enquire whether anything is known about the case, and whether there is any objection to the endorsement being given him.

ASK
27-7-83

I cannot find the paper referred to.
CS

D.G.

Please see papers herewith.

If the man therein named is the same as the one referred to by you, there are no further papers in the Office about him.

It will be seen that the pencil draft of the first endorsement sent to him was written by Major Pennycuick himself —

Cs
27/7

No papers relating to C. Parsiah can be traced in this Office Registers.

1/8/83

No 811 C.A.S 3

The petition refers to certain enclosures, but the latter have not been received & the petition cannot be disposed of without them —

6/4

Note This is simply a copy of a petn already disposed of. by

13/3/84

Seen
C.S.
13/3/84

S.A.W.

A man is wanted urgently in Godavari Dn. Might we not appoint this man as a temporary Overseer 2nd grade!

C.S.
13/3

To D.W.

The man does not hold an Overseer's Certificate. His re-

P.W. Department

To D.W.

C No. 2261

The order has been drafted as regards Overseer Ramanarayan Pandari but if the S.E. puts in the late Delta Supdt as proposed, C will disallow his pay, the man not holding a College Certificate. Please say what shall be done.

R.S.
14-3-84.

C.A.W.

Why cannot he be appointed under para 107? It is just because he has apparently practical knowledge & experience of works in Godavari District that I think he may be appointed temporarily.

By the way in some para the words "the above examination" are used, but no particular examination is specified in preceding paras.

C.S.
15-3-84

To D.W.

The sanction of Government is required in either case. With the

S.A.W.

The Putsevaram naick, formerly a Delta Supdt, Godavery District, & afterwards an L.F. Overseer states he sent in a petition for re-employment on or about 5th April last which was endorsed to the effect that there was nothing available for him.

Please let me see orders passed &c.

C.S.
13-3-84

D.W.

File submitted.

13/3/84

Seen C.S.
13/3/84

S.A.W.

A man is wanted urgently in Godavari Dn. Might we not appoint this man as a tempy Overseer 2nd grade!

C.S.
13/3

To D.W.

The man does not hold an Overseer's Certificate. His re-

D.W

C. No. 2261

The order has been drafted as regards Overseer Ramanarayan Pondai but if the S.E. puts on the late Delta Supt as proposed, C will disallow his pay, the man not holding a College Certificate. Please say what shall be done.

R.S.
14-3-84

C.A.W.

Why cannot he be appointed under para 107? It is just because he has apparently practical knowledge & experience of works in Godavari District that I think he may be appointed temporarily.

By the way in some paras the words "the above examination" are used. But no particular examination is specified in preceding paras.

C.S.
15-3-84

To D.W.

The sanction of Government is required in either case. In the further states that he saw Major Pennycuick put away this paper in a drawer of his table, and concludes it is in the Office. He therefore begs to be allowed to have the endorsement, as once armed with it he can obtain employ in the Revenue or other Department. Kindly therefore enquire whether anything is known about the case, and whether there is any objection to the endorsement being given him.

ASK
27-7-83

I cannot find the paper referred to.
CJ

Employment in the Capacity of Overseer would then require the sanction of Govt. in the Educational Dept.

R.S.
13.3.84

C.A.W.

We could obtain this I suppose, but have we any other tempy man available? I mean one having the necessary certificates & experience.

Parsuram Naidu's certificates show him to be a very useful man & he ought to suit.
CJ
13/3

To
D.W.

I doubt whether sanction could be obtained seeing that there are a great many qualified men out of employ. These men all ask for ~~[illegible]~~ permanent posts ~~[illegible]~~ but if it is desired to give the S.E. an Extra Temporary Overseer, he might be authorized to appoint a duly qualified man himself. An advertisement would doubtless bring in applications.

R.S.
14.3.84

C. No 2261

To D.W.

The Overseer *may* have an explanation to offer. Would it not be well to suspend judgment?

Orders might be sent to the Supdg. Engr. to the effect that the Overseer should not be allowed to rejoin his appointment till his explanation has been considered. If it is unsatisfactory the man can be dismissed for being absent without leave? Meanwhile the S.E should make the best arrangements in his power for carrying on the work — there being no overseers at the disposal of the Chief Engr.?

R.S.
13.3.84

To D.W

C. No. 2261

The order has been drafted as regards Overseer Ramanarayan Sondar but if the S.E. puts in the late Delta Supt. as proposed, C will disallow his pay, the man not holding a College Certificate. Please say what shall be done.

R.S.
14-3-84

C.A.W.

Why cannot he be appointed under para 107? It is just because he has apparently practical knowledge & experience of works in Godavari District that I think he may be appointed temporarily.

By the way in some paras the words "the above examination" are used. But no particular examination is specified in preceding paras.

CJ
15-3-84

To
D.W.

The sanction of Government is required in either case. For the further states that he saw Major Renny put away this paper in a drawer of his table, and concludes it is in the Office. He therefore begs to be allowed to have the en-dorsement, at once armed with it he can obtain employ in the Revenue, or other, Department. Kindly therefore enquire whether anything is known about the case, and whether there is any objection to the endorsement being given him.

ASR
27-7-83

I cannot find the paper referred to.
CCS

To W.

With reference to my note on back of S.E. II's letter, reporting Overseer Ramnarain Pondey absent without leave, & C.A.W's accompanying note thereon, it seems to me useless waiting for an explanation from one who has left his post without leave and has given no address. —
The rule is that no one is to be dismissed without being afforded full opportunity to explain away charges against him, but absence without leave as in this case involves "ipso facto" forfeiture of appointment. The man has not given his officer the chance of calling on him for explanation or of transmitting an order to him, & such a grave breach of discipline may well, in my opinion, be dealt with summarily.

I must add that Ramnarain Pondey appears to have been a good hard-working Overseer, & it is possible he may have erred in this instance through ignorance. If he can prove this satisfactorily we may reinstate him afterwards.

CCS
14-3-84

I see nothing for it but to declare Ramanarayan Roudai's appointment forfeited. He can be afterwards reinstated

---

D.W

C No. 2261

The order has been drafted as regards Overseer Ramanarayan Pondai but if the S.E. puts in the late Delta Supt as proposed, C will disallow his pay, the man not holding a College Certificate. Please say what shall be done.

R.S.
14-3-84.

C.A.W.

Why cannot he be appointed under para 107? It is just because he has apparently practical knowledge & experience of works in Godavari District that I think he may be appointed temporarily.
By the way in some paras the words "the above examination" are used, but no particular examination is specified in preceding paras.

CCS
15-3-84

D.W.

The sanction of overnment is required in either case. to the

Such sanction, there is nothing to prevent the man being appointed.

I suppose para 107 has got shifted from its original position. Hence the "above enumeration" now appears somewhere else.

A. S.
15-3-84.

Please then forward ~~the~~ papers re name & service to S.E. & ask him if he will accept the services of same as tempy overseer there being no other suitable subordinate at present available. If he accepts W. Rundall might issue reply.

C.J.
15-3

W.
15-3-84

---

if adequate explanation be offered.

Can a man be sent to II Circle to replace him

W.
14.3.84.

[illegible]

[illegible]

W.

There is no permanent overseer at present available. I propose to authorise S.E. to appoint a man temporarily, & would forward to him the accompanying papers asking him if he is willing to give the man referred to therein a trial.

C.J.
14-3-84

Approved
W.
14.3.84

No 811 C d/ 3 April/84

No 650 C

P.W. Department

Madras 17th March 1884.

Memorandum

Letter from the Superintending Engineer II circle No 314 ks. dated March 8, 1884.

No 693 C. d/ 29th March 1883.
No 798 C April/83
C d/ 11th May/83
June/83

Adverting to G.O No 722 W d/15th March 1884 authorizing the appointment of a temporary overseer to the Gudivada Division in place of Ramnarrain Pondai, the accompanying papers in regard to Ommi Pursiah Naidu, late Delta Superintendent in the Godaveri Division are forwarded for information and return.

Should the Superintending Engineer be disposed to give this man a trial, he may be appointed at at once.

(By order)

(sd) C Bowen

Deputy Major R.E.

Chief Engineer

No 650 C d/17

To

The Superintending Engineer

II circle. 17/3

17/3

No 811 C d/ 3rd April /84

P.W. Department

Letter from the Suptg. Engineer II. Circle No 3224. K.S d/ 21st March 1884.*

* C. No 2802

(stating that he is willing to employ Ommi Persiah Naidu as temporary & requesting to obtain the sanction of Govt for his employment as he has not passed the Overseer's test).

Referred to the Educational Department, with remark that Omni Parsiah Nayadu's practical experience will enable his services to be at once utilised on executive duty.

It is suggested that he be exempted from the Overseer's Test with a view to his employment on the Temporary Establishment.

1/4/84

1.4.84

TO

COLONEL R. H. SANKEY

SECRETARY TO

T.
Nai
to t

VERY RESPECTFULLY SHOWETH :—

1. That your honor's Petitioner en
in July 1870 being a Passed candidate in the U

2. That after 4 years hard service i
Overseer in August 1874, with the special sanct
from the Overseer's examination, (Vide P
Yernagudam Taluq a hilly and malarious Taluq

3. That in this malarious country
hardships as a true Public servant at the risk o
appreciated and encouraged by the benevolent (
he prepared the survey, levels, and plandrawing
and at the time of this preparation 7 men (Temp
ague caused by the evil effects of the cold seaso
your Petitioner and the whole of his establishme
Range Officer Mr. J. W. Martin saw your Petiti
the Bayana "Weir, Head sluice, and clearin
Petitioner under many difficulties and the charg
entrusted to him though an imperial work. Du
more than 4 Taluq Overseers were transferred t

4 Thus Petitioner had served in t
taking leave of any description of which 6 years
credit as will be evident from the certificates gr
served. (Copies enclosed for reference) Mr. A.
ed Petitioner for an imperial Overseer's Post, but
in healthy taluqs were exempted and promoted t
the officers in wet Ranges.

5. That in 1879, however, Petitio
when all the L. F. Works were transferred to t
ferred to the L. F. Department and his services
In the middle of October 1880 Petitioner's healt
bad to worse when Mr. R. P. deWinton who wa
inspected the Yernagudum Taluq. Petitioner
state of health and solicited (Vide Enclosure B.)
Mr. R. P. deWinton insisted (Vide Enclosures C
and age certificate from the Zillah surgeon such
Public Service, Petitioner was then 11 years
fitness for the Public Service was beyond doubt,

---

and So my Son.

without any income. The

phew (the Petitioner) will be

act of Charity and bou

long Your days and prosperit

I beg to remain

Most Respected Sir

Your most Obedient Serv

A Rungiah

ealth and age certificate was to be required from old
oner 3 months privilege leave. The L. F. Engineer
mending for privilege leave that the Petitioner was
ffects of a long residence in a malarious country.
g delivered over charge on 20th January 1881.
oner's illness had so much increased that he was
erseer to the works and to sit up to do work. He
eer, and could not see that all was correctly done.

day before the expiration of his leave, Petitioner
uty and awaited orders. The L. F. Engineer again
duce a health and age certificate. Accordingly
ced the said certificate (Vide Enclosure I.) from
till refused to allow Petr. to resume his duties
der himself as still on privilege leave until he
ay 1881 suspended (Vide Enclosure K.) Petition-
erials and apparent gross neglect of duty". This
forward. Mr. deWinton recommended (Vide
missal on the 25th July 1881 under the charges
Petitioner's explanations. The President has
iry or informing Petitioner of the charges
der of dismissal (Vide Enclosure N.) from the
osure O.) to the President of the L. F. Board,
deration that his long and faithful services of
s Yernagudum deserved; but he refused (Vide
ioner's dismissal.

of any particular instance in which Petitioner's
kman like manner. Petitioner would beg your
recently arrived from England, and was there
nd obtained privilege leave of absence; he could
acquaintance of Petitioner's qualifications and
ied out his wish to the undescribable misery of

d helpless Petitioner approaches your honor's
eligible for reappointment in D. P. W. with
nt, and to favor him with an appointment in the
tna Districts, and thus save him and his large
ty. For which grace, inestimable and worthy

HE EVER PRAYS.

To

Colonel R.

Secretary

Most Respected Sir

S

-writer in the Establishment of
Esqr. on E. C. Canal
to introduce myself as your h
The

belongs to my Nephew
in Construction of C
S

District, he was remov
whatever. I the
to peruse the Petition
assistant to the expen
my sickly Condi
without his assistance
beg your honor will be
me from my

Ommi Pursiah

---

rosts and so my Serv.
Without any income. The
hew (the Petitioner) will be

ct of Charity and bow
ng your days and prosperity

I beg to remain
Most Respected Sir
Your most Obedient Serv
A Rungiah

I had been employed in different posts and so my Serv.
were broken. I am now living without any income. The
favor of doing some good to my Nephew (the Petitioner) will be
a great beneficial to me.

For which act of Charity and bow
I pray to Almighty to prolong Your days and prosperity

Madras.
17th March 1883.

I beg to remain
Most Respected Sir
Your most Obedient Serv
A Rungiah A

PWC No. 2[illegible]72
Encl
2812/84
3748

En: 2

Recd 20/3/83

Encl. 2812/84
7

S

Statement showing the charges made by the Local Fund Engineer against the Petitioner and the explanations to them by the latter.

Charges of the Local Fund Engineer.	Explanations by the Petitioner.
That 23 different kinds of tools were entered in the list as "With Gumastah G. Raju, who is responsible for them". No proper account was kept in the Overseer's (Petitioner's) Office of tools issued and returned by this Gumastah (G. Raju).	The stores are in charge of a store Gumastah and he keeps and renders all accounts in respect to them. Your Honor will see by Enclosure U, that on delivering over charge prior to proceeding on three months privilege leave to Abdulkhadar Sahib, Petitioner handed to him a detailed account of the stores specifying the actual number of each description counted out in the stores, and what was with each of the sub-Overseers &c. In this statement Petitioner did also show in detail specifying the number and each description of tools that were with Raju. Your Honor will thus see that proper and correct accounts were kept in Petitioner's office of all tools in store and of issues. Petitioner was not aware until he was about to proceed on leave, that Raju had not delivered over these tools to his successor, when he was relieved of the charge of the road from Prakilanka to Jangareddygudem, and that they were not forth-coming. The Gumastah who relieved him did not report the nondelivery to him of the tools, and Petitioner was under the impression that they were as a matter of course, duly handed over to him. Immediately the irrigularity came to his notice, Petitioner called upon G. Raju for an explanation, and he stated that the tools were issued to the coolies and that he so informed his successor who accepted this explanation and was satisfied. He (Raju) however, offered, if permitted, to go and have them collected (Vide Raju's Telugu reports Enclosures V & W.) This circumstance was at once reported to Mr. deWinton, but that Officer passed no orders on Petitioner's report, and thus the matter rested. The value of these 23 tools was recovered (Vide Enclosures X, Y, & Z) from Petitioner after he was dismissed, though Raju in his reports and in person admits his responsibility and liability in regard to them.
Petitioner in January 1881, prepared a statement of materials which was to a great extent untrue as to actuals, and cites the following instances of inaccuracy. "At Gouripatam quarry" he states "there was said to be 131 Cyds. lime-stone" but the relieving Overseer found no stone there at all	Petitioner begs to explain that the lime stone here referred to by Mr. deWinton was collected many years ago by the D. P. W, and was found missing in August 1874, both by the then Range Officer and Petitioner. No sanction was obtained from the higher authorities for writing it off the accounts, and this was explained to the relieving overseer by the Petitioner.

Covering stones at three quarries are entered as 392 Sft. instead of Cft of which 128 Sft. of stone was used for Tunnel No. 31. The releiving Overseer found 28 Sft. only for 264 Sft. that ought to be at the quarreis. Mr. deWinton charges Petitioner with making contradictory statements in explanation of the deficiency and that he himself on inspecting the quarries found 200 Sft. of stone.

The stone here referred to is rough stone of Rs 25. 7. 7. intended after being dressed to be used as covering stone and cut-stone. This was quarried at the end of 1879, just before transfer to the Local Fund Board. Petitioner ordered the sub-Overseer to measure this stone lying at the quarries as there was a very little time to hand over the L. F. works to the Local Fund Board, and he was engaged in measuring other materials collected on the road side. The Sub-Overseer entered it in his pit book as coveringstone and this nomenclature was retained in Petitioner's statement. In the statement received from the Local Fund. Engineer's office, the unit of the items immediately preceeding this entry was given as Sft. and the denomination of this was not shown, Petitioner's writer assuming it to be the same as the prior entry wrote Sft. The quantity, however, is correctly given, though the unit should have been Sft. instead of Cft., which was a clerical error. Petitioner did not prevaricate in his explanations. He had given the sub-Overseer permission to use a portion of the stone for repairing the culverts, and being under the impression that it was so used, he made an entry to that effect, but after his return from leave and communication with the sub-Overseer he found the stone had not been so used (Vide sub-Overseer's report Enclosure I A) and he told Mr. deWinton so. Mr. deWinton admits that he found at these quarries 200 Sft. of stone, which is greatly in excess of what the relieving Overseer found, for he stated it to be only 28 Sft.; so that at the very worst there is is a deficiency of only 64 Sft. of stone and not as at first made out. 236. The deficiency between the entry in Petitioner's statement and Mr. deWinton's measurement arises from the simple fact that he measured rough stone as dressed stone. Had he measured the stone as he found it at the quarries without rejecting some the actuals would have been found if any thing in excess rather than below the quantity specified in Petitioner's statement Mr. deWinton moreover adopted two systems of measurement. At first he measured the material as rough stone at one of the quarries, but when he went to the other quarries and found a large quantity of material there also, he then resorted to the expedient of measuring as dressed stone and on a subsequent day came back to the quarry first visited and remeasured the material. This of course, made a great difference for large deductions had been made as an allowance for subsequent dressing. Abdulkhadar Sahib the acting Overseer pointed out to this gentleman that if the rough material was measured as dressed, some allowance must be made, but he took no notice.

Mr. deWinton further states that 219 Cyds of gravel was entered as at a certain quarry while in fact there was none.

The gravel he referred to was removed to the 14th mile and lies on the road ready for use. The adjustment of materials is generally made in Engineer's office, it was not adjusted in Petitioner's Office. The same remark was made in the statement and explained to the relieving Overseer.

He further states that Petitioner "allowed that he had never in his life been to some of the quarries"

All that Petitioner told the Local Fund Engineir, was that he had not inspected the Kumarametta quarry and that he had not been there to measure the stone. This quarry was opened for the first time in the end of 1879. At the time of transfer the Local Fund works to the Local Fund Board, Petitioner was ordered to have the materials at the quarries and at the road sides all measuredout, in view to a final adjustment of the contractor's accounts by the P. W. D. Petitioner was engaged in measuring the materials collected along the road side and ordered the Sub-Overseer to measure those in the quarries, therefore petitioner did not happen to see the quarry.

Mr. deWinton states that Petitioner was unable to say the position of the several items entered in the statement, and in one or two cases he gave information which he confessed to be wrong.

It will be evident to your Honor that this charge is quite contrary to fact, if kindly refer to the statement M. Enclosed. In the one single instance in which Petitioner admitted that he had wrongly stated on what particular part of the road side the material was is that of some 9½ Cyds. of rough stone on the Nallacherla road. He stated that he thought it was at Tunnel No. 31, but subsequently found that it was at Tunnel No. 11 and it was used by Mr. deWinton's order as apron by the acting Overseer during Petitioner's absence. As soon as he discovered his error, Petitioner reported the circumstances to Mr. deWinton, and asked that the error in his statement might be rictified.

5-6

Pro Rev 2
2472/83
2822/84
3748

No 693C d/29th March/83

Chief Engineer regrets that he is unable to offer the petitioner employment in the P. W. Deptt.

psd J.P.

DEW

21

Entd. 2054/84

Despd
30/3/83

To
The Petitioner ✓

Entd in Recei

TO

COLONEL R. H. SANKEY C. B. R. E.
SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT D. P. W.
MADRAS.

The humble representation of Ommi Pursiah Naidu late Delta Superintendent attached to to the Godavery District.

VERY RESPECTFULLY SHOWETH :—

1. That your honor's Petitioner entered in the D. P. W. as a Delta Superintendent in July 1870 being a Passed candidate in the U. C. S. Examination.

2. That after 4 years hard service in this branch, he was appointed a Local Fund Overseer in August 1874, with the special sanction of the Board of Revenue with exemption from the Overseer's examination, (Vide Proceedings Enclosure A.) and posted to the Yernagudam Taluq a hilly and malarious Taluq.

3. That in this malarious country your honor's Petitioner underwent very many hardships as a true Public servant at the risk of his health in hopes of his hardships being appreciated and encouraged by the benevolent Government. Your Petitioner begs to add, that he prepared the survey, levels, and plandrawings &c. in connection with the Bayana Project, and at the time of this preparation 7 men (Temporary Gumastahs and lascars) died of fever and ague caused by the evil effects of the cold season. After the completion of the out door work, your Petitioner and the whole of his establishment were attacked with severe fever, and the then Range Officer Mr. J. W. Martin saw your Petitioner in a precarious condition. The works of the Bayana "Weir, Head sluice, and clearing Main Chl." &c. were also executed by your Petitioner under many difficulties and the charge of the Bayana for nearly these 6 years was entrusted to him though an imperial work. During the service of your Petitioner in the Taluq more than 4 Taluq Overseers were transferred to other Taluqs and Districts.

4. Thus Petitioner had served in the D. P. W for a period of 10 years without taking leave of any description of which 6 years were in a malarious country and served with credit as will be evident from the certificates granted by the several Officers under whom he served. (Copies enclosed for reference) Mr. A. J. Chancellor and Mr. H. S. Taylor recommended Petitioner for an imperial Overseer's Post, but met with no success while other L. F. Overseers in healthy taluqs were exempted and promoted to imperial Overseers on the recommendation of the officers in wet Ranges.

5. That in 1879, however, Petitioner's health broke down and in January 1880 when all the L. F. Works were transferred to the civil authorities, the Petitioner was transferred to the L. F. Department and his services were pleaced at the disposal of the President. In the middle of October 1880 Petitioner's health broke down seriously and had drifted from bad to worse when Mr. R. P. deWinton who was appointed L. F. Engineer of the Ellur circle, inspected the Yernagudum Taluq. Petitioner represented to that gentleman his debilitated state of health and solicited (Vide Enclosure B.) privilege leave of absence for three months. Mr. R. P. deWinton insisted (Vide Enclosures C. D. E.) on the Petitioner to produce an health and age certificate from the Zillah surgeon such as is required from those who newly join the Public Service, Petitioner was then 11 years in Government employ and his constitutional fitness for the Public Service was beyond doubt, Mr. deWinton, however, on the receipt of an

order from the Board of Revenue that no health and age certificate was to be required from old servants, granted (Vide Enclosure F.) Petitioner 3 months privilege leave. The L. F. Engineer admitted in his letter to the President recommending for privilege leave that the Petitioner was suffering by fever and ague caused by the effects of a long residence in a malarious country. Petitioner availed himself of the leave having delivered over charge on 20th January 1881. At the time of delivering over charge Petitioner's illness had so much increased that he was quite unable to accompany the relieving Overseer to the works and to sit up to do work. He had left every thing to the relieving Overseer, and could not see that all was correctly done.

6. On the 19th of April the day before the expiration of his leave, Petitioner reported (Vide Enclosure G.) his return to duty and awaited orders. The L. F. Engineer again ordered (Vide Enclosure H.) Petitioner to produce a health and age certificate. Accordingly Petitioner proceeded to Rajamundry and produced the said certificate (Vide Enclosure I.) from the Zillah surgeon. Mr. deWinton, however, still refused to allow Petr. to resume his duties and ordered (Vide Enclosure J.) him to "consider himself as still on privilege leave until he receives further orders;" and on the 23rd May 1881 suspended (Vide Enclosure K.) Petitioner "pending inquiry into loss of tools and materials and apparent gross neglect of duty". This was the first time that this charge was brought forward. Mr. deWinton recommended (Vide Enclosure L.) to the President Petitioner's dismissal on the 25th July 1881 under the charges as per accompanying statement M. with Petitioner's explanations. The President has sanctioned the dismissal, without any inquiry or informing Petitioner of the charges made against him. On the receipt of the order of dismissal (Vide Enclosure N.) from the L. F. Engineer, Petitioner appealed (Vide Enclosure O.) to the President of the L. F. Board, which unfortunately did not receive any consideration that his long and faithful services of 11 years in hill tracts and malarious Taluq as Yernagudum deserved; but he refused (Vide Enclosure P.) to alter his order, directing Petitioner's dismissal.

7. Mr. deWinton does not speak of any particular instance in which Petitioner's work was found defective or done in an unworkman like manner. Petitioner would beg your honor to remember that Mr. deWinton had only recently arrived from England, and was there scarcely 6 weeks, when Petitioner applied for and obtained privilege leave of absence; he could not therefore possibly have had any personal acquaintance of Petitioner's qualifications and fitness for his Post of Overseer, and yet carried out his wish to the undescribable misery of Petitioner.

8. Now your honor's humble and helpless Petitioner approaches your honor's benevolence and prays that he may be declared eligible for reappointment in D. P. W. with retrospect of past service in the same Department, and to favor him with an appointment in the recent projects sanctioned in Godavery and Kistna Districts, and thus save him and his large family from being drowned in the sea of poverty. For which grace, inestimable and worthy boon, Petitioner, as in duty bound.

HE EVER PRAYS.

5th April 1883
Egmore
Door No 38

O. P. M[illegible]ah
Late L. F. Overseer

No 693 Cd/29-3-83

Mr C No 3

282/6

3748

Acd 9/4/83

No 798 C d/13th April/83

Lodged with reference to ~~Memo~~ No 693 C d/29th March/83

9/4

Entd 20/6/84

Entd in Receipt Regr

---

service Register

To

a Deputy Superintendent of Works for

Colonel R. H. S. & with the manner in which he

Secretary to Govt of establishment.

Ootacam

ormac

D.P.W.

Most Respected Sir

Depending (in the destitution of any interest) on the know ws an of your honor's benevolent disposition exercised towards the poor as m intelligence is always rewarded by your honor; I, A. Rangaiah Mr C Konigs late Head writer beg most respectfully that your be graciously pleased to send me a favorable reply to my petition with that of my nephew Ommi Pursiah (son of Pensioned Overseer Ramannah) in person on the 19th March 1883. I and my neph had several times appeared at Capper House Hotel, but we had n the fortune of obtaining your honor's favorable order. My nephew as directed by your honor at Madras Club presented his petition the 5th Instant to the Under Secretary who I believe might have submitted it to the Government. I therefore solicit that your hon be pleased to have it got effected in my favor so a petition of my nephew.

I beg to remain

Most Respected Sir

19th April 1883
Chintadripett

Your most obedient and humble Servant.

A Rungiah Naidoo

No 693 Cd/29-3-83

Rw 25/4/83

No 870 Cd/1st May/83-

C

The petitioner is referred to ~~endorse~~= ment No 693 Cd 29: March 1883 on his petition of the 19: idem.

Cd
26/4

Entd 26/4/84

To The Petitioner

Entd. in Reg [illegible]

Testimonials and Extracts of the service Register

The [illegible] O. Pursiah has been employed under me as a Deputy Superintendent of Works for about 7 months, during that time I was quite satisfied with the manner in which he performed his duties: he leaves in consequence of reduction of establishment.

Waltair

9th March 1870

(Sd) J. Cormac

Overseer D. P. W.

Omni Pursiah was in my Office as a Draftsman for about 2 months he draws and prints neatly, and seems a quiet painstaking man, and he writes a good hand.

Western Delta Range

Nursapur 21st June 1870

(Sd) J. W. Rundall

Executive Engineer

Recently appointed, is a neat draftsman and a painstaking careful man. Has not yet much acquaintance with out door work.

22nd February 1871

(Sd) J. W. Rundall

Executive Engineer

Pursiah O. Delta Supt "Recommended for promotion to next higher grade being hardworking and useful."

30th June 1872

(Sd) G. T. Walch

Has performed his duty on the whole well.

August 1874

(Sd) G. T. Walch

Executive Engineer

Lately joined to the Range

30th September 1874

(Sd) A. Ramaiah

Sub Engineer

ave only inspected this man's work once. He seems to take interest in his work.

4th October 1874

(Sd) J. W. Martin
Aſsistant Engineer
Incharge No. 4 Range

I have only inspected this man's work once, but he appears to me to take an interest in his work. He can survey and level.

15th October 1874

(Sd) J. W. Martin
Aſsistant Engineer
Incharge No. 4 Range

Is a good Overseer ought to be confirmed in his appointment

April 1875

(Sd) J. W. Martin
Aſsistant Engineer
Incharge No. 4 Range

From the experience I had of him, he seems to be clever, careful and hard-working. He is certainly fitted to be an imperial Overseer as he has been employed as such for some time the only holding Local Fund Sub Overseer's appointment.

(Sd) A. G. Chancellor
Aſsistant Engineer
Ellur Division

Judging from the little I have seen of him I should say that he was a hard-working and able man.

(Sd) C. H. B. Burlton
Aſsistant Engineer 24.11.76.

Quite succeſsful in arranging for works. Is got strong experience in Road making and earth-work. Draws neatly.

30th September 1877

(Sd) H. S. Taylor
Divisional Officer

Health fair, but not very strong. Succeſsful in arranging for works, has experience in Roads.

(Sd) H. S. Taylor
Divisional Officer

Is fair healthy, yes in arranging works. Experience in Roads. Is careful and painstaking. Recommended for post of Taluq Overseer.

31st March 1878

(Sd) H. S. Taylor
Divisional Officer.

True Copy

C. Wr 1

5075/83

2822/84

3748

Sold 20/1/84
3

TO

COLONEL R. H. SANKEY C. B. R. E.
SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT D. P. W.
MADRAS.

The humble representation of Ommi Pursiah Naidu late Delta Superintendent attached to to the Godavery District.

VERY RESPECTFULLY SHOWETH :—

1. That your honor's Petitioner entered in the D. P. W. as a Delta Superintendent in July 1870 being a Passed candidate in the U. C. S. Examination.

2. That after 4 years hard service in this branch, he was appointed a Local Fund Overseer in August 1874, with the special sanction of the Board of Revenue with exemption from the Overseer's examination, (Vide Proceedings Enclosure A.) and posted to the Yernagudam Taluq a hilly and malarious Taluq.

3. That in this malarious country your honor's Petitioner underwent very many hardships as a true Public servant at the risk of his health in hopes of his hardships being appreciated and encouraged by the benevolent Government. Your Petitioner begs to add, that he prepared the survey, levels, and plandrawings &c. in connection with the Bayana Project, and at the time of this preparation 7 men (Temporary Gumastahs and lascars) died of fever and ague caused by the evil effects of the cold season. After the completion of the out door work, your Petitioner and the whole of his establishment were attacked with severe fever, and the then Range Officer Mr. J. W. Martin saw your Petitioner in a precarious condition. The works of the Bayana "Weir, Head sluice, and clearing Main Chl." &c. were also executed by your Petitioner under many difficulties and the charge of the Bayana for nearly these 6 years was entrusted to him though an imperial work. During the service of your Petitioner in the Taluq more than 4 Taluq Overseers were transferred to other Taluqs and Districts.

4 Thus Petitioner had served in the D. P. W for a period of 10 years without taking leave of any description of which 6 years were in a malarious country and served with credit as will be evident from the certificates granted by the several Officers under whom he served. (Copies enclosed for reference) Mr. A. J. Chancellor and Mr. H. S. Taylor recommended Petitioner for an imperial Overseer's Post, but met with no success while other L. F. Overseers in healthy taluqs were exempted and promoted to imperial Overseers on the recommendation of the officers in wet Ranges.

5. That in 1879, however, Petitioner's health broke down and in January 1880 when all the L. F. Works were transferred to the civil authorities, the Petitioner was transferred to the L. F. Department and his services were pleaced at the disposal of the President. In the middle of October 1880 Petitioner's health broke down seriously and had drifted from bad to worse when Mr. R. P. deWinton who was appointed L. F. Engineer of the Ellur circle, inspected the Yernagudum Taluq. Petitioner represented to that gentleman his debilitated state of health and solicited (Vide Enclosure B.) privilege leave of absence for three months. Mr. R. P. deWinton insisted (Vide Enclosures C. D. E.) on the Petitioner to produce an health and age certificate from the Zillah surgeon such as is required from those who newly join the Public Service, Petitioner was then 11 years in Government employ and his constitutional fitness for the Public Service was beyond doubt, Mr. deWinton, however, on the receipt of an

order from the Board of Revenue that no health and age certificate was to be required from old servants, granted (Vide Enclosure F.) Petitioner 3 months privilege leave. The L. F. Engineer admitted in his letter to the President recommending for privilege leave that the Petitioner was suffering by fever and ague caused by the effects of a long residence in a malarious country. Petitioner availed himself of the leave having delivered over charge on 20th January 1881. At the time of delivering over charge Petitioner's illness had so much increased that he was quite unable to accompany the relieving Overseer to the works and to sit up to do work. He had left every thing to the relieving Overseer, and could not see that all was correctly done.

6. On the 19th of April the day before the expiration of his leave, Petitioner reported (Vide Enclosure G.) his return to duty and awaited orders. The L. F. Engineer again ordered (Vide Enclosure H.) Petitioner to produce a health and age certificate. Accordingly Petitioner proceeded to Rajamundry and produced the said certificate (Vide Enclosure I.) from the Zillah surgeon. Mr. deWinton, however, still refused to allow Petr. to resume his duties and ordered (Vide Enclosure J.) him to "consider himself as still on privilege leave until he receives further orders;" and on the 23rd May 1881 suspended (Vide Enclosure K.) Petitioner "pending inquiry into loss of tools and materials and apparent gross neglect of duty". This was the first time that this charge was brought forward. Mr. deWinton recommended (Vide Enclosure L.) to the President Petitioner's dismissal on the 25th July 1881 under the charges as per accompanying statement M. with Petitioner's explanations. The President has sanctioned the dismissal, without any inquiry or informing Petitioner of the charges made against him. On the receipt of the order of dismissal (Vide Enclosure N.) from the L. F. Engineer, Petitioner appealed (Vide Enclosure O) to the President of the L. F. Board, which unfortunately did not receive any consideration that his long and faithful services of 11 years in hill tracts and malarious Taluq as Yernagudum deserved; but he refused (Vide Enclosure P.) to alter his order, directing Petitioner's dismissal.

7. Mr. deWinton does not speak of any particular instance in which Petitioner's work was found defective or done in an unworkman like manner. Petitioner would beg your honor to remember that Mr. deWinton had only recently arrived from England, and was there scarcely 6 weeks, when Petitioner applied for and obtained privilege leave of absence; he could not therefore possibly have had any personal acquaintance of Petitioner's qualifications and fitness for his Post of Overseer, and yet carried out his wish to the undescribable misery of Petitioner.

8. Now your honor's humble and helpless Petitioner approaches your honor's benevolence and prays that he may be declared eligible for reappointment in D. P. W. with retrospect of past service in the same Department, and to favor him with an appointment in the recent projects sanctioned in Godavery and Kistna Districts (exempting him from the Overseer's examination (as grace for his long service in malarious Taluq as L. F. Overseer in D. P. W.) for which he was recommended by two Range Officers of D. P. W. and which he already had in L. F. Department by the Government through the Board of Revenue;) and thus save him and his large family from being drowned in the sea of poverty. For which grace, inestimable and worthy boon, Petitioner, as in duty bound.

HE EVER PRAYS.

14~~3~~th ~~April~~ June 1883
Egmore
Door No. 38

O. P. M[illegible]iah
Late L. F. Overseer

693 C 29. 3·83
798 C 13. 4·83
870 C 1·5·83

P.W.C.W. 5075
Encl 2
~~2802~~/84

Register No 188. Page 113_3748

Ornmi Parasiah Naidu
late Delta Supdt.
Godavari

14 June/83

Recd 16/6/83

Encl
No 1177 C/21-6-83
C

S.a.w.
Note the man for temporary employment in some of the posts going. His case seems a hard one & might be given another trial.
20/6

S.a.w.
Anything in office about this man's case?
16/6

The petitioner is referred to endorsements No 693 C of 29 March & 870 C of 1st May 1883, on his previous petitions. These were returned to this office by the Dead Letter Office, & are retransmitted to him to the address now given.

18/6

Dy's remark on the reverse, himself be noted by T.B.

20/6/84

Vide reverse

To the petitioner with previous endts.

Entd. in Receipt Reg.

Dy 27/6

No 3224 KS

Superintending Engineer's Office,
2nd Circle, Camp Ellamarru.
21st March 1884.

From
The Superintending Engineer,
2nd Circle.

To
The Chief Engineer,
Public Works Department.
Madras.

Enclosures. Seven.

Sir,

In returning herewith the original papers forwarded with your No 650·C of the 17th Instant, I have the honor to state, that I am willing to employ Ornmi Parasiah Naidu, as a temporary Overseer.

2. O. Parasiah's employment as an Overseer will require the Special sanction of Government, as he has not passed as an Overseer, having formerly been employed as Delta Superintendent and Local Fund Overseer. Please obtain the necessary orders.

3. If O. Parasiah's address is known

193
798
87

please direct him to join Gudivada Division.

I have the honor &c,

Sir,

Your most Obdt. Servant.

J. W. Rundall

Superintending Engineer,

2nd Circle.

S.

going

an

tric

Comp. & Sd

C.A.W.

Please order accordingly, obtaining the necessary sanction to his employment as a temy overseer.

CJ
28-3-84

C.A.W.

This should go on I think. We shall want Subconductors Ward & Pearson for Bellary & South Arcot I fancy.

CW.
31/3

Encl
P.W. No ~~2802~~
3748/84

From
The Superintending Engineer
II^d Circle

To The Chief Engineer,
P. W. D.
Madras

Dated 21st March 1884
Despd 24th do
Recd 28

Enclosy
No 3224 K.S.

Ommi Parasiah Naidu - States that he is willing to employ - as temporary Overseer and requests to obtain the sanction of Government for his employment, as he has not passed the Overseer's test.

Encl: 20/4/84

Communicated [illegible]

811 C 3. 4. 84
650 C 17-3. 84

N. W. No. 3148

[6]

Govt. of {188 } Madras.

To (5)

The [illegible]

2/5/84. [illegible]

Proceedings, dated 22/4 1884
No. 198 [illegible]

Subject.

No: 1189 W of 10th May/84

[illegible] Ommi Parasiah [illegible] Overseer [illegible]

P.Y.S. 17/5

6-5-84 10-5-84

Caw

The previous papers relating to Ommi Parasiah's proposed appt. are said to be with Cir. 3116, wh was sent to [illegible] on 9.4.84. & retained there. The amount of pay is therefore left to be filled in at [illegible]. I am not sure what it was proposed to give him.

Filled in [illegible] 5.5.

C
5.5.84

P.S.

[illegible] desires me to add that in anticipation of approval to the accy. draft order, he has directed O. Parasiah to prepare to join his appt. forthwith.

C
5.5.84

Caw
Papers put up
E.S.

PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT.

No. 1189 W

THE MADRAS GOVERNMENT.

UNDER date the 10th May/84

READ the following

H. E. No: 198 Mis: d/22nd April/84

Communicated to the Superintending Engineer II Circle, with reference to his letter No: 3224 W. S. dated 21st March 1884.

2. The appointment of Ommi Parasiah as a temporary overseer on Rs 80 per mensem is sanctioned. He will be directed to join the Gudivada Division as soon as possible.

3. This sanction has been registered as Provincial No: 10 W of 1884-85, and

S.A.W.

S.E. II is in want of an overseer — he has I think urgently applied for one more than once. Would it not then be well to inform Ommi Parasiah that he is appointed and direct him to join, pending receipt of formal order from Govt. It would save much time.

C.S.
5-5-84

Ow:- Draft submitted

C.S.
5.4.84

O. 6-5-84 R. 10-5-84

B/

PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT.

No. 1189 W

PROCEEDINGS OF THE MADRAS GOVERNMENT.

UNDER date the 10th May /84

READ the following

Proceedings of Govt, Educational Department } H. E. No: 198 Mis: d/22nd April/84

Communicated to the Superintending Engineer II Circle, with reference to his letter No: 3224 K. S. dated 21st March 1884.

2. The appointment of Ommi Parasiah as a temporary[+] overseer on Rs 80 per mensem is sanctioned. He will be directed to join the Gudivada Division as soon as possible.

3. This sanction has been registered as Provincial No: 10 W of 1884-85, and

[+] This is the pay suggested by D.P.I. in his letter of 13-3-84 attached to No 650 C d/ 17 March 1884.

R.S.
7-5-84

classified as Establishment,

Temporary.

(5)

Cd
5-5-84

W
7.5.84

F.C.
B. 1303 H 23.5.84.

[illegible]

No. 1189 W d/ 10th May/84

W 10/5

10/5

[illegible]

D 10/5/84

To
The Supg. Engr. II Circle
" Examiner P.W. Accts
Omnis Parasiah.

Exd 11/5

12/5

11/5

6-5-84 R. 10-5-84

Entd in Recpt Regtr

classified as Establishment, Temporary.

(5)

Cd
5-5-84

W
7.5.84

F.E.
B. 1303A 23.5.84

No. 1189 W dated 10th May /84

To
The Supg. Engr. II Circle
" Examiner P.W. Accts
Ommi Parasiah.

10/5/84

Dd 12/5

Entd in Recpt Regtr

6-5-84

15th April 1884, No. 973 W., Public Works.

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS.

PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT.

General.
Establishment.

Read—the following letter from J. W. RUNDALL, Esq., Superintending Engineer, II Circle, to the Chief Engineer for Irrigation, dated Masulipatam, 13th March 1884, No. 1070 N.L. :—

With reference to G.O., No. 582 W., of the 3rd instant, sanctioning the revised scale of temporary water-regulation establishment required for the Nellore division for 1884-85, I have the honor to bring to your notice that this establishment is to be employed during a certain period of every year, and need not, as such, be sanctioned annually. Please also see your memorandum, No. II-79 N.L., of the 19th October 1882.

2. Under this impression, therefore, this establishment was not included in the statement of temporary establishment forwarded to the Chief Engineer, Public Works Department, for the sanction of Government, with this office letter, No. 452 G.L., of the 23rd ultimo.

3. I request, therefore, that the estimate now submitted may be sanctioned to hold good till any further modification is needed. Otherwise, from the wording of the order, it will, I conclude, be necessary to obtain a fresh sanction each year.

4. The necessary allotment of funds required for every year will be applied for in the month of May.

No. 32 E.W.

Forwarded to the Chief Engineer, Public Works Department, with a request that an erratum may be issued omitting the words "for the year 1884-85" in paragraph 1 of G.O., No. 582 W., dated 3rd March 1884.

Chepâk,
2nd April 1884.

(Signed) A. S. RUSSELL,
for Chief Engineer for Irrigation.

Order—dated 15th April 1884, No. 973 W., Public Works.

Sanctions to temporary establishments are usually terminable with the official year. If renewed sanction is necessary in any case, timely application should be made.

(True Extract.)

(Signed) J. SHAW-STEWART, Colonel, R.E.,
Secretary to Govt, P.W.D.

To the Superintending Engineer, II Circle.
" Chief Engineer for Irrigation.
To other Superintending Engineers.
To the Superintendent of Works, Tank Maintenance.
" Examiner, Public Works Accounts.

Exd. A. Aubert.

Spare Copies

GENERAL.
ESTABLISHMENT.

Govt. of {1884} Madras.

PUBLIC WORKS.

Dated April, 15th.

Recd. *Encl.*

G.O., 15th April 1884, No. 973 W.

APRIL.

Temporary Establishments

Pointing out to the Superintending Engineer, II Circle, and the Chief Engineer for Irrigation, that sanctions to — are usually terminable with the official year and cannot be made to hold good for a series of years.

No. 399 K.S.

Superintending Engineer's Office,
2nd Circle, Camp Kaukipod,
10th May 1884.

From

The Superintending Engineer,
2nd Circle.

To

The Chief Engineer,
Public Works Department

Sir,

I have the honor to invite y
attention to para 2 of my No. 3224 Ko
21st March last, and request you w
be good enough to obtain the orde
Government regarding the exemption of
Parsiah from passing the Overseer's

2. His former exemption as Loc
Fund Overseer, will not I conclude
good, as regards his employment as a
Overseer on the Imperial Establish

I have the honor to
Sir,
Your most Obt. ser

J. W. Rumsak
Superintending Enginee
II Circ

Compd. J.W.

no 650 C. d/ 17 – 3. 84
" 811 C. " 3 – 4 – 84
B. no 1189 W. " 10 – 5. 84
" 973 " 15. 4. 84

No. 1303 W a/23d May/84

Recorded, with reference to G.O. No. 1189 W a/10th May 1884

17-5-84

19-5-84

From
The Superintending 2d

(2)

To
The Chief Engineer
P.W.D.
Madras

Dated 10th May 1884
Despd 13th do
Recd 16 do

No. 1303 W a/23d May/84

No 399 KI

Omni Parsiah – Overseer newly appointed for Gudivada Dn – Requests to obtain the orders of Govt regarding the exemption from passing the Overseer's Test, of —

23/5

S E II Circle

30/5

40/5

29/5

29/5

27/5/84

6-5-84 21-5-84

Wt order

Entd in Recpt Regtr

17TH JULY 1884, No. 1754 W., PUBLIC WORKS.

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS.

PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT.

General.
Establishment.

READ—the following letter from Lieutenant-Colonel J. PENNYCUICK, R.E., Superintendent of Works, Tank Maintenance Scheme, to the Chief Engineer, Public Works Department, dated Chepâk, 1st July 1884, No. 1152 T.:—

In reply to your office note, No. 1036 D., dated 6th June 1884, I have the honor to submit, for sanction, a statement showing the additional establishment required for the Tank Maintenance Scheme, during the current official year—*vide* paragraph 3 of my letter, No. 990 T., dated 4th June 1884.

2. I propose to have an additional copyist and a reference picker in my office, on the respective salaries specified in the subjoined statement, as there is only one copyist at present whose work has since of late been much increased by the opening of the second tank division, and as there is no reference picker to collect and assort records and make up files when required, I request that this small additional establishment may be sanctioned.

3. It is necessary that a clerk and a lascar should be allowed to each overseer, and three lascars to each sub-divisional officer, in order to maintain their efficiency. The statement provides for these.

4. The surveyors employed in the No. 2 tank division being far ahead of the overseers, and it being desirable that the preliminary operations and the execution of constructive work should keep pace with each other, it is necessary that another overseer should be allowed to this division.

5. It has been found that a great portion of the overseer's time is taken up in collecting revenue information, which might equally well be collected by ordinary clerks. It is therefore proposed to employ for this work a clerk in each of the two sub-divisions of No. 2 division.

The extra lascars asked for this division are required for the sub-divisional officers.

6. It is probable that constructive work will very shortly be started, and the services of a storekeeper will be necessary; provision for the same has accordingly been made in the statement.

7. Of the additional establishment now applied for, the following has already been engaged in anticipation of sanction, as reported in my letter, No. 990 T., of 4th June 1884:—

One clerk on Rs. 15, under Overseer H. Subba Row, from the 16th May 1884.

TABULAR Statement showing the proposed changes in the Establishment of the Tank Maintenance Scheme.

Order sanctioning Present Establishment—Government of Madras, Public Works Department.		Office to which the proposition refers.	Nature of Charge.												Proposition.						Remarks.
			Present Scale.						Proposed Scale.						Permanent.		Temporary.				
					Pay.						Pay.				Increase per Month.	Decrease per Month.	Increase per Month.		Decrease per Month.		
Number.	Date.		Number.	Designation.	Minimum.	Increment.	Maximum.	Average or fixed.	Number.	Designation.	Minimum.	Increment.	Maximum.	Average or fixed.	Amount.	Amount.	Period.	Amount.	Period.	Amount.	
								RS						RS.			MTHS	RS.			
882 W., and 1146 W.	1884. 1st Apl. and 7th May.	Office of Supt. of Works, Tank Maintenance Scheme.	..	As shown in G.Os., Nos. 882 W., dated 1st April 1884, and 1146 W., dated 7th May 1884 ..	..	..	..	3,050½	..	As shown in G.Os., Nos. 882 W., dated 1st April 1884, and 1146 W., dated 7th May 1884 ..	..	..	..	3,050½							
									1	Copyist	..	..	..	15	..	..	12	15	..	..	
									1	Reference Picker ..	..	..	..	12	..	..	12	12	..	..	
														3,077½							
882 W., and 1358 W.	1st Apl. and 30th May	No. 1 Tank Division.	..	As shown in G.Os., Nos. 882 W., dated 1st April 1884, and 1358 W., dated 30th May 1884 ..	..	..	..	2,642	..	As shown in G.Os., Nos. 882 W., dated 1st April 1884, and 1358 W., dated 30th May 1884 ..	..	..	..	2,642							
									3	Clerks	..	..	..	45	..	..	12	45	..	..	
									10	Lascars	..	..	..	60	..	..	12	60	..	..	
														2,747							
Do.	Do.	No. 2 Tank Division.	..	Do. do. ..	..	..	..	2,666	..	As shown in G.Os., Nos. 882 W., dated 1st April 1884, and 1358 W., dated 30th May 1884 ..	..	..	..	2,666	..	..	..	..	..	..	
									1	Overseer	..	..	..	80	..	..	12	80	..	..	
									2	Clerks	..	..	..	50	..	..	12	50	..	..	
									5	Do.	..	..	..	75	..	..	12	75	..	..	
									1	Storekeeper	..	..	..	15	..	..	12	15	..	..	
									2	Lascars	..	..	..	12	..	..	12	12	..	..	
				Total ..	..	..	..	8,358½		Total ..	..	..	..	2,898				364			
														8,722½				..			
										Net increase per annum ..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	4,368			

(Signed) J. PENNYCUICK, Lieut.-Col., R.E.,
Superintendent of Works, Tank Maintenance Scheme.

CHEPÂK,
1st July 1884.

ORDER—dated 17th July 1884, No. 1754 W., Public Works.

The additional establishment required in the Tank Maintenance Scheme, as shown in the tabular statement printed with these proceedings, is sanctioned. The total monthly cost will now amount to Rs. 8,722-8-0.

2. This sanction has been registered as Provincial No. 30 W., of 1884-85, and classified as Establishment, temporary.

(True Extract.)

(Signed) J. SHAW-STEWART, Colonel, R.E.,
Secretary to Govt., P.W.D.

To the Superintending Engineer, Tank Maintenance Scheme.
„ Chief Engineer for Irrigation.
„ Examiner, Public Works Accounts.

Exd. A. F. Aaron.
Spare Copies

GENERAL.
ESTABLISHMENT.

Govt. of {1884} Madras.

PUBLIC WORKS.

Dated July, 17th.

Recd. *Encl.*

G.O., 17th July 1884, No. 1754 W.

JULY.

Establishment

Certain additional — required in the Tank Maintenance Scheme for 1884-85, sanctioned.

G.O. No 721W. Dt 15. 3. 84

17-9-91

26.01

3378
6-10-

Public Works Store Department.

808