

822

No. 103 E of 20-9-84
9-0. 34 20 W of 30-11-83

By No. 2533 of 14-10-84
Communicated to
the S. E. I. Circle

Cil.
13.10.84

15.10.84

Telegram
To S. E. I. Circle.

year 331 & N. of fifth
September -

appointment of
draftsmen to Rushikulya
without reference to class.
of certificate sanctioned.

14.10.84

S. E. I. Circle
L. S. P. M. A.

42/10

[6]
Govt. of (188) Madras

To

The M. Dept.

Proceedings, dated 2/10/1884
No. 618

Subject.

Authorizing the
appointment of
draftsmen to the
Rushikulya project

With order

GOVT.
OF MADRAS.

1884.

DEPT.

EXAMINER, P. W. ACCOUNTS.

Madras, 16th September 1884

OFFICE NOTE No. 439.

To

E. I.

Encls. 3.

The man for whom pay at Rs. 50/per mensem was claimed held only a 2nd. Class Draftsman's Certificate. The pay of a Draftsman 2nd. Class commences from Rs. 35/per mensem, and orders of Government to the Draftsman being allowed to draw pay at Rs. 50/per mensem i. e. more than he would be entitled to, if appointed permanently to that grade, are necessary.

KEEP WITH

Genl. No.

dated

18 .


C.

13/9

15/9

Encl. 622/84

Est. 610 No. 2/1/84



From

2598W
The Superintendent Engineer

1st Circle

To The Chief Engineer
for Irrigation
Madras

Dated 5th
Despd 6th } September 1884
Recd

No. 331 Gm

Encls. →

Temporary Draftsman for
Rushikulya Division.

Copy of the Division Officer's
letter requesting to inform
the Executive Engineer by
telegraph whether he can
employ the — at the
rates specified in S. No. G/
No. 3470W dated 30th November
1883 or not

2598W

be obtained.

I have seen
/Sd/ A. R. F. Dornward Capt. R.
Executive Eng. Puskikulya. D.

No 331 G.M. (True Copy)

Submitted for the orders of Gov.
ernment thro' the Chief Engineer
for Irrigation - with the request
that the Executive Engineer may
be informed by telegraph whether
he can employ the Draughtsmen
at the rates specified in P. M. G. No.
3420 Lt. dated 30 November 1883 or not.

Dodlaowan Wannathung Lt. Col. R.
5th September 1883 Supr. Engineer 1st Circle.
Despd 6th
JK

Adm. 692/80

colouring plans and multiplying out detailed quantities in estimates, and my Subordinates have to make the necessary Copies of sanctioned plans for use on works.

I would also respectfully point out that the great powers given to the Examiner in the matter of disallowance frequently tend to serious inconvenience and delay in the execution of work. It is not necessary or at least the Examiner assumes it is not for him to take notice of the explanation of disallowance advanced by Division Officers. Often these explanations are totally ignored, but, some times, the remark is added that if the disallowance be not concurred in the matter can be referred for the decision of Govt.

It would be a great improvement and save both time and correspondence were the Examiner in cases of difference of opinion between himself and District Officers to make the reference to Government direct from his office.

I have the honor to request that an authoritative decision on the case of the Draughtsmen's pay

in advertising for the services of two Draughtsmen at Rs 80 and Rs 50 per mensem respectively.

Some time ago I appointed a second class Draughtsman to the vacancy on Rs 50, but the Examiner declined to pass more than Rs 35 per mensem to him. I objected to the Examiner's decision on the grounds above mentioned. The Examiner however taking no notice of my objection has now finally disallowed the Draughtsman's claim. A post of Rs 40 under the Revenue Department was meanwhile offered to the Draughtsman who resigned his post in this Division on Rs 35 and accepted it.

I have not as yet been able to get a competent draughtsman to fill the post of Head Draughtsman in this Division although I have freely advertised the vacancy and have written to the Principal of the Civil Engineering College at Madras. The Examiner's decision simply does away with the chance of my ever getting any draughtsmen at all.

It is perfectly impossible to work this Division properly without Draughtsmen, and much of my time is uselessly taken up in inking in

25/11/10

N^o 380

Rushikulya D^o Office
Berhampore 9th August/82

From, The Executive Engineer
Rushikulya Division
To, The Sup^r Engineer
1st Circle.

Sir,
When in charge of the Godavari
Central Division I found the great-
est difficulty in getting "temporary"
draughtsmen. The difficulty was
considerably increased by the refusal
of the Examiner to pass to such draughts-
men on first employment any pay
in excess of that allowed as the mini-
mum pay of their grade.

Accordingly in drawing up the
Scheme for the Establishment of this
Division, See G.O of 30th November 1883
N^o 3420 Wt, it was arranged by the Sup^r
Engineer that no grade should be men-
tioned but that sanction should be
asked for

1 Draughtsman on Rs 80
1 ——— Do ————— Do 50

The Chief Engineer for Irrigation
approved of the proposal.

Under these circumstances I
therefore considered myself justified

N^o 380

Rushikulya D^o Office
Berhampore 9th August/84

From, The Executive Engineer
Rushikulya Division
To, The Sup^r Engineer
1st Circle.

Sir,
When in charge of the Godamari Central Division I found the greatest difficulty in getting "temporary" Draughtsman. The difficulty was considerably increased by the refusal of the Examiner to pass to such Draughtsmen on first employment any pay in excess of that allowed as the minimum pay of their grade.

Accordingly in drawing up the Scheme for the Establishment of this Division, See G.O. of 30th November 1883 N^o 3420 W., it was arranged by the Sup^r Engineer that no grade should be mentioned but that sanction should be asked for

1 Draughtsman on Rs 80
1 ————— Do ————— Do 50

The Chief Engineer for Irrigation approved of the proposal.

Under these circumstances I therefore considered myself justified

20TH NOVEMBER 1883, No. 3420 W., PUBLIC WORKS.

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS.

PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT.

General.
Establishment.

READ—the following paper :—

From the Chief Engineer for Irrigation, dated 26th November 1883, No. 80 E.W.

ORDER—dated 30th November 1883, No. 3420 W., Public Works.

The following temporary establishment for the Rushikulya project division is sanctioned, and will be engaged by the Superintending Engineer as soon as practicable :—

	RS.
4 Overseers on	80 each.
<i>Divisional Office Establishment.</i>	
1 Clerk on	60
1 Do.	40
1 Do.	30
1 Do.	20
1 Do.	80
1 Draftsman on	50
1 Do.	7
1 Messenger on	6
1 Do.	6 each
3 Lascars on	
<i>Sub-divisional Office Establishment.</i>	
2 Clerks on	20 each
2 Messengers on	6 "
6 Lascars on	6 "
<i>Upper Subordinate Office Establishment.</i>	
5 Clerks on	15 each
5 Lascars on	6 "

- The Superintending Engineer will in due course report the sum required for appropriation during the current official year to meet the cost of the establishment.
- This sanction has been registered as Provincial No. 61 W. of 1883-84, and classified as Establishment, Temporary.

(True Extract.)

(Signed) J. SHAW-STEWART, Colonel, R.E.,
Secretary to Govt., P.W.D.

To the Superintending Engineer, First Circle.
" Chief Engineer for Irrigation.
" Examiner, Public Works Accounts.
Exd. P. L. Pereira.
Spare Copies

GENERAL.
ESTABLISHMENT.

Govt. of (1883) Madras.

PUBLIC WORKS.

Dated November, 30th.

Recd.

Encl.

G.O., 30th Nov. 1883, No. 3420 W.

NOVEMBER.

Rushikulya Project Division

Sanctioning temporary establishment for
the —.

Educational Department.

PROCEEDINGS OF THE MADRAS GOVERNMENT.

READ the following

From the Public Works Department, dated
20 September 1884, N^o 703 E.

Order thereon 2nd October 1884, N^o 618 Misc.

The Government are pleased
to authorize the appointment of drafts-
men to the Rushikulya Project without
reference to the class of certificates held
by them.

/ True Extract /

For the Minister
Chief Secretary.

To
The P. W. Department,
with papers referred

82
I think C should be called
out to explain his action in
this matter. What is
the proper mode of doing
so, — by an addition
to the G.O. — or by
special memo?

W

14.10.84

Tow

I have seen the
Examiner's office
note 439 of 16 Sep. 84 in
which he explains the
grounds of his action.
The draftsman appointed
by the Executive Com.
was not duly qualified
under the rules, and
C had apparently no
option but to object
to the appointment,
in the absence of special
sanction of Govt. This
has now been obtained,
and there will apparently
be no further difficulty
in the matter.

15.10.84

With kindest
regards