

- (1) பக்கங்களின் எண்ணிக்கை 18
 (2) புதுக்கித் திருப்பிய நாள் ஆகஸ்ட் 1988
 (3) ஆதாரங்குறிப்பவர் சுருக்கொப்பம் bu

தமிழ்நாடு ஆவணக் காப்பகம்.

தமிழ்நாடு அரசு

வினாக்கள் துறை

அரசாணை எண். மு. கோப்புத் தாள் எண்.	<u>2524</u>	அச்சகம் பலவகை
நாள் :	<u>14-10-1988</u>	<u>1988</u>

மடிக்கவோ கண்டபடி கையாளவோ கூடாது

G. H

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS.

PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT.

Buildings and Roads.
Civil Works.

READ—the following letter from G. HAMNETT, Esq., Inspector-General of Registration, to the Chief Secretary to Government, dated Ootacamund, 24th September 1884, T. No. 4008 :—

I have the honor to submit the correspondence marginally noted, regarding the insufficiency of the accommodation provided for the sub-registrar of Párvatipur, Vizagapatam, in the taluk cutcherry newly erected at that station, and to request that the sanction of Government may be obtained for adding a room to the cutcherry for the sub-registrar and his clerks, as per standard design, and for adapting the present room into a record-room.

2. The order of Government sanctioning the erection of the cutcherry does not appear to have been communicated to the Registration Department.

ENCLOSURES.

From SHAIK AHMED, Sub-Registrar of Párvatipur, to the Registrar of Vizagapatam, dated Párvatipur, 1st July 1884, No. 25.

Having been directed by the Senior Assistant Collector to remove my office to the new building intended for the sub-magistrate's and the sub-registrar's offices, I have the honor to report that I removed my office from the rented building to this new one yesterday evening.

2. There is only one room provided for this office. It is 15 feet long and 7½ broad. The whole room is occupied by the two almirahs and other furniture. There is hardly any space for the sub-registrar even to have his seat. Then, where is the clerk to sit down and where is he to have his desk, &c.? There is no room for the parties even to stand. Everywhere sub-registrars are provided with separate office buildings, each containing three rooms—one for the sub-registrar, one for the clerk and one for the records; and I am at a loss to know why I am thrust to suffocate in this black-hole. In my opinion, I think, the provision for one single room was made when this office was under officiating sub-registrar, on the understanding that one room would do for the clerk and the records. But this room is not at all sufficient for the office of a special sub-registrar. There must be at least two rooms for this office, and unless a second room is provided, it is impossible for me to hold my office here. But there is no second room in this building. Some additions must therefore be made to it. It would be very convenient if, like in many other places, a separate building be constructed here also. I therefore hope you will strongly urge this point, viz., that of having a separate building for the sub-registry office here also. If it is at all found impossible, I beg you will be so kind as to recommend for the construction of an additional room to this building. Hoping to be favored with the reply of the Inspector-General on the subject.

From G. HAMNETT, Esq., Inspector-General of Registration, Madras, to the Executive Engineer, Vizagapatam, dated Ootacamund, 26th August 1884, T. No. 3400.

I have the honor to enclose copy of a letter, dated 1st July 1884, No. 25, from the sub-registrar of Párvatipur complaining of the want of accommodation in the new apartment provided for him in the taluk cutcherry; and, before addressing the Government on the subject, shall feel obliged by your letting me know whether the Inspector-General approved of the plan, and when it was sanctioned.

2. You are aware that, in accordance with the standard design, sub-registrar's offices have two rooms—one for records and one for the office.

From T. D. HARRIS, Esq., Executive Engineer, Vizagapatam Division, to the Inspector-General of Registration, dated Waltair, 13th September 1884, No. 1055.

I have the honor to inform you that the taluk cutcherry at Párvatipur was built on the standard design, for a 3rd-class taluk cutcherry, circulated with G.O., No. 786, dated the 7th March 1877, and in which there is provision only for one room for the registrar's office; but I am not aware whether this plan was approved of by the Inspector-General of Registration. The estimate for the taluk cutcherry at Párvatipur was not, however, sent to the Inspector-General of Registration for his countersignature as it was prepared on the standard design approved of by Government.

2. The plans and estimates for the Párvatipur taluk cutcherry were sanctioned by Government in their order, No. 66 W., dated the 12th January 1881.

No. 2250, Judicial.

Approved and transferred to the Public Works Department.

(By Order.)

Ootacamund,
6th October 1884.

(Signed) M. HAMMICK,
Ag. Under Secretary.

ORDER—dated 14th October 1884, No. 2524 W., Public Works.

Communicated to the Superintending Engineer, I Circle, who will submit an estimate for the additional accommodation required by the Registration Department.

(True Extract.)

Major, R.E.,
Under Secy. to Govt., P.W.D.

To the Superintending Engineer, I Circle.
„ Judicial Department.

Encl. E. Fernandez.
Spare Copies

2524
2

2

BUILDINGS AND ROADS.
CIVIL WORKS.

Govt. of (1884) Madras.

PUBLIC WORKS.

Dated October, 14th.

Recd.

Encl.

G.O., 14th Oct. 1884, No. 2524 W.

OCTOBER.

Sub-Registrar's Office, Par-
vatipur

Superintending Engineer, I Circle, to sub-
mit an estimate for additional accommodation
required for the —.

9594
2524
3

4

Registration Department
J. No. 4008.

1

From,

Gen. Hammett Esq. ²⁵²⁴
Inspector General of Registration
Madras.

To,

The Honble E. Forster Trevellick
Chief Secretary to the Government,
Cotaiamund

Dated, Cotaiamund, 24th September 1884.

Sir,

From, Sub Registrar of Parvatipur
No. 25 of 1st July 1884.

To, The Executive Engineer,
Vizagapatam, J. No.
3400. of 26th August 1884.

From, — do — do — No. 1055
of 12th September 1884.

I have the honor to submit
the correspondence margin-
ally noted, regarding the
insufficiency of the accom-
modation provided for the

Sub Registrar of Parvatipur, Vizaga-
patam, in the Taluk Cutcherry
newly erected at that station, and
to request that the sanction of the
Government may be obtained for
adding a room to the Cutcherry for
the Sub-registrar and his clerks, as
per standard design and for
adapting the present room into

3

a record room.

2. The order of Government sanctioning the erection of the Cutcherry does not appear to have been communicated to the Registrars Department.

I have the honor to be
Sir,
Your Most Obedient Servant,

W. A. Amirth
Inspector General of Regn.

4th 25.

For closure

Sp 2.

Sub Registrar's office
Parnatipore
25th July 1884.

From

The Sub Registrar of Parnatipore
To
The Registrar of Nagapattam.

Sir,

Having been directed by the Senior Assistant Collector to remove my office to the new building intended for the Sub Magistrate's and the Sub Registrar's offices, I have the honor to report that I removed my office from the rented building to this new one yesterday evening.

2. There is only one room provided for this office. It is 15 feet long and $7\frac{1}{2}$ broad. The whole room is occupied by the two almirahs and other furniture. There is hardly any space for the Sub Registrar even to have his seat. Then, where is the clerk to sit down and where is he to have his desk &c.? There

is no room for the parties even to stand. Everywhere Sub Registrars are provided with separate office buildings, each containing three rooms, one for the Sub Registrar, one for the clerk and one for the records, and I am at a loss to know why I am thrust to suffocate in this black hole. In my opinion I think the provision for one single room was made when this office was under officiating Sub Registrar, on the understanding that one room would do for the clerk and the records. But this room is not at all sufficient for the office of a special Sub Registrar. There must be at least two rooms for this office, and unless a second room is provided it is impossible for me to hold my office here. But there is no 2nd room in this building. Some additions must therefore be made to it. It would be very convenient if, like in many other places, a separate

2524

building be constructed here also. I therefore hope you will strongly urge this point, viz - that of having a separate building for the Sub Registry office here also. If it is at all found in-possible, I beg you will be so kind as to recommend for the construction of an additional room to this building. Hoping to be favoured with the reply of the Inspector General on the subject.

I beg to remain
Yrs.
(Sd) Shaik Ahmed
Sub Registrar.

J. N° 3400.

From, The Inspector General of Reg^y
& Adms
To, The Executive Engineer
Vizagapatam
Cotacamund, 26th August 1884

Sir,

I have the honor to enclose
6 X Copy

1884

N 8

Judicial (1884) Dept

"Registration"

Approved and transferred to the Public Works Department. (By order)

From

The Inspector General of Registration Madras

Ag. Secy. to the Government

To The Chief Secretary to the Government Madras

3/4

Dated 24th

September 1884

Received

13/10/84

No. 4008

Enclosure - no.

No.

To the P. W. Dept. under No 2524 of 14th Oct 84.

Communicated to the Supt. of Engineer, India, who will submit an estimate for the additional accommodation required by the Registrar - tion Dept.

Requesting that the sanction of the Government be obtained for assigning a room to the Catechery at Parvatipur, Vizagapatnam for the Sub Registrar & his establishment, as per standard design.

Endorsed

21/10/84
10.10.84
2/10/84

2524

9 10

0562

Govt. of Madras.
PUBLIC WORKS.

W

Dated

16/10/84

Recd.

Encl.

G.O. 14 Oct 1884 No. 2524

Sub Registrar Office
Pondicherry.

Referring to the
Memorandum submitted
accommodation re-
quired for the —

40

4

Id 15/10 1884