

Endorsement N^o 588 S.C. 1st
dated 6th September 1882.

Forwarded to the Chief
Engineer Public Works De-
partment with the remark,
that of the items referred
to in this letter, those since
remedied have been omitted
in the objectionable
Statement for June
being submitted to
Government.

P. C. L. L. L.

Examiner P. W. Dept
Madras

W. D.
6/4

Recorded



From

The Supp Engineer
1st Circle

To The Chief Engineer
P. W. Dept

Thro' the Examiner P. W. D
Dated 19th
Despd 21st } August 24
Recd 27th

No 161 Gf.
Encls.

N^o 244 S.C. 30th Sept 1882

Provincial statement
of objectionable items
for March 1884

Furnishing explanation
for some items in the

this Office No
21st June/84.

1 Officer states
amount will
to the credit
on as possible
with notice
the Gazette for
the same has
the 30th June/84.

1 officer states
Central Division.
anvari has
sted to trans-
sunt to his
for adjustment
2 officer has
ted to see that
mable items

its for February
1884. - - -
once.

imate has been
d in G.O No 621
1884.

the honor to be
Sir
most obediently

Endorsement No. 588 S.C. 1st
dated 6th September 1882.


Forwarded to the Chief
Engineer Public Works De-
partment with the remark,
that of the items referred
to in this letter, those since
revised have been omitted
in the objectionable
Statement for June
recently submitted to
Government.

V. C. L. L. L.

Engineer P. W. Dept
Madras

6/9

Recorded



From

The Supp Engineer
1st Circle

To The Chief Engineer

P. W. Dept

Madras

Thro' the Examiner P. W. D

Dated 19th

Despd 21st

Recd

August 84

No 161 Gf.
Encls. -

No 244 of 30th Sept 1882

Provincial statement
of objectionable items
for March 1884

Furnishing explanation
for some items in the -

11. *Vide remark in*

107 G.L. dated

Do-12 *The Divisional*

that this a

be carried

of Govt as

as the 3 months

given in the

claiming

expired on

Do 13. *The Divisional*

that the C

Officer God

been require

for the am

Division of

15. *The Divisional*

been require

the objecti

statement

of the am

mitted at

16 & 17. *A revised esti*

sanctioned

I. of 1st July

I have

Yours

12 W., PUBLIC WORKS.

OF MADRAS.

DEPARTMENT.

and Roads.
works.

ALCH, Esq., Officiating Superintending
of Engineer, Public Works Department,
1882, No. 631 G.D. :—

dated 8th June 1880, I have the honor to
forward, herewith, plan and estimates for
Taluk Cutcherry at Bhadrachalam. They
were sent to the Government Agent
in February with a change of proposed
and then, again, it having been found, just
before, that the Collector had omitted to countersign the
Commission on 26th April, and they were

the authorities is that of the present

Public Works.

submit for sanction an estimate
at Bhadrachalam, in the Godavari
this station was approved of by
No. 1254, dated 25th May 1880, and
by the Government Agent, is

in the Reserve at the disposal of
building can be completed before
should hereafter apply for the

No. 46 W. of 1882-83 and

SANKEY, Colonel, R.E.,
Secretary to Govt., P.W.D.

res.
Estimate.

BUILDINGS AND ROADS,
CIVIL WORKS.

Govt. of 1882 Madras.
PUBLIC WORKS.

Dated June, 28th.

Recd.

Encl.

G.O., 28th June 1882, No. 1642 W.

JUNE.
Nos. 198-199.

Taluk Cutcherry and Out-houses
at Bhadrachalam.

Constructing a—. Sanctions estimate for

16TH JULY 1884, No. 1748 W., PUBLIC WORKS.

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS.

PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT.

Buildings and Roads.
Civil Works.

READ—the following proceedings of the Board of Revenue, dated 9th June 1884,
No. 1951 :—

Read—the following letter from Captain C. C. RAWSON, R.E., Executive Engineer,
in charge I Circle, to the Collector of the Godavari district, dated Dowlaish-
weram, 16th May 1884, No. 452-G.D :—

I have the honor to forward, herewith, a revised estimate (Rs. 8,860) with a
narrative statement for constructing a taluk cutcherry at Bhadrachalam, and to
trust you will be good enough to transmit them to Government, for sanction, through
the Board of Revenue with your countersignature.

2. The original estimate sanctioned for the above work, in G.O., No. 1642 W.,
dated 28th June 1882, amounted to Rs. 7,500, which fall short of the revised one now
submitted by Rs. 1,360. This considerable excess is due to the fact that no buildings
of the kind had been carried out by this department in the neighbourhood, and conse-
quently it was not known in preparing the original estimate what the rates should be.

No. 201.

Submitted to the Board of Revenue with the request that they will be so good
as to obtain the sanction of Government for the increased expenditure of Rs. 1,360.
The revised estimates are herewith forwarded duly countersigned.

COCANADA,
23rd May 1884.

(Signed) W. S. FOSTER,
Collector.

Resolution—dated 9th June 1884, No. 1951.

The revised estimate for the construction of a taluk cutcherry at Bhadrachalam,
amounting to Rs. 8,860, is herewith submitted for the sanction of Government. The
amount of this estimate exceeds by Rs. 1,360 that sanctioned in G.O., dated 28th
June 1882, No. 1642 W. The increase is explained by the Executive Engineer.

(A true Copy and Extract.)

(Signed) E. GIBSON,
Secretary.

Mis. No. 2622, Revenue.

Transferred to the Public Works Department.

OOTACAMUND,
20th June 1884.

(Signed) H. E. STOKES,
Secretary to Government.

Current No. 5345
Letter No. 2622 P.W.
Dated the 25th June /84
From the Secy to Govt
Revenue Dept.

NOTE OF REMARKS.

1. The accompanying is a revised estimate for the construction of a Taluq butcherry at Bhadrachalam in the Godavari District. The amount of the revised estimate is Rs. 8800 - or Rs. 1300 above that of the original sanctioned in G.O. No. 1342 W. of the 28th June/84.

2. On examination of the comparative statement submitted, ^{shows} that the excess, Rs. 1300, is almost entirely due to the insufficiency of the rates adopted in the original estimate. E.E. writes in his letter No. 452 G.O. of the 15th May/84:- "This considerable excess is due to the fact that

1748 W.

No. 161 G.L.

INTENDING ENGINEER
FIRST CIRCLE.

ENGINEER P.W. Department
FOR IRRIGATION

LAISHWERAM, 19th August 1884.
Recd 21st

Referring to G.O. No. 1546 W. dated 14th July, passing orders on the statement of objectionable items for March 1884. I have the honor

to follow:-
The estimate has been sanctioned 1748 W. of 16th July 1884. for any remark. in his endorsement of 16th July 1884 on the Provincial statement of objectionable items, I state that the Provincial statement of objectionable items for March 1884

Furnishing explanation for some items in the

G.O. dated

ORDER—dated 16th July 1884, No. 1748 W., Public Works.

The revised estimate, amounting to Rs. 8,860, for constructing a taluk cutcherry at Bhadrachalam, in the Godavari district, is sanctioned in supersession of that approved in G.O., No. 1642 W., dated 28th January 1882.

2. This sanction has been registered as Provincial No. 87 W. of 1884-85, and classified as Civil Buildings, Original Works.

(True Extract.)

Major, R.E.,
Under Secy. to Govt., P.W.D.

To the Superintending Engineer, I Circle, with two enclosures.
" Examiner, Public Works Accounts, with abstract estimate.
" Judicial Department.
" Revenue "

Exd. E. Fernandez.
Spare Copies

at
34.
dated
the Pres
ctionable
have the hono

incio
tems
objecti
remou
ines

1884

anate