

P. W. D.

Govt. of (1884) Madras.

FINANCIAL.

No. 2257 Wd/13 Sept/84

Recorded.

(Signed) JH

Issued

Recd.

Encl.

G.O., 19th August 1884, No. 1910.

No. 2257 Wd/13 Sept 1884

AUGUST.

S. S. IV Circle

Ex as P. W. Accts.

Provincial Services.

Recording letter from the Examiner of Public Works Accounts, Madras, forwarding statement of outlay on "Repairs" to Provincial buildings at Ootacamund within the past three years.

With ords

U.S.A. 29/9
6.5.9.84 Q-11.0.84
10.5.9.84

20TH AUGUST 1884, No. 1926, FINANCIAL.

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS.

FINANCIAL DEPARTMENT.

READ—the following papers :—

From E. J. SEWELL, Esq., Acting Principal Assistant Collector, in charge Ganjam, to the Chief Secretary to Government, dated Chatrapur, 12th June 1884, No. 1855.

I have the honor, at the request of the municipal commissioners of Berhampore, to forward a resolution passed by them, together with some subsequent correspondence.

2. When the resolution was first sent me, I returned it to the commissioners requesting that they would give facts in support of their complaints.

3. I have also visited the place and examined the site of the latrine spoken of by the commissioners in company both of Colonel Wynch and the Vice-President of the Municipality, and have done my best to ascertain the facts.

4. The undoubted facts are that the latrine in question was built by the municipal commissioners in 1876 at their own expense, and has ever since been maintained and cleaned by them. Their resolution in 1875 resolving to build it describes it as "near the military lines." The commissioners have always refused to undertake the conservancy of the regimental lines (*vide* G.O., May 17th, 1875, No. 2336). There is a Government allowance of Rs. 350 for conserving the ground within the limits of the cantonment. The commissioners receive only the balance out of this sum which remains after the amount necessary for the conservancy of the regimental lines has been spent. This balance was in 1877-78, for instance, Rs. 150.

5. It appears therefore that although the municipal commissioners have always refused to undertake the conservancy of the regimental lines, they have erected and kept clean this latrine, so that it is clear that they have always regarded the latrine as outside the lines. Nor has this view been questioned until Colonel Wynch claimed possession of the latrine and soon afterwards posted a sentry over it.

6. It has been resorted to by the inhabitants of the neighbourhood as well as by the sepoys.

7. There is no plan nor are there any marks showing the limits of the regimental lines, nor does the situation of the building make it possible to say positively that it is either inside or outside the lines. But it appears to me most probable that it is outside. The main body of the sepoys' huts are in a nearly square piece of ground with a road running along each face of the square. But outside the road forming one face are some drummers' huts, and in a line with these huts and at a little distance from them the latrine stands. A stone drain, built by the Public Works department under the orders of Government (see G.O., 17th May 1875, No. 2336), runs along by the side of these drummers' huts, but there stops short and is not continued to the place rather further on where the latrine is.

8. I cannot ascertain that there is any evidence in support of Colonel Wynch's contention except the situation of the building in a line with the huts. On the other hand, the view of the commissioners is supported by the facts mentioned above.

9. The Vice-President specifies two of the "groundless complaints" of which the commissioners speak. It also appears that the commissioners insist upon that part

Oct. 29/9 H. E. B. J. H. 10/9
 10.5.9.84 10.11.9.84 175.

of their resolution in which they state that Colonel Wynch "has also by his groundless claims and offensive behaviour and language excited great irritation among the civil population generally." In this I think, and still think, that the commissioners are going beyond their functions. I do not consider that they have any claim to speak on behalf of the civil population generally. The "irritation" spoken of has not reached such a point as to be embodied in any general petition to the Collector or to the Senior Assistant Collector who resides at Berhampore, and there is certainly no such feeling as we should either of us think necessary to bring to the notice of Government.

10. I have thought it beyond my province to express any opinion on this resolution beyond endeavouring to place the facts before Government.

CLOSURES.

From Surgeon A. H. LEAPINGWELL, Vice-President of the Municipal Commission, Berhampore, to the President of the Municipal Commissions, Ganjam, dated Berhampore, 17th May 1884, No. 91.

In forwarding, for transmission to Government, extract No. I, from the proceedings of the Berhampore Municipal Board of this date regarding the conduct of Colonel Wynch, Officiating Commandant, 29th Regiment, N. I., I have the honor to inform you that the commissioners have ample documentary and oral evidence support the statement therein made.

EXTRACT from the Proceedings of the Berhampore Municipal Board at a meeting held on Saturday 17th May 1884.

PRESENT:

Surgeon A. H. Leapingwell, Vice-President.

M.R.Ky. D. V. Ramaiya Pantul Gáru,

" N. Beemaraz Setty áru,

" V. Narasiah Pantul Gáru,

" P. Polia Gáru,

Commissioners.

I. Resolved unanimously that the President be requested to bring to the notice of Government the conduct of Colonel Wynch at present Officiating Commandant, 29th Regiment, N. I.

During the period that the 7th Regiment, N. I., was stationed at Berhampore, and for the period that Colonel Dickey was in command, there was perfect harmony between the municipality and the military authorities; but since Colonel Wynch succeeded to the officiating command of the regiment, he has continued to prefer a succession of groundless complaints against the municipality, and he finally forcibly taken possession of a latrine, the property of the municipality. He has also by his groundless claims and offensive behaviour and language excited great irritation among the civil population generally.

The relations between the military authorities and the municipality have become intolerable, and the commissioners most earnestly hope that Government will be pleased to issue such orders as will restore the harmony existing prior to the succession of Colonel Wynch to the officiating command.

(Signed) A. H. LEAPINGWELL,
Vice-President.

From E. J. SEWELL, Esq., Acting Principal Assistant Collector, in charge Ganjam, to the Vice-President of the Municipal Commission, Berhampore, dated Chatrapur, 21st May 1884, No. 1571.

In reference to your letter, No. 91, dated 17th May 1884, I have the honor to observe that the charges, as embodied in the enclosed resolution, are too general to be made the subject of a reference to Government.

2. If the commissioners wish the matter referred, they must state when the latrine in question was taken possession of and under what circumstances, on what evidence they assert that it belongs to them and whether this was explained to Colonel Wynch.

3. The "groundless complaints" and "offensive language and behaviour" must be more definitely specified.

4. The commissioners are not concerned with "irritation among the civil population generally."

From Surgeon A. H. LEAPINGWELL, Vice-President of the Municipal Commission, Berhampore, to the President of the Municipal Commissions, Ganjam, dated Berhampore, 30th May 1884, No. 105.

In reply to your letter, No. 1571, dated 21st May 1884, I have the honor to forward, as per margin, copies of all correspondence that has taken place regarding the latrine. From this it will be seen that I twice pointed out to Colonel Wynch that the latrine was built by, and was the property of, the municipality, but that he altogether ignored this.

- A. Letter dated 7th April 1884, No. 22, from the Officer Commanding 29th Regiment, N.I.
- B. Letter dated 8th April 1884, No. 12, from the Vice-President, Municipal Commission, Berhampore.
- C. Extract from Standing Order by Commanding Officer of 12th May 1884.
- D. Letter dated 14th May 1884, No. 81, from the Vice-President, Municipal Commission, Berhampore.
- E. Letter dated 15th May 1884, No. 35, from the Station Staff Officer, Berhampore.
- F. Letter dated 15th May 1884, No. 83, from the Vice-President, Municipal Commission, Berhampore.
- G. Letter dated 16th May 1884, No. 39, from the Officer Commanding, Berhampore.

2. On April 7th (the letter marked A) a request was made that tar should be used in the latrine and that dry-earth should be renewed daily. I replied (letter B) that tar was not used in the municipal latrines and was not considered necessary, but that dry-earth was freely used, and two sweepers were employed to keep the latrine clean. As the latrine was largely used, I added that, if application be made, the commissioners will construct a tatty latrine.

No notice was taken of that letter and nothing more occurred for five weeks.

3. On May 13th I received a copy of station order, dated 12th May (marked C), to the effect that the latrine was "in such a foul condition for want of repairs" that the Public Works department had been directed to repair it, and that it would be closed.

I at once wrote (letter D) informing Colonel Wynch that the latrine was built by the municipality, and was the property of the municipality.

The reply (letter E) I received to this was that the officer commanding "is unaware by whom the latrine was built," but that "it is erected on ground within the limits of the regimental lines," and that though "wayfarers" had hitherto been allowed to use it as an "indulgence," this would be put a stop to for the future.

He concluded his letter with the suggestion that if there was "proof positive" that the latrine was built at the cost of the municipality, then the municipality had better apply to Government for compensation.

4. I wrote again the same day (letter F) repeating that the latrine was built by the municipality and had been for years maintained by the municipality, and asking on what grounds he now came forward and claimed the latrine as a military building.

In reply (letter G) I was referred to his former letter (letter E), and from this date a guard was put over the latrine to prevent any one using it. The municipal nuisance inspector

* 16th May 1884.

and toties were driven away by the Colonel himself.

5. The latrine, I may here state, is situated at the side of the Aska road, and though principally used by the municipality is also used by inhabitants of Bapore or "wayfarers" as Colonel Wynch calls them.

6. It is hardly necessary to add that I never stated that it was the intention of the municipality to "raze" the latrine; what I did tell Colonel Wynch is contained in my letter marked F, viz., that, on the recommendation of the Deputy Sanitary Commissioner, certain alterations were to be made in the pukka latrines, and that if the repairs were done prior to the alterations, the money so spent might be wasted.

7. Colonel Wynch states that the latrine is built on military ground. If this proves to be the case, it cannot affect the right of the municipality to a latrine which was built and has been maintained for years at the cost of the municipality.

8. In his letters E and G Colonel Wynch makes statements which show complete ignorance of the conservancy arrangements of the regimental lines.

In letter E it is stated that the municipality "draws an allowance for conserving the cantonment included in which is the regimental lines."

Again in letter G he makes the following quotation from a letter of his to the Quarter-master-General. "The municipality draws an allowance for conserving it (the latrine), as also the lines."

The true facts are as follow:—

In 1875 when the conservancy of the cantonment was made over to the municipality, the President refused to undertake the conservancy of the regimental lines (G.O., dated 17th May 1875, No. 2336).

Again in September 1881 the Station Staff Officer wrote asking the municipality to take over the conservancy of the regimental lines. At a meeting of the commissioners on the 27th September 1881, they replied that they were "not prepared to undertake the scavenging of the regimental lines."

9. *Groundless complaints.*—With regard to your request that the "groundless complaints" may be more definitely specified, I enclose a copy of one letter marked H of several, received from Colonel Wynch.

H. Letter dated 8th January 1884, No. 2, from the Officer Commanding Berhampore.

It was written by him just after he took charge of the station. No complaints had been received from his predecessors.

In this letter he states that "the conservancy is very bad;" "the undergrowth is very bad;" "no precautions seem to have been taken to enforce the observance of cleanliness." A copy of this and other letters have been forwarded by Colonel Wynch to the military authorities.

10. The commissioners consider that they are not only groundless, but that they contain gross reflections in the manner in which they have conducted their duties. They would ask that a reference may be made to the Deputy Sanitary Commissioner and to residents in the station, both European and Native.

A Deputy Surgeon-General of the Military department has yearly inspected the station, and no complaint has been received from him regarding its sanitary state; on the contrary at his last visit he remarked in conversation with me on the cleanliness of the town. A reference to the municipal records will show what truth there is in the statement that no precaution has been taken for the enforcement of cleanliness.

Taking the last two years it will be found among other things—

- (1) that the sanctioned allotment for sanitation has each year been exceeded without waiting for sanction of Government;
- (2) that 995 prosecutions were instituted by the municipality for offences connected with sanitation, and that notices were issued for clearing rank vegetation;
- (3) that the latrines have been increased from 6 to 20.

This number in proportion to population is above the average of other towns; but I have had a letter by me from Colonel Wynch in which he states that six times this number should be erected.

A reference to the proceedings of the commissioners at their meetings will show that sanitation has received their constant attention.

11. In paragraph 4 of his letter (marked H) he states that a village close to the Bank of Madras is in such a foul state that the "gentleman occupying the house has been attacked with cholera two seasons running." This gentleman informs me that he has never had any cause to complain of the sanitary state of the village, and that he has not been "attacked with cholera two seasons running." The village consists of 51 houses, some of them pukka, yet Colonel Wynch proposed that it should be "razed" before a sign of the hot weather.

12. He also asserts, paragraph 5, that tanks without an exception are defiled, and supports this assertion by attaching a copy of a letter from the medical officer of the regiment in which one tank near the regimental lines is referred to. There are upwards of 50 tanks within municipal limits.

I caused a watch to be put on the tank with the result that occupants of the regimental lines were caught in the act and punished by the town magistrate.

13. *Offensive language and behaviour.*—With regard to your last remark in the letter under reply that "the commissioners are not concerned with irritation among the civil population generally," the commissioners wish to state that they consider that they are at any rate concerned in the present instance, as the irritation has been caused by the assumption by Colonel Wynch of powers which of right only belong to the municipal authorities; for instance he has thought fit to enter and inspect compounds.

The municipal authorities are alone entitled to enter compounds for sanitary purposes and then only after giving due notice.

14. On one occasion Colonel Wynch entered the compound of a native gentleman residing in Bapur, shook his fist in his face, and making use of most offensive language, threatened to kick him out of the cantonment.

I was myself present when this occurred, as also were the Medical officer and Quartermaster of the regiment. Colonel Wynch had asked me to be good enough to accompany him in trying out sanitary measures.

15. In conclusion, the commissioners wish that their resolution may be forwarded to Government without delay.

From Brigadier-General R. C. STEWART, Quartermaster-General, to the Secretary to Government, Military department, dated Ootacamund, 29th July 1884, No. 621-C.

I am desired, by the Commander-in-Chief, to acknowledge G.O., No. 3306, (herewith returned,) of the 10th July 1884, giving cover to certain correspondence relative to a dispute which has arisen between the Officer Commanding Berhampore and the municipal commissioners of that station, upon which the opinion of the Commander-in-Chief is requested.

2. The question is one arising out of a difference of opinion between the Officer Commanding Berhampore and the municipal commissioners as to whether or not a latrine, which was originally built by the municipality, is or is not within the limit of the regimental lines of the 29th N.I., and whether the officer commanding the station has the right to appropriate it to the exclusive use of the military.

3. Copies of the same correspondence which accompanied the Government Order referred to above have been submitted to the Commander-in-Chief by the Officer Commanding Berhampore, and having caused inquiry into the case to be made by the Officer Commanding the Eastern District, the Commander-in-Chief was about to address Government on the subject, in view of arriving at a satisfactory arrangement as to what the authority of the Officer Commanding Berhampore may be.

4. The civil authorities do not consider Berhampore a military cantonment, and whether it is so or not is a question which His Excellency would not wish to enter into until a decision on the general question of laws relating to military cantonments is definitely arrived at by the committee now sitting at Simla for that purpose.

5. What the Commander-in-Chief would wish settled at Berhampore is to define the limits of the regimental lines over which the officer commanding the station would have control, and to separate those regimental lines from the bazaars and civil town over which the municipality have power, and the only way appears to the Commander-in-Chief to be to appoint a committee, composed of the Collector of the district, the officer commanding the station, and the Executive Engineer, to decide on the limits of the lines, and His Excellency would beg to submit this recommendation for the consideration of Government.

6. I have the honor to enclose a letter, No. G-212, dated 23rd June 1884, from the Officer Commanding the Eastern District (to be returned), which will further explain matters. A good deal of correspondence has passed on this subject, with a perusal of which, I am desired to say, it seems unnecessary to trouble Government; but the main question is simply whether or not the officer commanding the station has power over a portion of the town in which civil inhabitants reside.

7. It would appear to the Commander-in-Chief that much of the difficulty now existing between the civil and military authorities might be avoided if a military officer were appointed a member of the municipality. This appears to have been originally contemplated by G.O., No. 4083, dated 15th December 1874, in which the recommendations (one of which was the appointment of a military officer to the Board) of the then Commander-in-Chief were approved, but by the last clause of the above Government Order the matter was referred for the consideration of the Financial department, and no further action appears to have been taken, though from the statement of the Officer Commanding the Eastern District in paragraph 7 of his letter, No. G-212, dated 23rd June 1884, a military officer was till lately a member of the municipality.

8. The Commander-in-Chief would solicit definite orders on this subject.

9. I am now desired to convey to you, for submission to Government, the opinion of the Commander-in-Chief on the subject of the correspondence received with G.O., No. 3306, dated 10th July 1884.

10. There is no doubt that Colonel Wynch was wrong in claiming possession of the latrine referred to and in placing a sentry over it. Colonel Wynch was personally told by the Commander-in-Chief, at His Excellency's late visit to Berhampore, that he

should refrain from interference with the Commander-in-Chief's disapproval of his action. Colonel Wynch.

11. As regards the complaints of Wynch's offensive behaviour and language, the correspondence which accompanied the Government Order above referred to, or has been received in this office, which would afford evidence of this assertion. On the contrary all of Colonel Wynch's letters seem to be couched in courteous language.

12. The Commander-in-Chief regrets, however, that he cannot say the same of the correspondence of the Vice-President of the Berhampore municipality with Colonel Wynch, and he was about to report this officer's language to Government when the Government Order above quoted with reference to Dr. Leapingwell's letter, No. 12, of 8th April 1884, it will be apparent that the copy furnished is only an extract, whereas in the copy furnished by Colonel Wynch to this office the following remarks are made (paragraphs 3, 4, and 5 of Dr. Leapingwell's letter, No. 12, of 8th April 1884):—

"3. You are requested also to be good enough to inform the Officer Commanding the 29th Regiment, N.I., that I am perfectly well aware that the sanitation and conservancy is under the municipality.

"4. You might also inform him that I, as Civil Surgeon and Vice-President, am responsible for the sanitary condition of the town, and have no intention of submitting to his dictation on sanitary matters, whether accompanied by extracts from the reports of the medical officer of the regiment or not.

"5. You might also point out to him that, if the energy and time he now expends in writing to this office were devoted to the improvement of the sanitation of the huts of his own regiment, his time would be more profitably occupied."

13. The Commander-in-Chief is of opinion that language of this sort should not have been used in official correspondence, and coming as it does from a military officer (though in civil employ) and addressed to one very much his senior, and holding the position of commanding officer of the station, it is exceedingly improper and reprehensible, and His Excellency trusts that Government will see fit to take notice of Dr. Leapingwell's conduct.

ENCLOSURE.

From Brigadier-General A. C. JOHNSON, C.B., Commanding Eastern District, to the Quartermaster-General, Madras Army, dated Fort St. George, 23rd June 1884, No. G-212.

In reply to your letter of 20th May 1884, No. and subject as per margin, I have the honor to inform you that some misunderstanding appears to exist between Colonel Wynch, the Officer Commanding Berhampore, and the municipality as to the limits of lines and cantonment and their respective powers over a latrine and butchers' bazaars.

2. To enable me to judge of the rights of the case, I was compelled to appeal to the Collector of Ganjam for his opinion in the matter, and also for information as to the possibility of the municipality being in error, as the bazaar and latrine in question were so near the regimental lines, and as Colonel Wynch reports that they were always under the control of former commanding officers.

3. I regret to say that the Collector is unable to give me much assistance, owing to the cantonment limits not being clearly marked out, but his opinion is that the bazaar, notwithstanding that it has been regarded of late years as under the municipality, should be under the control of the officer commanding, whose control of the bazaar is most desirable, as the sepoys are most desirous to purchase their meat from this butcher's bazaar. As for the latrine he reports that it has been regarded by every one as outside the cantonment limits, and that it was in that belief the municipality erected the building and has since conserved it.

4. To prevent further wrangling and dispute, I now suggest that a committee be assembled, consisting of the Collector of the district, Officer Commanding Berhampore, and Executive Engineer, to decide as to the limits of regimental lines and cantonment.

5. Under the orders of Government marginally noted, it is very evident that Officer Commanding Berhampore has no power, so far as conservancy is concerned, over any part of the cantonment outside his regimental lines, so, unless steps are taken to place the latrine within the regimental limits, it must necessarily remain beyond regimental control.

6. With reference to paragraph 3 of your letter above quoted, the Officer Commanding Berhampore reports that the latrine in question is sadly in want of repair, and that until the repairs are effected he cannot apply tar; it appears that the Executive Engineer has been requested to repair the latrine.

7. Until the early part of 1883 there was an officer of the regiment stationed at Berhampore on the municipal commission, but it appears that G.O., of 15th December 1874, No. 4083, Military department, is not to be found among the records of either the Collector or municipality, so that they were unaware of its existence. G.O., of 15th December 1874, No. 4083, does not, in my opinion, clearly lay down that an officer should of necessity be a member of the municipal commission. The several recommendations of His Excellency the then Commander-in-Chief, endorsed in paragraph 4 of Quartermaster-General's letter, No. 7936, of 20th November 1874, contained in the above Government Order are approved of by Government, but with the exception of drainage all other points, including the appointment of a military officer on the municipal commission, were referred to the Financial department for consideration. I also observe that in G.O., 17th May 1875, No. 2336, no definite orders have been issued on the subject, but only an allowance for conservancy alluded to.

8. The letters, Nos. 1860, 1861, and 1862, dated 12th June 1884, from the Collector of Ganjam are herewith enclosed for information.

No. 4043.

Transferred, and the referred papers returned, to the Financial department.

(By order.)

OOTACAMUND,
20th August 1884.

(Signed) A. KENNEY-HERBERT, Lieut.-Col.,
Offg. Secy. to Govt., Mily. Dept.

ORDER—dated 20th August 1884, No. 1926, Financial.

In accordance with the suggestion of His Excellency the Commander-in-Chief, the Government resolve to appoint a committee, composed of the Collector of the district, the officer commanding the station, and the Executive Engineer, to define the limits of the regimental lines.

2. The Government, in the Military department, will be asked to nominate an officer of the regiment to be appointed a municipal commissioner. It would seem desirable after what has occurred that the choice should fall on an officer who has not been mixed up in the present controversy.

3. In future it will be a standing rule that where a military cantonment or lines are included within municipal limits, a military officer should have a seat on the Board.

4. The complaints made against the action of the officer commanding the station having been referred to the Commander-in-Chief, and disposed of by His Excellency, it only remains to consider the tone adopted by the Vice-President during the progress of the correspondence (paragraphs 3, 4, and 5 of letter, No. 12, of 8th April 1884). The Commander-in-Chief has remarked that language of this sort should not have been used in official correspondence, and is exceedingly improper and reprehensible, considering the relation of the military officers concerned. In this view His Excellency

the Governor in Council concurs, and directs that a copy of these proceedings be forwarded to Dr. Leapingwell for his information.

(True Extract.)

F. H. Leapingwell

Chief Secretary.

To the President of the Municipal Commission, Berhampore.
„ the Military department, with an enclosure returned.
„ the Public Works department.
„ Surgeon A. H. Leapingwell, for information.

Exd. T. O'Connor.

Gobt. of {1884} Madras.
FINANCIAL.

(4)

B. No 2274 W. 15th Sept 84.
Communicated to
the Suptg Engrs. F Circle,
with advertisement to
para 1 of the order
in the Financial Deptt.

8-9-84

W.S.
9-9-84

Issued

Recd.

Encl.

G.O., 20th August 1884, No. 1926.

B. No: 2274 W. 15th Sept 84.

AUGUST.

Municipal—Cantonments.

Pressing orders on correspondence relative to
a dispute between the Officer Commanding Ber-
hampore and the municipal commissioners
that station in respect to a latrine.

2 copies sent to 004

W. Thorden

20TH AUGUST 1884, No. 1926, FINANCIAL.

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS.

FINANCIAL DEPARTMENT.

READ—the following papers :—

From E. J. SEWELL, Esq., Acting Principal Assistant Collector, in charge
Ganjam, to the Chief Secretary to Government, dated Chatrapur, 12th June
1884, No. 1855.

I have the honor, at the request of the municipal commissioners of Berhampore,
to forward a resolution passed by them, together with some subsequent correspondence.

2. When the resolution was first sent me, I returned it to the commissioners
requesting that they would give facts in support of their complaints.

3. I have also visited the place and examined the site of the latrine spoken of by
the commissioners in company both of Colonel Wynch and the Vice-President of the
Municipality, and have done my best to ascertain the facts.

4. The undoubted facts are that the latrine in question was built by the municipal
commissioners in 1876 at their own expense, and has ever since been maintained and
cleaned by them. Their resolution in 1875 resolving to build it describes it as "near
the military lines." The commissioners have always refused to undertake the
conservancy of the regimental lines (*vide* G.O., May 17th, 1875, No. 2336). There
is a Government allowance of Rs. 350 for conserving the ground within the limits of
the cantonment. The commissioners receive only the balance out of this sum which
remains after the amount necessary for the conservancy of the regimental lines has
been spent. This balance was in 1877-78, for instance, Rs. 150.

5. It appears therefore that although the municipal commissioners have always
refused to undertake the conservancy of the regimental lines, they have erected and
kept clean this latrine, so that it is clear that they have always regarded the latrine as
outside the lines. Nor has this view been questioned until Colonel Wynch claimed
possession of the latrine and soon afterwards posted a sentry over it.

6. It has been resorted to by the inhabitants of the neighbourhood as well as by
the sepoys.

7. There is no plan nor are there any marks showing the limits of the regimental
lines, nor does the situation of the building make it possible to say positively that it is
either inside or outside the lines. But it appears to me most probable that it is outside.
The main body of the sepoys' huts are in a nearly square piece of ground with a road
running along each face of the square. But outside the road forming one face are
some drummers' huts, and in a line with these huts and at a little distance from them
the latrine stands. A stone drain, built by the Public Works department under the
orders of Government (see G.O., 17th May 1875, No. 2336), runs along by the side of
these drummers' huts, but there stops short and is not continued to the place rather
further on where the latrine is.

8. I cannot ascertain that there is any evidence in support of Colonel Wynch's
contention except the situation of the building in a line with the huts. On the other
hand, the view of the commissioners is supported by the facts mentioned above.

9. The Vice-President specifies two of the "groundless complaints" of which
the commissioners speak. It also appears that the commissioners insist upon that part

S.E.I Circle

2/15/9/84

1979

11-9-84

of their resolution in which they state that Colonel Wynch "has also by his groundless claims and offensive behaviour and language excited great irritation among the civil population generally." In this I thought, and still think, that the commissioners are going beyond their functions. I do not consider that they have any claim to speak on behalf of the civil population generally. The "irritation" spoken of has not reached such a point as to be embodied in any general petition to the Collector or to the Senior Assistant Collector who resides at Berhampore, and there is certainly no such feeling as we should either of us think necessary to bring to the notice of Government.

10. I have thought it beyond my province to express any opinion on this resolution beyond endeavouring to place the facts before Government.

ENCLOSURES.

From Surgeon A. H. LEAPINGWELL, Vice-President of the Municipal Commission, Berhampore, to the President of the Municipal Commissions, Ganjam, dated Berhampore, 17th May 1884, No. 91.

In forwarding, for transmission to Government, extract No. I, from the proceedings of the Berhampore Municipal Board of this date regarding the conduct of Colonel Wynch, Officiating Commandant, 29th Regiment, N. I., I have the honor to inform you that the commissioners have ample documentary and oral evidence to support the statement therein made.

EXTRACT from the Proceedings of the Berhampore Municipal Board at a meeting held on Saturday the 17th May 1884.

PRESENT:

Surgeon A. H. Leapingwell, Vice-President.	
M.R. Ry. D. V. Ramaiya Pantulu Gáru,	Commissioners.
" N. Beemaraz Setty Gáru,	
" V. Narasiah Pantulu Gáru,	
" P. Polia Gáru,	

I. Resolved unanimously that the President be requested to bring to the notice of Government the conduct of Colonel Wynch at present Officiating Commandant, 29th Regiment, N. I.

During the period that the 7th Regiment, N. I., was stationed at Berhampore, and for the period that Colonel Dickey was in command, there was perfect harmony between the municipality and the military authorities; but since Colonel Wynch succeeded to the officiating command of the regiment, he has continued to prefer a succession of groundless complaints against the municipality, and has finally forcibly taken possession of a latrine, the property of the municipality. He has also by his groundless claims and offensive behaviour and language excited great irritation among the civil population generally.

The relations between the military authorities and the municipality have become intolerable, and the commissioners most earnestly hope that Government will be pleased to issue such orders as will restore the harmony existing prior to the succession of Colonel Wynch to the officiating command.

(Signed) A. H. LEAPINGWELL,
Vice-President.

From E. J. SEWELL, Esq., Acting Principal Assistant Collector, in charge Ganjam, to the Vice-President of the Municipal Commission, Berhampore, dated Chatrapur, 21st May 1884, No. 1571.

In reference to your letter, No. 91, dated 17th May 1884, I have the honor to observe that the charges, as embodied in the enclosed resolution, are too general to be made the subject of a reference to Government.

2. If the commissioners wish the matter referred, they must state when the latrine in question was taken possession of and under what circumstances, on what evidence they assert that it belongs to them and whether this was explained to Colonel Wynch.

3. The "groundless complaints" and "offensive language and behaviour" must be more definitely specified.

4. The commissioners are not concerned with "irritation among the civil population generally."

From Surgeon A. H. LEAPINGWELL, Vice-President of the Municipal Commission, Berhampore, to the President of the Municipal Commissions, Ganjam, dated Berhampore, 30th May 1884, No. 105.

In reply to your letter, No. 1571, dated 21st May 1884, I have the honor to forward, as per margin, copies of all correspondence that has taken place regarding the latrine. From this it will be seen that I twice pointed out to Colonel Wynch that the latrine was built by, and was the property of, the municipality, but that he altogether ignored this.

- A. Letter dated 7th April 1884, No. 22, from the Officer Commanding 29th Regiment, N.I.
- B. Letter dated 8th April 1884, No. 12, from the Vice-President, Municipal Commission, Berhampore.
- C. Extract from Standing Order by Commanding Officer of 12th May 1884.
- D. Letter dated 14th May 1884, No. 81, from the Vice-President, Municipal Commission, Berhampore.
- E. Letter dated 15th May 1884, No. 35, from the Station Staff Officer, Berhampore.
- F. Letter dated 15th May 1884, No. 83, from the Vice-President, Municipal Commission, Berhampore.
- G. Letter dated 16th May 1884, No. 39, from the Officer Commanding, Berhampore.

2. On April 7th (the letter marked A) a request was made that tar should be used in the latrine and that dry-earth should be renewed daily.

I replied (letter B) that tar was not used in the municipal latrines and was not

considered necessary, but that dry-earth was freely used, and two sweepers were employed to keep the latrine clean. As the latrine was largely used, I added that, if application be made, the commissioners will construct a tatty latrine.

No notice was taken of that letter and nothing more occurred for five weeks.

3. On May 18th I received a copy of station order, dated 12th May (marked C), to the effect that the latrine was "in such a foul condition for want of repairs" that the Public Works department had been directed to repair it, and that it would be closed.

I at once wrote (letter D) informing Colonel Wynch that the latrine was built by the municipality, and was the property of the municipality.

The reply (letter E) I received to this was that the officer commanding "is unaware by whom the latrine was built," but that "it is erected on ground within the limits of the regimental lines," and that though "wayfarers" had hitherto been allowed to use it as an "indulgence," this would be put a stop to for the future.

He concluded his letter with the suggestion that if there was "proof positive" that the latrine was built at the cost of the municipality, then the municipality had better apply to Government for compensation.

4. I wrote again the same day (letter F) repeating that the latrine was built by the municipality and had been for years maintained by the municipality, and asking on what grounds he now came forward and claimed the latrine as a military building.

In reply (letter G) I was referred to his former letter (letter E), and from this date a guard was put over the latrine to prevent any one using it. The municipal nuisance inspector and toties were driven away by the Colonel himself.

5. The latrine, I may here state, is situated at the side of the Aska road, and though principally used by the municipality is also used by inhabitants of Bapore or "wayfarers" as Colonel Wynch calls them.

6. It is hardly necessary to add that I never stated that it was the intention of the municipality to "raze" the latrine; what I did tell Colonel Wynch is contained in my letter marked F, viz., that, on the recommendation of the Deputy Sanitary Commissioner, certain alterations were to be made in the pukka latrines, and that if the repairs were done prior to the alterations, the money so spent might be wasted.

7. Colonel Wynch states that the latrine is built on military ground. If this proves to be the case, it cannot affect the right of the municipality to a latrine which was built and has been maintained for years at the cost of the municipality.

8. In his letters E and G Colonel Wynch makes statements which show complete ignorance of the conservancy arrangements of the regimental lines.

In letter E it is stated that the municipality "draws an allowance for conserving the cantonment included in which is the regimental lines."

Again in letter G he makes the following quotation from a letter of his to the Quarter-master-General. "The municipality draws an allowance for conserving it (the latrine), as also the lines."

The true facts are as follow:—

In 1875 when the conservancy of the cantonment was made over to the municipality, the President refused to undertake the conservancy of the regimental lines (G.O., dated 17th May 1875, No. 2336).

Again in September 1881 the Station Staff Officer wrote asking the municipality to take over the conservancy of the regimental lines. At a meeting of the commissioners on the 27th September 1881, they replied that they were "not prepared to undertake the scavenging of the regimental lines."

9. *Groundless complaints.*—With regard to your request that the "groundless complaints" may be more definitely specified, I enclose a copy of one letter marked H of several, received from Colonel Wynch.

H. Letter dated 8th January 1884, No. 2, from the Officer Commanding Berhampore.

It was written by him just after he took charge of the station. No complaints had been received from his predecessors.

In this letter he states that "the conservancy is very bad;" "the undergrowth is very bad;" "no precautions seem to have been taken to enforce the observance of cleanliness." A copy of this and other letters have been forwarded by Colonel Wynch to the military authorities.

10. The commissioners consider that they are not only groundless, but that they contain gross reflections in the manner in which they have conducted their duties. They would ask that a reference may be made to the Deputy Sanitary Commissioner and to residents in the station, both European and Native.

A Deputy Surgeon-General of the Military department has yearly inspected the station, and no complaint has been received from him regarding its sanitary state; on the contrary at his last visit he remarked in conversation with me on the cleanliness of the town. A reference to the municipal records will show what truth there is in the statement that no precaution has been taken for the enforcement of cleanliness.

Taking the last two years it will be found among other things—

- (1) that the sanctioned allotment for sanitation has each year been exceeded without waiting for sanction of Government;
- (2) that 995 prosecutions were instituted by the municipality for offences connected with sanitation, and that notices were issued for clearing rank vegetation;
- (3) that the latrines have been increased from 6 to 20.

This number in proportion to population is above the average of other towns; but I have had a letter by me from Colonel Wynch in which he states that six times this number should be erected.

A reference to the proceedings of the commissioners at their meetings will show that sanitation has received their constant attention.

11. In paragraph 4 of his letter (marked H) he states that a village close to the Bank of Madras is in such a foul state that the "gentleman occupying the house has been attacked with cholera two seasons running." This gentleman informs me that he has never had any cause to complain of the sanitary state of the village, and that he has not been "attacked with cholera two seasons running." The village consists of 51 houses, some of them pukka, yet Colonel Wynch proposed that it should be "razed" before a sign of the hot weather.

12. He also asserts, paragraph 5, that tanks without an exception are defiled, and supports this assertion by attaching a copy of a letter from the medical officer of the regiment in which one tank near the regimental lines is referred to. There are upwards of 50 tanks within municipal limits.

I caused a watch to be put on the tank with the result that occupants of the regimental lines were caught in the act and punished by the town magistrate.

13. *Offensive language and behaviour.*—With regard to your last remark in the letter under reply that "the commissioners are not concerned with irritation among the civil population generally," the commissioners wish to state that they consider that they are at any rate concerned in the present instance, as the irritation has been caused by the assumption by Colonel Wynch of powers which of right only belong to the municipal authorities; for instance he has thought fit to enter and inspect compounds.

The municipal authorities are alone entitled to enter compounds for sanitary purposes and then only after giving due notice.

14. On one occasion Colonel Wynch entered the compound of a native gentleman residing in Bapur, shook his fist in his face, and making use of most offensive language, threatened to kick him out of the cantonment.

I was myself present when this occurred, as also were the Medical officer and Quarter-Master of the regiment. Colonel Wynch had asked me to be good enough to accompany him that I might "co-operate" with him in carrying out sanitary measures.

15. In conclusion, the commissioners wish that their resolution may be forwarded to Government without delay.

From Brigadier-General R. C. STEWART, Quartermaster-General, to the Secretary to Government, Military department, dated Ootacamund, 29th July 1884, No. 621-C.

I am desired, by the Commander-in-Chief, to acknowledge G.O., No. 3306, (herewith returned,) of the 10th July 1884, giving cover to certain correspondence relative to a dispute which has arisen between the Officer Commanding Berhampore and the municipal commissioners of that station, upon which the opinion of the Commander-in-Chief is requested.

2. The question is one arising out of a difference of opinion between the Officer Commanding Berhampore and the municipal commissioners as to whether or not a latrine, which was originally built by the municipality, is or is not within the limit of the regimental lines of the 29th N.I., and whether the officer commanding the station has the right to appropriate it to the exclusive use of the military.

3. Copies of the same correspondence which accompanied the Government Order referred to above have been submitted to the Commander-in-Chief by the Officer Commanding Berhampore, and having caused inquiry into the case to be made by the Officer Commanding the Eastern District, the Commander-in-Chief was about to address Government on the subject, in view of arriving at a satisfactory arrangement as to what the authority of the Officer Commanding Berhampore may be.

4. The civil authorities do not consider Berhampore a military cantonment, and whether it is so or not is a question which His Excellency would not wish to enter into until a decision on the general question of laws relating to military cantonments is definitely arrived at by the committee now sitting at Simla for that purpose.

5. What the Commander-in-Chief would wish settled at Berhampore is to define the limits of the regimental lines over which the officer commanding the station would have control, and to separate those regimental lines from the bazaars and civil town over which the municipality have power, and the only way appears to the Commander-in-Chief to be to appoint a committee, composed of the Collector of the district, the officer commanding the station, and the Executive Engineer, to decide on the limits of the lines, and His Excellency would beg to submit this recommendation for the consideration of Government.

6. I have the honor to enclose a letter, No. G-212, dated 23rd June 1884, from the Officer Commanding the Eastern District (to be returned), which will further explain matters. A good deal of correspondence has passed on this subject, with a perusal of which, I am desired to say, it seems unnecessary to trouble Government; but the main question is simply whether or not the officer commanding the station has power over a portion of the town in which civil inhabitants reside.

7. It would appear to the Commander-in-Chief that much of the difficulty now existing between the civil and military authorities might be avoided if a military officer were appointed a member of the municipality. This appears to have been originally contemplated by G.O., No. 4083, dated 15th December 1874, in which the recommendations (one of which was the appointment of a military officer to the Board) of the then Commander-in-Chief were approved, but by the last clause of the above Government Order the matter was referred for the consideration of the Financial department, and no further action appears to have been taken, though from the statement of the Officer Commanding the Eastern District in paragraph 7 of his letter, No. G-212, dated 23rd June 1884, a military officer was till lately a member of the municipality.

8. The Commander-in-Chief would solicit definite orders on this subject.

9. I am now desired to convey to you, for submission to Government, the opinion of the Commander-in-Chief on the subject of the correspondence received with G.O., No. 3306, dated 10th July 1884.

10. There is no doubt that Colonel Wynch was wrong in claiming possession of the latrine referred to and in placing a sentry over it. Colonel Wynch was personally told by the Commander-in-Chief, at His Excellency's late visit to Berhampore, that he

should refrain from interference with the municipality, and an expression of the Commander-in-Chief's disapproval of his action in this matter will now be made known to Colonel Wynch.

11. As regards the complaints of the municipal commissioners of Colonel Wynch's offensive behaviour and language, the Commander-in-Chief finds nothing in the correspondence which accompanied the Government Order above referred to, or has been received in this office, which would afford evidence of this assertion. On the contrary all of Colonel Wynch's letters seem to be couched in courteous language.

12. The Commander-in-Chief regrets, however, that he cannot say the same of the correspondence of the Vice-President of the Berhampore municipality with Colonel Wynch, and he was about to report this officer's language to Government when the Government Order above quoted with correspondence attached was received. On reference to Dr. Leapingwell's letter, No. 12, of 8th April 1884, it will be apparent that the copy furnished is only an extract, whereas in the copy furnished by Colonel Wynch to this office the following remarks are made (paragraphs 3, 4, and 5 of Dr. Leapingwell's letter, No. 12, of 8th April 1884):—

"3. You are requested also to be good enough to inform the Officer Commanding the 29th Regiment, N.I., that I am perfectly well aware that the sanitation and conservancy is under the municipality.

"4. You might also inform him that I, as Civil Surgeon and Vice-President, am responsible for the sanitary condition of the town, and have no intention of submitting to his dictation on sanitary matters, whether accompanied by extracts from the reports of the medical officer of the regiment or not.

"5. You might also point out to him that, if the energy and time he now expends in writing to this office were devoted to the improvement of the sanitation of the huts of his own regiment, his time would be more profitably occupied."

13. The Commander-in-Chief is of opinion that language of this sort should not have been used in official correspondence, and coming as it does from a military officer (though in civil employ) and addressed to one very much his senior, and holding the position of commanding officer of the station, it is exceedingly improper and reprehensible, and His Excellency trusts that Government will see fit to take notice of Dr. Leapingwell's conduct.

ENCLOSURE.

From Brigadier-General A. C. JOHNSON, C.B., Commanding Eastern District, to the Quartermaster-General, Madras Army, dated Fort St. George, 23rd June 1884, No. G-212.

In reply to your letter of 20th May 1884, No. and subject as per margin, I have the honor to inform you that some misunderstanding appears to exist between Colonel Wynch, the Officer Commanding Berhampore, and the municipality as to the limits of lines and cantonment and their respective powers over a latrine and butchers' bazaars.

2. To enable me to judge of the rights of the case, I was compelled to appeal to the Collector of Ganjam for his opinion in the matter, and also for information as to the possibility of the municipality being in error, as the bazaar and latrine in question were so near the regimental lines, and as Colonel Wynch reports that they were always under the control of former commanding officers.

3. I regret to say that the Collector is unable to give me much assistance, owing to the cantonment limits not being clearly marked out, but his opinion is that the bazaar, notwithstanding that it has been regarded of late years as under the municipality, should be under the officer commanding, whose control of the trade, as a man on behalf of the sepoys is most desirable, owing to the fact that the sepoys must purchase their meat from this butcher's bazaar. As for the latrine he reports that it has been regarded by every one as outside the cantonment limits, and that it was in that belief the municipality erected the building and has since conserved it.

4. To prevent further wrangling and dispute, I now suggest that a committee be assembled, consisting of the Collector of the district, Officer Commanding Berhampore, and Executive Engineer, to decide as to the limits of regimental lines and cantonment.

5. Under the orders of Government marginally noted, it is very evident that Officer Commanding Berhampore has no power, so far as conservancy is concerned, over any part of the cantonment outside his regimental lines, so, unless steps are taken to place the latrine within the regimental limits, it must necessarily remain beyond regimental control.

6. With reference to paragraph 3 of your letter above quoted, the Officer Commanding Berhampore reports that the latrine in question is sadly in want of repair, and that until the repairs are effected he cannot apply tax; it appears that the Executive Engineer has been requested to repair the latrine.

7. Until the early part of 1883 there was an officer of the regiment stationed at Berhampore on the municipal commission, but it appears that G.O., of 15th December 1874, No. 4083, Military department, is not to be found among the records of either the Collector or municipality, so that they were unaware of its existence. G.O., of 15th December 1874, No. 4083, does not, in my opinion, clearly lay down that an officer should of necessity be a member of the municipal commission. The several recommendations of His Excellency the then Commander-in-Chief, endorsed in paragraph 4 of Quartermaster-General's letter, No. 7936, of 20th November 1874, contained in the above Government Order are approved of by Government, but with the exception of drainage all other points, including the appointment of a military officer on the municipal commission, were referred to the Financial department for consideration. I also observe that in G.O., 17th May 1875, No. 2336, no definite orders have been issued on the subject, but only an allowance for conservancy alluded to.

8. The letters, Nos. 1860, 1861, and 1862, dated 12th June 1884, from the Collector of Ganjam are herewith enclosed for information.

No. 4043.

Transferred, and the referred papers returned, to the Financial department.

(By order.)

OOTACAMUND, (Signed) A. KENNEY-HERBERT, Lieut.-Col.,
20th August 1884. Offg. Secy. to Govt., Mil. Dept.

ORDER—dated 20th August 1884, No. 1926, Financial.

In accordance with the suggestion of His Excellency the Commander-in-Chief, the Government resolve to appoint a committee, composed of the Collector of the district, the officer commanding the station, and the Executive Engineer, to define the limits of the regimental lines.

2. The Government, in the Military department, will be asked to nominate an officer of the regiment to be appointed a municipal commissioner. It would seem desirable after what has occurred that the choice should fall on an officer who has not been mixed up in the present controversy.

3. In future it will be a standing rule that where a military cantonment or lines are included within municipal limits, a military officer should have a seat on the Board.

4. The complaints made against the action of the officer commanding the station having been referred to the Commander-in-Chief, and disposed of by His Excellency, it only remains to consider the one adopted by the Vice-President during the progress of the correspondence (paragraphs 3, 4, and 5 of letter, No. 12, of 8th April 1884). The Commander-in-Chief has remarked that language of this sort should not have been used in official correspondence, and is exceedingly improper and reprehensible, considering the relation of the military officers concerned. In this view His Excellency

the Governor in Council concurs, and directs that a copy of these proceedings be forwarded to Dr. Leapingwell for his information.

(True Extract.)

E. F. WEBSTER,
Chief Secretary.

To the President of the Municipal Commission, Berhampore.
„ the Military department, with an enclosure returned.
„ the Public Works department.
„ Surgeon A. H. Leapingwell, for information.

Exd. T. O'Connor.

P. W. W.

B

Gobt. of {1884} Madras.
FINANCIAL.

N^o 2274 Kal^{ber} 15^{ten} Sept^{em} 1884

The
win
h
u

Communicated to the
Suptg. Engineer I Circle,
with adverstence to para 1
of the order in the
Financial Department.

(Signed) J. J.

Issued

Recd.

Encl.

G.O., 20th August 1884, No. 1926.

N^o 2274 May 15th Sept 1884

AUGUST.

Municipal—Cantonments.

Passing orders on correspondence relative to a dispute between the Officer Commanding Berhampore and the municipal commissioners of that station in respect to a latrine.

S.E. I Circle

With order

Paper taken out of the Records from the charge of the Referencer.

Ordinary
Routine No. 2248, dated 15-12-1894.

22ND AUGUST 1884, No. 959, REVENUE.

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS.
REVENUE DEPARTMENT.

READ—the following letter from T. W. HOLDERNESS, Esq., C.S., Under Secretary to the Government of India, Revenue and Agricultural Department (Meteorology), to the Secretary to the Government of Madras, dated Simla, 12th August 1884, No. 106 (Meteorology):—

In compliance with the request contained in your letter, No. 820, dated the 7th ultimo, I am directed to forward, for the information of His Excellency the Governor in Council, copy of a memorandum by the Meteorological Reporter to the Government of India on the suggestions offered by Professor Michie Smith with regard to the construction of rain-gauges.

ENCLOSURE.

MEMORANDUM.

The suggestion offered by Professor Michie Smith is well worthy of trial, but until the validity of the arrangement proposed by him shall have been established by a prolonged course of comparative observations, I think it would be premature to assume that it is free from the same difficulties and objections that detract from the trustworthiness of Mr. Hutchins' gauge.

2. These difficulties are that in Hutchins' arrangement it has been assumed that the same amount of evaporation takes place in a rain-gauge and evapometer of a certain construction placed side by side, and experience shows that this is not the case, the loss by evaporation from the evapometer being in excess of that from the rain-gauge and variably so. Mr. Michie Smith assumes that, if two rain-gauges be used differing only in the size of the funnels, the evaporation will be the same in both.

3. This assumption is probably valid so long as the quantity of water is the same in both, but it by no means follows that this will also be the case when, after collecting, say, a month's rainfall, the depth of water in the two gauges is very different, and from such experience as I have had with Hutchins' gauge, I am inclined to attribute to this cause and not to the difference of the covers of the gauge and evapometer, the inequality of the loss which is the difficulty to be overcome.

4. Mr. Michie Smith does not say whether he proposes to bury the receivers of his gauges below the ground surface or to allow them to stand on the ground, and this is an important point. I should not be prepared to say that in either case the conditions assumed by him would be fulfilled, but there can be little doubt that the comparative results would be different in the two cases.

5. I am still carrying on a course of experimental observation with a gauge on Mr. Hutchins' principle, which I have lately further modified. I will have gauges constructed also to test Mr. Michie Smith's arrangement, with the receivers in the one case buried, in the other exposed.

(Signed) H. F. BLANFORD,
Meteorological Reporter to the Govt. of India.

ORDER—dated 22nd August 1884, No. 959, Revenue.

Miscellaneous.

Communicated to the Board of Revenue.

(True Extract.)

(Signed) H. E. STOKES,
Secretary to Government.To the Board of Revenue.
„ the Meteorological Reporter to Government.
„ C. Michie Smith, Esq.„ *The Public Works Dept.*

Exd. J. W. Fischer.

70 Copies.

P. W. D.
Govt. of (1884) Madras.
REVENUE.

N^o 2273 Wd 15th Sept 1884.

Communicated to
Suptg. Engineer, in
Continuation of G.O.
1132 Wd 6th May 1884.

(Signed) J. M.

Issued

Recd.

Encl.

G.O., 22nd August 1884, No. 959.

N^o 2273 Wd 15th Sept 1884.

AUGUST.

Rain-gauges.

Letter from the Government of India forward-
ing copy of a memorandum by the Meteorological
Reporter on the suggestions offered by Professor
Michie Smith with regard to the construction of
—, communicated to the Board of Revenue.

With order

22ND AUGUST 1884, No. 959, REVENUE.

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS.
REVENUE DEPARTMENT.

READ—the following letter from T. W. HOLDERNESS, Esq., C.S., Under Secretary
to the Government of India, Revenue and Agricultural Department (Meteor-
ology), to the Secretary to the Government of Madras, dated Simla, 12th
August 1884, No. 106 (Meteorology):—

In compliance with the request contained in your letter, No. 820, dated the 7th
ultimo, I am directed to forward, for the information of His Excellency the Governor
in Council, copy of a memorandum by the Meteorological Reporter to the Govern-
ment of India on the suggestions offered by Professor Michie Smith with regard to the
construction of rain-gauges.

ENCLOSURE.

MEMORANDUM.

The suggestion offered by Professor Michie Smith is well worthy of trial, but until the
validity of the arrangement proposed by him shall have been established by a prolonged course
of comparative observations, I think it would be premature to assume that it is free from the
same difficulties and objections that detract from the trustworthiness of Mr. Hutchins' gauge.

2. These difficulties are that in Hutchins' arrangement it has been assumed that the same
amount of evaporation takes place in a rain-gauge and evapometer of a certain construction
placed side by side, and experience shows that this is not the case, the loss by evaporation from
the evapometer being in excess of that from the rain-gauge and variably so. Mr. Michie Smith
assumes that, if two rain-gauges be used differing only in the size of the funnels, the evaporation
will be the same in both.

3. This assumption is probably valid so long as the quantity of water is the same in both,
but it by no means follows that this will also be the case when, after collecting, say, a month's
rainfall, the depth of water in the two gauges is very different, and from such experience as
I have had with Hutchins' gauge, I am inclined to attribute to this cause and not to the
difference of the covers of the gauge and evapometer, the inequality of the loss which is the
difficulty to be overcome.

4. Mr. Michie Smith does not say whether he proposes to bury the receivers of his gauges
below the ground surface or to allow them to stand on the ground, and this is an important
point. I should not be prepared to say that in either case the conditions assumed by him
would be fulfilled, but there can be little doubt that the comparative results would be different
in the two cases.

5. I am still carrying on a course of experimental observation with a gauge on Mr.
Hutchins' principle, which I have lately further modified. I will have gauges constructed also
to test Mr. Michie Smith's arrangement, with the receivers in the one case buried, in the other
exposed.

(Signed) H. F. BLANFORD,
Meteorological Reporter to the Govt. of India.

J. E. S.

Supt. of Works J. M.

22ND AUGUST 1884, No. 959, REVENUE.

ORDER—dated 22nd August 1884, No. 959, Revenue.

Miscellaneous.

Communicated to the Board of Revenue.

(True Extract.)

W. Fisher
Secretary to Government.

To the Board of Revenue.
„ the Meteorological Reporter to Government.
„ C. Michie Smith, Esq.
„ Public Works Department

Exd. J. W. Fischer.

70 Copies.

of two copies will be sent to the same office

101029W. 22.4.84.
1132W. 6.5.84.

Govt. of (1884) Madras.
REVENUE.



Issued

Recd. 10/9/84.

Encl.

G.O., 22nd August 1884, No. 959.

AUGUST.

Rain-gauges.

Letter from the Government of India forwarding copy of a memorandum by the Meteorological Reporter on the suggestions offered by Professor Michie Smith with regard to the construction of —, communicated to the Board of Revenue.

one copy sent to only

with order.

Communicated
to Suply. Engineers,
in continuation of G.O.
1132 Wd/6. May 1884.

1132 Wd/6. May 1884.

S. Eo.
Suply. of 10 Rs. J. M.

1132 Wd/6. May 1884.

From

No. 63 W.

THE EXAMINER,
PUBLIC WORKS ACCOUNTS,
MADRAS,

To

The Secretary to Government,

Public Works Department,
Madras, 9th September

1884.

Copy of the following forwarded to the Secretary
to Government P.W. Department, for information.

D. P. Curdson
Examiner P.W. Accounts.

Copy of letter from the Examiner P.W. Accounts
Madras, to the Accountant General P.W. Department, No. 107A dated 8th
September 1884.

I have the honor to submit for your information
copy of Madras G.O. No. 3143 W. dated 29th. August 1884,
received by me on 7th. Instant.

2. I also enclose copies of correspondence which has
Telegram from Madras Works dated 13th. August 1884
Memorandum from Secy. to Govt. P.W. Department
No. 474 E. dated 14th. August 1884.
do No. 508 E. dated 19th. August 1884.
been omitted from the G.O.,

to complete the case for your information.

3. I further enclose copy of G.O. No. 1455 W. of 11th.
June 1884, and beg to invite your attention to paras 3 and 4
of my letter therein set out, and to the order then passed,

compared

N W D.

compared with the order passed in G.O.No.2142 w.dated
29th. Ultimo.

(True Copy)

G. E. Patton

Supt. Correspondence Branch.

D.

ks
t-

m
of
al
ie
n
d
l
f
r

to
in
113

J
C

11/29/9

Depth: he 15
2142 W of 29-8-84

L.O. 2142 W of 29-8-84

1455 W of 11-6-84

FROM,

The Examiner P.W. Accounts,

Madras.

TO,

The Secretary to Government,

P.W. Department.

Ootacamund.



No. 63 W.

Dated 9th September 1884.

Recd. 13

Mr. Thorowgood Suptt. Madras
Harbor Works. Forward copy of a letter
addressed to the Accountant General
P.W. Department, about the pay of
while in England on special duty.

Recorded
11-9-84

17 W.D.

Mch. 7000

27TH AUGUST 1884; No. 827, PENSION.

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS.

PENSION DEPARTMENT.

READ—the following papers :—

From the Superintending Engineer, I circle, dated 29th May 1884, No. 286-G.D.

Certificate and report from D. C. GORDON, Esq., Examiner of Public Works Accounts, to the Acting Under Secretary to Government, Pension department, dated Madras, 14th August 1884, No. 842-A.R.

Certified that should Government be pleased to treat the applicant's services from 1st January 1847 to 31st August 1866 as qualifying inferior service on the strength of the applicant's affidavit, statements of two contemporary servants, three original certificates of character, and on the specific opinion of the Executive Engineer, that the whole service has been established and should be admitted, qualifying service in inferior grades will amount to about 37 years 1 month and 17 days, and that an invalid pension not exceeding Rs. (2-8-0) two and annas eight only per mensem, equal to half of his pay at Rs. 5 per mensem, will be admissible with effect from the date of the receipt of the Government Order granting the pension, or from date of relief under sections 106 and 121 (b) of Civil Pension Code (6th edition).

2. The calculations have been duly verified. The invalid pension, if sanctioned, is chargeable to General (now Provincial) Revenues.

3. With reference to section 134, rule 4, attention is invited to section 69 of the Civil Pension Code (6th edition).

ORDER—dated 27th August 1884, No. 827, Pension.

Under sections 106 and 121 (b) of the Civil Pension Code (6th edition), the Right Honorable the Governor in Council is pleased to grant to Rekapilli Narsimlu, late delta lascar, Godavari district, Public Works department, an invalid pension of Rs. (2-8-0) two and annas eight per mensem, payable at Rajahmundry from the date of receipt of this order, in consideration of his qualifying inferior service of 37 years 1 month and 17 days.

2. The retention of the applicant in service after the date of medical certificate of unfitness has been sanctioned under section 109, rule 1, of the above code.

(True Extract.)

E. S. LAFFAN,
Ag. Under Secy. to Govt.

To the Accountant-General, with register.
" Examiner of Public Works Accounts.
" Public Works department.
" Superintending Engineer, I circle.
" Collector of Godavari.

Exd. C. Howarth.

Govt. of (1884) Madras.

PENSION.

Recorded.

sy J. S.

Issued

Recd.

Encl.

G.O., 27th August 1884, No. 827:

AUGUST.

Pension.

Granting an invalid — of Rs. 2-8-0 per mensem to R. Narsimlu, late delta lascar, Godáviri district, Public Works department.

27TH AUGUST 1884, No. 827, PENSION.

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS.

PENSION DEPARTMENT.

READ—the following papers :—

From the Superintending Engineer, I circle, dated 29th May 1884, No. 286-G.D.

Certificate and report from D. C. GORDON, Esq., Examiner of Public Works Accounts, to the Acting Under Secretary to Government, Pension department, dated Madras, 14th August 1884, No. 842-A.R.

Certified that should Government be pleased to treat the applicant's services from 1st January 1847 to 31st August 1866 as qualifying inferior service on the strength of the applicant's affidavit, statements of two contemporary servants, three original certificates of character, and on the specific opinion of the Executive Engineer, that the whole service has been established and should be admitted, qualifying service in inferior grades will amount to about 37 years 1 month and 17 days, and that an invalid pension not exceeding Rs. (2-8-0) two and annas eight only per mensem, equal to half of his pay at Rs. 5 per mensem, will be admissible with effect from the date of the receipt of the Government Order granting the pension, or from date of relief under sections 106 and 121 (b) of Civil Pension Code (6th edition).

2. The calculations have been duly verified. The invalid pension, if sanctioned, is chargeable to General (now Provincial) Revenues.

3. With reference to section 134, rule 4, attention is invited to section 69 of the Civil Pension Code (6th edition).

ORDER—dated 27th August 1884, No. 827, Pension.

Under sections 106 and 121 (b) of the Civil Pension Code (6th edition), the Right Honorable the Governor in Council is pleased to grant to Rekapilli Narsimlu, late delta lascar, Godáviri district, Public Works department, an invalid pension of Rs. (2-8-0) two and annas eight per mensem, payable at Rajahmundry from the date of receipt of this order, in consideration of his qualifying inferior service of 37 years 1 month and 17 days.

2. The retention of the applicant in service after the date of medical certificate of unfitness has been sanctioned under section 109, rule 1, of the above code.

(True Extract.)

E. S. LAFFAN,
Ag. Under Secy. to Govt.

To the Accountant-General, with register.
" Examiner of Public Works Accounts.
" Public Works department.
" Superintending Engineer, I circle.
" Collector of Godáviri.

Exd. C. Howarth.

Govt. of 1884 Madras.

PENSION.

Recorded.

sy J.L.H.

Issued

Recd.

Encl.

G.O., 27th August 1884, No. 827.

AUGUST.

Pension.

Granting an invalid — of Rs. 2-8-0 per mensem to R. Narsimlu, late delta lascar, Godáviri district, Public Works department.

27TH AUGUST 1884, No. 827, PENSION.

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS.

PENSION DEPARTMENT.

READ—the following papers :—

From the Superintending Engineer, I circle, dated 29th May 1884, No. 286-G.D.

Certificate and report from D. C. GORDON, Esq., Examiner of Public Works Accounts, to the Acting Under Secretary to Government, Pension department, dated Madras, 14th August 1884, No. 842-A.R.

Certified that should Government be pleased to treat the applicant's services from 1st January 1847 to 31st August 1866 as qualifying inferior service on the strength of the applicant's affidavit, statements of two contemporary servants, three original certificates of character, and on the specific opinion of the Executive Engineer, that the whole service has been established and should be admitted, qualifying service in inferior grades will amount to about 37 years 1 month and 17 days, and that an invalid pension not exceeding Rs. (2-8-0) two and annas eight only per mensem, equal to half of his pay at Rs. 5 per mensem, will be admissible with effect from the date of the receipt of the Government Order granting the pension, or from date of relief under sections 106 and 121 (b) of Civil Pension Code (6th edition).

2. The calculations have been duly verified. The invalid pension, if sanctioned, is chargeable to General (now Provincial) Revenues.

3. With reference to section 134, rule 4, attention is invited to section 69 of the Civil Pension Code (6th edition).

ORDER—dated 27th August 1884, No. 827, Pension.

Under sections 106 and 121 (b) of the Civil Pension Code (6th edition), the Right Honorable the Governor in Council is pleased to grant to Rekapilli Narsimlu, late delta lascar, Godáviri district, Public Works department, an invalid pension of Rs. (2-8-0) two and annas eight per mensem, payable at Rajahmundry from the date of receipt of this order, in consideration of his qualifying inferior service of 37 years 1 month and 17 days.

2. The retention of the applicant in service after the date of medical certificate of unfitness has been sanctioned under section 109, rule 1, of the above code.

(True Extract.)

L. S. S. S.

Ag. Under Secy. to Govt.

To the Accountant-General, with register.
„ Examiner of Public Works Accounts.
„ Public Works department. ✓
„ Superintending Engineer, I circle.
„ Collector of Godáviri.

Exd. C. Howarth.

Govt. of (1884) Madras.

PENSION.

Issued

Recd. 8/9/84

Encl.

G.O., 27th August 1884, No. 827.

AUGUST.

Pension.

Granting an invalid — of Rs. 2-8-0 per mensem to R. Narsimlu, late delta lascar, Godavari district, Public Works department.

28TH AUGUST 1884, No. 835, PENSION.

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS.

PENSION DEPARTMENT.

READ—the following paper:—

From J. W. RUNDALL, Esq., Superintending Engineer, II Circle, to the Examiner of Public Works Accounts, dated Nellore, 14th July 1884, No. 120-G.L.

With reference to your letter, No. 18, of the 23rd April 1884, communicating G.O., No. 257, Pension department, of the 24th March last, stating that Government are of opinion that the members of the Imperial petty establishment are now entitled to pay in lieu of notice, I have the honor to forward, herewith, a statement showing the gratuities claimed for such members in the Kistna eastern division, and to request that orders of Government may be obtained for the disbursement of the amounts due to them.

2. The Executive Engineers of the other divisions in the circle report that there are no parties entitled to such gratuities in their divisions.

No. 475-S.E.-II.

Endorsement on the above.

Forwarded in original to the Secretary to Government, Pension department, for favor of sanction. The amounts of gratuity in lieu of shortness of notice entered as admissible in column 10 of statement have been checked and found correct.

2. The Superintending Engineer, II Circle, has been requested to ascertain and intimate the exact date on which maistry G. Mahomed Saib (item No. 1) entered upon the duties of his new appointment. His reply will, on receipt, be forwarded for the information of Government.

(Signed) D. C. GORDON,
Examiner, Public Works Accounts.

29th July 1884.

ORDER—dated 28th August 1884, No. 835, Pension.

Payment of gratuities in lieu of notice to the several individuals included in the statement received with the foregoing endorsement is sanctioned, except in the case of G. Mahomed Saib, No. 1, which will be dealt with on the receipt of the further information called for by the Examiner of Public Works Accounts.

(True Extract.)

E. S. LAFFAN,
Ag. Under Secy. to Govt.

To the Examiner of Public Works Accounts.

" Public Works department.
" Superintending Engineer, II Circle.
" Collector of the Kistna district, with statement.
" Accountant-General, with statement.

Exd. A. F. Goodall.

No 2267 Wd/13 Sept 1884
Govt. of 1884 Madras.

PENSION.

Recorded.

(Signed) JH

Issued

Recd.

Encl.

G.O., 28th August 1884, No. 835.

No 2267 Wd/13 Sept 1884

AUGUST.

Gratuities.

Sanctioning payment of — in lieu of notice to certain individuals of Public Works department.

28TH AUGUST 1884, No. 835, PENSION.

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS.

PENSION DEPARTMENT.

READ—the following paper :—

From J. W. RUNDALL, Esq., Superintending Engineer, II Circle, to the Examiner of Public Works Accounts, dated Nellore, 14th July 1884, No. 120-G.L.

With reference to your letter, No. 18, of the 23rd April 1884, communicating G.O., No. 257, Pension department, of the 24th March last, stating that Government are of opinion that the members of the Imperial petty establishment are now entitled to pay in lieu of notice, I have the honor to forward, herewith, a statement showing the gratuities claimed for such members in the Kistna eastern division, and to request that orders of Government may be obtained for the disbursement of the amounts due to them.

2. The Executive Engineers of the other divisions in the circle report that there are no parties entitled to such gratuities in their divisions.

No. 475-S.E.-II.

Endorsement on the above.

Forwarded in original to the Secretary to Government, Pension department, for favor of sanction. The amounts of gratuity in lieu of shortness of notice entered as admissible in column 10 of statement have been checked and found correct.

2. The Superintending Engineer, II Circle, has been requested to ascertain and intimate the exact date on which maistry G. Mahomed Saib (item No. 1) entered upon the duties of his new appointment. His reply will, on receipt, be forwarded for the information of Government.

(Signed) D. C. GORDON,
Examiner, Public Works Accounts.

29th July 1884.

ORDER—dated 28th August 1884, No. 835, Pension.

Payment of gratuities in lieu of notice to the several individuals included in the statement received with the foregoing endorsement is sanctioned, except in the case of G. Mahomed Saib, No. 1, which will be dealt with on the receipt of the further information called for by the Examiner of Public Works Accounts.

(True Extract.)

E. S. LAFFAN,
Ag. Under Secy. to Govt.

To the Examiner of Public Works Accounts.
" Public Works department.
" Superintending Engineer, II Circle.
" Collector of the Kistna district, with statement.
" Accountant-General, with statement.

Exd. A. F. Goodall.

N^o 2267 May 13 Sept 1884

Govt. of (1884) Madras.

PENSION.

—

2267
May 13 Sept 1884

Recorded

(Signed) JH

Issued

Recd.

Encl.

G.O., 28th August 1884, No. 835.

N^o 2267 May 13 Sept 1884

AUGUST.

Gratuities.

Sanctioning payment of — in lieu of notice to certain individuals of Public Works department.

28TH AUGUST 1884, No. 835, PENSION.

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS.

PENSION DEPARTMENT.

READ—the following paper :—

From J. W. RUNDALL, Esq., Superintending Engineer, II Circle, to the Examiner of Public Works Accounts, dated Nellore, 14th July 1884, No. 120-G.L.

With reference to your letter, No. 18, of the 23rd April 1884, communicating G.O., No. 257, Pension department, of the 24th March last, stating that Government are of opinion that the members of the Imperial petty establishment are now entitled to pay in lieu of notice, I have the honor to forward, herewith, a statement showing the gratuities claimed for such members in the Kistna eastern division, and to request that orders of Government may be obtained for the disbursement of the amounts due to them.

2. The Executive Engineers of the other divisions in the circle report that there are no parties entitled to such gratuities in their divisions.

No. 475-S.E.-II.

Endorsement on the above.

Forwarded in original to the Secretary to Government, Pension department, for favor of sanction. The amounts of gratuity in lieu of shortness of notice entered as admissible in column 10 of statement have been checked and found correct.

2. The Superintending Engineer, II Circle, has been requested to ascertain and intimate the exact date on which maistry G. Mahomed Saib (item No. 1) entered upon the duties of his new appointment. His reply will, on receipt, be forwarded for the information of Government.

29th July 1884.

(Signed) D. C. GORDON,
Examiner, Public Works Accounts.

ORDER—dated 28th August 1884, No. 835, Pension.

Payment of gratuities in lieu of notice to the several individuals included in the statement received with the foregoing endorsement is sanctioned, except in the case of G. Mahomed Saib, No. 1, which will be dealt with on the receipt of the further information called for by the Examiner of Public Works Accounts.

(True Extract.)

Signature

Ag. Under Secy. to Govt.

To the Examiner of Public Works Accounts.

- „ Public Works department.
- „ Superintending Engineer, II Circle.
- „ Collector of the Kistna district, with statement.
- „ Accountant-General, with statement.

Exd. A. F. Goodall.

2267 W of 13th Sept 84
B

Recorded
Recorded

Cl.
9.9.84

W.
10.9.84

Govt. of (1884) Madras.
PENSION.

(18)

2 S.C.

Issued

Recd. 8/9/84

Encl.

G.O., 28th August 1884, No. 835.

2267 W of 13th Sept 84

AUGUST.

Gratuities.

Sanctioning payment of — in lieu of notice to certain individuals of Public Works department.

0.L. 48/9

24/9 E. T.C. 15/9

With order

Financial Department
Proceedings of the Madras Govt.
Read the following

No. 2645.

GOVERNMENT OF INDIA.

DEPARTMENT OF FINANCE AND COMMERCE.

SALARIES, ESTABLISHMENTS, &c.
Post Office.

RESOLUTION.

Simla, the 18th August 1884.

READ—

Letter from the Government of Bombay, No. 2018, dated the 7th June 1884.

RESOLUTION.—The Government of Bombay has referred for the orders of the Government of India a case in which the Sub Post-Master at Thané Bala Khan, in Sind, was appointed Pound Munshi of that place in addition to his regular duties. It is explained that the combination of these two appointments is often found to be a convenient way of extending the advantages of the Postal Department more widely in Sind than the funds at the disposal of the Post Master General would otherwise permit him to do. But under Section 40A of the Pay and Acting Allowance Code the sanction of the Government of India is necessary before the pay of both appointments can be drawn by the one person. The Government of India is accordingly asked to sanction this arrangement as well as similar arrangements whenever, in the opinion of the Local Government, they may be considered desirable.

2. The Government of India considers that the combination of these two appointments is in this case expedient, and the orders of the Government of Bombay are confirmed. The Local Government is also authorised to sanction the holding of any two appointments, one being that of Post Master, by one officer, provided the total emoluments of the officer holding the appointments do not exceed Rs. 150 a month.

Ordered, that a copy of this Resolution be forwarded to the several Local Governments, the Comptroller General, the Comptroller of Indian Treasuries, and the several Accountants General and Comptrollers for information and guidance.

Ordered also, that a copy be forwarded to the Director General of the Post Office for information.

J. E. O'CONOR,

Asst. Secy. to the Govt. of India.

Order, 1st September 1884 No. 205/1115

Recorded

True Extract

To the P. Secy
Rev
Secretary

H. J. J. J.
Asst. Secy

10.11.9.84 R. 15/9.84

GOVERNMENT OF INDIA.
DEPARTMENT OF FINANCE AND
COMMERCE.

SALARIES, ESTABLISHMENTS, &c.
Post Office.

RESOLUTION.

To
LOCAL GOVERNMENTS, THE SEVERAL ACCOUNTS
OFFICERS, AND THE DIRECTOR GENERAL
OF THE POST OFFICE.

No. 2645.

Dated Simla, the 18th August 1894.

Authorises Local Governments to sanction the
combination of two appointments, one of which
is that of Post Master, subject to the condition
specified.

[6]

Govt. of (188) Madras.

(13)

To

The Public Works Dept

N: 2266 W a / 13th Sept/84.

19

Recorded.
Recorded

Cy.

4.9.84

W.S.

10.9.84

22/9/84.

Proceedings, dated 1st Sept 1884.
No. 2057 Mi

N: 2266 W a / 13th Sept/84
Subject.

Recording letter from
the Govt of Andra, autho-
rizing Local Govts to
Sanction the Combination
of two appointments, one
of which is that of Post
Master.

With order

Ad. 46/9

Ad. E.T.C. 18/9

0.9.9.84. 2.13.9.84

17/9

10.11.9.84 R. 15/9.84

NOTES.

REFERENCE TO MADRAS P. W. D. CODE.

CHAPTER XIV.—PARAS. 1829 TO 1832.

1. The object of this Return is to place before Government an analysis of all shortcomings in matters of financial regularity in the operations of each month with a view to their being remedied. It should be submitted to Government on the first day of the third month succeeding that to which the accounts relate.

2. There should be a separate statement for each separate Fund—Imperial, Provincial, Local, and Irrigation.

3. The Provincial statement will be posted from the Divisional Statements of Objectionable Expenditure. The statement need not be delayed in order to embody the remarks of the Superintending Engineers if these do not reach the Examiner's Office in time. It is desirable, however, that the Superintending Engineer's opinion should be recorded as far as may be practicable, and, in order to ensure this being done, the Divisional Statement of Objectionable Items should be checked immediately on receipt and sent to the Superintending Engineer for his remarks before the audit of the other accounts is proceeded with.

4. All Executive Officers who have failed to submit their Divisional Statements of Objectionable Items with their Monthly Accounts, as well as those whose statements are generally incomplete, should be specially brought to the notice of Government under "General Remarks" at the end of the statement.

5. Items of irregular expenditure which are left uninclosed by Executive Engineers in their Divisional Statements of Objectionable Items should be distinctly noted as such either in a separate supplementary statement or in the original statement in red ink.

6. Columns under all the heads should be filled up in each case so as to bring out clearly where estimate or appropriation exists and where it does not.

7. In the case of large projects such important features as the following should be stated with precision:—

- | | |
|--|--|
| A. Lump sum estimate. | 1. Submitted to Chief Engineer. |
| B. Abstract detail estimate by sub-heads, and so on. | 2. Submitted to Superintending Engineer. |
| | 3. Not yet submitted. |

8. A large work which is proceeded with on merely a lump sum sanction is still objectionable till an abstract and detail estimate by sub-heads is submitted and sanctioned for it by competent authority.

9. Special mention should be made of works being carried out in portions by authorities, beyond whose powers of sanction it would be to sanction the expenditure as a whole.

10. An important point to notice is the duration of a serious irregularity.

11. Voluminous masses of detail, when their practical significance could be given in a few lines, should always be avoided as a rule. If, for instance, the state of matters as to sanction in one month does not materially differ from that of a preceding month, it will answer all practical purposes to say so, instead of sending another full report.

12. Too much stress can never be laid on the fact that this statement is not to take place of special reports, then and there, of works on which serious irregular expenditure is being largely incurred, either without estimate or appropriation.

P. W. D. Madras.
Form No. M 64 a.

CENTRAL OFFICE OF ACCOUNTS.

CIRCLE *Madras Harbour Works*

FUND *Transfers*

Civil Department

Provincial Statement of Objectionable Items

in the Accounts of Executive Engineers

for the Month of *June* 188*4*.

No. _____

MADRAS.

Dated *28 August* 188*4*.

FORWARDED for orders to the Secretary to Government, Public Works Department, Madras.

No. 2265 W of 13. Sept 82

D. P. E. ...

Examiner of P. W. Accounts,

Madras.

B. No 629 W of 4. 3. 84
" 961 W of 5. 4. 84
" 1123 W of 9. 5. 84
" 1570 W of 18. 6. 84
" 1723 W of 12. 7. 84
" 1969 W of 12. 8. 84

With Order

23/9

12. 529. 84 W of 4. 4. 84

10. 11. 9. 84 R. 15. 9. 84 W of 18/9

NOTES.

REFERENCE TO MADRAS P. W. D. CODE.

CHAPTER XIV.—PARAS. 1829 TO 1832.

1. The object of this Return is to place before Government an analysis of all shortcomings in matters of financial regularity in the operations of each month with a view to their being remedied. It should be submitted to Government on the first day of the third month succeeding that to which the accounts relate.

2. There should be a separate statement for each separate Fund—Imperial, Provincial, Local, and Irrigation.

3. The Provincial statement will be posted from the Divisional Statements of Objectionable Expenditure. The statement need not be delayed in order to embody the remarks of the Superintending Engineer if these do not reach the Examiner's Office in time. It is desirable, however, that the Superintending Engineer's opinion should be recorded as far as may be practicable, and, in order to ensure this being done, the Divisional Statement of Objectionable Items should be checked immediately on receipt and sent to the Superintending Engineer for his remarks before the audit of the other accounts is proceeded with.

4. All Executive Officers who have failed to submit their Divisional Statements of Objectionable Items with their Monthly Accounts, as well as those whose statements are generally incomplete, should be specially brought to the notice of Government under "General Remarks" at the end of the statement.

5. Items of irregular expenditure which are left uninclosed by Executive Engineers in their Divisional Statements of Objectionable Items should be distinctly noted as such either in a separate supplementary statement or in the original statement in red ink.

6. Columns under all the heads should be filled up in each case so as to bring out clearly where estimate or appropriation exists and where it does not.

7. In the case of large projects such important features as the following should be stated with precision:—

A. Lump sum estimate. 1. Submitted to Chief Engineer.
B. Abstract detail estimate by sub-heads, and so on. 2. Submitted to Superintending Engineer.
3. Not yet submitted.

8. A large work which is proceeded with on merely a lump sum sanction is still objectionable till an abstract and detail estimate by sub-heads is submitted and sanctioned for it by competent authority.

9. Special mention should be made of works being carried out in portions by authorities, beyond whose powers of sanction it would be to sanction the expenditure as a whole.

10. An important point to notice is the duration of a serious irregularity.

11. Voluminous masses of detail, when their practical significance could be given in a few lines, should always be avoided as a rule. If, for instance, the state of matters as to sanction in one month does not materially differ from that of a preceding month, it will answer all practical purposes to say so, instead of sending another full report.

12. Too much stress can never be laid on the fact that this statement is not to take place of special reports, then and there, of works on which serious irregular expenditure is being largely incurred, either without estimate or appropriation.

B. No 629 W 4. 3.84
" 941 W 5. 4.84
" 1123 W 9. 5.84
" 1570 W 18. 6.84
" 1723 W 12. 7.84
" 1969 W 12. 8.84

O.L.S. 23/9
a. 529.84 W. 11.9.84

20/9/84
E. 1579

With Order

CENTRAL OFFICE OF ACCOUNTS.

CIRCLE Madras Harbour Works

FUND Transfers

Civil Department

Provincial Statement of Objectionable Items

in the Accounts of Executive Engineers

for the Month of June 1884.

No. _____

MADRAS,

Dated 28th August 1884.

FORWARDED for orders to the Secretary to Government, Public Works Department, Madras.

D. P. Candour

Examiner of P. W. Accounts,

Madras.

NOTES.

REFERENCE TO MADRAS P. W. D. CODE.

CHAPTER XIV.—PARAS. 1829 TO 1832.

1. The object of this Return is to place before Government an analysis of all shortcomings in matters of financial regularity in the operations of each month with a view to their being remedied. It should be submitted to Government on the first day of the third month succeeding that to which the accounts relate.

2. There should be a separate statement for each separate Fund—Imperial, Provincial, Local, and Irrigation.

3. The Provincial statement will be posted from the Divisional Statements of Objectionable Expenditure. The statement need not be delayed in order to embody the remarks of the Superintending Engineer if these do not reach the Examiner's Office in time. It is desirable, however, that the Superintending Engineer's opinion should be recorded as far as may be practicable, and, in order to ensure this being done, the Divisional Statement of Objectionable Items should be checked immediately on receipt and sent to the Superintending Engineer for his remarks before the audit of the other accounts is proceeded with.

4. All Executive Officers who have failed to submit their Divisional Statements of Objectionable Items with their Monthly Accounts, as well as those whose statements are generally incomplete, should be specially brought to the notice of Government under "General Remarks" at the end of the statement.

5. Items of irregular expenditure which are left uninclosed by Executive Engineers in their Divisional Statements of Objectionable Items should be distinctly noted as such either in a separate supplementary statement or in the original statement in red ink.

6. Columns under all the heads should be filled up in each case so as to bring out clearly where estimate or appropriation exists and where it does not.

7. In the case of large projects such important features as the following should be stated with precision:—

A. Lump sum estimate. 1. Submitted to Chief Engineer.
B. Abstract detail estimate by sub-heads, and so on. 2. Submitted to Superintending Engineer.
3. Not yet submitted.

8. A large work which is proceeded with on merely a lump sum sanction is still objectionable till an abstract and detail estimate by sub-heads is submitted and sanctioned for it by competent authority.

9. Special mention should be made of works being carried out in portions by authorities, beyond whose powers of sanction it would be to sanction the expenditure as a whole.

10. An important point to notice is the duration of a serious irregularity.

11. Voluminous masses of detail, when their practical significance could be given in a few lines, should always be avoided as a rule. If, for instance, the state of matters as to sanction in one month does not materially differ from that of a preceding month, it will answer all practical purposes to say so, instead of sending another full report.

12. Too much stress can never be laid on the fact that this statement is not to take place of special reports, then and there, of works on which serious irregular expenditure is being largely incurred, either without estimate or appropriation.

B. No 670 W 12. 3.84
" 1218 W 13. 5.84
G.B. No 396 W 11. 2.84
B. No 1564 W 20. 6.84
" 1732 W 11. 7.84
" 1988 W 13. 8.84

O.L.S. 23/9
a. 5.9.84 W. 11.9.84

P. W. D. Madras.
Form No. M 64 a.

CENTRAL OFFICE OF ACCOUNTS.

CIRCLE Architect (Division)

FUND Provincial and Imperial

Provincial Statement of Objectionable Items

in the Accounts of Executive Engineers

for the Month of June 1884.

No. _____

MADRAS,

Dated 28th August 1884.

FORWARDED for orders to the Secretary to Government, Public Works Department, Madras.

D. P. Candour

Examiner of P. W. Accounts,

Madras.

With Order

of Executive Engineers for the Month of
orders of Government.

June

Provincial Statement of Objectionable Items in the Accounts
requiring the

Item No.	Particulars of each Item.	Month in the Accounts of which Objectionable Expenditure first appeared.	COMPARISON WITH ESTIMATE.			COMPARISON WITH APPROPRIATION OF THE YEAR.			Expenditure during the Month.
			Amount of Estimate.	Expenditure up to Date.	Excess over Estimate.	Amount of Appropriation.	Expenditure of the Year.	Excess over Appropriation.	
	<u>Provincial Without Estimate</u>								
1	Tools and Plant, Mathematical Instruments for Sergeant Smith R.E. _____	April 1880		367	367				105
	<u>Miscellaneous Irregularities</u> <u>Works for which Revised Estimates are required</u>								
2	Widening Promenade between Napier Bridge and Triplicane Creek and other Improvements Sea to Chepauk Park _____	March 1880	78225	57,493					2796
3	<u>The amount due by Messrs. Mansfield Bremner & Co. on account of advance for Hakrood for the Post and Telegraph Offices at Madras _____</u>	June 1883		2860					

Opinion of the Superintending Engineer, with final Remarks by Examiner.

Orders by Government.

Sanction to Estimate awaited.

Sanctioned - See G.O. No. 2072 M of 22nd August 1884.

Sanction to Revised Estimate still awaited.

Sanctioned - See G.O. No. 2115 M of 27th August 1884.

Final Orders still awaited.

Still under reference - Still under reference.

Provincial Statement of Objectionable Items in the Accounts requiring the

Item No.	Particulars of each Item.	Month in the Accounts of which Objectionable Expenditure first appeared.	COMPARISON WITH ESTIMATE.			COMPARISON WITH APPROPRIATION OF THE YEAR.			Expenditure during the Month.
			Amount of Estimate.	Expenditure up to Date.	Excess over Estimate.	Amount of Appropriation.	Expenditure of the Year.	Excess over Appropriation.	
	<i>Confidential Excess over Estimate</i>								
4	Constructing Telegraph Office at Madras	March 1880	296,013	302,153	6,070				960
	<i>Without appropriation</i>								
5	Constructing Telegraph Office at Madras.	April 1880				"	5,988	5,988	960

of Executive Engineers for the Month of
orders of Government.

[P. W. D. Form No. M. 112—Inner Sheet.]

188 6

Opinion of the Superintending Engineer, with final Remarks by Examiner.

Orders by Government.

The Orders of Government to admit of the excess as promised on receipt of the Completion Report, are awaited.

Submission of a completion report is awaited.

Appropriation of Funds for this work is awaited.

Orders of the Govt. of India are awaited.

D. P. Gurusamy

Examiner, P. W. Dept.
Madras

28/8

Cy.
11.7.80

The Consty. Architect
through the Ex. of P. W. Dept.

Provincial Statement of Objectionable Items in the Accounts requiring the

of Executive Engineers for the Month of
orders of Government.

188

Opinion of the Superintending Engineer,
with final Remarks by Examiner.

Orders by Government.

Examiner of P. W. Accounts,

Madras.

GENERAL RECORD DEPARTMENT.

Paper taken out of the Records from
charge of the Record-keeper.

Department

E. M. C., or G. O., No.

Desp. from or to England, No.

Volume General No.

Date

To whom given

For Current No.

189
Department.

When taken out

Initials.

19TH AUGUST 1884, No. 1910, FINANCIAL.

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS.

FINANCIAL DEPARTMENT.

READ—the following papers :—

From D. C. GORDON, Esq., Examiner of Public Works Accounts, to the Chief
Secretary to Government, dated Madras, 2nd August 1884, No. 253-X.

With reference to your telegram of the 1st instant, I have the honor to forward,
herewith, a statement of outlay in the past three years on works at Ootacamund classed
in my books under "Original Works." A similar statement of outlay under "Repairs"
will follow.

ENCLOSURE.

STATEMENT showing Provincial Expenditure in Ootacamund during the last three years, viz., 1881-82 to 1883-84.

Names of Works.	Amount of Esti- mate.		Amount brought forward from previous year.		Outlay during 1881-82.		Outlay during 1882-83.		Outlay during 1883-84.		Total up to 1883-84.	
	RS.	A. P.	RS.	A. P.	RS.	A. P.	RS.	A. P.	RS.	A. P.	RS.	A. P.
PROVINCIAL.												
<i>Original Works—Civil Buildings.</i>												
1. Government House furniture charges, Ootacamund	7,79,150	0 0	3,08,341	13 2	1,000	0 0	1,000	0 0	1,19,673	2 2	2,000	0 0
2. Do. at Ootacamund	10,690	0 0	..	..	39,098	15 7	1,10,677	6 11	..	..	6,77,791	5 10
3. Purchasing and repairing Crew Hall bungalow with out-houses, and providing furniture so as to accommodate eight Government office clerks, Ootacamund.	4,430	0 0	239	14 3	5,812	10 11	1,076	8 0	2,249	6 8	11,330	0 11
4. Forming the enclosed ground around St. Thomas' Church at Ootacamund.	6,040	0 0	1,764	5 3	245	8 5	..	..	..	..	4,409	13 8
5. Additions to the warden's cottages of the European Prison at Ootacamund.	2,270	0 0	..	..	168	9 5	187	8 0	..	..	5,910	8 3
6. Enlarging the Military Secretary's room at the south end of the Stone-house building at Ootacamund.	1,000	0 0	160	15 6	252	15 10	638	4 10	..	..	2,197	0 8
7. Constructing a coach-house and stables at Stonehouse, Ootacamund	45,837	0 0	..	..	..	..	20,479	7 9	32,899	11 6	891	4 8
8. Extending the cemetery in Wellington at Ootacamund	4,766	0 0	..	..	..	..	1,371	2 2	..	..	329	8 11
9. Additions and improvements to the Government Secretariat offices, Stone-house, and new road of approach.	21,914	0 0	..	..	..	..	7,170	2 0	2,151	6 8	53,379	3 3
10. Additions and improvements to the Collector's cutcherry at Ootacamund.	2,790	0 0	..	..	..	..	840	0 0	1,902	10 10	3,422	8 10
11. Constructing quarters for three married clerks of the Secretariat at Ootacamund.	27,320	0 0	..	..	..	..	..	..	1,301	2 0	9,072	12 10
12. Constructing a block of quarters for coolies on the borders of the Stone-house hill property at Ootacamund.	84,710	0 0	..	..	..	..	9,103	3 4	14,314	14 1	2,141	2 0
13. Constructing two barracks and latrines at Stonehouse and one barrack and latrine at Kandal for a company of Queen's Own Sappers and Miners.	220	0 0	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	23,418	1 5
14. Purchase of the Bombay Castle property at Ootacamund	1,097	0 0	..	..	..	..	71,568	5 0	13,558	13 9	85,127	2 9
15. Constructing a small latrine on the Stonehouse hill at Ootacamund	25,820	0 0	..	..	..	..	131	0 0	75	0 0	206	0 0
16. Erecting a bullock-shed for public cattle on the Stonehouse hill at Ootacamund.	17,000	0 0	..	..	..	..	180	14 6	1,118	10 0	1,299	8 6
17. Purchase of the property at Ootacamund known as Otley Hall for occupation by married clerks of the Government Secretariat.	2,853	0 0	..	..	..	..	..	..	23,356	11 8	23,356	11 8
18. Purchase of the Cinchona Villa property, repairs and other incidental charges at Ootacamund.	2,790	0 0	..	..	..	..	..	..	16,986	1 3	16,986	1 3
19. Constructing quarters for eight warders attached to the district jail at Ootacamund.	2,650	0 0	..	..	..	..	..	..	2,853	0 0	2,853	0 0
20. Constructing a second block of quarters for coolies on the borders of the Stonehouse hill property, Ootacamund.	2,140	0 0	..	..	..	..	..	..	2,457	6 7	2,457	6 7
21. Constructing a covered passage, Ootacamund.	405	0 0	..	..	..	..	..	..	1,247	2 9	1,247	2 9
22. Constructing quarters for twelve peons on Stonehouse hill, Ootacamund.	62	0 0	..	..	..	..	..	..	1,801	1 5	1,801	1 5
23. Additions and alterations to Sub-Judge's Court at Ootacamund	350	0 0	..	..	..	..	..	..	559	4 7	559	4 7
24. Constructing a pattern privies to the divisional office at Ootacamund.	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	57	0 0	57	0 0
25. Erecting a set of stairs at Crawford's pattern privies to the divisional office at Ootacamund.	2,400	0 0	..	..	..	..	..	..	445	4 7	445	4 7
26. Investigation for improvement of Ootacamund water-supply	2,00,955	0 0	..	..	1	9 0	39,772	10 2	44,358	6 2	84,131	0 4
27. Drainage of the Ootacamund, the Elk hill cutting, Ootacamund	10,400	0 0	..	..	..	..	..	..	2,588	4 3	2,588	4 3
28. Security of the road through the Elk hill cutting, Ootacamund	2,400	0 0	..	..	..	..	..	..	1,745	5 6	1,745	5 6
29. Survey establishment required	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..
30. Sections of the new main sewer of Ootacamund.	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..

From D. C. GORDON, Esq., Examiner of Public Works Accounts, to the Chief Secretary to Government, dated Madras, 4th August 1884, No. 254-X.

In continuation of my letter, No. 253-X, of the 2nd instant, I have the honor to forward, herewith, the statement of outlay under "Repairs" therein referred to.

1. Government House furniture charges, Ootacamund	7,79,150	0 0	3,08,341	13 2	1,000	0 0	1,000	0 0	1,19,673	2 2	2,000	0 0
2. Do. at Ootacamund	10,690	0 0	..	..	39,098	15 7	1,10,677	6 11	..	..	6,77,791	5 10
3. Purchasing and repairing Crew Hall bungalow with out-houses, and providing furniture so as to accommodate eight Government office clerks, Ootacamund.	4,430	0 0	239	14 3	5,812	10 11	1,076	8 0	2,249	6 8	11,330	0 11
4. Forming the enclosed ground around St. Thomas' Church at Ootacamund.	6,040	0 0	1,764	5 3	245	8 5	..	..	..	..	4,409	13 8
5. Additions to the warden's cottages of the European Prison at Ootacamund.	2,270	0 0	..	..	168	9 5	187	8 0	..	..	5,910	8 3
6. Enlarging the Military Secretary's room at the south end of the Stone-house building at Ootacamund.	1,000	0 0	160	15 6	252	15 10	638	4 10	..	..	2,197	0 8
7. Constructing a coach-house and stables at Stonehouse, Ootacamund	45,837	0 0	..	..	..	..	20,479	7 9	32,899	11 6	891	4 8
8. Extending the cemetery in Wellington at Ootacamund	4,766	0 0	..	..	..	..	1,371	2 2	..	..	329	8 11
9. Additions and improvements to the Government Secretariat offices, Stone-house, and new road of approach.	21,914	0 0	..	..	..	..	7,170	2 0	2,151	6 8	53,379	3 3
10. Additions and improvements to the Collector's cutcherry at Ootacamund.	2,790	0 0	..	..	..	..	840	0 0	1,902	10 10	3,422	8 10
11. Constructing quarters for three married clerks of the Secretariat at Ootacamund.	27,320	0 0	..	..	..	..	9,103	3 4	14,314	14 1	2,141	2 0
12. Constructing a block of quarters for coolies on the borders of the Stone-house hill property at Ootacamund.	84,710	0 0	..	..	..	..	71,568	5 0	13,558	13 9	85,127	2 9
13. Constructing two barracks and latrines at Stonehouse and one barrack and latrine at Kandal for a company of Queen's Own Sappers and Miners.	220	0 0	..	..	..	..	131	0 0	75	0 0	206	0 0
14. Purchase of the Bombay Castle property at Ootacamund	1,097	0 0	..	..	..	..	180	14 6	1,118	10 0	1,299	8 6
15. Constructing a small latrine on the Stonehouse hill at Ootacamund	25,820	0 0	..	..	..	..	..	..	23,356	11 8	23,356	11 8
16. Erecting a bullock-shed for public cattle on the Stonehouse hill at Ootacamund.	17,000	0 0	..	..	..	..	..	..	16,986	1 3	16,986	1 3
17. Purchase of the property at Ootacamund known as Otley Hall for occupation by married clerks of the Government Secretariat.	2,853	0 0	..	..	..	..	..	..	2,853	0 0	2,853	0 0
18. Purchase of the Cinchona Villa property, repairs and other incidental charges at Ootacamund.	2,790	0 0	..	..	..	..	..	..	2,457	6 7	2,457	6 7
19. Constructing quarters for eight warders attached to the district jail at Ootacamund.	2,650	0 0	..	..	..	..	..	..	1,247	2 9	1,247	2 9
20. Constructing a second block of quarters for coolies on the borders of the Stonehouse hill property, Ootacamund.	2,140	0 0	..	..	..	..	..	..	1,801	1 5	1,801	1 5
21. Constructing a covered passage, Ootacamund.	405	0 0	..	..	..	..	..	..	559	4 7	559	4 7
22. Constructing quarters for twelve peons on Stonehouse hill, Ootacamund.	62	0 0	..	..	..	..	..	..	57	0 0	57	0 0
23. Additions and alterations to Sub-Judge's Court at Ootacamund	350	0 0	..	..	..	..	..	..	445	4 7	445	4 7
24. Constructing a pattern privies to the divisional office at Ootacamund.	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..
25. Erecting a set of stairs at Crawford's pattern privies to the divisional office at Ootacamund.	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..
26. Investigation for improvement of Ootacamund water-supply	2,00,955	0 0	..	..	1	9 0	39,772	10 2	44,358	6 2	84,131	0 4
27. Drainage of the Ootacamund, the Elk hill cutting, Ootacamund	10,400	0 0	..	..	..	..	..	..	2,588	4 3	2,588	4 3
28. Security of the road through the Elk hill cutting, Ootacamund	2,400	0 0	..	..	..	..	..	..	1,745	5 6	1,745	5 6
29. Survey establishment required	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..
30. Sections of the new main sewer of Ootacamund.	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..

Q. 57.9.84/2.10.9.81.

1879

W. H. C. B. S. L.

ENCLOSURE.

STATEMENT showing Provincial Expenditure in Ootacamund during the last three years, viz., 1881-82 to 1883-84.

4

Names of Works.

Names of Works.	Amount of Estimate.		Amount brought forward from previous year.		Outlay during 1881-82.		Outlay during 1882-83.		Outlay during 1883-84.		Total up to 1883-84.	
	RS.	A. P.	RS.	A. P.	RS.	A. P.	RS.	A. P.	RS.	A. P.	RS.	A. P.
PROVINCIAL.												
Repairs—Civil Buildings.												
1. Repairing, white and color washing Government House and all the subsidiary buildings at Ootacamund.	602	0 0	..	..	604	8 7	..	..	..	..	604	8 7
2. Repairing roof of the Government offices, Stonehouse, Ootacamund	345	0 0	..	..	341	8 6	..	..	..	..	341	8 6
3. Repairing road from Government House to Government Garden gate, Ootacamund	376	0 0	..	..	347	11 11	..	..	..	..	347	11 11
4. Repairing, white and color washing the Government House and all the subsidiary buildings at Ootacamund.	1,530	0 0	..	..	766	2 9	1,453	10 8	..	..	2,219	13 5
5. Repairing St. Stephen's Church, Ootacamund	2,400	0 0	..	..	2,037	8 9	262	8 0	..	..	2,300	0 9
6. Repairing the detached bungalow of Stonehouse, Ootacamund	270	0 0	..	..	267	5 8	..	..	..	..	267	5 8
7. Cinchona Laboratory at Ootacamund	100	0 0	..	..	172	11 0	72	11 0	..	..	100	0 0
8. Repairing and whitewashing the sub-division office and store-rooms Ootacamund	434	0 0	..	..	386	10 3	..	..	..	..	412	6 6
9. Repairing Government House roads through Government Gardens at Ootacamund	1,850	0 0	..	..	..	..	1,727	5 10	303	10 10	2,031	0 8
10. Repairing the road leading to Council Chamber and Government office, Stonehouse, Ootacamund.	815	0 0	..	..	..	..	714	0 0	..	..	714	0 0
11. Repairs to Government offices, Stonehouse, Ootacamund	1,200	0 0	..	..	..	..	1,290	0 5	..	..	1,290	0 5
12. Cleaning Crew Hall latrine, Ootacamund	36	0 0	..	..	..	..	33	0 0	..	..	33	0 0
13. Pay of watchman at Crew Hall, Ootacamund	72	0 0	..	..	..	..	67	0 0	..	..	67	0 0
14. Repairing the back road of the Government House, Ootacamund	1,160	0 0	..	..	..	..	1,181	12 1	..	..	1,181	12 1
15. Repairs to Collector's cutcherry, Ootacamund	..	..	..	..	..	..	104	1 0	..	..	104	1 0
16. Cleaning Stonehouse latrine, Ootacamund	..	..	..	..	..	..	30	0 0	..	..	30	0 0
17. Repairing Government House and all other subsidiary buildings at Ootacamund	2,410	0 0	..	..	..	..	610	14 0	3,383	10 5	3,994	8 5
18. Repairing Crew Hall, Ootacamund	642	0 0	..	..	..	..	108	9 8	338	11 4	447	5 0
19. Repairs to Melvor's bungalow, Ootacamund	750	0 0	..	..	..	..	281	7 9	..	..	281	7 9
20. Repairing back roads of Government House, Ootacamund	105	0 0	..	..	..	..	100	12 5	..	..	100	12 5
21. Removing flagstaff on Stonehouse hill	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	579	2 10	579	2 10
22. Repairs to Government office, Stonehouse	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	5 9 3	..	5 9 3	
23. Repairs to Government House stables, Ootacamund	540	0 0	..	..	..	..	..	..	642	6 3	642	6 3
24. Repairing tahsildar's cutcherry, Ootacamund	300	0 0	..	..	..	..	..	..	326	1 8	326	1 8
25. Pay of watchman at Crew Hall, Ootacamund	340	0 0	..	..	..	..	..	..	315	0 3	315	0 3
26. Thorough repairs to back roads to Stonehouse, Ootacamund	96	0 0	..	..	..	..	..	..	104	0 0	104	0 0
27. Annual repairs to the Collector's cutcherry, Ootacamund	2,330	0 0	..	..	..	..	..	..	1,333	12 9	1,333	12 9
28. Repairing Monegar's chattram, Ootacamund	720	0 0	..	..	..	..	..	..	571	6 7	571	6 7
29. Repairs to Agraharam chattram, Ootacamund	60	0 0	..	..	..	..	..	..	34	13 5	34	13 5
30. Oiling the shingle roof of Council Chamber, Stonehouse hill, Ootacamund	60	0 0	..	..	..	..	..	..	46	2 2	46	2 2
31. Repairing the graveyard on Stonehouse hill, Ootacamund	160	0 0	..	..	..	..	..	..	97	7 7	97	7 7
32. Repairing the roof of the European Prison, Ootacamund	200	0 0	..	..	..	..	..	..	108	0 3	108	0 3
33. Repairing the Police Hospital, Ootacamund	469	0 0	..	..	..	..	..	..	346	5 9	346	5 9
34. Repairing the detached bungalow and cook-house on the Stonehouse hill, Ootacamund	200	0 0	..	..	..	..	..	..	95	0 0	95	0 0
35. Metalling and graveling Public Works department office yard and bullock-shed, Ootacamund.	498	0 0	..	..	..	..	..	..	184	4 8	184	4 8
36. Repairs to latrine of Executive Engineer's office, Ootacamund.	1,050	0 0	..	..	..	..	..	..	500	11 4	500	11 4
37. Repairs to Agraharam chattram, Ootacamund	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	1,142	13 10	1,142	13 10
38. Demolishing the swimming-bath at Stonehouse	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	676	9 6	676	9 6
39. Lowering the weir of the Ootacamund lake, &c.	4,482	0 0	..	..	..	..	697	9 9	3,831	12 3	4,529	6 0
Repairs—Miscellaneous Public Improvements												

Repairs—Miscellaneous Public Improvements

Lowering the weir of the Ootacamund lake, &c.

19TH AUGUST 1884, No. 1910, FINANCIAL.

5

ORDER—dated 19th August 1884, No. 1910, Financial.

Recorded.
(True Extract.)

H. TREMENHEERE,
Ag. Asst. Secy. to Govt.

Copy to the Public Works department.

Exd. A. F. Goodhall.

86. 3d
1879

P.W.D.

Govt. of Madras.

FINANCIAL.

N^o 2257 Wd/13 Sept/84

Recorded.

(Signed) J.H.

Issued

Recd.

Encl.

G.O., 19th August 1884, No. 1910.

N^o 2257 Wd/13 Sept 1884

AUGUST.

Provincial Services.

Recording letter from the Examiner of Public Works Accounts, Madras, forwarding statement of outlay on "Repairs" to Provincial buildings at Ootacamund within the past three years.

With order

S. S. II Circle

Ex as P. W. Accts.

4. 6. 2988. 7. 11. 76
" " 170 W. 19-1. 84
" " 20610 W. 20. 8. 84
" " 2075 W. 13-12. 77
" " 1917 W. 9. 7. 83
B-201408 W. 5-6-84.

18. 2. 2275 W. 15. 8. 84

The submission of the revised plan and estimate required to will be awaited from its receipt the question of an allotment of funds will be considered

CU.
4. 9. 84

W.
11-9-84

S. S. II Circle ✓
C. A. to look ✓
15/9

2257
10. 9. 84
10. 9. 84

P. W. D. 6th CIRCLE.

From

The Superintending Engineer,

6th Circle.

To

The Chief Engineer
P. W. D.

No. 277 Wd

Dated 27. } Aug. 1884

Received

Enclosure

18. 2. 2275 W. 15. 8. 84
Sub Magistrate's
office for Maama

calls attention to
G.O. No. 170 W of 19th
January 1884 in which
it was directed that
the work of building
a — should be con-
sidered urgent.

W. K. B. order

OFFICE OF THE SUPERINTENDING ENGINEER,
6TH CIRCLE.

Trichinopoly 27 August 1884

No. *277* M. D.

From

The Superintending Engineer,
6th Circle.

To

The *Chief Engineer*
P. W. D.

Sir,

ENCLOSURE. —

1408 W. 5/6/84
With reference to G.O. No 2040 W
dated 20th August/84, I have the honor to
call your attention to G.O. No 170 W dated
19th January/84 in which it was directed
that the work of building a Sub. Magis-
trate's office &c for Madurai should be
considered emergent.

The original plan sanctioned in
G.O. No 2988 dated 7th Nov 1876 is now considered
suitable and a revised plan & estimate
is now being prepared by the Consulting
Architect.

I have the honor to be

Sir

your most obedient servant.

M. M. S. L. 10/10/86
Super Engineer, Trichy.

P. W. D.

Roads and Buildings.

R. No 367.

26. 8. 84. Enc. 4. L. 10/10/86
B. No 1884 W 27. 2. 84

Examr P. W. D. 8.9.84

15.9.84