No 2207 Wa/6-9-84

Ag S. 175/8

C. 29.8.84

3.9.84

9/9

B/

No 2207 W **PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT.**

PROCEEDINGS OF THE MADRAS GOVERNMENT.

UNDER date the 6th September/84

READ the following

From the Supg Engr V Circle A.E. No 1638 d/ 31st July 1884.
Office note from the Examr P.W. Accts. No 329 d/ 5th August/84
Do — Do from the Supg Engr V Circle No 1868 d/ 16th August/84
Office note from the Examr P.W. Accts. No 384 d/ 27th August/84

The free return passage to Madras, granted to the temporary Clerk employed under Col Mullins at Bangalore, is Confirmed as a special Case.

CY. 29.8.84

W. 1.9.84

Despd 8/9/84

To
The Supg Engr V Circle
" Examr P.W. Accts

Dr No 7159

[Exr. Wks. Form No. 111.]

(12)

GOVT. OF MADRAS. 1884. P. W. DEPT.

EXAMINER, P. W. ACCOUNTS.

Madras, 27th August 1884.
Recd 27

OFFICE NOTE No. 384.

To
W.

Encl: 1.

KEEP WITH Genl. No.
dated 18 .

The clerk was appointed at Madras with effect from the 19th. November 1883, and was allowed Travelling Allowance under the ordinary rules to proceed to to Bangalore on the 21st. idem.

C.

26/8

No 2207 W d/6-9-84

C.29.8.84. R.3.9.84

was engaged at Madras on the 19th November 1883 – and was allowed Travelling allowance under the Ordinary Rules to proceed to Bangalore on the 21st November 1883.

Coll R.E.

Superintg Engr
5th Circle.

16th August 1884.

R. No 351.
21.8.84

Chepak
No 1480D 20th Augt/84

To C/

Please say how the grant of travelling allowance to the clerk to join his appointment was admitted by your office CS.

DW
17.8.84

their appointments. Under Section 8, persons temporarily appointed with similar qualifications would draw similar travelling allowance. The "note" under Section 17 permits, travelling allowance for a return journey to temporary men who under Sections 8 and 17 had received travelling allowance for first joining.

3. Clerks are not trained or skilled employes, do not get travelling allowance for joining first appointments and are not entitled to any for a return journey.

DC

C. Regd No [illegible]
8-8-8

A 5/8

E.P.
5/8. No 1387D

Chepak
8th Augt/84

To S.E. V

Please say whether the man received travelling allowance for joining his appointment & if so, under what authority CS.

DW
7.8.84

No 1868

The Clerk

Chepalk
2d Augt/84

No. 1353D

R: No. 303.
2/4 - 8 - 84.

To C,
For remarks.
The note to Sec: 17 C.T.A. Code (addenda No. 35 of 1883) would appear to apply to the case.

C.J.
D.W.
2.8.84

No. 329. of 5th Augt/84

To W. Encr:—

The claim to travelling allowance is inadmissible and moreover even had it been admissible should not have been paid before audit.

2. Section 17 of the Civil Travelling Allowance Code, rules that persons of trained or special skill should be allowed travelling allowance for first joining

---

EXAMINER
No.
2 AUG 1884
ENCLOSURES
P.W. ACCOUNTS

~~6549~~
6389
~~6880~~
7159/02

From

THE SUPERINTENDING ENGINEER,
5TH CIRCLE,

To

THE Chief Engineer
P.W.D.

Dated 31st July 1884.
Recd. 5/8/84
18

ENCLOSURES.

No. 2207 W d/6-9-84

No. 1638

Railway fare of Rs 16-12-0 — Requests that authority may be given for the charge paid by Colonel Mullins R.E. to a temporary clerk

DO

No. 1638

SUPERINTENDING ENGINEER'S OFFICE,
5TH CIRCLE, MADRAS,
Chepauk, 31st July 1884.

From
THE SUPERINTENDING ENGINEER,
5TH CIRCLE,

To
THE Chief Engineer
P.W.D.

Enclosures.

SIR,

I have the honor to represent that the Executive Engineer of the Presidency Division recently paid Rs 16-12-0 at the request of Colł Mullins R.E. to a temporary clerk, as Railway fare &c from Bangalore to Madras, after the clerk's services were dispensed with

2. The Examiner disallowed the charge, on the ground that there is no rule under which it can be admitted. Colonel Mullins remarked in reply, to the effect, that the amount has been correctly

correctly paid, under the addendum to Section 17 of the Civil Travelling Allowance Code –

3. The Examiner however maintains that Section 17, and Note, apply only to men holding appointments which require technical skill and knowledge.

4. It appears to me, on the other hand, that the Note is quite distinct from Section 17, and that it means what it says, that travelling allowance for the return journey is admissible to any person employed by competent authority for a temporary purpose

5. I beg that orders may be passed, and even if the Examiner's view be held as correct, I would ask that authority may be given for the charge being passed, as it was disbursed in April, and has been considered as a legitimate charge by Coll. Mullins by the Executive Engineer and by myself.

I have the honor to be
Sir
Your Most Obedt. Servant
Alexr Prendergast Coll. R.E.
Superintg. Engr. 5th Circle

IV.—The allowance should not be so calculated as to constitute a reward or profit, and therefore should never, designedly, exceed the cost of the actual locomotion of the officer and a reasonable following of personal attendants. The Government does not pay for the hotel accommodation or commissariat of its servants when journeying on public duty, or, ordinarily, for the journeys of their families. If the circumstances seem to require any provision of this kind, they must be met by a deputation or other special allowance which, usually, requires the sanction of the Government of India.

V.—The Accountant-General, or, in the Public Works Department, the Examiner of Public Works Accounts, or, in the case of a Head of Department, the Chief Departmental Account Officer, should invariably be consulted before the allowance is fixed.

VI.—The allowance should conform, as far as possible, to the principles and analogies, of the General Rules, from which no departure should be permitted, lightly or without obvious necessity. No allowance must be granted in contravention of any rule.

VII.—If the Accountant-General, or Examiner of Public Works Accounts, or Chief Departmental Account Officer considers that the proceedings of the Local Government or Head of Department, in any particular case, should be reviewed by the Government of India, the Local Government or Head of Department shall be bound to forward them for the perusal of the Governor-General in Council. In such case, the papers may, usually, be sent in original.

2. The object of these orders is to relieve the Local Governments and Heads of Departments and the Supreme Government from routine correspondence, and facilitate the despatch of business. In giving effect to them, Local Governments and Heads of Departments should bear in mind that the intention is not that Local Governments and Heads of Departments should legislate, independently, on matters upon which it is, for obvious reasons, important, that the Rules of the Administration should be, as far as possible, uniform, but that they should forego references to the Supreme Government, which, though giving trouble and taking time, it may reasonably be assumed would, as a rule, result in the sanction of the proposal of the Local Government or Head of Department.

3. In short, in giving effect to this Resolution, Local Governments and Heads of Departments should consider themselves to be the Agents of the Governor-General in Council specially empowered to expound on his behalf what experience tells them would be his decision, and not authorised to make any concessions which they do not feel confident that the Governor-General in Council would approve.

4. It will be the duty of the Accountant-General, or Examiner of Public Works Accounts, or Chief Departmental Account Officer to exercise the check entrusted to him by Rule VII, whenever he considers that the orders of a Local Government or Head of Department involve any transgression of these principles; otherwise, he should not require any references of the Governor-General in Council without substantial reasons, or merely because, as a matter of discretion, he, personally, may not approve the orders of the Local Government or Head of Department.

To the Secretary to the Government of Madras, Public Works Department.

ORDER THEREON, 12th December 1879, No. 3,190 W.

COMMUNICATED to the Officers of the Department.

(True Extract.)

(Signed) R. H. SANKEY, Colonel, R.E.,
Secretary to Govt., P.W.D.

To the Officers of the Department.
„ Secretary, Public Works Code Committee.

Exd. S. T. Austin.
Spare Copies

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS.

PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT.

12th December 1879, No. 3,190 W.

General.
Establishment.

Read the following paper :—

Circular of the Government of India in the Public Works Department, (Establishment —General,) dated Simla, 26th November 1879, No. 82 P.W.

The Governments of Madras, Bombay and Bengal, in the Public Works Department, General, Irrigation and Railway Branches.
The Government of the North-western Provinces and Oudh, in the Public Works Department, Buildings and Roads and Irrigation Branches.
The Government of the Punjab, in the Public Works Department, General and Irrigation Branches.
The Chief Commissioners, Central Provinces, British Burmah and Assam.
The Resident, Hyderabad.
The Agents to the Governor-General for Central India, Rajputana and Biluchistan.
The Superintendent of Port Blair and Chief Commissioner, Andaman and Nicobar Islands.
The Accountant-General, Public Works Department.
The Inspector-General of Military Works.
The Director-General of Railways.
The Consulting Engineers to the Government of India for Guaranteed Railways, Calcutta, Lahore and Lucknow.
The Director-General of Telegraphs in India.
The Director-in-Chief, Indo-European Telegraph.
The Director, Persian Telegraph.
The Deputy Director, Persian Gulf Telegraph.

The following is forwarded to the Local Governments and Administrations and officers marginally noted for information and guidance.

Also to the Foreign Department for communication to the Chief Commissioner, Mysore.

(By order.)

(Signed) J. S. TREVOR, Major-General, R.E.,
Dy. Secy. to the Govt. of India.

Pay and Allowance.
Travelling allowances.

No. 3,302, dated 15th October 1879.

Resolution—By the Government of India, Department of Finance and Commerce.

The Governor-General in Council is pleased to empower Local Governments and Administrations, and Heads of Departments under the Government of India, to sanction, upon the following conditions, in exceptional cases, special travelling allowances in excess of the allowances admissible by rule :—

I.—The occasion must be special, such as, in the opinion of the Local Government or Head of Department, was not contemplated, and is not provided for by any existing rule.

II.—The occasion must be temporary; if any permanent or recurring circumstances are discovered for which, in the opinion of a Local Government or Head of Department, adequate or proper provision is not contained in any existing rule, an appropriate rule should be proposed for the sanction of the Governor-General in Council.

III.—From the nature of the case, therefore, the orders of the Local Government or Head of Department are not to have the weight of a rule or precedent, and must not be so treated. If a Local Government desires to create any rule or precedent, the sanction of the Governor-General in Council must be obtained.

66/81.
3. 4. 81.

879

Provincial Statement of Objectionable Items in the Accounts of Executive Engineers for the Month of May 1884 requiring the orders of Government.

Item No.	Particulars of each Item.	Month in the Accounts of which Objectionable Expenditure first appeared.	Comparison with Estimate.			Comparison with Appropriation of the Year.			Expenditure during the Month.	Opinion of the Superintending Engineer, with final Remarks by Examiner.	Orders by Government.
			Amount of Estimate.	Expenditure up to Date.	Excess over Estimate.	Amount of Appropriation.	Expenditure of the Year.	Excess over Appropriation.			
11	New road to Store house for May 1884.									reference to Superintending Engineer's letter No. 336 E. W. dated 17th July 1884.	
	Imperial										
20	Constructing Combined Post and Telegraph Office, Ooty from December 1883 to May 1884 Constructing a new Post Office at Devala for January and March 1884									Work Vouchers are due for these works for the month noted against each. Vide also remark against Item No. 19 (under Provincial.)	Vide orders passed against Item No. 19 —

D. C. Gordon

Examiner of P. W. Accounts,
Madras.

C.Y.
26.8.84

W.S.
28.8.84

119

To
The S. Engr IV Circle
thro' the E.E. 42 par ©

J. D. 4/8

4/8

ABSTRACT OF PAYMENTS.

ment.	To whom paid.	Particulars.	No. and Date of Indent.	Amount.	Ship's Name.
1884 June 16	Macfarlane & Co.	Cast Iron Royal Arms for New Post & Telegraph Offices, Madras	P.W. 10.1.84	24 2 .	Mirzapore
	Packing Charges			1 6 6	
		Balance Invoiced but not paid for on 30th June 1884		15 4	
				£ 26 3 10	

Total Debit for the Month £ 25: 8: 6

India Office
11th July 1884
A.C.W.
J.W.G.

ABSTRACT OF INVOICES.

Date of Invoice.	Ship's Name.	Particulars.		Amount.
1884 June 13	Mirzapore	Cast Iron Royal Arms Freight Packing	£ 24: 2: – 1 15: 4 1: 6: 6 2	26 3 10
				£ 26 3 10

Accountant General

(10)

Rd 30/7/84.

No. 2000 W dated 15th Augst/

B

Communicated to officers concerned.

31.7.84

4.8.84

Genl Supt P Ws.
Exr P Wa

15/8

18/8

Madras. Public Works. Miscellaneous Services.

STATEMENT of PAYMENTS made in ENGLAND on account of STORES and FREIGHT, and of INVOICES despatched to INDIA, during the Month of July 188~~4~~.

Ya 6882. 4 rms.—5/84. I. 282.

A, during th of July

BALANCE OF EXPENDITURE commen

ABSTRACT OF PAYMENTS.

...yment.	To whom paid.		Particulars.	No. and Date of Indent.	Amount.	Ship's Name.
1884 July 8	Escombe Bros: & Co:	Freight			15 4	Mirzapore
					£ - 15 4	

Total Debit for the Month £ - 15 : 4

India Office,
8th August 1884 }

H.L.

W.G.

ABSTRACT OF INVOICES.

Date of Invoice.	Ship's Name.	Particulars.		Amo...
Balance Invoiced but not paid for on 30th June 1884 –				
			1	15
1884 June 13	Mirzapore	Freight		
			£	15

Jas. W. Keith
Acct-General

(9)

No 2818/82

No 2175 W of 5th September /84

B

Communicated to officers concerned

CS
27.8.84

W.S.
1.9.84

21/9/84

Genl Supdt P.W.S (Rlys S.E.V)
Examr P.W.A
Consg. Arct & [illegible]

Dis
24/11/84

1/9/84

66/8

1.9.84

E.E.
8/9

NOTES.

REFERENCE TO MADRAS P. W. D. CODE.

CHAPTER XIV.—PARAS. 1829 TO 1832.

1. The object of this Return is to place before Government an analysis of all shortcomings in matters of financial regularity in the operations of each month with a view to their being remedied. It should be submitted to Government on the first day of the third month succeeding that to which the accounts relate.

2. There should be a separate statement for each separate Fund—Imperial, Provincial, Local, and Irrigation.

3. The Provincial statement will be posted from the Divisional Statements of Objectionable Expenditure. The statement need not be delayed in order to embody the remarks of the Superintending Engineers if these do not reach the Examiner's Office in time. It is desirable, however, that the Superintending Engineer's opinion should be recorded as far as may be practicable, and, in order to ensure this being done, the Divisional Statement of Objectionable Items should be checked immediately on receipt and sent to the Superintending Engineer for his remarks before the audit of the other accounts is proceeded with.

4. All Executive Officers who have failed to submit their Divisional Statements of Objectionable Items with their Monthly Accounts, as well as those whose statements are *generally incomplete*, should be specially brought to the notice of Government under "General Remarks" at the end of the statement.

5. Items of irregular expenditure which are left unincluded by Executive Engineers in their Divisional Statements of Objectionable Items should be distinctly noted as such either in a separate supplementary statement or in the original statement in red ink.

6. Columns under all the heads should be filled up in each case so as to bring out clearly where estimate or appropriation exists and where it does not.

7. In the case of large projects such important features as the following should be stated with precision:—

A. Lump sum estimate.
B. Abstract detail estimate by sub-heads, and so on.
{ 1. Submitted to Chief Engineer.
2. Submitted to Superintending Engineer.
3. Not yet submitted.

8. A large work which is proceeded with on merely a lump sum sanction is still objectionable till an abstract and detail estimate by sub-heads is submitted and sanctioned for it by competent authority.

9. Special mention should be made of works being carried out in portions by authorities, beyond whose powers of sanction it would be to sanction the expenditure as a whole.

10. An important point to notice is the duration of a serious irregularity.

11. Voluminous masses of detail, when their practical significance could be given in a few lines, should always be avoided as a rule. If, for instance, the state of matters as to sanction in one month does not materially differ from that of a preceding month, it will answer all practical purposes to say so, instead of sending another full report.

12. Too much stress can never be laid on the fact that this statement is not to take place of special reports, then and there, of works on which serious irregular expenditure is being largely incurred, either without estimate or appropriation.

G.O. No 3299 W 19-11-83.
" " 1533 W 20. 6. 84
B. No 1586 W 24. 6. 84
" 1302 W. 23. 5. 84
G.O. No 408 W. 15. 2. 84
B. No 1898 W 4. 8. 84

O.W.S. 151/8

Ctly 26.8.84

Rd. 1.9.84

E.E.
5/9

1st
8/9

6/9

P. W. D. Madras.
Form No. M 64 a.

(21)

CENTRAL OFFICE OF ACCOUNTS.

CIRCLE 4th

FUND Provincial & Imperial

Provincial Statement of Objectionable Items in the Accounts of Executive Engineers for the Month of May 1884.

No. ——

MADRAS,
Dated 4th August 1884.

FORWARDED for orders to the Secretary to Government, Public Works Department, Madras.

D. C. Gordon

Examiner of P. W. Accounts,
Madras.

No 2199 W of 6-9-84

With order

Dis
24

Provincial Statement of Objectionable Items in the Accounts of Executive Engineers for the Month of May 1884 requiring the orders of Government.

			Comparison with Estimate.			Comparison with Appropriation of the Year.					
Item No.	Particulars of each Item.	Month in the Accounts of which Objectionable Expenditure first appeared.	Amount of Estimate.	Expenditure up to Date.	Excess over Estimate.	Amount of Appropriation.	Expenditure of the Year.	Excess over Appropriation.	Expenditure during the Month.	Opinion of the Superintending Engineer, with final Remarks by Examiner.	Orders by Government.
	Barur Project										No. 2199W of 6th September 84
	Without Estimate										
	Tools and Plant										
1	New supplies, purchase of Scientific and drawing instruments	April 1884		336	336					The Executive Engineer states that the delay in submission of Estimate is due to a revised advice for additional charges being expected from the Public Works Stores. This outlay will evidently have to be written back to Irrigation	The S.E. IV Circle will see that the cause of objection to this item is removed without delay.
	Nilgiri Division										
	Provincial										
2	Purchase of Mr. Browns' property for a Telegraph Office at Vytheri	March 1883		4500	4,500					The further orders promised in Objectionable Items Statement for November 1883 are awaited. The objection is more than a year old.	2. The matter is still under consideration –
3	New road to Store-house	May 1884		813	813				813	The Executive Engineer states that the estimate is under preparation and will shortly be submitted for sanction.	No. 3 The estimate has been received and is under consideration
4	Brick manufacture at Lake Brickfield Ootacamund	April 1884		2779	2779				1496	Do.	No. 4 Estimate awaited

[P. W. D. Form No. M. 112—Inner Sheet.]

Provincial Statement of Objectionable Items in the Accounts of Executive Engineers for the Month of May 1884 requiring the orders of Government.

Item No.	Particulars of each Item.	Month in the Accounts of which Objectionable Expenditure first appeared.	Comparison with Estimate.			Comparison with Appropriation of the Year.			Expenditure during the Month.	Opinion of the Superintending Engineer, with final Remarks by Examiner.	Orders by Government.
			Amount of Estimate.	Expenditure up to Date.	Excess over Estimate.	Amount of Appropriation.	Expenditure of the Year.	Excess over Appropriation.			
	North Arcot Division										
	Excess over Estimate										
5	Tools and Plant Repairs and Carriage	November 1883	50	462	412					The Executive Engineer states that a revised Estimate cannot be submitted until the exact number of Famine tools &c. to be repaired is ascertained	Noted —
	Nilgiri Division										
6	Additions and Improvements to Government Offices, Stonehouse	May 1883	45,837	54,133	8296				296	The Executive Engineer states that the work is completed and the excess will be explained in Completion Report.	A completion report explaining causes of excess over sanctioned estimates should be submitted without delay —
7	Purchase of and improvements to Bombay Castle	April 1884	85,000	87,811	2811				1737	The Executive Engineer states that the excess will be adjusted in accordance with the instructions contained in Government Order No. 408W of 15th February 1884 and that a separate repair estimate is now under preparation.	Orders on the subject will shortly issue —
8	Repairing Government house and all subsidiary buildings	March 1883	2410	6683	4273				1012	The Executive Engineer states that the excess will be debited to a fresh esti	

Provincial Statement of Objectionable Items in the Accounts of Executive Engineers for the Month of May 1884 requiring the orders of Government.

Item No.	Particulars of each Item.	Month in the Accounts of which Objectionable Expenditure first appeared.	Comparison with Estimate.			Comparison with Appropriation of the Year.			Expenditure during the Month.	Opinion of the Superintending Engineer, with final Remarks by Examiner.	Orders by Government.
			Amount of Estimate.	Expenditure up to Date.	Excess over Estimate.	Amount of Appropriation.	Expenditure of the Year.	Excess over Appropriation.			
										mate under preparation. As this is an annual repair estimate, the advisability of closing last years accounts and submitting a Completion Report with explanations as to cause of excess has been suggested to the Superintending Engineer.	The Examiners suggestions should be followed —
9	Lime manufacture at Stonehouse	Feby 1884	7030	7234	204					Orders of Government are awaited on the report called for in Government Order No. 1202 W of 12th May 1884	The S.E.s early attention is drawn to the orders passed on this item in G.O. No. 1202 W d/12th May/84 — of 12th May/84.
10	Additions and alterations to Sub Judge's Court, Ootacamund		405	625	220				66	The excess is not explained by the Executive Engineer in his Divisional Objectionable Items Statement.	The SE will see that the cause of objection to this work is removed at an early date. at an early date
	Nilgiri Want of Appropriation										
11	New road to Stone-house	May 1884					803	803	803	The Executive Engineer states that funds will be applied for when the estimate for the work is sent up for sanction. In connection with this explanation the attention of Government is respectfully invited to the rules contained in Code paras 1655 and 1513.	See remarks against item No. 3

Provincial Statement of Objectionable Items in the Accounts of Executive Engineers for the Month of May 1884 requiring the orders of Government.

Item No.	Particulars of each Item.	Month in the Accounts of which Objectionable Expenditure first appeared.	Comparison with Estimate.			Comparison with Appropriation of the Year.			Expenditure during the Month.	Opinion of the Superintending Engineer, with final Remarks by Examiner.	Orders by Government.
			Amount of Estimate.	Expenditure up to Date.	Excess over Estimate.	Amount of Appropriation.	Expenditure of the Year.	Excess over Appropriation.			
	Miscellaneous Irregularities										
	Works for which revised estimates are likely to be required										
	North Arcot Division										
12	Civil Superintendent's Cutcherry at Vellore	November 1883	24,096							The Executive Engineer states that the revised estimate was submitted on the 29th May 1884.	~~Revised estimate under consideration~~ ~~Orders [illegible]~~ Revised estimate under consideration —
13	Tools and Plant Repairs and Carriage		500	612	112					Vide Item No. 5	See remarks opposite to item No. 5
	Coimbatore Division										
14	Additions to Collector's Cutchery at Mangalore	August 1883	29,570	23,343						The Executive Engineer states that the revised estimate has been sent to Superintending Engineer on the 13th March /84 and that a further explanation called for was submitted on the 5th April /84.	Revised Estimates for these Revised estimates for these works have been received and are under consideration —
15	Improvements to the Taluq Cutcherry at Udipi	February 1884	6,000	3,144						The Executive Engineer states that a revised estimate is under preparation —	

[P. W. D. Form No. M. 112—Inner Sheet.]

Provincial Statement of Objectionable Items in the Accounts of Executive Engineers for the Month of May 1884 requiring the orders of Government.

Item No.	Particulars of each Item.	Month in the Accounts of which Objectionable Expenditure first appeared.	Comparison with Estimate. Amount of Estimate.	Comparison with Estimate. Expenditure up to Date.	Comparison with Estimate. Excess over Estimate.	Comparison with Appropriation of the Year. Amount of Appropriation.	Comparison with Appropriation of the Year. Expenditure of the Year.	Comparison with Appropriation of the Year. Excess over Appropriation.	Expenditure during the Month.	Opinion of the Superintending Engineer, with final Remarks by Examiner.	Orders by Government.
	Coimbatore Miscellaneous Advances										
16	Repairing the sea wall and protecting the shore at Beypore from the action of the sea	April 1883		5169						Order of Government regarding adjustment still awaited vide Government Order No. 1525W of 31st May 1883	The matter is still under consideration
	Miscellaneous Irregularities										
	Nilgiri Division										
	Imperial Military Works executed from Provincial funds										
17	Converting a cattle shed at Lawrence Asylum Ooty into a latrine and constructing a covered passage	March 1884								The approval of the Government of India to this Military work being carried from Provincial Fund is awaited. Vide Office Note No. 65 of 1st May 1884.	Noted
18	Acquisition of and repairs to Bombay Castle property									Pending assignment by the Government of India of a grant, for this Imperial Military Work (now debited to Provincial Fund) under Imperial Military Works, the	Noted

[P. W. D. Form No. M. 112—Inner Sheet.]

Provincial Statement of Objectionable Items in the Accounts of Executive Engineers for the Month of May 1884 requiring the orders of Government.

Item No.	Particulars of each Item.	Month in the Accounts of which Objectionable Expenditure first appeared.	Comparison with Estimate.			Comparison with Appropriation of the Year.			Expenditure during the Month.	Opinion of the Superintending Engineer, with final Remarks by Examiner.	Orders by Government.
			Amount of Estimate.	Expenditure up to Date.	Excess over Estimate.	Amount of Appropriation.	Expenditure of the Year.	Excess over Appropriation.			
	Nilgiri Division Provincial Want of Work Vouchers									This item shown in the objectionable Item Statement. Vide Government Order No. 1750 W of 17th July 1884.	
19	1. Constructing Government house Upper Norwood, from January 84 to May 1884 2) Lowering the weir of the Ooty Lake and Conservancy of the Lake from February to May 1884 3 Tools and Plant from October 1883 to January 1884 4 Brick manufacture at Lake Brickfield for April and May 84 5 Repairing Government Office Rock Road for January 1884 6 Brickfield Ooty Lake including French kiln from January to May 1884 7 Brick manufacture at Kaital for February 1884 8 Brick manufacture at Stone house for February 1884 9 Lime manufacture Stonehouse for April 1884 10 Wellington Stock manufacture for April 84									Works Vouchers for these works are still due for the months noted against each. The continued delay in the submission of Work Vouchers from the Nilgiri Division is unsatisfactory and no thorough audit can be exercised by this office on the monthly Accounts in the absence of the many Work Vouchers and vouchers appertaining thereto. It is trusted that these irregularities will however cease in future with reference	The S. Engr's attention is drawn to the remarks of the Exr. of P W A — The explanation of the cause of continued delay in the submission of these work vouchers, called for in the orders passed against items 3 to 5 of the objectionable items Statement for March/84 should be expedited

Provincial Statement of Objectionable Items in the Accounts of Executive Engineers for the Month of May 1884 requiring the orders of Government.

Item No.	Particulars of each Item.	Month in the Accounts of which Objectionable Expenditure first appeared.	Comparison with Estimate.			Comparison with Appropriation of the Year.			Expenditure during the Month.	Opinion of the Superintending Engineer, with final Remarks by Examiner.	Orders by Government.
			Amount of Estimate.	Expenditure up to Date.	Excess over Estimate.	Amount of Appropriation.	Expenditure of the Year.	Excess over Appropriation.			
11	New road to Store house for May 1884.									reference to Superintending Engineer's letter No. 336 E. Appx. dated 17th July 1884.	
	Imperial										
20	Constructing Combined Post and Telegraph Office, Ooty from December 1883 to May 1884 Constructing a new Post Office at Dorala for January and March 1884									Work Vouchers are due for these works for the month noted against each. Vide also remarks against Item No. 19 (under Provincial.)	Vide orders passed against Item No. 19 —

Examiner of P. W. Accounts,
Madras.

CY.
26.8.84

28.8.84

To
The Supdg. Engr. IV Circle
thro' the Ex. Engr. 42 P.W.D.

4/8