

Ans. 106

7. C
a 1196 h 12.5.82.
7-8-06.

Ans. 106
1196 h 12.5.82.

No. 2095 a/23. Jan. 84

118
17.1.84

1196 h

118

490
25/11

Ans. 106

Ans. 106

Para 6 of draft must be
modified - as ~~the~~ ^{the} ~~information~~ ^{information} is distinctly
available respecting fees
paid by Native Companies

The fees paid by Court
of Wards can I presume
be easily ascertained.

Received
by Mr. Chisholm
15/12
21-11-83.

The authority for
the acceptance by
Mr. Chisholm of the
large fee for work
on the Kineedy Estate
seems to be an order
in the Revenue Dept.
which I find quoted
by the Court of
Wards in para 1
of their Pro: verand
with L.O. 1587 of
18 Dec. 83. Rev. Dept.
Serial file -

N.S.
17.1.84.

C.A.W.
(1) Let me see the order in
question - dated 20-11-73 -
W. 2213.

Meanwhile the papers w^h
have today come back from
circulation, - (re fees paid
to Mr. Chisholm) - should
be kept back -

S.A.W.

See Hon: Members
remarks & inquire from
Court of Wards on point
noted.

When reply is received,
let me see the papers
again.

15-12-83

I saw

Resubmitted
with reply from the
Court of Wards.
Chis: 11515.

Careful search has
been made for L.O.
specifically authorizing
Mr. Chisholm to accept
the large fee for the
Purla Kineedy Zemindar's
house - but none can be
traced. It is clear
however that Mr. Chisholm
undertook the work
with the concurrence
of the Revenue Dept.

Now vide
C.No. 9873 18/

The papers in
file show the
circumstances under
which Mr. Chisholm
has been allowed to
take private work.
Nobody but Mr.
Chisholm himself
can possibly say
what fees were
taken.

N.S.
15.11.83.

Please have reply drafted
to go to India explaining
the circumstances under
which Mr. Chisholm was
allowed to accept fees.
& stating that, as he is at
present absent in England,
the amount of such fees
cannot be given.

The papers put up do not
include all the cases in w^h
Mr. Chisholm received
There was one case

1820 209 N of 23-1-84
to Rev: Secy: that a copy
of appended order - dated
18-12-83. N. 1587.
may be communicated
to this Dept. - for
information.

W
17-1-84

Top

- (1) G. O. Submitted.
- (2) Attended to on
the 17th Inst. The
paper has not yet
been communicated.

R.S.
21-1-84

W
C. No. 9873.
The papers in
file show the
circumstances under
which Mr. Chisholm
has been allowed to
take private work.
Nobody but Mr.
Chisholm himself
can possibly say
what fees were
taken.

R.S.
15-11-83

Please have reply drafted
to go to India explaining
the circumstances under
which Mr. Chisholm was
allowed to accept fees.
& stating that, as he is at
present absent in England,
the amount of such fees
cannot be given.

The papers put up do not
include all the cases in which
Mr. Chisholm received fees.
There was one case

made to him: C's connection
with the work in the
Budget Orders of the
Court of Wards. vide
para 18 of their Pro:
recorded with L. 0575
d/23 Feby. 75. (Vol.)

R.S.
26-12-83.

The Court of Wards, Madras ——— D. 20

To R. F. Chisholm Esq. Architect, Madras.

To Professional aid rendered in the construction of the
Parlakimedi Palace and other works, at 5 per cent
on the expenditure of Rs 474/58-14-2 ———

Rs A P

23807 15 1

Deduct

Amount previously received ———

20692 2 4

Balance due Rs Three thousand one hundred and
fifteen annas twelve and pice nine ———

3115 12 9

Madras — }
8th March 1893 }

Contents received

(Signed) R. F. Chisholm
Architect, Madras.

(True copy)

Wm MacKinnon
Sd. Secy.

10/11/93

copy to



Proceedings of the Court of Elders,

Dated 22nd December 1883.

No. 11089

Miscellaneous.

READ letter from the Under Secretary to Government,
Public Works Department, dated 19th
December 1883, No 2556 c.

Resolution:-

Mr Chisholm has received a fee of
Rs 23807-15-1 for his professional services
rendered in the construction of the
Parla Kimeri Palace. This is five per
cent upon an expenditure of Rs
476158-14-2.

2. A true copy of the bill sent by
Mr Chisholm on 8th March 1883 is enclosed
(True Extract)

To The Under Secretary to Govt.
P.W.D.
Chennai
with copy of bill

Wm. Maitland
Asst. Secy.

rd C.D.
cop. to

W. Maitland
11575/13

Enclosure in Board's Progs:
Dated 22nd December 1883
No 11089 Miscellaneous
to the Under Secretary
to Govt. P.W.D.

188.

Court of Wards,

MADRAS.

P.W. Case No. 115/18

To

The Secretary to Government

P.W. Department

to be paid.

Rev 24/2/83 Encl. 1

Proceedings, dated 22 December 1883.

No. 11089 Miscellaneous.

Subject.

*Intimating that Mr. Chisholm
has received a fee of Rs
23807-15-1 for his professional
services rendered in the
construction of the Purlah
Kimedil Palace.*

Proceedings of the Madras Government, Revenue Department,
20th November 1873.

No. 92. Read the following Proceedings of the Court of Wards, dated 30th October 1873, No. 2,213:—

THE COURT have already recorded their desire that a suitable building for the residence of the Zemindar of Purlah Kimedyl should be undertaken.

2. The estate includes 885 villages, and its financial position is as follows:— The income exceeds £50,000 a year, the dues to Government including cesses are £20,000, and there is a balance at credit of £190,000. The present income is likely to increase.

3. The Court think it fitting that the family residence of the possessors of this great and ancient estate should be on a scale more suitable to their position than the building which they now occupy. Orissa possesses a native style of architecture marked by much excellence, especially in its ornamental details.

4. The Consulting Architect has some experience of the neighbouring district of Cuttack, and will know what probability there is of finding at Pooree or elsewhere men capable of supervising the construction of a building from plans furnished to them. The Court hope that Mr. Chisholm will be able to furnish plans, and if he can spare the time to pay Kimedyl a short visit it will be advantageous that he should do so, more especially as he would then have an opportunity of learning the wishes of the family to which the Court would of course pay every consideration.

5. The matter is not one of emergency, and arrangements for transferring the charge of public works in Kimedyl to a specially appointed officer, which the Court hope to be able to make, will, if made, add the means of more effectually supervising the execution of Mr. Chisholm's designs.

6. The site which the family would prefer is certainly the site of their present house. A pagoda which stands within the old house must be included in the courts of the new building. The valley near the town of Kimedyl is fertile, well wooded, and well watered, and a fine range of forest-clad hills bounds the view. All building materials likely to be required are to be found on the estate, timber being good and cheap; and labour would be procurable at low rates.

7. The Court have consulted Mr. Chisholm personally, and find his opinion to be that he can undertake to furnish designs; that he has no doubt of his being able to procure the execution of the work by efficient Maistries; and that he would very willingly pay Kimedyl a visit in the Christmas holidays to inspect the site and consult with the family.

8. The Court trust that no objection will be made to Mr. Chisholm's undertaking the work, his regular duties not being interfered with, and that Government will authorize the Court to defray his expenses and pay him a suitable fee, the amount whereof might be fixed hereafter.

*Proceedings of the Madras Government, Revenue Department,
20th November 1873.*

No. 93.

ORDER THEREON, 20th November 1873, No. 1,275.

Miscellaneous.

THE arrangements proposed by the
Board of Revenue are approved and
sanctioned.

(True Extract.)

(Signed) D. F. CARMICHAEL,
Secretary to Government.

To the Board of Revenue.

Ed. F. R. Bantleman.

21/1/84

No. 1452 G

GOVERNMENT OF INDIA.

PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT.

GENERAL.

To

THE Secretary to the Govt of Madras
Public Works Department

FORT WILLIAM, 21st December 1883.

The undersigned is directed to invite attention to the letter noted below, and to request that the reply may be expedited.

G. Walker

Offg Under Secretary.

MA

P. W. D. No. 11452 G, dated 2nd November 1883

enquiring what fees Mr. Chisholm has been allowed to accept and under what circumstances it was permitted

Govt. of Madras
REVENUE.

THE BOARD OF REVENUE.

Dated November 20th.

Recd.

Encl.

G. O., 20th November 1873, No. 1,275.

NOVEMBER.

Nov. 92-93.

Building for the Residence of the Panch
Kinsley Zemindar in Gangan District.
Approving and sanctioning the arrangements pro-
posed by the Court of Wards for providing a
suitable —.

Urgent.

Ref 17/1

MEMORANDUM.

REQUESTED for reference the undermentioned papers:—

G.O. No. 2213 dated 20th November 1873
Revenue Department -

GOVT. OFFICE, P. W. D.,
17th January 1884.
To
The

L. Sarson
Chief Assistant
P.W. Secretariat

First Assistant
Revenue Department

PM No. 11521

Extract from Note by Mr. E. J. Martin, Superintending Engineer.

Recd 26-12-83.

With regard to the general question of an officer being permitted to accept remuneration for other than Government work, I would beg to point out.

1st That the Consulting Architect to the Government of Madras has been for several years past allowed to accept fees. He is now employed, by Commission, to supervise the construction of a palace and a College at Baroda, and the Parla Himidi Palace at Ganjam for which he receives a professional fee of 5 and 7 per cent on the cost of the works, respectively.

Reply under
consideration
J. Feb. 12/83

af.

All communications
should be addressed
to the Secretary.

No. 1452 L₃

GOVERNMENT OF INDIA.

PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT.

GENERAL.

To

The Secretary to the Government of Madras,
Public Works Department.

Simla, 2nd November 1883.

Sir,

I am directed to forward extract of note submitted by Mr. Martin, Superintending Engineer, Bengal, on the subject of Government officers being permitted to accept remuneration for work done outside their regular duties, and, with reference thereto, to request that it may be reported what fees Mr. Chisholm has been allowed to accept and under what circumstances it was permitted.

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

your most Obedt Servant,

G. H. Walker

Document accompanying
Extract referred to. —

Offs Under Secy to the Govt of India

Encl
98.73/83

PWC No 9873

W B



No 209W
Government of Madras.

P. W. Department.

To,

The Secretary to the
Government of India,
Public Works Department.
Dated, Madras, 23rd January 1884

Sir,

I am directed to acknowledge
the receipt of your letter
No 1452 G dated 2nd November
1883, inquiring what fees
Mr Chisholm, Consulting
Architect at Madras, has
been allowed to accept,
and under what circumstances

We shall I fear hear
more of this. It is a pity
that Mr Chisholm was
ever allowed to embark
in such extensive private
practice

No 2190 A/1.8.65
" 2678 A/21.8.65
" 2272 A/12.7.69
" 2016 A/9.8.69
" 1863 A/22.7.76
" 1864 A/22.7.76
" 761 W A/21.9.77 - 1898 W A/25.11.78 -
" 1145 W A/6.5.82 - 1869 W A/21.7.82
" 2288 W A/22.11.83

Recd 10/11/03

E 1

15/2 18/12 Relined 9/1/84

In reply, I am to state
that, in 1865, Mr. Chisholm
requested the permission
of Government to take
advantage of any private
practice obtainable at
Madras. Mr. Chisholm
mentioned the case of Mr.
Granville, Accountant to
the Government of India,
who, he stated, was allowed
to practice privately. The
Government sanctioned the
application, on the under-
standing that there
^{should} be no interference
with public duty.

2. On receipt of the
Circular from the Govt of
India No. 12 Railway of
27th June 1868, the Government

withdrew, with reference to
paragraph 7, ^{thereof} the general
permission which had been
granted to Mr. Chisholm,
but intimated that they
would be prepared to
consider any special case
which might be submitted
for their orders.

3. Mr. Chisholm accordingly
from time to time, applied
for permission to undertake
the preparation of designs
of various important
buildings beyond the scope
of his ordinary duties, among
which the following may
be mentioned:—

Private residences and
places of business at Madras &
Sura Bank, Madras.

New Church at Madras.

Railway Station buildings
at Bellary and Madras.

New General Market,
Madras.

Residences for Zemindars
under the Court of Wards.

Palace for the Gaekwar
at Baroda.

4. An application from
the Baroda State for
Mr. Chisholm's services to
design a New High School
at Baroda, the site of
which it was necessary
he should visit, was nega-
-tived, on the ground
that the pressure of work
at Madras did not admit
of Mr. Chisholm's absence.



5. It will thus be seen
that Mr. Chisholm has not
been allowed to engage in
indiscriminate private
practice, and that his services
have been granted only
after regular permission
has been obtained, and in
cases in which his special
knowledge could be utilized
in the interests alike of
the applicants themselves
and of the advancement
of architectural knowledge,
it being a matter of some
public importance that large
buildings undertaken in this
country should be designed
on correct principles under
the guidance of a skilled

Architect.

6. Precise information is not available as to the amount of fees which Mr. Chisholm has received for his professional services on all occasions of his undertaking other than Government work. It may however be mentioned that Mr. Chisholm received an honorarium of Rs. 6925 for full architectural duties, extending over a period of six years, in connection with the ^{Central} ~~parent~~ terminus of the Madras Railway in the town of Madras, Rs. 252 for an elevation



The Court of Wards could take what has been paid on behalf of Parla Kenedy Palace & Station and other works.

Rs. 23807 from the same authority for professional services rendered in the construction of the Parla Kenedy Palace and ~~the~~ other works on the Estate, the fee being calculated at 5 per cent on an expenditure amounting to Rs. 476158-~~7-12~~

1125

of the Bellary Railway Station, Rs. 596-72- from the Court of Wards for the Bodinaik Kanur Zamindars' house, and

Owing to Mr. Chisholm's ^{fortnightly} absence on ~~leave~~ further particulars are not available.

15.12-83.

Desd 24/1

E. S. D.

15.12-83.

The Hon. H. E. Sullivan

Undersigned has the honour
to recirculate the draft reply
to the Govt. of India on the
subject of the fees which Mr.
Chisholm has been permitted
to accept while holding the
post of Consulting Architect,
— the additional information
respecting the amount of fee
for the Parla Kinnedi Palace
having been obtained from
the Court of Wards, as
suggested by the Hon. Mr.
Sullivan.

With respect to this large
fee, of Rs 23,807, undersigned
has not been able to ascertain
that any special sanction
was ever accorded by the
Govt., authorising Mr.
Chisholm to undertake
the work, — but in the
Budget orders of the Court
of Wards incidental mention
is made of Mr. Chisholm's
connection with the work,
— and it may therefore

he perhaps inferred that
the Govt. were cognisant
of such connection.

Vide para 18 of the Pro:
of Court of Wards, printed
with Pro: of Govt. dated.
23-2-75, N^o. 515.

Memo

9.1.84.

No 2095 of 23rd Jan'y 84

17-1-84

[$\frac{\text{Ref.}}{4}$]
15,000-10-2-06

Paper taken out of the Records from the charge of the Referencer.

Press
Miscellaneous
Routine