

Current No.

From

Public Department

ENCLOS.—

No. 1104 A. Mis.

Dated 27th May 1884

Received 18/8/82

SUBJECT.

SUBJECT.

Report of our Native
Newspapers for April
1884.

DISPOSAL.

No. 2085 Dated

Dated 23rd August/80

No. 2086 w/2 } we are in
this }
JH



Σ 7.
J^d 20/8 23/8

retransmitted to the Supt.
Engineer for scrutiny and
return.

2. With regard to the
question raised by the
Board of Revenue in their
Proceedings above record-
ed, it is remarked that
the first metalling of a
road constitutes "Original
Work," whilst remetalling,
or, in other words, renewing
the coat of metal originally
laid down, is a "repair."

Cel.

10.12.84

20-7-84 (Pulse)

2-10-84

US

11.12.84

To
The S.E. VI. Circle
with 5 enclos. & copy
of G.O. Finl: of 4 Sept. 1904
No. 2085.
"Board of Revenue
"Finl: Sept: 1904
Chief Secretary for Counter initial

On

24/12

24/12/84

PROCEEDINGS OF THE MADRAS GOVERNMENT.

UNDER date the

READ the following

Pros. of the Board of Revenue H.B. No. 4086 of 27 November 1884

In P.G. dated 4th September 1884, No. 2085, Financial, it was ruled that every estimate which requires the sanction of Govt. in the P.W. Dept. should be transmitted through the Suptg. Engr. of the circle. The President Local Fund Board Sinnerelly was therefore correct in forwarding through the Suptg. Engr. of the circle, the estimate of Rs 5895 for improving & metalling the road from Shonangadu to post shed south of Mala = Jiramudaiyarkulam. The documents will now be

the question raised in para 2 of the Rev. Board's Pros. can very easily be disposed of.

In G.O. Financial 84. 7. 84 No. 2085, it was ruled that every Local Fund estimate which requires the sanction of Govt. in P.W.D., should be sent up through the S.E. of the circle - The line is thus plainly drawn -

This estimate is for new work, & exceeds Rs 5000. The Local Fund Board were therefore correct in transmitting it through the S.E.

The Rev. Board also raise the question whether metalling a road for the first time should be classifying, classified as a repair work, in the same way as re-metalling - It is difficult to see how original work can be classified as repair.

G
7.12.84

for the culverts should have been submitted.

5. It may be learnt from the Proceedings of the Board of Revenue appended to the present estimate, that S.E. VI.

is of opinion that this estimate does not require to be scrutinized in his office (under G.O. No. 2577 D. of 14th Sept. /83). —

The Board of Revenue hold a different view, and in their Resolution No. 4086 of the 27th Nov. /84, request further information on the subject.

8th Dec /84

W.H.

2. SW. In order —

1st. be decided

that the estimate

may be sanctioned,

the original estimate; and (b), the construction of 2 culverts which are to be adopted instead of the 2 road dams at first provided. — Relative to (a), it is stated that the sand stone "which was thought to be obtainable," is "very poor and utterly unsuitable"; relative to (b), culverts are to be adopted instead of road dams, "the sites being swampy".

3. Subject to approval, does it not evince great carelessness in the preparation of the original that such corrections as are effected in the revised estimate should be necessary? — particularly in the case of the detail.

4. The comparative statement has not been well prepared; and plans

S. a. w.

The estimate should have been scrutinized by the S. E. It is for original work ~~and~~ the first metalling of a road constitutes "original work", whilst re-metalling, that is renewing the coat of metal originally laid down is a "repair". Order may be drafted accordingly.

Cd.

9.12.84

Public Works Department, 1884-85.

Current No.

10131

Letter No. Proceedings No. 4086.

Dated the 27th November/84

From the Board of Revenue

NOTE OF REMARKS.

1. This is a revised estimate for improving and metalling the road from Shonangadu to the post shed south of Nalaziraramudaiyarkulam, Tinnevely District. This revised estimate amounts to Rs. 5,895 - being Rs. 3,445 in excess of the original estimate, Rs. 2,450.
2. This revised estimate is very far from satisfactory - The revised amount, Rs. 5,895, is more by nearly Rs. 1,000, than double the original amount, Rs. 2,450, and the reasons assigned for this great excess are (a) the substitution of metal (quartz) for the broken sand stone of

PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT.

1884.

Board of Revenue,

MADRAS.

No 1757 d/14.8.83 (Fail 80)

" 1577 Wd/14.9.83

2334 Wd/22.9.84

2211 Wd/12.10.84

710 Wd/10.4.84

154 Wd/9.4.84

Printed December th.

Recd 5/12/84

Proceedings, 27th Nov. 1884, No. 4086.

Clues 5

Clues 5/12/84

Submitting, for sanction of Government, estimate for improving and metalling Road from Shonangadu to post-shed south of Nalaziraramudaiyarkulam in Tinnevely District, and requesting to be informed whether such estimates should be scrutinized or not by Superintending Engineers.

PROCEEDINGS OF THE BOARD OF REVENUE.

READ—the following letter from J. LEE-WARNER, Esq., President, Local Fund Board, Tinnevely, to the Secretary to the Board of Revenue, (through the Superintending Engineer, VI Circle,) dated 3rd October 1884, No. 123 :—

I have the honor to forward a revised estimate for Rs. 5,895 for improving and metalling road from Shonangadu to post-shed south of Nalayiramudaiyarkulam, and to request that you will be good enough to obtain the sanction of the Board of Revenue for the same.

2. The original estimate was for Rs. 2,450, and is revised to allow for additional earth-work, provision for broken stone, which has to be brought from a distance, instead of broken sand-stone, and the construction of culverts instead of road dams which are now found to be necessary. A comparative statement explaining the reasons fully is annexed.

3. This work appears as item No. 3 in the incomplete list of works sanctioned in Proceedings, No. 2664, dated 4th August 1884, and the balance of the revised estimate is proposed to be met by transfers, an application for which will be submitted in due course.

4. A copy of the Resolution, No. 19, dated 18th September 1884, is enclosed.

ENCLOSURE No. 1.

Proceedings of the Local Fund Board, Tinnevely Circle, dated 8th September 1884, No. 19.

* * * * *

Read letter from the Local Fund Engineer, No. 256, dated 11th September 1884, forwarding a revised estimate for Rs. 5,895 for improving and metalling road from Shonangadu to post-shed south of Nalayiramudaiyarkulam, a part of Road No. IV.

Sanctioned.

ENCLOSURES Nos. 2 to 6.—Estimate, &c.

No. 425 T.N.

Report by the Superintending Engineer, VI Circle, on the estimate for improving and metalling the road from Shonangadu to post-shed south of Nalayiramudairkulam in Tinnevely District—Rs. 5,895.

This estimate is for repairs of road and metalling over. It is therefore not required to be scrutinized by this office (*vide* G.O., No. 2577 W., of 14th September 1883).

2. The estimate provides for building two small culverts, the plans of which are not sent in, and the undersigned is therefore unable to give his opinion as to the sufficiency of water-way and foundations, but considers that the Local Fund Engineer would have given his attention to them.

3. This is a revised estimate, and the original estimate is exceeded by Rs. 3,445; the reasons for the excess are given by the Local Fund Engineer in the comparative statement, and the estimate is sanctioned by the Local Fund Board in the Proceedings, dated 8th September 1884.

4. The estimate is forwarded to the Secretary to the Board of Revenue as requested by the President of the Local Fund Board.

TRICHINOPOLY, (Signed) H. R. MEAD, Lieut.-Col., R.E.,
13th October 1884. Superintending Engineer, VI Circle.

Reference on the above, dated 3rd November 1884.

The Superintending Engineer is requested to state his reason for treating this as a repair work, as it has been hitherto classed as a new work in the budget and in the list of incomplete works for 1883-84.

2. It is understood that metalling a road for the first time is a new work while remetalling it is a repair.

(Signed) G. MACKENZIE,
Acting Sub-Secretary.

To the Superintending Engineer, VI Circle, with three
enclosures, to be returned.

READ—the following letter from Lieut.-Colonel H. R. MEAD, R.E., Superintending Engineer, VI Circle, to the Secretary to the Board of Revenue, dated Trichinopoly, 6th November 1884, No. 459 T.N. :—

With reference to your G.R. No. 7483 of 3rd instant, I have the honor to state that I have not treated the estimate as repair work, but stated in the report the nature of the work proposed, namely, repairing the road and then metalling it.

By the Government Order quoted in my report the operation of this department is restricted to "buildings, drainage and masonry works, and generally to all works of a special and unusual character," and not to road metalling.

The enclosures of your reference are herewith returned.

RESOLUTION—dated 27th November 1884, No. 4086.

Submitted for the sanction of Government.

2. The Government Order referred to by the Superintending Engineer says that the Order of 22nd March 1880, No. 609, will not be considered as applicable to "such repairs as road metalling." The Board request to be informed whether the relaxation

* Vide G.O., dated 25th August 1884, Mis. No. 1973, F.D. contained in the first Order is intended to refer only to the *remetalling* of roads, which is now treated as a repair work * or to their *first metalling* also, and whether the latter is to be treated as a new work or a repair.

(True Copies and Extract.)

G. Mackenzie
Acting Sub-Secretary.

To the Secretary to Government, Public Works Department,
,, President, Local Fund Board, Tinnevely.
with five enclosures.

Exd. C. Vencatasubbaiyar.