

32 (1) Number of pages 14
(2) Date of repair Sept 1987
(3) Initials of the Referencer 2 ✓

TAMIL NADU ARCHIVES

GOVERNMENT OF TAMIL NADU

S.W.D. DEPARTMENT

G.O. No.	1150-W	Press.
B.P. No.		Mis.
Dated	7. 5. 1884	197 .

Sp 2/2

Not to be folded or roughly handled

7 ✓

7TH MAY 1884, No. 1150 W., PUBLIC WORKS.

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS.

PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT.

Buildings and Roads.
Civil Works.

READ—the following letter from Major J. L. L. MORANT, R.E., Officiating Consulting Architect to Government, to the Chief Engineer, Public Works Department, dated Chepāk, 23rd April 1884, No. 105 :—

I have the honor to submit, for approval and sanction, a revised estimate, amounting to Rs. 10,248, for erecting a memorial to the late Right Honorable W. P. Adam. The original estimate, sanctioned in G.O., No. 2540 W., dated 28th September 1882, amounted to Rs. 6,644; the difference (in excess), Rs. 3,604, is explained in the comparative statement of the revised estimate. It was almost impossible to correctly estimate for such a novel kind of work, prepared here, carried miles away to the top of a mountain and there erected. The original estimate was only framed to enable the Examiner to pass the outlay on the work; and when framed it was anticipated that the cost of the work in question would come up to Rs. 10,000. Funds were accordingly provided (*vide* G.O., No. 1484 W., dated 14th June 1882, copy appended). A statement showing the expenditure incurred in Madras and at Ootacamund on account of the work is also herewith appended.

2. The delay in the submission of this revised estimate has been caused by the double expenditure, both here and at Ootacamund, the collection of which under one account has been difficult.

ORDER—dated 7th May 1884, No. 1150 W., Public Works.

The revised estimate, amounting to Rs. 10,248, for erecting a memorial to the late Right Honorable W. P. Adam, at Ootacamund, is sanctioned.

2. The excess of Rs. 3,604 over the original estimate of Rs. 6,644, sanctioned in G.O., No. 2540 W., dated 28th September 1882, is explained in the Consulting Architect's letter above recorded.

3. This sanction has been registered as Provincial No. 21 W. of 1884-85, and classified as Civil Buildings, Original Works.

(True Extract.)

Major, R.E.,
Under Secy. to Govt., P.W.D.

To the Consulting Architect to Government, with four enclosures.
" Examiner, Public Works Accounts, with abstract estimate.
" Superintending Engineer, IV Circle.
" Public Department.

Exd. E. Fernandez.
Spare Copies

BUILDINGS AND ROADS
CIVIL WORKS.

Govt. of 1884 Madras.
PUBLIC WORKS.

Dated May, 7th.

Recd.

Encl.

G.O., 7th May 1884, No. 1150 W.

MAY.

The late Right Honorable
W. P. Adam

Revised estimate of Rs. 10,248, for erecting
a memorial to the — at Ootacamund, sanc-
tioned.

C. A. W.

What is the necessity for
cancelling the original
estimate in this case?

It does not seem to be
generally done, when a
revised estimate is
sanctioned.

See case of Sahur
bungalow, in linked
file.

W

3.5.84

To W

The "original" estimate
is virtually cancelled
by sanction to a
"revised" estimate. The
manner in which the
revised sanction should
be carried through
the Register is laid
down in para 105 of
the Manual.

The concluding
clause of para 1 of the
draft in the Sahur
bungalow cancels the
original estimate for
the building.

3.5.84

Noted. I have
struck out last para
of para 3 of draft
G.O. - as being
unnecessary.

W

5-5-84

P. W. D.

Consulting Architect's Office,
Chopauk, Madras.

23rd April 1884

No. 105

15/2/3

From

The Consulting Architect to the Government of Madras.

To

The Chief Engineer, Public Works Department.

Sir,

Enclosures.

1. Detailed Estimate
1. Abstract "
1. Comparative Statement
1. Statement of expenditure
1. Copy of G.O.

I have the honor to submit for approval and sanction a revised estimate amounting to Rs 10,248 for 'Erecting a memorial to the late Right Honorable W. P. Adam'. The original estimate sanctioned in G. O. No. 2450 W. dated 28th September 1882, amounted to Rs 6644, the difference (in excess) Rs 3604 is explained in the Comparative statement of the revised estimate. It was almost impossible to correctly estimate for such a novel kind of work, prepared here, carried miles away to the top of a mountain and there erected. The original estimate was only framed to enable the Examiner to pass the outlay on the work. And when framed it was anticipated that

the cost of the work in question would
come up to Rs 10,000. Funds were
accordingly provided. [Vide G.O. No 1484
W. dated 14th June 1882. Copy appended]
A statement shewing the expenditure
incurred in Madras and at Cota-
camund on account of the work
is also herewith appended.

2. The delay in the submission of
this Revised Estimate has been caused
by the double expenditure both here
and at Cotacamund the collection
of which under one account has
been difficult.

I have the honor to be

Sir

Your most obedient servant

J. R. M. M.

Major R. E.

Offg. Comdg. Archd. to Govt.

1150/34

B. No 1484 W. 27 14-6-82
G. O. No 2540 W. 28-9-82.

P. W. D.
Roads and Buildings.

From

THE CONSULTING ARCHITECT

TO THE GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS,

To

The Chief Engineer
Public Works Department

No. 105

Dated 23rd April 1884.

Received

No. 1150 W. 27 14-6-82

No. of ENCLOSURES. 5.

Subject.

Submits revised estimate
for constructing a memo-
rial for the Rt. Hon. W. P.
Adam at Cotacamund.

C-1-5-84

Public Works Department, 1884-85.

Current No. 3474

Letter No. 105

Dated the 23rd April 1884

From the *Chief Architect to Govt.*

NOTE OF REMARKS.

1. This revised estimate is for erecting a Memorial to the late Right Honorable W. P. Adam at Cotacamund and amounts to Rs. 10,248 - Rs. 3,604 in excess of the original estimate sanctioned in G.O. No. 2540 W. of the 28th Sept. 1882.
2. C. A. writes:- "It was almost impossible to correctly estimate for such a novel kind of work" - He further adds "The original estimate was only framed to enable the Examiner to pass the outlay on the work." The necessity for a revised estimate is almost entirely due to the insufficiency

C-1-5-84 27-5-84

of the rates originally allowed. The single item carriage to Botacamund causes an increase of Rs. 1766 in the revised estimate above the original - Subject to approval, the present estimate is the first that has been based on data sufficiently accurate to secure a good approximation of the amount required to erect the Adam Memorial

29th April/84
RSH

151 P
Public Works Department.

N: 1150 H
PROCEEDINGS OF THE MADRAS GOVERNMENT.

Under date the 7th May/84

1150
106
READ the following

Letter from the Consulting Architect
to Government } H. G. N: 105 of 23rd April 1884.

The revised estimate amounting to Rupees 10,248, for erecting a ^{memorial to} ~~monument over~~ the grave of the late Right Honorable W. P. Adam, at Botacamund, is sanctioned.

2. The excess of Rs 3604, over the original estimate of Rs 6644, sanctioned in G. O. N: 2510 W dated 28th September 1882, is

is explained in the Cong.
Architect's letter above recorded.

3. This sanction
has been registered
as Provincial. V. ~~12115~~²¹
of 1891-85, and classified
as Civil Buildings Original
works. ~~Provincial V. 12115~~
~~of 1882-85 is cancelled.~~

Cd.

1-5-84

III

3-5-84

76

2.1532 to 19.6.82

To

8/15/81

The Cong. Archt to goh with
" Encls.
" Examd. P. W. Accts with abstract
estimates.
" Supg. Eng^r IV Encls
" Public Department

Cu

175 575


6.1-5.84

11-1-09N

3474

Govt. of (1884) Madras.

PUBLIC WORKS.



Date

3

Recd

Enc

G.O. 7 May 1884, No. 1150 W

The Late Right Hon^{ble}
W. P. Adams

Review Estimate
of Rs 10,248 for erecting
a ^{memorial to} monument on
the grave of ~~the~~
at Ootacamund,
Sumbul 65/6

one 9/5

to 8/5

Substr. Dept. Rtd.