(1) Number of pages 44.

(2) Date of repair 14.5.82

(3) Initials of the Referencer

TAMIL NADU ARCHIVES

GOVERNMENT OF TAMIL NADU

Public Works DEPARTMENT

G.O. No. / B.P. No.	429	Press. / Mis.
Dated	8. 2. 1883	

Not to be folded or roughly handled

9588

Archives I--10,006 I -80.

8th February 1883, No. 429 W., Public Works.

429

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS.

PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT.

General.
Account.

No. 64.

Read—the following papers :—

From Messrs. BINNY and Co., to the Secretary to Government, Public Works Department, dated Madras, 11th December 1882.

With reference to the enclosed copies of our letter of the 1st instant, to the Collector of Chingleput, and his reply thereto of the 6th idem, we have the honor to forward, herewith, our bill for Rs. 4,000 for damage done to our property at Ennore, and have the honor to request you will be good enough to have the same passed for payment at an early date.

No. 2311 C.

Referred to the Superintending Engineer, Fifth Circle, for investigation and report.

13th December 1882. (Signed) R. H. SANKEY, Colonel, R.E.,
Chief Engineer, P.W.D.

No. 64-A.

From Colonel H. L. PRENDERGAST, R.E., Superintending Engineer, Fifth Circle, to the Chief Engineer, Public Works Department, dated Chepauk, 29th January 1883, No. 3730.

I have the honor to return letter * from Messrs. Binny and Co., endorsed to me, No. 2311 C., dated the 13th December 1882, and to enclose letter, No. 1840, dated the 15th instant, from the Executive Engineer of the Buckingham Canal Division.

* Dated 1st December 1882.

One endorsement, No. 2311 C., of 13th Dec. 1882, on letter from Messrs. Binny and Co. with its three enclosures.
One letter from the Executive Engineer, Buckingham Canal Division, No. 1840, dated 15th January 1883.

2. Messrs. Binny and Co. state—

I. Colonel Moberly commenced the present system of opening the Ennore Bar close to their property; hence there was danger to it, and objections were made by them.

II. Bit by bit the land has disappeared, and the bungalows have fallen, the protective works having proved abortive.

III. In October 1879 the Executive Officer wrote that there was likely to be a permanent bar, and asked what compensation would be accepted if the

5t-R/c-/247

octagon bungalow were purchased. In November 1879 Messrs. Binny and Co. said they would accept Rs. 4,000. There was intermediate correspondence involving the question whether the existing large bungalow would be endangered. But, owing to the bar being opened at this unusual place, the octagon bungalow is destroyed, and a demand is made for the sum of Rs. 4,000.

3. I. The Executive Engineer refers to the Order of Government, No. 3253, of the 13th November 1875, in which it is desired that the cut for the relief of the flood-water should be made so as not to be likely to work back as far as the boundary of Messrs. Binny and Co.'s property. Upon this Colonel Moberly showed that the river was deflected from the north bank even to the south of the channel which he had opened into the sea, so that this channel did not affect Messrs. Binny and Co.'s property.

II. Major Drake-Brockman adds that the erosion of the south margin of the river and the adjacent buildings was only a question of time; and the opening of a small channel could not have influenced the action of floods in the Corteliar, the tendency of the river being to work its mouth gradually to the south.

III. The Executive Engineer shows that there was no intention on his part to purchase the octagon bungalow. Assistant Engineer Mr. Ottmann asked Messrs. Binny and Co. what value they set upon the building, and said there was a likelihood of a permanent opening being made into the sea near the octagon bungalow. But this permanent cut has not been made, and the demand for compensation on this ground cannot be entertained.

4. It is shown in Colonel Moberly's letter, entered in G.O., No. 3416, of the 1st December 1875, that Messrs. Binny's old bungalow was injured by the set of the river in 1873; and in paragraph 4 of the same letter it is said that "it does not matter where the outlet is made." The Government then ordered that the cut should be made as marked by red lines on the plan.

This plan has not been kept; but I understand that the cut has been, since 1875, made at about the same place.

Hence on the first point I am of opinion the damage to property has been the natural result of the course of the river, and not brought about by the small artificial cut.

5. As regards the failure of Protective Works II, an attempt was certainly made on a small scale to arrest the progress of erosion. Now, in many places, the Government have had protective embankments made on the sea coast and on river banks; but there has not thereby been any liability incurred, so that the Government has become responsible for damage incurred by accidents to the embankments.

In the present case there is no good reason for bringing forward a private claim.

6. The third ground of claim is based on the supposition that a permanent opening was to be made into the sea, but no such work has been attempted; the river came down in very high flood, and the octagon bungalow has now met with the same fate that befell the other bungalow several years ago.

No. 64-B.

From Messrs. BINNY and Co., to the Secretary to Government, Public Works Department, dated Madras, 23rd January 1883.

We have the honor to draw your attention to our letter of the 11th ultimo, in which we enclosed a bill for Rs. 4,000 for damage done to our property at Ennore, which we shall be glad to hear has been passed for payment.

429
2

No. 64-C.

From Colonel R. H. SANKEY, R.E., Secretary to Government, Public Works Department, to Messrs. BINNY and Co., Madras, dated 26th January 1883, No. 271 C.

I have the honor to acknowledge the receipt of your letter of the 23rd January 1883, and to inform you in reply that the claim made on account of damage to your property at Ennore is under inquiry. The matter will be disposed of as early as practicable.

No. 272 C.

Copy of the above forwarded to the Superintending Engineer, Fifth Circle, for early attention in continuation of endorsement, No. 2311 C., dated 13th December 1882.

(Signed) R. H. SANKEY, Colonel, R.E.,
Chief Engineer, P.W.D.

26th January 1883.

No. 65.

Order—dated 8th February 1883, No. 429 W., Public Works.

The Government resolve to admit the claim put forward by Messrs. Binny and Co. for compensation for the destruction of their octagon bungalow at Ennore by the set of the current over the bar at that place, and authorise the Executive Engineer, Buckingham Canal Division, to disburse at once the amount claimed, viz., Rs. 4,000, which also includes the value of the land attached to the bungalow.

2. An appropriation of Rs. 4,000 is now made to cover the charge from the Provincial Reserve.

3. The incidence of the charge will be definitely settled on receipt of such remarks as the Commissioner of Salt Revenue may have to offer on this point. Mr. Bliss is requested to be good enough to report on the matter at an early date.

4. The Superintending Engineer will report whether the adjacent bungalow is also in danger of destruction, and, if so, whether it would be expedient to acquire the property on behalf of Government.

(True Extract.)

Major, R.E.,
Under Secy. to Govt., P.W.D.

To the Superintending Engineer, Fifth Circle.
" Examiner of Public Works Accounts.
" Commissioner of Salt Revenue.
" Board of Revenue.
" Revenue Department.

Exd. C. Howarth.
Spare Copies.

GENERAL.
ACCOUNT.

Govt. of (1883) Madras.

PUBLIC WORKS.

Dated February, 8th.

Recd. *Encl.*

G.O., 8th Feb. 1883, No. 429 W.

FEBRUARY.
Nos. 64-65.

Compensation.

Passing orders on the claim of Messrs. Binny and Co. for — for the destruction of their property at Ennore.

BINNY & Co
MADRAS.

3/32/6
8/1/83

42/3

The Government of Madras —— Dr
to
Binny & Co

Nov 3rd 1882

To value of our Octagon Bungalow at Ennore, destroyed by the deflection of the Current caused by opening the Bar at an unusual and objectionable point in face of our former protests —— Rs 4000/-

Binny & Co

GENERAL.
ACCOUNT.

BINNY & Co
MADRAS.

4/3216
9/1/83

429/C

Copy

Madras 1 Dec 1882.

J. T. Price Esqr
Collector of Chingleput Dist
Saidapet

Sir

We have the honor to inform you that in consequence of the Ennore Bar having again been opened near our property, in spite of the protests already recorded, and the heavy losses sustained by us on former occasions, our Octagonal Bungalow has been destroyed by the deflection of the current from its natural course.

For some 50 years, within the knowledge of the members of our Firm, the natural outlet from the Ennore Backwater was situated half a mile to the North of the present Bar, but since Coll. Moberly commenced the present system of opening the Bar close to our proper the danger thereto became apparent, and we have repeated strongly objected thereto.

Notwithstanding our protests however the system has continued and bit by bit our land has disappeared [illegible] our bungalows have fallen, the materials o[illegible] larger one being used moreover by the Governmen[illegible] Engineer in protective works which have proved

at

proved abortive.

On the 31 Oct 1879 the Executive Engineer Buckingham Canal Division, wrote to us as follows.

"There is a likelihood of a permanent Bar being provided for at Ennore, the position of which will be immediately North of your Octagon Bungalow, where on one occasion several years back the Bar was opened (N.B. On the occasion referred to our large Bungalow was destroyed by this unusual proceeding) "I have the honor to request you will be so good as to inform me what compensation you would accept for the Octagon Bungalow together with the grounds belonging to it (lying west of Salt platform and north of the Salt Cutcherry) should it be necessary to purchase the property in connection with the proposed scheme of the Bar before referred to"

On the 5 Nov 1879 we replied as follows.

"We have the honor to acknowledge your letter No 2653 of the 31 Ult, in reference to the Octagon Bungalow on our Ennore property. We note the proposed scheme for the permanent Bar and would bring to your notice that if a permanent Bar is cut as proposed it would result in the early destruction of the Godowns and stabling belonging to the upstair House, and ultimately to the destruction of the house itself.

Under

Under these circumstances we should prefer selling the whole property to Government if a reasonable offer be made, or should the Octagon Bungalow and adjacent ground only be disposed of, the sale would be subject to a distinct agreement of compensation for any eventual damage to the House, or Out houses &c, in consequence of the altered position of the Bar

We may mention that the cutting of a temporary Bar some years ago in the vicinity of the Octagon Bungalow, resulted in the total destruction of the large Bungalow belonging to us to the West of the Octagon Bungalow"

On the 6th Nov 1879 the Executive officer Buckingham Canal Division replied as follo

"In reply to your letter of yesterday's date I have the honor to observe that you are under misapprehension that a permanent Bar will be injurious to your property. A permanent opening will prevent the Lake water level rising at the very utmost 3 feet above mean sea level in the worst of Cyclones and there will be no rush, as in the case where the flood is allowed to accumulate and opening afterwards. It was in such a case as this that you refer to in para 4 of your letter that your

property

"property was destroyed some years ago"

"I should like to have therefore your valuation of the two properties separately and I will make such provision in my report and estimate that if the contingency arises your large house and grounds be taken up, should any defensive measures as a stone Breakwater all along the property fail to protect it. If it will be cheaper to buy the whole property than construct a stone breakwater then the purchase of the whole property will be estimated for. I have no fear whatever for the Southern large Bungalow and out offices."

"Oblige me therefore by your valuation of the 2 properties separate with reference to the conditions I have mentioned"

On the 7th Nov 1879 we gave the required valuations in these terms.

"For the Octagon Bungalow with land attached is from north boundary to boundary north of Salt Cutcherry we are prepared to accept Rupees Four thousand (Rs 4000/) This of course includes the ground covered by, and pertaining to the ruined bungalow" The above correspondence will suffice to show that the destruction of the

Octagon

BINNY & Co
MADRAS.

Octagon Bungalow, owing to the Bar being opened at this unusual place, was anticipated both by ourselves and the Executive Officer of the Buckingham Canal and since the date of the above we have considered it a settled thing that in the event of the Octagon Bungalow being destroyed we should receive the sum of Rupees 4000/ in compensation.

This event has now taken place and we therefore have the honor to enclose our Bill for that amount, with a request that you will be good enough to make early arrangements for its liquidatio

We may mention in conclusion that the Executive Engineer Buckingham Canal informs us that the Bar has now been opened (at the objectionab and unusual place) at the request of the Assistant Salt Commissioner Chingleput District to prevent the salt Pans being submerged and sustaining damage thereby.

We have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most Obedient Servts.
(Signed) Binny & C

Binny
True Copy

BINNY & Co
MADRAS.

(Copy)

No. 5858

Chingleput District
Collector's Office
Saidapet 6th December 18

From
I. F. Price Esqre.
Collector of the
Chingleput District.

To
Messrs. Binny & Co.
Madras.

Gentlemen,

Adverting to your letter dated 1st instant claiming compensation of Rs 4,000 on account of your bungalow at Emore, I have the honor to inform you that you should apply to the Executive Engineer or the Commissioner of Salt Revenue, as I have no longer anything to do with the Salt Department or the Buckingham Canal.

I have the honor to be,
Gentlemen,
Your most Obedient Servant,
(Sigd.) G. W. Dance.
Asstt. Collector
for Collector

Binny
(True Copy)

---

protection of Messrs Binny & Co's property

at

No. 1840

Office of the Executive Engineer
Buckingham Canal
Chepauk 15th January 1882

From
The Executive Engineer
Buckingham Canal

To
The Superintending Engineer
5th Circle

Sir

Encls. 4

With reference to your Memo No. 3302 dated 16th Ultimo forwarding endorsement No. 2311 C dated 13th idem from the Chief Engineer upon a letter from Messrs. Binny & Co. claiming compensation for the loss of their Octagonal Bungalow destroyed by the late floods in the Cortelliar, I have the honor to report as follows.

2. In November last year 1881 I was called upon to report upon the measures that were considered necessary for the protection of Messrs. Binny & Co.'s property

at

at Ennore, and my letter to the Chief Engineer forwarded through you under date the 23rd November 1881. No 2230 will show the action then taken.

3. In the year 1875 Messrs Binny & Co complained to the then Chief Engineer regarding the opening in 1874 of the Ennore Bar at a point "several hundred yards further South than it used to be opened, and the consequent destruction of one of their bungalows. Colonel Moberly then reported that the opening of the bar at the locality indicated in no way accelerated the downfall of the bungalow, which was in a precarious condition before the extraordinary floods of 1874 carried it away altogether. The Government in their order thereon No 3253 dated 13th November 1875 desired that the cut for the relief of the flood waters of the Cortelliar be made sufficiently clear of Messrs Binny & Co's property as not to be likely to work back as far as their boundary."

In

4. In replying to this order of Government Colonel Moberly on the 25th November pointed out that the river had deepened lately on the north bank, (Binny's property being on the South bank) and following the usual tendency of rivers was deflected on to the South bank even South of the channel which he had opened. It will be seen therefore that this tendency of the river to impinge on and hug the South margin of the river was established quite 7 years ago, and even as far back as 1873 when, Colonel Moberly goes on to state in his reply, the North east corner of the building then in question had been undermined and seriously damaged.

5. The erosion of the whole of the South margin of the river and the adjacent buildings was therefore only a question of time, and the opening of a

a

a channel 20' in width and in no part deeper than 3 feet in no way could have influenced the action of the River or have tended had it been cut to any distance north of its present site, to divert the flow of a river as large as the Cortelliar in flood.

6. The cut of the present and former years was at a point about 600 feet north of the north east corner of the land belonging to the Octagon Bungalow, compensation for which Messrs Binny & Co. now claim. The river when in flood strikes the North bank at Ennore Kuppam some distance to the north west of Messrs Binny & Co.'s Bungalow and being deflected strikes the South bank at the large bungalow, also belonging to Messrs Binny & Co situate some 600 ft South east of the Octagon Bungalow. To say that an opening of 20 feet in width lying 600 feet to the north of the Octagon Bungalow and about due east of Ennore Kuppam has the effect of drawing the current over to

the

the South bank in a South east direction before it trends towards the cut in a North east direction is an assertion hardly credible.

7. In my opinion the opening of such a channel at any point whatever in the bar of the river at Ennore even though it had been in the alignment of the bungalow property on the South bank would in no way have influenced the tendency of the River to work, aided as it is when the bar is open, by the combined action of a North East monsoon wind & littoral current, in a South east direction. I consider the claim therefore of Messrs Binny & Co. untenable. They base their claim on the understanding arrived at by them as well as (in their opinion) the Executive Officer (Mr Oltmann) of the Buckingham Canal that if their property were destroyed compensation would be granted on the terms laid down in a reply made by them to Mr Oltmann in 1879 when the latter contemplating the Establishment

of

of a permanent bar (a chimerical idea by the way) immediately North of the Octagon Bungalow asked them what compensation they would accept for the Octagon Bungalow together with the grounds belonging to it, should it be necessary to purchase the property in connection with the proposed Scheme of the Bar above referred to - In no way did Mr. Ottmann suggest the destruction of the property by the carrying out of the Scheme. He wished to purchase it merely to serve as a basis for future operations. The assumption that the Bungalow would be destroyed by the opening of a Southern channel was on the part of Messrs Binny & Co alone, and inasmuch as no channel of the kind was ever established, I do not see how their claim can hold good.

I have the honor to be
Sir
Your most obedient Servant
A. Meade-Brickman Major R.E.
Executive Engineer. B. Canal.

From

The Executive Engineer
Buckingham Canal

To

The Supdg Engineer
5th Circle

Dated 16th } January 1883
Recd }

No. 1840

Enclo. 4

Compensation claimed by Messrs Binny & Co for damage done to their Bungalow at Ennore.

Affords his opinion on the ——

HRGW

P. W. DEPARTMENT.

READ the following :—

Letter from the Supdg Engineer, Fifth Circle, No. 3730 d/ 29th January 1883/

428 / 18 12

FOR ORDERS.

The questions seems to be very much one of opinion as to whether the destruction of the bungalow was due to natural causes or to the position of the cut.

2 The evidence is decidedly in favour of the former view, and Messrs Binnys' claim seems to be unsustainable, but it is a question whether it would not be good policy to

I think the claim must be admitted & the money should be paid without delay — ask [illegible] if he is prepared to pay but this can be settled afterwards — Binny & Co should have money at once —

Is there any chance of [illegible] the bungalow coming down — if so we had better acquire it

HW/

admit it, as there is certainly a doubt on the matter.

3 If Government decide to accept the responsibility, it would be a further question whether the funds should be provided by the Salt Department in whose interests the opening was made, or from the P.W. grant; if the former, the Commissioner might be asked for his opinion.

R. M. Sankey

Col. R.E.,

Secretary to Govt P.W.D.

Madras,

2nd February 1883.

Secy Cons 2/2 Ret. 8/2

FOR ORDERS.

The Hon H. E. Sullivan

BINNY & Co
MADRAS.

Madras 11th Dec 1882.

The Secretary to Government,
P. W. Department.
Chepauk.

13

Sir,

With reference to the enclosed copies of our letter of the 1st Instant, to the Collector of Chingleput, and his reply thereto of the 6th idem, we have the honor to forward herewith our bill for Rs 4000/, for damage done to our property at Ennore, and have the honor to request you will be good enough have the sam[illegible]assed for payment at an early date.

We have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most Obedient Servants.
Binny & Co

III. In October 1879, the Executive Officer wrote

Regd: No. 5377
15.12.82

1/5708
16.1.83

E.Rlie 2023
18/12/82

No. 2311 C of 13th Decr/82

Referred to the Supdg. Engineer Fifth Circle for investigation and report

C. H. Sankey Col: R.E.
Chief Engr. P.W.D.

To
The Supg: Engr: Fifth Circle
in orgl to be returned

Recd. 2/2 Retd. 8/2

Pr

No. 3730.

Supdg: Engrs. Office, 5th Circle
Chepauk 29th January 1883

429

14

From
The Superintending Engineer,
5th Circle.

To
The Chief Engineer,
P.W.D.

Sir,

I have the honor to return letter[x] from Messrs. Binny & Co., endorsed to me No. 2311 dated the 13th December 1882, and to enclose letter No. 1840 dated the 15th Instant from the Executive Engineer of the Buckingham Canal Division.

2. Messrs. Binny & Co. state

I. Coll. Moberly commenced the present system of opening the Ennore Bar close to their property; hence there was danger to it, and objections were made by them.

II. Bit by bit the land has disappeared, and the bungalows have fallen, the protective works having proved abortive.

III. In October 1879, the Executive Officer wrote

[x] dated 1st Decr/82

Enclosures 5.
1 Endorsement No. 2311 of 13th Decr/82 on letter from Messrs. Binny & Co. with its 3 enclosures.
1 Letter from the Exe: Engr. Buckingham Canal Division No. 1840, dated 15th January 1883.

wrote, that there was likely to be a permanent bar, and asked what compensation would be accepted if the Octagon bungalow were purchased. In November 1879, Messrs Binny & Co said they would accept Rs 4,000 - There was intermediate correspondence, involving the question, whether the existing large bungalow would be endangered. But owing to the bar being opened at this unusual place, the Octagon bungalow is destroyed, and a demand is made for the sum of Rs 4,000.

3. I. The Executive Engineer refers to the Order of Government No 3253 of the 13th November 1875, in which it is desired that the cut for the relief of the flood water should be made, so as not to be likely to work back as far as the boundary of Messrs Binny & Co's property - Upon this, Colonel Moberly shewed that the river was deflected from the North bank, even to the South of the channel which he had opened into the sea, so that this channel did not affect Messrs Binny & Co's property.

II. Major Drake-Brockman adds that the erosion of the South margin of the river and the adjacent buildings, was only a question of time: and the opening of a small channel could not have influenced the action of floods in the Cortelior - the tendency of the river being to work its mouth gradually to the South

III. The Executive Engineer shews that there was no intention on his part, to purchase the Octagon bungalow - Asst Engineer Mr Oltmann asked Messrs Binny & Co what value they set upon the building - and said there was a likelihood of a permanent opening being made into the sea near the Octagon bungalow. But this permanent cut has not been made, and the demand for compensation on this ground cannot be entertained.

4. It is shewn in Colonel Moberly's letter, entered in G. O. No 3416 of the 1st December 1875, that Messrs Binny's Old bungalow was injured by the set of the river, in 1873; and in para 4 of the same letter it is said that "it does not matter where the outlet is made". The Government then ordered that the cut should be made, as marked by red lines on the plan.

This

This plan has not been kept; but I understand that the cut has been, since 1875, made at about the same place.

Hence on the 1st point, I am of opinion the damage to property has been the natural result of the course of the river, and not brought about by the small artificial cut.

5. As regards the failure of protective works, II; an attempt was certainly made on a small scale to arrest the progress of erosion.

Now, in many places, the Government have had protective embankments made on the sea coast, and on river banks; but there has not thereby been any liability incurred, so that the Government has become responsible for damage incurred by accidents to the embankments.

In the present case, there is no good reason for bringing forward a private claim.

6. The IIIrd ground of claim is based on the supposition that a permanent opening was to be made into the sea; but no such work has been attempted; the river came

down in very high flood, and the Octagon bungalow has now met with the same fate that befell the other bungalow several years ago.

429

I have the honor to be

Sir

Your most Obedient Servant

Alexr Pendergast Colł R.E.

Supdg: Engineer, 5th Circle.

G.O. No. 2988 d/ 26.10.75.
" " 3253 d/ 13.11.75.
" " 3416 d/ 1.12.75.
Memo $\frac{271}{272}$ C d/ 26.1.83.

From,

The Superintending Engr.
5th Circle.

To,

The Chief Engineer
P. W. Department.

Dated 29th }
Recd. } January 1883.

Enclosures 5.

No. 3730.

Compensation claimed by Messrs. Binny & Co. for damage done to their Bungalow at Ennore.

Affords his opinion regarding ———

Acc: 2/2 Ret: 8/2

BINNY & Co.
MADRAS.

Madras 23rd January 1883.

The Secretary to Government.
P. W. Department
Chepauk.

Sir,

We have the honor to draw your attention to our letter of the 11th ulto:, in which we enclosed a Bill for Rs 4000-.-.-, for damage done to our property at Ennore, which we shall be glad to hear has been passed for payment.

We have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your obedient Servants,
Binny & Co.

-ngham Canal Division

G.O. No. 2988 d/ 26.10.75

From,

2311 C of 13.12.82

No. 271 C of 26th Jany/83

To

Meſsrs Binny & Co., Madras.

Despd 26/1/83

Gentlemen,

I have the honor to acknowledge the receipt of your letter of the 23rd January 1883 and to inform you in reply that the Claim made on account of damage to your property at Ennore is under enquiry. The matter will be disposed of as early as practicable.

I have &c.

Sd/ R.H. Sankey Col: R.E.
Secy: to Govt. P.W.D.

No. 272 C of 26th Jany/83

Copy of the above forwarded to the Supdg. Engr. Fifth Circle for early attention in Continuation of Endorsement No. 2311 C of 13th Decr/82.

Sd/ R.H. Sankey Col: R.E.
Chief Engr. P.W.D.

To the S.E. Fifth Circle

Despd 26/1/83

Nos. 271 C & 272 C of 26th Jany/83

Papers

Entd. in Receipt Regr. with disposal.

24/1/83

From order
Mr. S. B.

No. 429 W

Public Works Department.

PROCEEDINGS OF THE MADRAS GOVERNMENT.

Under date the 8th Feby/83

Read the following

20

From Meſsrs Binny & Co., Madras, (A.E. dated 11th Decr 1882)
" S.E. Fifth Circle — " — (" No. 3730 d/ 29th Jany/83)
" Meſsrs Binny & Co. — " — (" — d/ 23rd — " —)
To do — do — (" 271 C d/ 26th — " —)

The Government resolve to admit the claim put forward by Meſsrs Binny & Co. for compensation for the destruction of their Octagon Bungalow at Ennore by the set of the current over the Bar at that place - and authorize the Executive Engineer Buckingham Canal Division to disburse at once the amount claimed, viz Rs 4,000/ which also includes the value of the land attached to the Bungalow.

2. An appropriation of Rs 4,000/ is now made to cover the charge from the Provincial Reserve.

3. The incidence of the charge will be definitely settled on receipt of such remarks as the Commissioner of Salt Revenue may have to offer on this point. Mr Bliss is requested to be good enough to report on the matter at an early date.

4. The Supdg Engineer will report whether the adjacent Bungalow is also in danger of destruction, and, if so, whether it would be expedient to acquire the property on behalf of Government.

8/2

S. E. Fifth Circle ✓ ✓ MS
Examiner S. W. Accts ✓ 11
Commissioner of Salt Revenue ✓ 31
Board of Revenue ✓ 21
Revenue Dept ✓ 21

8/2

Despd 14/2/03

RS

815

Govt. of (1882) Madras.

PUBLIC WORKS.

Dated

Recd. Encl.

G. O. 8th Feby 1883 No. 429-W

Nos. 64 65

Compensation

Passing orders on the claim of Messrs Binny &c for —— for the destruction of their property at Ennore

115

Enter in [illegible] Regr

28