

Govt. of 1883 Madras.
REVENUE.

David

En 6.

G.O., 12th January 1883, No. 53.

JANUARY.

1/2 May 1882.

Communicated

to the King Emperor, situated

1.4.2020

Suit,

No. 243 of 1882, on file of the District Munsif of Tanjavar, Tanjore District, instituted by one Panamaswara Pillai and another of Vallam for the demolition of certain Shiva - Lord's Proceedings sanctioning defence of —, recorded

5. *Al. s. s. l. ita C. de*

12TH JANUARY 1883, No. 53, REVENUE.

ENCLOSURE No. 4—Executive Engineer's letter and enclosure

ENCLOSURE No. 5.—Proceedings of Acting Collector of Tanjore, dated 14th August 1881

ENCLOSURE No. 6.—Draft Written Statement.

Resolution—dated 22nd December 1882, No. 3208.

The defence of the suit is sanctioned and the draft answer approved.

2. A copy of the plaint should have been submitted. The Collector is requested to conduct the defence on behalf of the Public Works officers also, who have been included as defendants.

(A true Copy and Extract.)

(Signed) E. GIBSON,
Acting Secretary.

To the Collector of Tanjore.

Copy to the Secretary to Government, Revenue Department, with six enclosures, in original, to be returned

ORDER—dated 12th January 1883, No. 53, Revenue.

Miscellaneous.

Recorded.

(True Extract.)

(Signed) P. P. HUTCHINS,
Acting Secretary to GovernmentTo the Board of Revenue, with six enclosures
„ Public Works Department

12th Jan 1883

Gobt. of 1883 Madras.
REVENUE.

Issued.

Read

G.O., 12th January 1883, No. 53.

JANUARY.

W. J. N. 1883

communicated

*to the Deputy Engineer,
Sixth Circle.*

pay
Suit,

No. 243 of 1882, on file of the District Munsif of Tranquebar, Tanjore District, instituted by one Parameswara Pillai and another of Vallam for the demolition of certain sluice. Board's Proceedings sanctioning defence of ... recorded

12TH JANUARY 1883, No. 53, REVENUE.

CONFIDENTIAL.

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS.

REVENUE DEPARTMENT.

READ—the following Proceedings of the Board of Revenue, dated 22nd December 1882, No. 3208 :—

Read—the following letter from H. E. STOKES, Esq., Acting Collector of Tanjore, to the Acting Secretary to the Board of Revenue, Madras, dated Kumbakonam, 16th December 1882, No. 7184.

I have the honor to solicit the sanction of the Board for, defending, at the public expense, a suit (Original Suit No. 243 of 1882, on file of the District Munsif's Court, Tranquebar), which has been instituted by Parameswara Pillai and another of Vallam, in Mayavaram Taluk, against Ramasawmi Pillai and five others and myself. The circumstances out of which the suit arose are as follow :—

2. In 1875 the plaintiffs, Mirasidars of Vallam, complained that the defendants, Mirasidars of Akkur, made a new cut in the Akkur channel and joined its course with the Sathivanam channel, which they had no right to do.

3. The Tahsildar of Mayavaram and the Deputy Collector, after a personal inspection of the spot, declared that the Mirasidars of Akkur had no right of irrigation through the Akkur channel. Mr. Webster, the then Collector of the district, in dismissing the appeal presented by the Mirasidars of Akkur against the decision of the Deputy Collector, referred the matter for the professional opinion of the Public Works Department. The Executive Engineer having stated, as his opinion, that the lands of Akkur were in need of additional supply of water, and that the construction of an under-sluice at A, marked in the accompanying sketch, would be beneficial to the irrigation of Akkur, and would in no way interfere with the supply due to Vallam village, Mr. Bird, the late Collector, ordered the construction of an under-sluice as recommended by the Executive Engineer on the following grounds :—

- (1) that the Akkur ryots have a right to the water under the Akkur channel to supplement the Sathivanam channel;
- (2) that Akkur Mirasidars need that aid;
- (3) that no injury would be caused to the Mirasidars of Vallam by passing the Akkur channel under the Vallam channel.

4. The sluice has now been constructed at the cost of Akkur ryots. It is for the demolition of this sluice that this suit has now been instituted. Copies of the papers noted in the margin are, herewith, submitted for the information of the Board. A written statement is enclosed for the approval of the Board.

Proceedings of the Mayavaram Deputy Collector, dated 2nd October 1880.
Proceedings of the Collector, dated 22nd March 1881.
Letter from the Executive Engineer, with one enclosure, No. 1768, dated 12th July 1881.
Proceedings of the Collector, dated 14th August 1881.

5. The suit is posted for hearing to 13th January 1883.

ENCLOSURE No. 1.—Sketch.

ENCLOSURE No. 2.—Proceedings of Deputy Collector, Mayavaram Division, dated 2nd October 1880.

ENCLOSURE No. 3.—Proceedings of Collector of Tanjore, dated 22nd March 1881.

12TH JANUARY 1883, No. 53, REVENUE.

ENCLOSURE No. 4—Executive Engineer's letter and enclosure.

ENCLOSURE No. 5.—Proceedings of Acting Collector of Tanjore, dated 14th August 1881.

ENCLOSURE No. 6.—Draft Written Statement

Resolution—dated 22nd December 1882, No. 3208.

The defence of the suit is sanctioned and the draft answer approved.

2. A copy of the plaint should have been submitted. The Collector is requested to conduct the defence on behalf of the Public Works officers also, who have been included as defendants.

(A true Copy and Extract.)

(Signed) E. GIBSON,
Acting Secretary.

To the Collector of Tanjore,
Copy to the Secretary to Government, Revenue Department, with six enclosures, in original, to be returned

ORDER—dated 12th January 1883, No. 53, Revenue.

Miscellaneous

Recorded.

(True Extract.)

(Signed) P. P. HUTCHINS,
Acting Secretary to Government.

To the Board of Revenue, with six enclosures,
" Public Works Department

NOTES

REFERENCE TO MADRAS P. W. D. CODE.

CHAPTER XV.—PARA. 2147.

1. This form should be used by the Executive Engineer in any of the following cases:

- When he requires a grant, or an addition to an existing grant for carrying on a sanctioned Major work.
- When he is unable to utilize the grant at his disposal for any work, and wishes to resign it either wholly or in part.
- When he wishes to utilize the grant for any work upon some other.

2. Either of the columns for "Proposed New Grant" or for "Proposed Reduction" or both may be used as required.

3. If it happens that the grant already allowed has been reduced, the entry in the column "Existing grant for current year" would be a balance in excess of such reduction.

4. In the case of the grant already allowed, the column "Proposed Reduction" should be used. If the grant is to be reduced, the entry in the column "Proposed Reduction" should be made. If the grant is to be increased, the entry in the column "Proposed New Grant" should be made.

5. The Executive Engineer should be careful to see that the grant is not applied for in excess of the amount sanctioned. If the grant is applied for in excess of the amount sanctioned, the entry in the column "Proposed New Grant" should be made. If the grant is applied for in excess of the amount sanctioned, the entry in the column "Proposed New Grant" should be made.

6. The Executive Engineer should be careful to see that the grant is not applied for in excess of the amount sanctioned. If the grant is applied for in excess of the amount sanctioned, the entry in the column "Proposed New Grant" should be made. If the grant is applied for in excess of the amount sanctioned, the entry in the column "Proposed New Grant" should be made.

CIRCLE

DIVISION

FUND

Application for a Re-appropriation of Budget Grants.

No. Dated 1882.

Forwarded to the Secretary to Government, Public Works Department, through the Examiner of P.W. Accounts, for sanction, it approved.

Superintending Engineer,

Circle.

No. Dated Madras, 1882.

Forwarded to the Secretary to Government, Public Works Department, Madras.

Examiner of P. W. Accounts,

Madras.

President of Madras Government

Approved for the purpose of the

Sanctioned by the Government

Signature of the Executive Engineer

12TH JANUARY 1883, No. 53, REVENUE.

CONFIDENTIAL.

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS. REVENUE DEPARTMENT.

READ—the following Proceedings of the Board of Revenue, dated 22nd December 1882, No. 3208:—

Read—the following letter from H. E. STOKES, Esq., Acting Collector of Tanjore, to the Acting Secretary to the Board of Revenue, Madras, dated Kumbakonam, 16th December 1882, No. 7184.

I have the honor to solicit the sanction of the Board for defending, at the public expense, a suit (Original Suit No. 243 of 1882, on file of the District Munsif's Court, Tranquebar), which has been instituted by Parameswara Pillai and another of Vallam, in Mayavaram Taluk, against Ramasawmi Pillai and five others and myself. The circumstances out of which the suit arose are as follow:—

2. In 1875 the plaintiffs, Mirasidars of Vallam, complained that the defendants, Mirasidars of Akkur, made a new cut in the Akkur channel and joined its course with the Sathivanam channel, which they had no right to do.

3. The Tahsildar of Mayavaram and the Deputy Collector, after a personal inspection of the spot, declared that the Mirasidars of Akkur had no right of irrigation through the Akkur channel. Mr. Webster, the then Collector of the district, in dismissing the appeal presented by the Mirasidars of Akkur against the decision of the Deputy Collector, referred the matter for the professional opinion of the Public Works Department. The Executive Engineer having stated, as his opinion, that the lands of Akkur were in need of additional supply of water, and that the construction of an under-sluice at A, marked in the accompanying sketch, would be beneficial to the irrigation of Akkur, and would in no way interfere with the supply due to Vallam village, Mr. Bird, the late Collector, ordered the construction of an under-sluice as recommended by the Executive Engineer on the following grounds:—

- (1) that the Akkur ryots have a right to the water under the Akkur channel to supplement the Sathivanam channel;
- (2) that Akkur Mirasidars need that aid;
- (3) that no injury would be caused to the Mirasidars of Vallam by passing the Akkur channel under the Vallam channel.

4. The sluice has now been constructed at the cost of Akkur ryots. It is for the demolition of this sluice that this suit has now been instituted. Copies of the papers noted in the margin are, herewith, submitted for the information of the Board. A written statement is enclosed for the approval of the Board.

5. The suit is posted for hearing to 13th January 1883.

ENCLOSURE No. 1.—Sketch.

ENCLOSURE No. 2.—Proceedings of Deputy Collector, Mayavaram Division, dated 2nd October 1880.

ENCLOSURE No. 3.—Proceedings of Collector of Tanjore, dated 22nd March 1881.