

Govt. of (1883) Madras.

FINANCIAL.

Issued

Recd.

Encl.

G.O., 24th January 1883, No. 145.

No. 335 dat. 30th Jan'y 83

JANUARY.

P. R. S.
J. P.
us

Ther? P. W. A.

Increases of Salary.

Recording endorsement of Government of India forwarding correspondence respecting the power of Local Governments to sanction — with retrospective effect.

ORDER—dated 24th January 1883, No. 145, Financial.

Recorded.

(True Extract.)

C. G. MASTER,
Chief Secretary.

To all Departments of the Secretariat.

Exd. A. Aubert.

24TH JANUARY 1883, No. 145, FINANCIAL.

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS.

FINANCIAL DEPARTMENT.

READ—the following paper:—

From R. E. HAMILTON, Esq., Officiating Assistant Secretary to the Government of India, Department of Finance and Commerce, to the Chief Secretary to the Government of Bombay, dated Calcutta, 12th January 1883, No. 206.

I am directed to acknowledge receipt of your letter, No. 5025, dated 28th December 1882, enquiring whether the Government of India in their Resolution No. 2447, dated 24th August 1881, intended to withdraw from Local Governments the discretion to decide in what cases retrospective sanction may be given to increases of salary.

2. In reply I am desired to state that in regard to Provincial Establishments Local Governments can sanction increases of salary within the prescribed limits with retrospective effect, provided there are special reasons for so doing, and that the Resolution above referred to was only intended to require Local Governments to restrict such sanctions to special cases.

3. I am to add that both the Secretary of State and the Government of India are opposed on principle to giving retrospective sanction, save in very special cases, and the Governor-General in Council trusts that the Government of Bombay will bear this in mind in respect to such sanctions as lie within their own power.

Copy of the correspondence forwarded to the other Local Governments and Administrations, and to the several Accountants-General and Comptrollers.

(Signed) R. E. HAMILTON,
Offg. Asst. Secy. to the Govt. of India.

ENCLOSURE

From J. MONTEATH, Esq., Acting Under Secretary to the Government of Bombay, to the Secretary to the Government of India, Department of Finance and Commerce, dated 28th December 1882, No. 5025.

I am directed to request that you will be so good as to obtain the orders of the Government of India on the question whether it was intended by their Resolution No. 2447, dated 24th August 1881, to withdraw from Local Governments the discretion to decide in what cases retrospective sanction may be given to increases of salary. The Accountant-General at this Presidency states that a Local Government cannot give retrospective effect to a sanction to increase of salary without the concurrence of the Government of India, and in this interpretation of the Resolution above quoted the Governor in Council is unable to concur.

2. I am to add that this Government will, if desired, submit their reasons for considering the case, which has given rise to the correspondence, a special one, but do not propose to do so until informed by the Government of India that no discretion is left to this Government to determine whether there are special circumstances in a case which would warrant Government in giving retrospective effect to an order directing an increase of pay.

Gobt. of {1883} Madras.

FINANCIAL

h. 335 w af 30. 1. 83.

Recorded.

S 29/1

Blk

29/1/53

Issued

Recd.

Encl

G.O., 24th January 1883, No. 145.

JANUARY.

Increases of Salary.

Recording endorsement of Government of India forwarding correspondence respecting the power of Local Governments to sanction — with retrospective effect.

The Ex. Secy. ✓ ^{Dea.} 31/11

Encl. in Receipt Regr.

for the order

nota in descriptio 1149v.

24TH JANUARY 1883, No. 145, FINANCIAL.

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS.

FINANCIAL DEPARTMENT.

READ—the following paper:—

From R. E. HAMILTON, Esq., Officiating Assistant Secretary to the Government of India, Department of Finance and Commerce, to the Chief Secretary to the Government of Bombay, dated Calcutta, 12th January 1883, No. 206.

I am directed to acknowledge receipt of your letter, No. 5025, dated 28th December 1882, enquiring whether the Government of India in their Resolution No. 2447, dated 24th August 1881, intended to withdraw from Local Governments the discretion to decide in what cases retrospective sanction may be given to increases of salary.

2. In reply I am desired to state that in regard to Provincial Establishments Local Governments can sanction increases of salary within the prescribed limits with retrospective effect, provided there are special reasons for so doing, and that the Resolution above referred to was only intended to require Local Governments to restrict such sanctions to special cases.

3. I am to add that both the Secretary of State and the Government of India are opposed on principle to giving retrospective sanction, save in very special cases, and the Governor-General in Council trusts that the Government of Bombay will bear this in mind in respect to such sanctions as lie within their own power.

Copy of the correspondence forwarded to the other Local Governments and Administrations, and to the several Accountants-General and Comptrollers.

(Signed) R. E. HAMILTON,
Offg. Asst. Secy. to the Govt. of India.

ENCLOSURE.

From J. MONTEATH, Esq., Acting Under Secretary to the Government of Bombay, to the Secretary to the Government of India, Department of Finance and Commerce, dated 28th December 1882, No. 5025.

I am directed to request that you will be so good as to obtain the orders of the Government of India on the question whether it was intended by their Resolution No. 2447, dated 24th August 1881, to withdraw from Local Governments the discretion to decide in what cases retrospective sanction may be given to increases of salary. The Accountant-General at this Presidency states that a Local Government cannot give retrospective effect to a sanction to increase of salary without the concurrence of the Government of India, and in this interpretation of the Resolution above quoted the Governor in Council is unable to concur.

2. I am to add that this Government will, if desired, submit their reasons for considering the case, which has given rise to the correspondence, a special one, but do not propose to do so until informed by the Government of India that no discretion is left to this Government to determine whether there are special circumstances in a case which would warrant Government in giving retrospective effect to an order directing an increase of pay.

EXPLANATION OF NECESSITY FOR MODIFICATION OF
ALLOTMENTS.

An Estimate of Rs. 670 has been received countersigned by the District Judge for execution by this Department under G.O. No. 7472D dated 20 April 1881. Communicated with G.O. P.W.D. No. 1126W of 29th Idem, for repairing the rented building in which the Munsiff's Court at Kulitalay is held. An application for funds was forwarded on the 20th July 1881, but Government in their order No. 2072W dated 20th August 781 stated that no money was available at that time. The District Judge having now called attention to the necessity of repairs, this application is forwarded.

[Signature] Secy. to Govt.
Superintendent Circle
15th January 1883.

[P. W. D. Code, Chapter IX, Para. 1187]

P. W. D. Madras.
Form No. M 46 a.

From

THE SUPERINTENDING ENGINEER,
6th Circle,

To

THE SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT,
PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT,
Through the Examiner, P. W. Accounts.

No. X 787 T, dated 15th January 1883.

Submits application for modification of Provincial allotments for the reasons noted in the margin.

No. 74^B/_P, dated 21st January 1883.

Forwarded to the Secretary to Government, Public Works Department.

The amount applied for may be sanctioned from the Provincial Revenue.

[Signature]
Ag. Examiner of P. W. Accounts.

Proceedings of the Government of Madras,
No. 333W, dated 30th Jan'y 1883.

Read application from Superintendent Engineer,
6th Circle, No. 74^B/_P of 15th Jan'y 1883, requesting modification of Provincial Allotments.

ORDER THEREON.
The modifications shown in the accompanying statements be sanctioned from the Revenue.

(True Extract.)

[Signature]
Secretary to Government.

N.B.—If there is not room here for the whole of the explanation an additional half sheet or more of foolscap should be added and the explanation should be continued thereon.

S.S. Smith Circle
15th Jan'y 1883

* Here enter the Fund.

Provincial Fund

Application for modification of Allotments from the Grants for Minor Works, Repairs, and Tools and Plant.

Divisions.	MINOR WORKS.						REPAIRS.						Tools and Plant.
	Military.	Civil Buildings.			Communications.	Miscellaneous Public Impts.	Military.	Civil Buildings.			Communications.	Miscellaneous Public Impts.	
		Postal.	Telegraph.	Salt.				*	Postal.	Telegraph.			
Chinopoly	RS.	RS.	RS.	RS.	RS.	RS.	RS.	RS.	RS.	RS.	RS.	RS.	RS.
												670	

* When Funds are applied for under Provincial, the entries should be made in this Column : when under Imperial the Columns Postal, Telegraph and Salt should be filled up as required.

Station *Richinopoly*
Dated *15th January 1883*

Superintendent Engineer, 6th Circle.

N.B.—The application must be submitted in triplicate, but the explanations need only be given on one copy. The other copy will be returned showing the modifications, if any, made by Government on the Superintending Engineer's proposals. And the third will after sanction be retained in the Examiner's Office. The amount of additional grants required will be entered in black ink, reductions or surrenders in red ink with the minus sign prefixed.

N.B.—If there is not room here for the whole of the explanation an additional half sheet or more of foolscap should be added and the explanation should be continued thereon.

EXPLANATION OF NECESSITY FOR MODIFICATION OF ALLOTMENTS.

[P. W. D. Code, Chapter IX, Para. 1187.]
P. W. D. Madras.
Form No. M 46 a.

From
THE SUPERINTENDING ENGINEER,
6th Circle
To
THE SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT,
PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT,
Through the Examiner, P. W. Accounts.

No. X *3722*, dated *26th January* 1883.
Submits application for modification of *Postal*
allotments for the reasons noted in the margin.

No. *B*, dated *P* 1883.

Forwarded to the Secretary to Government, Public Works Department.

Examiner of P. W. Accounts.

Proceedings of the Government of Madras.
No. *332*, dated *30th January* 1883.
Read application from Superintendent Engineer,
5th Circle, No. *3722* of *26th Jan* 1883, requesting modification of *Provincial* Allotments.

ORDER THEREON.
Sum of Rs. 6000 having been provided in the modifications shown in the accompanying statements are sanctioned.
This application should have come through the *Examiner's* account.

At 21/1
S.E. 7th Circle
Per P. W. Accounts
Here enter the Fund.
1/2/83
Subd. in Receipt Page.

Provincial

Application for modification of Allotments from the Grants for Minor Works, Repairs, and Tools and Plant,

[illegible]

• When Funds are applied for under Provincial, the entries should be made in this Column ; when under Imperial the Columns Postal, Telegraph and Salt should be filled up as required.

Station Madras

Dated 26th January 1883

Superintending Engineer, *First* Circle.

N.B.—The application must be submitted in triplicate, but the explanations need only be given on one copy. The other copy will be returned showing the modifications, if any, made by Government on the Superintending Engineer's proposals. And the third will after sanction be retained in the Examiner's Office. The amount of additional grants required will be entered in black ink, reductions or surrenders in red ink with the minus sign prefixed.