(1) Number of pages 36

(2) Date of repair 16.7.87 ✓

(3) Initials of the Referencer bw

TAMIL NADU ARCHIVES

GOVERNMENT OF TAMIL NADU

Public Works DEPARTMENT

G.O. No. 3268-W
B.P. No.

Press
Mis.

Dated 16-11-1883 198 .

R.A

Not to be folded or roughly handled.

Archives — I. 10,000-9 69

16th November 1883, No. 3268 W., Public Works.

3268 W
1

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS.

PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT.

Buildings and Roads.
Civil Works.

Read—the following papers:—

G.O., dated 10th September 1883, Mis. No. 3705, Revenue.

Read—the following Proceedings of the Board of Revenue, dated 23rd August 1883, No. 2473:—

Read—the following letter from J. STURROCK, Esq., Acting Collector of South Canara, to the Secretary to the Board of Revenue, dated Mangalore, 13th August 1883, No. 2072:—

In forwarding copy of a letter recently received from the Executive Engineer and of my reply thereto (with enclosure), I have the honor to request that the Government may be moved to make an early provision of funds for the repair of the Head Assistant's bungalow at Coondapoor.

2. Mr. Bradley has been complaining of the dilapidated state of the building for a long time, and the accompanying extract from the specification report will show how necessary it is that the work should be taken in hand at once:—

> "* * * the roof, which is covered with rotten grass and cadjans over an improperly-pitched roof of palmyras, &c., is in a leaky and dilapidated state.
>
> "During the monsoons the walls, floors and other parts of the building are frequently injured, and the building is generally uninhabitable."

Enclosures.

From J. P. DAVIDSON, Esq., Executive Engineer of Coimbatore Division, to the Collector of South Canara, dated Coimbatore, 26th July 1883, No. 810.

I have the honor to forward, for your countersignature, an estimate, amounting to Rs. 7,950, for improvements and repairs to the Head Assistant Collector's bungalow at Coondapoor.

2. A valuation statement of the buildings as they now are is attached. This, it will be noticed, amounts to Rs. 4,558; the estimate therefore exceeds one-fourth of the value of the buildings, and must be treated as a new work.

3. On completion of the work now proposed, the value of the building would stand at Rs. 12,000 very nearly, and the rent at 6 per cent. would be Rs. 60 per mensem.

4. Judging from the orders received in this office regarding the necessity of making early surrenders on Provincial works, and also from the withdrawal of grants from various works, I am of opinion that the amount of the estimate, viz., Rs. 7,950, will not be forthcoming in the current year, unless the subject is very strongly represented.

5. I also forward an estimate for repairing the bungalow, amounting to Rs. 2,328; this also exceeds one-fourth of the value of the building and out-houses, and will therefore be treated as a new work and require a special allotment of funds.

6. I request that you will countersign whichever of the estimates you consider will best meet your wishes, and return it (as also the estimate disapproved of), with such remarks as you may consider necessary, for transmission to the Superintending Engineer.

From J. STURROCK, Esq., Acting Collector of South Canara, to the Executive Engineer, Coimbatore Division, dated Mangalore, 11th August 1883, No. 2067.

With reference to your letter of the 26th July 1883, No. 810, I have the honor, in forwarding copy of a letter from the Head Assistant Collector, to state that I agree with him in considering that it will be better to do the work thoroughly once for all, and I have consequently countersigned the more expensive of the two estimates forwarded by you.

2. As regards the rent eventually to be charged, the Head Assistant's pay and allowances comprise an item of Rs. 35 on account of house-rent. If the Government provide him with quarters, I do not see how they can equitably charge a rent of more than Rs. 35, and this view seems to have been recognised in G.O., dated 11th September 1875, No. 1887, Revenue Department. I think, however, that the point should be settled before the work is carried out. If the adoption of the higher estimate necessarily involves a rental of Rs. 60, I would, under these circumstances, prefer the cheaper and more unsatisfactory estimate of Rs. 2,328.

From H. BRADLEY, Esq., Acting Head Assistant Collector, to the Acting Collector of South Canara, dated Mangalore, 1st August 1883, No. 463.

In reply to your endorsement, No. 1956, on the letter (returned with enclosures) of the Executive Engineer, Coimbatore Division, I have the honor to make the following remarks.

2. As I have long ago given up all hope of the bungalow being satisfactorily repaired while I am in this district, I have no personal interest in the matter.

3. Personally, I should be inclined to choose the lower estimate, because there would be a greater chance of the amount being provided soon, and the bungalow would be put in fair order during my occupation. Still, in the interests of Government, I do not think that any form of repairs which does not include a tiled roof to the main building at least would be satisfactory. If the lower estimate is carried out, the bungalow will be in good condition until the following monsoon. Then, as usual, half the roof will be blown off, and the ceiling will be damaged by rain.

4. Moreover, the specification report accompanying the larger estimate states that the "northern revetment alongside the river has cracked sensibly." This is rather a mild way of describing a crack into which I can put my fist without trouble. The extension of the revetment is rapidly becoming absolutely necessary.

5. I would suggest that the ceiling should not be as now, a cloth one, but that it should consist merely of bamboo mats. A white ceiling is difficult to renew and always liable to become stained by rain or by the excreta of animals, while a bamboo-mat ceiling can be easily renewed, is less liable to injury, and has the advantage of being less trying to the eyes. The glare off the water in the hot weather is considerable at Coondapoor.

6. The specification provides for the rain-water to be carried through a pipe into the ground at the north-west corner of the main building. I write upon this point with diffidence, but I have formed an opinion that the crack in the revetment is partly due to the fact that, until recently, the greater part of the rain-water from the house has been allowed to fall from the roof to the ground between the house and the revetment, which is seriously cracked only at the point where the rain formerly fell. The effect of the rain-water running into the sandy soil under the house would, I am afraid, be injurious to the foundations.

7. As to the amount of the rent, which the Executive Engineer proposes to raise, I would point out that for many years the Head Assistant Collector has been paying Rs. 35 per mensem. I am still paying the same amount, although the rental value, according to the Executive Engineer's own mode of calculation, is less than Rs. 23, and the rental value can scarcely have been Rs. 35 at any time during the last five years. I would therefore point out that if the rent of the future is to be raised above the house-rent allowance of my rank, it is only fair that my present rent should be reduced, and the amount that has, during the last five years, been overpaid for the bungalow, which is admitted to be "generally uninhabitable" during monsoons (*vide* specification report), returned to myself and the other officers who have occupied it.

Resolution—dated 23rd August 1883, No. 2473.

Submitted for the orders of Government. The alternative estimates not being before the Board, they are unable to remark upon them.

2. The question of rent to be charged will, of course, mainly depend upon the amount determined to be expended upon repairing or improving the house.

(True Copies and Extract.)

(Signed) J. A. DAVIES,
Acting Secretary.

Order—dated 10th September 1883, Mis. No. 3705, Revenue.

Transferred to the Public Works Department with an expression of opinion that the repairs should be substantial, and that the requisite funds should be provided at an early date; but it is for consideration, with reference to the large amount the repairs are estimated to cost, whether it would not be advisable to build an entirely new house for the accommodation of the Head Assistant Collector.

2. The question of rent to be charged is one for decision in the Public Works Department.

(True Extract.)

(Signed) H. E. STOKES,
Ag. Secretary to Government.

No. 1736 C.

Memorandum by the Chief Engineer, Public Works Department.

Referred to the Superintending Engineer, Fourth Circle, for very early report on the question raised in paragraph 1 of the Revenue Department endorsement.

2. The approximate cost of constructing an entirely new building should be stated, and whether the repairs, estimated to cost Rs. 7,950, will make the existing building thoroughly substantial.

(By Order.)

(Signed) C. BOWEN, Major, R.E.,
Deputy Chief Engineer, P.W.D.

17th September 1883.

From Colonel J. BEATTY, R.E., Superintending Engineer, Fourth Circle, to the Chief Engineer, Public Works Department, dated Ootacamund, 17th October 1883, No. 175-C.E.W.

I have the honor to furnish information on the points noted in your endorsement, No. 1736 C., of 17th ultimo, regarding the Head Assistant Collector's bungalow at Coondapoor, South Canara district.

See list accompanying.

2. Not having seen the place myself, I have delayed writing, in order to consult with the Executive Engineer, Mr. Davidson (lately returned from leave), who has visited it.

3. The present buildings, which are roofed with cadjans and thatch, are valued at Rs. 4,558. The bungalow itself "stands* on the very edge of the back-water," and the revetments are stated to be "a source of constant trouble, danger, and expense;" one it appears is badly cracked now.

4. The estimate of Rs. 2,328 provides for general repairs, and renewals of the wood-work wherever necessary, but no improvements of any kind; and I observe that it makes no provision for the repairs of the revetment, which are elsewhere estimated to cost Rs. 1,031.

5. The estimate of Rs. 7,950 provides for reroofing the main building with banappu rafters, reepers, and mission tiles; the verandahs to be strengthened with masonry pillars in place of the existing wooden posts; suitable guttering and pipes to be provided for carrying off the drainage of the roofs; the ceilings to be renewed; doors and windows to be repaired and renewed where necessary, with repainting and revarnishing; and the walls to be white-washed throughout. The detached bungalow, cook-room, and stables to be thoroughly repaired and reroofed with jungle-wood rafters, reepers, and mission tiles. The latrine, walls, revetments, and steps in the compound to be also repaired and strengthened where necessary.

---

* *Vide* Executive Engineer's letter, No. 1294, of 7th instant.

6. The part of this estimate of Rs. 7,950 that I am doubtful of is the repairs of the revetment. From the description of that revetment I have quoted above,† it is probably of rough stone, and the repairs are to be done with the same material. I doubt if any repairs to the bungalow will be thoroughly satisfactory unless that revetment is rebuilt throughout in a substantial manner; for, the bungalow being on the very edge of the backwater, the soundness of the revetments must be of the greatest importance.

† Paragraph 3.

7. The cost of an entirely new bungalow with out-houses, stables, &c., is estimated * by the Executive Engineer at Rs. 16,990. He furnishes a ground plan of what he would propose.

* See Executive Engineer's letter, No. 1294, of 7th instant.

8. Mr. Davidson considers it an open question † whether the estimate of Rs. 7,950 should be carried out, or a new bungalow and out-houses built. But if it should be decided to erect new buildings, it is clearly advisable to select a new site for them at a safe distance from the backwater, so that the necessity for maintaining the troublesome revetment may cease.

† See his last letter, paragraph 5.

9. I am inclined to think it would be safer and cheaper in the end to build a new bungalow for the Head Assistant Collector on a site not exposed to the action of the backwater, and that, if this is not approved, the revetment should be rebuilt throughout in a substantial manner as part of the repairs and improvements.

10. The question of rent cannot be considered until it is decided whether the existing buildings are merely to be repaired, or repaired and improved, or a new bungalow built.

No. 505 E., Public Works.

The question for decision is whether the existing bungalow, valued at Rs. 4,558, shall be repaired at a cost of Rs. 7,950, or a new bungalow constructed on a new site at a cost of Rs. 16,990. The smaller estimate for repairs, amounting to Rs. 2,325, need not be considered, as it does not provide for making the building safe.

2. The Public Works Department would give the preference to a new building, but the final decision in the matter is considered to rest with the Revenue Department.

3. Should a new building be constructed at a cost of Rs. 16,990, rent would be assessed by the Public Works Department in accordance with the provisions of paragraph 1229 of the Public Works Code; $6\frac{1}{2}$ per cent. on the outlay would probably be charged. This would amount to a monthly rental of Rs. 90.

4. Should this rent be considered too high, a smaller building must suffice.

OOTACAMUND,
31st October 1883.

(Signed) J. SHAW-STEWART, Colonel, R.E.,
Secretary to Government.

To the Revenue Department, with papers in original to be returned.

G.O., dated 5th November 1883, No. 4389-A, Revenue.

Read—Proceedings of the Public Works Department, dated 31st October 1883, No. 505 E., regarding proposal to construct a new building for the Head Assistant Collector at Coondapoor, in the district of South Canara.

Order—dated 5th November 1883, No. 4389-A, Revenue.

The Government in this department approve the proposal to construct a new residence for the Head Assistant Collector at Coondapoor, but consider that the cost of it should be regulated so that the rent to be assessed by the Public Works Department should not exceed Rs. 60 a-month.

(True Extract.)

(Signed) E. F. WEBSTER,
Secretary to Government.

To the Public Works Department, with original papers returned.

ORDER—dated 16th November 1883, No. 3268 W., Public Works.

The Superintending Engineer, Fourth Circle, will submit a plan and estimate for a new residence for the Head Assistant Collector at Coondapoor in accordance with G.O., in the Revenue Department, No. 4389-A, dated 5th November 1883, above recorded, and keeping in view the provisions of paragraph 1229, Public Works Code.

2. The question of site should be considered and reported upon without delay.

(True Extract.)

Major, R.E.,
Under Secy. to Govt., P.W.D.

To the Superintending Engineer, Fourth Circle, with fourteen enclosures.
" Revenue Department.

Exd. E. Fernandez.
Spare Copies

BUILDINGS AND ROADS.
CIVIL WORKS.

Govt. of {1883} Madras.

PUBLIC WORKS.

Dated November, 16th.

Recd. *Encl.*

G.O., 16th Nov. 1883, No. 3268 W.

NOVEMBER.

Residence for the Head Assistant Collector, Coondapoor

A plan and estimate for a new —, ordered to be submitted.

9135

4

Head asst. Collector's bungal
at Kundapur, S. Canara

Dr.

The question is whether the existing bungalow, valued at Rs 4538, shall be repaired at a cost of Rs 7950, or a new bungalow constructed at an estimated cost of Rs 16,990. An expression of opinion may be given in the P. W. Dept., but apparently the question should be finally decided in the Rev. Dept. The Ex. Engr. considers it an open question, but the Supdg. Engr. is in favor of a new building.

If the decision be in favor of improve
ments to the existing

building, the amount of the estimate will probably have to be increased, for the Supdg. Engr. thinks that the revetment along the river must be thoroughly rebuilt in a substantial manner.

The question of rent to be paid for the building will also have to be considered. If the repair estimate of Rs 7900 be adopted the value of the building will be raised to Rs 12,000, and 6½ per cent on this (the rate fixed for buildings at Anantapur) will be Rs 65 per mensem. The Ex. Engr. however considers that taking the value of similar buildings in the district, the figure should not be higher than Rs 11000, & 6½ p.c. on this would be Rs 60 per mensem.

The rent on a new building at the cost estimated, would be Rs 90 per mensem.

The Collector states that the house rent allowance of the Head Asst. is Rs 35 per mensem & he does not see how Govt can equitably charge a higher rate than this.

22/10/03

GOVT. OF MADRAS. } 188 . { P. W. DEPT.

SECRETARY. 6

Chepauk, 29th October 1883.

OFFICE NOTE.

To W.

The question of repairing the Head Assistant's bungalow at Cuddapoor or of building an entirely new house was referred to P.W.D. in Rev. G.O. No 3705 of 10th Septr.

The Estimate for repairs and improvements amounts to Rs. 7450, but this does not include the rebuilding or substantial repair of the sea wall or revetment near the edge of which stands the bungalow. It is clear this work is essential, and probably (tho' approximate cost of same is not stated) it would bring the Estimate up to Rs. 8500 to deal with it properly.

An altogether new building, with out houses, &c. would cost Rs. 16,990. It is not stated however, whether this includes cost of site which, as stated by S.E. 4th Circle, should be at a safe distance from the backwater.

From the description recorded of the present dilapidated buildings I am myself of opinion that it would be better to go to the expense of new ones on a safer site.

Then there is the question of rent to be charged.

If the existing buildings be repaired as proposed their value will stand at Rs. 12000 nearly.

The rent chargeable under Code para 1229 is at the rate of 4½ per cent + allowance for rates and cost of repairs. At Anantapur a total rate at 6 & 6½ was proposed, and as on the West Coast repairs must be frequent & expensive a rate of 6% would probably be correct.

However, under same para the rent in particular cases can evidently be fixed "by a special ~~—~~ scale under the sanction of some general arrangement or particular order of Government," and in this instance as the Head Assistant's pay and allowances comprise an item of Rs. 35 on account of house-rent, it would appear inequitable to charge more than this, whichever of the two estimates is finally sanctioned.

?

C.S.

C.A.W.

So far as I am able to judge, my opinion is that it would be better to build an entirely new house for the Head Assistant at Coondapoor, on a new site.

But before making any such recommendation, it is essential that the principle on which rent is to be paid should be known.

It seems to me simply absurd that, because a certain portion of the Officer's pay is called "house rent", & that portion comes to 35/- out of a total of 885/- — therefore the Govt. are to be considered bound to build a house at a cost of 17,000/- or thereabouts, and to recover in rent only some 2½ % on outlay.

The cost should be cut according to the cloth. If only 35/- is to be recovered the cost should be restricted to some 6,000/- —

1883, No. 2473.

OF REVENUE.

Esq., Acting Collector of South
Revenue, dated Mangalore, 13th

ed from the Executive Engineer
honor to request that the Govern-
funds for the repair of the Head

apidated state of the building for
pecification report will show how
at once :—
cadjans over an improperly-pitched

rts of the building are frequently

mbatore Division, to the Collector
ly 1883, No. 810.

n estimate amounting to Rs. 7,950
's bungalow at Coondapoor.

w are is attached. This, it will be
ds one-fourth of the value of the

e of the building would stand at
Rs. 60 per mensem,

ing the necessity of making early
of grants from various works, I am
l not be forthcoming in the current

amounting to Rs. 2,328 ; this also
, and will therefore be treated as a

estimates you consider will best
d of) with such remarks as you
Engineer.

nara, to the Executive Engineer,
ust 1883, No. 2067.

10, I have the honor, in forward-
state that I agree with him in
e for all, and I have consequently
l by you.

Assistant's pay and allowances
Government provide him with
more than Rs. 35, and this view
875, No. 1887, Revenue Depart-
the work is carried out. If the
Rs. 60, I would, under these cir-
of Rs. 2,328.

Then there is the question of rent to be charged.

If the existing buildings be repaired as proposed their value will stand at Rs. 12000 nearly.

The rent chargeable under Code para 1229 is at the rate of 4½ per cent + allowance for risks and cost of repairs. At Anantapur a rate at 6 to 6½ was proposed, and as on the West Coast repairs must be frequent + expensive a rate of 6 would probably be correct.

However, under same para the rent in particular cases can evidently be fixed "by a special ~~—~~ scale on the sanction of some general arrangement or particular order of Government", and in this instance as the Head Assistant's pay and allowances comprise an [illegible] of Rs. 35 on account of house-rent it would appear inequitable to charge more than this, whichever of the estimates is finally sanctioned.

E.S.

---

the other hand, a house is to be built costing 17,000/ the rent to be recovered should be at least 90/- per mensem, calculated ~~at~~ about 6½ % on outlay, under P. W. Code Section 1229.

Please advise as to the correct mode of dealing with the matter, subject to foregoing remarks.

I observe that the Rev: Dept state that the question of rent is one for decision in this Dept — but with reference to the Collector's remarks that the Govt cannot "equitably charge "a rent of more than 35/-" — it seems to me necessary that the principle on which rent is to be calculated shd be recognised in the first instance.

W

27-10-83.

To W

C No: 9135.

Revenue Dept. Order No: 1877 d/ 11 Sep. 75. quoted by the Collector is not forthcoming here. The 4½ per cent + allowance for risks mentioned in para 1229 of the Code is not absolute as will be seen on reference to para 1230. It is for the Revenue Dept to say whether they claim any special rate of rent in the present case. If not, then the percentage rate on outlay can be assessed in the P. W. Dept.

R. S.

30-10-83

1883, No. 2473.

OF REVENUE.

Esq., Acting Collector of South
Revenue, , dated Mangalore, 13th

ed from the Executive Engineer
honor to request that the Govern-
funds for the repair of the Head

apidated state of the building for
pecification report will show how
at once :—
cadjans over an improperly-pitched

rts of the building are frequently

imbatore Division, to the Collector
uly 1883, No. 810.

an estimate amounting to Rs. 7,950
r's bungalow at Coondapoor.

ow are is attached. This, it will be
eds one-fourth of the value of the

ne of the building would stand at
Rs. 60 per mensem.

ding the necessity of making early
of grants from various works, I am
ll not be forthcoming in the current

v amounting to Rs. 2,328 ; this also
s, and will therefore be treated as a

estimates you consider will best
ved of) with such remarks as you
g Engineer.

anara, to the Executive Engineer,
gust 1883, No. 2067.

810, I have the honor, in forward-
state that I agree with him in
ce for all, and I have consequently
ed by you.

d Assistant's pay and allowances
he Government provide him with
more than Rs. 35, and this view
1875, No. 1887, Revenue Depart-
re the work is carried out. If the
f Rs. 60, I would, under these cir-
e of Rs. 2,328.

Then there is the question of rent to be charged.

If the existing buildings be repai as proposed their value will stand at Rs. 12000 nearly.

The rent chargeable under Code para 1229 is at the rate of 4½ per cent + allowance for risks and cost of repairs. At Anantapur a rate at 6 to 6½ was proposed, an as on the West Coast repairs mus be frequent & expensive a rate of would probably be correct.

However, under same para the rent in particular cases can evide be fixed "by a special scale or the sanction of some general or or particular order of Government," an in this instance, as the Head Assn pay and allowances comprise an of Rs. 35 on account of house-rent would appear inequitable to cha more than this, whichever of the estimates is finally sanctioned.

E.J.

BOARD OF REVENUE, 23RD AUGUST 1883, No. 2473.

PROCEEDINGS OF THE BOARD OF REVENUE.

READ—the following letter from J. STURROCK, Esq., Acting Collector of South Canara, to the Secretary to the Board of Revenue, dated Mangalore, 13th August 1883, No. 2072:—

In forwarding copy of a letter recently received from the Executive Engineer and of my reply thereto (with enclosure), I have the honor to request that the Government may be moved to make an early provision of funds for the repair of the Head Assistant's bungalow at Coondapoor.

2. Mr. Bradley has been complaining of the dilapidated state of the building for a long time, and the accompanying extract from the specification report will show how necessary it is that the work should be taken in hand at once:—

> "* * * the roof, which is covered with rotten grass and cadjans over an improperly-pitched roof of palmyras, &c., is in a leaky and dilapidated state.
>
> "During the monsoons the walls, floors and other parts of the building are frequently injured and the building is generally uninhabitable."

ENCLOSURE No. 1.

From J. P. DAVIDSON, Esq., Executive Engineer of Coimbatore Division, to the Collector of South Canara, dated Coimbatore, 26th July 1883, No. 810.

I have the honor to forward, for your countersignature, an estimate amounting to Rs. 7,950 for improvements and repairs to the Head Assistant Collector's bungalow at Coondapoor.

2. A valuation statement of the buildings as they now are is attached. This, it will be noticed, amounts to Rs. 4,558; the estimate therefore exceeds one-fourth of the value of the buildings, and must be treated as a new work.

3. On completion of the work now proposed, the value of the building would stand at Rs. 12,000 very nearly, and the rent at 6 per cent. would be Rs. 60 per mensem.

4. Judging from the orders received in this office regarding the necessity of making early surrenders on provincial works and also from the withdrawal of grants from various works, I am of opinion that the amount of the estimate, viz., Rs 7,950, will not be forthcoming in the current year unless the subject is very strongly represented.

5. I also forward an estimate for repairing the bungalow amounting to Rs. 2,328; this also exceeds one-fourth of the value of the building and out-houses, and will therefore be treated as a new work and require a special allotment of funds.

6. I request that you will countersign whichever of the estimates you consider will best meet your wishes, and return it (as also the estimate disapproved of) with such remarks as you may consider necessary, for transmission to the Superintending Engineer.

ENCLOSURE No. 2.

From J. STURROCK, Esq., Acting Collector of South Canara, to the Executive Engineer, Coimbatore Division, dated Mangalore, 11th August 1883, No. 2067.

With reference to your letter of the 26th July 1883, No. 810, I have the honor, in forwarding copy of a letter from the Head Assistant Collector, to state that I agree with him in considering that it will be better to do the work thoroughly once for all, and I have consequently countersigned the more expensive of the two estimates forwarded by you.

2. As regards the rent eventually to be charged, the Head Assistant's pay and allowances comprise an item of Rs. 35 on account of house-rent. If the Government provide him with quarters, I do not see how they can equitably charge a rent of more than Rs. 35, and this view seems to have been recognized in G.O., dated 11th September 1875, No. 1887, Revenue Department. I think, however, that the point should be settled before the work is carried out. If the adoption of the higher estimate necessarily involves a rental of Rs. 60, I would, under these circumstances, prefer the cheaper and more unsatisfactory estimate of Rs. 2,328.

W/S proposes
and Rev Dept
[illegible]
20/10/83

ENCLOSURE 1 in No. 2.

From H. BRADLEY, Esq., Acting Head Assistant Collector, to the Acting Collector of South Canara, dated Mangalore, 1st August 1883, No. 463.

In reply to your endorsement, No. 1956, on the letter (returned with enclosures) of the Executive Engineer, Coimbatore Division, I have the honor to make the following remarks.

2. As I have long ago given up all hope of the bungalow being satisfactorily repaired while I am in this district, I have no personal interest in the matter.

3. Personally, I should be inclined to choose the lower estimate, because there would be a greater chance of the amount being provided soon and the bungalow would be put in fair order during my occupation. Still, in the interests of Government, I do not think that any form of repairs which does not include a tiled roof to the main building at least would be satisfactory. If the lower estimate is carried out, the bungalow will be in good condition until the following monsoon. Then, as usual, half the roof will be blown off and the ceiling will be damaged by rain.

4. Moreover, the specification report accompanying the larger estimate states that the "Northern revetment alongside the river has cracked sensibly." This is rather a mild way of describing a crack into which I can put my fist without trouble. The extension of the revetment is rapidly becoming absolutely necessary.

5. I would suggest that the ceiling should not be as now a cloth one, but that it should consist merely of bamboo mats. A white ceiling is difficult to renew and always liable to become stained by rain or by the excreta of animals, while a bamboo-mat ceiling can be easily renewed, is less liable to injury and has the advantage of being less trying to the eyes. The glare off the water in the hot weather is considerable at Coondapoor.

6. The specification provides for the rain-water to be carried through a pipe into the ground at the north-west corner of the main building. I write upon this point with diffidence, but I have formed an opinion that the crack in the revetment is partly due to the fact that, until recently, the greater part of the rain-water from the house has been allowed to fall from the roof to the ground between the house and the revetment, which is seriously cracked only at the point where the rain formerly fell. The effect of the rain-water running into the sandy soil under the house would, I am afraid, be injurious to the foundations.

7. As to the amount of the rent, which the Executive Engineer proposes to raise, I would point out that for many years the Head Assistant Collector has been paying Rs. 35 per mensem. I am still paying the same amount, although the rental value according to the Executive Engineer's own mode of calculation is less than Rs. 23, and the rental value can scarcely have been Rs 35 at any time during the last five years. I would therefore point out that if the rent of the future is to be raised above the house-rent allowance of my rank, it is only fair that my present rent should be reduced, and the amount that has, during the last five years, been overpaid for the bungalow, which is admitted to be "generally uninhabitable" during monsoons (*vide* specification report), returned to myself and the other officers who have occupied it.

RESOLUTION—dated 23rd August 1883, No. 2473.

Submitted for the orders of Government. The alternative estimates not being before the Board, they are unable to remark upon them.

2. The question of rent to be charged will, of course, mainly depend upon the amount determined to be expended upon repairing or improving the house.

(True Copies and Extract.)

G. Davies

Acting Secretary.

To the Secretary to Government, Revenue Department.
Copy to the Collector of South Canara.

Ex'd A. Raitt.

G.O. 7/1/9 - 75 No. 1587. Mis

Mis: G. O. No. 3705.

Transferred to the Public Works Department with an expression of opinion that the repairs should be substantial and that the requisite funds should be provided at an early date but it is for consideration with reference to the large amount the repairs are estimated to cost whether it would not be advisable to build an entirely new house for the accommodation of the Head Assistant Collector.

2. The question of rent to be charged is one for decision in the Public Works Department.

Ootacamund
10th Sept. /83.

H. Eteles
As. Secy. to Govt.

7-9-83
10-9-83

Revenue Department
No. C.N. 7926
No. 5455 9135 997

1883.

Board of Revenue,

MADRAS.

SUPG: ENGINEER'S OFFICE
NO.
18 SEP. 83
P. W. D.
FOURTH CIRCLE

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS
28 AUG 83
REVENUE DEPARTMENT

Printed August th.

Ref 28th
Recd 14/9/83

Proceedings, 23rd August 1883, No. 2473.

Ack 29/8

Encs. 1 + 2 to letter
No. 175 C / E.R. of 17-10-83

Submitting to Government, with remarks, letter from Collector requesting provision of *funds* for the repair of the *Head Assistant Collector's Bungalow at Coondavoor*, in the District of South Canara.

Ref 29/8
Retd 4/9/83
Recd 3-9

P. W. Deptt
Madras 17th Septr /83.

No. 1736 C.

Endorsement

Mis: G.O. of the Revenue Deptt No. 3705 dated 10th September on Proceedings of the Board of Revenue No. 2473 dated 23rd August 1883 (regarding provision of funds for repairs to the Head Assistant Collector's Bungalow at Coondapoor in the District of South Canara, suggesting for consideration whether it would not be advisable to build an entirely new house as the repairs are likely to cost much)

Referred to the Supg Engineer, Fourth Circle for very early report on the question raised (in para 1 of the Revenue Department endorsement)

2. The approximate cost of constructing an entirely new building should be stated

And whether the repairs estimated to cost Rs 7950 will make the existing building thoroughly substantial

(B.O)

(sd) C.B.

Dy C.E.

No 1736 C d/ 17-9-83

Recd 19/17

To The S.E. Fourth Circle

No 175 C / E.W.

Supg Engrs Office 4 Circle
Ootacamund 17th Octr 1883

12

From,

The Superintending Engr
Fourth Circle

To,

The Chief Engineer
P. W. Department

Sir,

Enclosures 7 See list accompanying

I have the honor to furnish information on the points noted in your endorsement No 17^{36}63 C of 17th ultimo regarding the Head Assistant Collector's Bungalow at Kundapur, South Canara District

2. Not having seen the place myself, I have delayed writing in order to consult with the Executive Engineer Mr Davidson (lately returned from leave) who has visited it.

3. The present buildings, which are roofed with cadjans and thatch, are valued at Rs 4,558. The bungalow itself "stands on the very edge of the

× vide Ex. Engrs letter No 1294 of 7th instant

back

back water," and the revetments are stated to be "a source of constant trouble, danger, and expense"; one it appears is badly cracked now.

4. The estimate of Rs 2,328 provides for general repairs, and renewals of the wood work wherever necessary, but no improvements of any kind, and I observe that it makes no provision for the repairs of the revetment which are elsewhere estimated to cost Rs 1031.

5. The estimate of Rs 7,950 provides for re-roofing the main building with banappu rafters reepers and Mission tiles; the verandahs to be strengthened with masonry pillars in place of the existing wooden posts; suitable guttering and pipes to be provided for carrying off the drainage of the roofs; the ceilings to be renewed; doors and windows to be repaired and renewed where necessary, with repainting and revarnishing; and the walls to be whitewashed throughout. The Detached Bungalow, Cook room

room and stables to be thoroughly repaired and re-roofed with jungle wood rafters reepers and Mission tiles. The latrine, walls, revetments, and steps in the compound, to be also repaired & strengthened where necessary.

6. The part of this estimate of Rs 7,950 that I am doubtful of is the repairs of the revetment. From the description of that revetment I have quoted above,× it is probably of rough stone, and the repairs are to be done with the same material. I doubt if any repairs to the Bungalow will be thoroughly satisfactory unless that revetment is rebuilt throughout in a substantial manner. For, the Bungalow being on the very edge of the backwater, the soundness of the revetments must be of the greatest importance.

× para 3

7. The cost of an entirely new bungalow with out-houses, stables &ca, is estimated× by the Executive Engineer at Rs 16,990. He furnishes a ground plan of what he would propose.

× See Executive Engineer's letter No 1294, of 7th instant.

8. Mr. Davidson considers it an open[x] question whether the estimate of Rs 7950 should be carried out, or a new bungalow and outhouses built. But if it should be decided to erect new buildings, it is clearly advisable to select a new site for them at a safe distance from the backwater, so that the necessity for maintaining the troublesome revetment may cease.

x see his last letter para 5

9. I am inclined to think it would be safer and cheaper in the end to build a new bungalow for the Head Assistant Collector on a site not exposed to the action of the backwater; and that, if this is not approved, the revetment should be rebuilt throughout in a substantial manner as part of the repairs and improvements.

10. The question of rent cannot be considered until it is decided whether the existing buildings are merely to be repaired; or repaired and improved; or a new bungalow built

Retd 4/9/83

built.

I have the honor to be
Sir
Your Most Obt. Servt.
J. Beatty Col. R.E.
Supg Engr 4th Circle

P.W.C.W. 9135
997

From

The Supg Engr
Fourth Circle

Dated 17
Rd 18th } October 1883
Rey 20

No 175 C / E.W. 1
Rev. 1824/83

Encs: 7 & 15
Enclos: 11 (vide list) & 2 plans

Head Asst. Collectors
Bungalow at
Kundapur

Furnishes in-
formation on
certain points
connected with
the repairs &ca
of

AL 21/10 wk 23/10
Madras 18/10/83

Retd 4/9/83

P.W Department
P. 8
15

C. No. 9135
7926

Read Revenue G.O 3705 d/10th September/83
" Supty: Engineer Fourth Circle No. 175 C / E.W dated 17th October/83
with 15 Enclos

No. 505 E

The question for decision is whether the existing Bungalow, valued at Rs 4,558, shall be repaired at a cost of Rs 7950 or a new Bungalow constructed on a new site at a cost of Rs 16990. The smaller estimate for repairs, amounting to Rs 2325, need not be considered, as it does not provide for making the building safe.

2 The Public Works Department would give the preference to a new building, but the final decision in the matter is considered to rest with the Revenue Department.

3 Should a new building be constructed at a cost of Rs 16990, rent would be assessed by the Public Works Department in accordance with the provisions of para 1229 of the P. W Code; $6\frac{1}{2}$ per cent on the outlay would probably be charged. This would amount to a monthly rental of Rs 90

Should

4 Should this rent be considered too high, a smaller building must suffice

(Sd) J Shaw Stewart Col R.E.
Secretary to Govt P.W. Deptt

Ootacamund
31st October 1883

No. 505 E d/31-10-83

To
The Revenue Deptt
with papers in original to be returned

Despatched
31/10

Initd
A.P.

Retd 4/9/83

[illegible] from
[illegible] 12-11-83

Read Proceedings of the Public Works Department, dated 31st Octr. 1883, No. 505 E, regarding proposal to construct a new building for the Head Asstt. Collector at Kundapur in the District of South Canara.

Order thereon, 5th November 1883, No. 4389

The Government in this Department approve the proposal to construct a new residence for the Head Assistant Collector at Coondapoor, but consider that the cost of it should be regulated so that the rent to be assessed by the P. W. Department should not exceed 60 Rupees a month.

(True Extract)

Secy. to Govt.

To
The P. W. Deptt. with orig. papers returned.
" Board of Reve.

Pr. No. 9975

No. 1736. C 17.9.83
" 505. E 31.10.83

Ord 21/11/83

En 16 (2 plans)

No. 3268 W

PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT.

PROCEEDINGS OF THE MADRAS GOVERNMENT.

UNDER date the Nov 16th 1883

READ the following

Endorsement in the Rev. Dept (G.O. No. 3705 d/ 10th Sept/83)
Memorandum by the Ch. Engr P.W.D. (" 1736 C d/ 17th Sept/83)
From the Supdg Engr 4th Circle (" $\frac{175 C}{S.W}$ d/ 17th Oct/83)
Endorsement by the Chief Engr P.W.D. (" 505 E d/ 31st Oct/83)
Procgs of Govt: in the Rev: Dept (" 4389 A d/ 5th Nov/83)

The Superintending Engineer Fourth Circle will submit a plan and estimate for a new residence for the Head Assistant Collector at Coondapoor, in accordance with G.O. in the Revenue Department No 4389 A dated 5th November 1883, above recorded, and keeping in view the provisions of paragraph 1929 P.W. Code.

2. The question of site should be considered and reported ~~upon~~ without delay.

8th 20/11

S.E. Fourth Circle
with ~~the~~ Encls
Rev. Dept. ~~"~~

16.11.83

15/11

[illegible]

[illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]
4.–

18

9975

Govt. of (1883) Madras.

PUBLIC WORKS.

17/11

Dated

Recd. Enclo.

G. O., 16 Nov 1883, No. 3268 W

Nos.

Residence for the Head Asst. Collector, Coondapur

A plan & estimate for a new — ordered to be submitted

$\frac{5}{3}$ 1 2

16/12

Entd in Recd & Regtr