

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS.

PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT.

Buildings and Roads.
Civil Works.

READ—the following papers :—

From Colonel J. BEATTY, R.E., Superintending Engineer, Fourth Circle, to the Chief Engineer Public Works Department, dated Ootacamund, 26th June 1883, No. 261.

I have the honor to request instructions in regard to the head to which repairs to the sea wall at Beypore is to be charged. I have hitherto been under the impression that it had been originally constructed, and was now maintained, for the advantage of the port, in order to direct the current of the river on the bar; but the

* *Vide* copy of letter herewith sent, No. 5430, of 16th instant.

Master Attendant now* states that its maintenance is not a proper charge against Port Funds, though he admits that its original cost was borne by Port Funds.

2. He thinks that the Municipality or the Railway Company should maintain it, but there is no town to protect, and the Railway officers do not consider that the sea wall affords any protection to their works.

3. Under the circumstances, I request sanction to stop all further work at the sea wall and send the Master Attendant a bill for outlay incurred to date, as its construction and repairs have hitherto been charged to the Marine Department.

4. The Executive Engineer has been authorized to pay for work done and await further orders.

ENCLOSURE.

From J. H. TAYLOR, Esq., Lieutenant, R.N.R., Master Attendant, Administrative Department, Madras, to the Executive Engineer, Coimbatore Division, dated 16th June 1883, No. 5430.

With reference to your letter, No. 427, dated 13th June 1883, I have the honor to state that the cost of repairs to the sea wall at Beypore is not a proper charge on Port Funds, which, under the Act No. XII of 1875, are intended for works undertaken for the benefit of vessels. The sea wall is for the protection of the town and railway premises, and the original cost of the same having been borne by the Port Funds, the Municipality or Railway, or both, should now bear their share of the expenses of the wall.

2. The papers called for are returned.

No. 515.

Submitted to the Superintending Engineer, Fourth Circle, with a request that he will be good enough to issue orders as to the funds to which the work is chargeable. As the work was an urgent one, it has been carried out, and the payment to the contractor is withheld pending sanction to the estimate.

20th June 1883.

(Signed) J. P. DAVIDSON,
Executive Engineer,

No. 1249 C., Public Works.

Referred back to the Superintending Engineer, Fourth Circle, for favor of information whether the work is the same as that referred to in G.O., No. 2705 W., dated 7th October 1882. If so, the incidence of cost has already been settled.

CHEPAUK,
3rd July 1883.

(By Order.)
(Signed)

C. BOWEN, Major, R.E.,
Under Secy. to Govt., P.W.D.

From Colonel J. BEATTY, R.E., Superintending Engineer, Fourth Circle, to the Chief Engineer, Public Works Department, dated Coimbatore, 7th July 1883, No. 274.

With reference to your endorsement, No. 1249 C., of 3rd instant, I have the honor to inform you that the *sea wall* in question is not one of the railway protective works, which consist of a *revetment* and some remains of *groynes*. The sea wall connects the beach, at a point near some of the outlying railway buildings, with the small rocky islet, and its only object must be to direct the current from the river on to the bar. It was visited by you in company with Colonel Mead in January 1882, and again in February 1883 in company with Captain Taylor, Mr. Davidson and myself. There has never hitherto been any question about the propriety of its being maintained by the Marine Department; it can be of no possible use to the Municipality, and the Railway officials consider it useless as a protective work to their property.

No. 1320 C., Public Works.

Referred to the Master Attendant for any further remarks he may desire to offer.

(By Order.)

MADRAS,
12th July 1883.

(Signed)

C. BOWEN, Major, R.E.,
Under Secy. to Govt., P.W.D.

From J. H. TAYLOR, Esq., Lieutenant, R.N.R., Master Attendant, Administrative Department, Madras, to the Secretary to Government, Public Works Department, dated 29th August 1883, No. 8340.

In returning the papers forwarded to me with endorsement, No. 1320 C., dated 12th July 1883, relating to the sea wall at Beypore, I have the honor to state that the only portion appertaining to the Marine Department is the causeway which was proposed to be kept in repair to throw the strength of the current on the bar with the object of deepening it, but this appears to have produced no appreciable effect. On the contrary, a sand bank has formed to the westward of the islet, showing an inclination to extend to the bar proper as shown on plan herewith sent for inspection and return.

ORDER—dated 26th September 1883, No. 2711 W., Public Works.

Under the circumstances stated, all further work on the sea wall at Beypore must be stopped as suggested by the Superintending Engineer, the expenditure incurred to date being charged to Port Funds.

(True Extract.)

(Signed)

J. SHAW-STEWART, Colonel, R.E.,
Secretary to Govt., P.W.D.

To the Superintending Engineer, Fourth Circle.
" Examiner, Public Works Accounts.
" Master Attendant.
" Marine Department.

Exd. A. F. Goodall.
Spare Copies

BUILDINGS AND ROADS.
CIVIL WORKS.

Govt. of {1883} Madras.

PUBLIC WORKS.

Dated September, 26th.

Recd.

Encl.

G.O., 26th Sept. 1883, No. 2711 W.

SEPTEMBER.

Sea Wall, Beypore

All further work on the — to be stopped,
the expenditure up to date being charged
to Port Funds.

NOTES.

REFERENCE TO MADRAS P. W. D. CODE.

CHAPTER XIV.—PARAS. 1829 TO 1832.

1. The object of this Return is to place before Government an analysis of all shortcomings in matters of financial regularity in the operations of each month with a view to their being remedied. It should be submitted to Government on the first day of the third month succeeding that to which the accounts relate.

2. There should be a separate statement for each separate Fund—Imperial, Provincial, Local, and Irrigation.

3. The Provincial statement will be posted from the Divisional Statements of Objectionable Expenditure. The statement need not be delayed in order to embody the remarks of the Superintending Engineers if these do not reach the Examiner's Office in time. It is desirable, however, that the Superintending Engineer's opinion should be recorded as far as may be practicable, and, in order to ensure this being done, the Divisional Statement of Objectionable Items should be checked immediately on receipt and sent to the Superintending Engineer for his remarks before the audit of the other accounts is proceeded with.

4. All Executive Officers who have failed to submit their Divisional Statements of Objectionable Items with their Monthly Accounts, as well as those whose statements are generally incomplete, should be specially brought to the notice of Government under "General Remarks" at the end of the statement.

5. Items of irregular expenditure which are left uninclosed by Executive Engineers in their Divisional Statements of Objectionable Items should be distinctly noted as such either in a separate supplementary statement or in the original statement in red ink.

6. Columns under all the heads should be filled up in each case so as to bring out clearly where estimate or appropriation exists and where it does not.

7. In the case of large projects such important features as the following should be stated with precision:—

- | | | |
|--|---|--|
| A. Lump sum estimate. | { | 1. Submitted to Chief Engineer. |
| B. Abstract detail estimate by sub-heads, and so on. | | 2. Submitted to Superintending Engineer. |
| | | 3. Not yet submitted. |

8. A large work which is proceeded with on merely a lump sum sanction is still objectionable till an abstract and detail estimate by sub-heads is submitted and sanctioned for it by competent authority.

9. Special mention should be made of works being carried out in portions by authorities, beyond whose powers of sanction it would be to sanction the expenditure as a whole.

10. An important point to notice is the duration of a serious irregularity.

11. Voluminous masses of detail, when their practical significance could be given in a few lines, should always be avoided as a rule. If, for instance, the state of matters as to sanction in one month does not materially differ from that of a preceding month, it will answer all practical purposes to say so, instead of sending another full report.

12. Too much stress can never be laid on the fact that this statement is not to take place of special reports, then and there, of works on which serious irregular expenditure is being largely incurred, either without estimate or appropriation.

1095C D. 6. 83
B. 2239 W. 11. 8. 83

P. W. D. Madras.
Form No. M 64 a.

CENTRAL OFFICE OF ACCOUNTS.

CIRCLE Fourth

FUND Excluded Local

Provincial Statement of Objectionable Items
in the Accounts of Executive Engineers
for the Month of June 1883.

No. _____

MADRAS,

Dated 10th Sept 1883.

FORWARDED for orders to the Secretary to Government, Public Works Department, Madras.

D. P. Lucas

Examiner of P. W. Accounts,

Madras.

Re 2598 W. 15-9-83

With order

Entire Receipt Register

Provincial Statement of Objectionable Items in the Accounts requiring the

Item No.	Particulars of each Item.	Month in the Accounts of which Objectionable Expenditure first appeared.	COMPARISON WITH ESTIMATE.			COMPARISON WITH APPROPRIATION OF THE YEAR.			Expenditure during the Month.
			Amount of Estimate.	Expenditure up to Date.	Excess over Estimate.	Amount of Appropriation.	Expenditure of the Year.	Excess over Appropriation.	
	Excluded Local Without Estimate Coimbatore								
1	Repairs Beyfere Sea wall	March 1883		442	442				
	Excess over Estimate Coimbatore								
2	Repairs to Bunder Quay at Mangalore	March 1883		525	9/8	453			

of Executive Engineers for the Month of orders of Government.

June 1883

Opinion of the Superintending Engineer, with final Remarks by Examiner.	Orders by Government.
<p>No 2598 W a/15th Sept 1883</p> <p>In G.O. N° 2239 W dated 11th August 1883 it was stated that the question as to the incidence of cost was referred to the Master Attendant and his reply was awaited.</p> <p>The orders of Government are awaited regarding the exact amount debitable to Local Excluded and Imperial Funds. Vide this Office letter N° 43 W dated 5th June 1883. Revised Estimate for Rs 685 has since been sanctioned by Sup^t Engineer but it is thought that a complete Estimate should be submitted for the sanction of Government.</p>	<p>Item No. 1 The question is under disposal.</p> <p>Item 2. Supg. Engr's attention drawn to No: 1095 G dated 8th June 1883 and the report called for should be expedited.</p> <p>Supg. Engr. will forward a complete estimate for the sanction of Govt.</p>

D. P. Curvey
Examiner of P. W. Accounts,
Madras.

The Engrs Engr. Fourth Circle
thru the Examiner P.W. Accounts

1883

1883

1883

15/9

15/9

NOTES.

REFERENCE TO MADRAS P. W. D. CODE.

CHAPTER XIV.—PARAS. 1829 TO 1832.

1. The object of this Return is to place before Government an analysis of all shortcomings in matters of financial regularity in the operations of each month with a view to their being remedied. It should be submitted to Government on the first day of the third month succeeding that to which the accounts relate.

2. There should be a separate statement for each separate Fund—Imperial, Provincial, Local, and Irrigation.

3. The Provincial statement will be posted from the Divisional Statements of Objectionable Expenditure. The statement need not be delayed in order to embody the remarks of the Superintending Engineers if these do not reach the Examiner's Office in time. It is desirable, however, that the Superintending Engineer's opinion should be recorded as far as may be practicable, and, in order to ensure this being done, the Divisional Statement of Objectionable Items should be checked immediately on receipt and sent to the Superintending Engineer for his remarks before the audit of the other accounts is proceeded with.

4. All Executive Officers who have failed to submit their Divisional Statements of Objectionable Items with their Monthly Accounts, as well as those whose statements are generally incomplete, should be specially brought to the notice of Government under "General Remarks" at the end of the statement.

5. Items of irregular expenditure which are left uninclosed by Executive Engineers in their Divisional Statements of Objectionable Items should be distinctly noted as such either in a separate supplementary statement or in the original statement in red ink.

6. Columns under all the heads should be filled up in each case so as to bring out clearly where estimate or appropriation exists and where it does not.

7. In the case of large projects such important features as the following should be stated with precision :—

- | | |
|--|--|
| A. Lump sum estimate. | 1. Submitted to Chief Engineer.
2. Submitted to Superintending Engineer.
3. Not yet submitted. |
| B. Abstract detail estimate by sub-heads, and so on. | |
| | |

8. A large work which is proceeded with on merely a lump sum sanction is still objectionable till an abstract and detail estimate by sub-heads is submitted and sanctioned for it by competent authority.

9. Special mention should be made of works being carried out in portions by authorities, beyond whose powers of sanction it would be to sanction the expenditure as a whole.

10. An important point to notice is the duration of a serious irregularity.

11. Voluminous masses of detail, when their practical significance could be given in a few lines, should always be avoided as a rule. If, for instance, the state of matters as to sanction in one month does not materially differ from that of a preceding month, it will answer all practical purposes to say so, instead of sending another full report.

12. Too much stress can never be laid on the fact that this statement is not to take place of special reports, then and there, of works on which serious irregular expenditure is being largely incurred, either without estimate or appropriation.

P. W. D. Madras.
Form No. M 64 a.

By 12.10.83. In dupl.
CENTRAL OFFICE OF ACCOUNTS.

CIRCLE

Fourth

FUND

Excluded Local

Provincial Statement of Objectionable Items
in the Accounts of Executive Engineers
for the Month of *July* 1883.

No.

MADRAS.

Dated *11th October* 1883.

FORWARDED for orders to the Secretary to Government, Public Works Department, Madras.

D. P. Gaudon

Examiner of P. W. Accounts,

Madras.

No 2967 Wd 18-10-83

With order

Subd. Recept. Repts

9.20

10/10