B 1984 W 4.8.82
927 C 25.4.82
B 1176 W 9.5.82
1638 W 28.6.82

The Hon. H. [illegible]
Ve Ct. Hon. [illegible]

Nos 2770 / 2771 Way 3-10-83

Cir 26/9 R 4/10 C

L.P. after 5 Pm Entd in Regt. Register

List of R.E. officers below the rank of Lieut. Colonel who will complete 27 years service, or attain to the age of 47 within the next ten years.

Names	Date of birth	Date of joining service.	Date of attaining age of 47	Date of completion of 27 yrs service	Probable date of compulsory retirement
Majors.					
C.J. Smith	July 1836	Decr. 1855	July 1883	Decr. 1882	Decr. 1882.
H.K. Mead	April 1836	Decr. 1855	April 1883	Decr. 1882	Decr. 1882
H. Smalley	Septr. 1838	June 1856	Septr. 1885	June 1883	June 1883
L. Montgomerie	Octr. 1837	June 1856	Octr. 1884	June 1883	June 1883
D.F. Trail	June 1837	Decr. 1856	June 1884	Decr. 1883	Decr. 1883
H.M. Vibart	March 1839	Decr. 1857	March 1886	Decr. 1884	Decr. 1884
Ross Thompson	Augt. 1838	June 1858.	Augt. 1885	June 1885	June 1885
A.T. Fraser	Augt. 1839	June 1858	Augt. 1886	June 1885	June 1885
J. Pennycuick	Jany. 1841	Decr. 1858	Jany. 1888	Decr. 1885	Decr. 1885
J.L.L. Morant	Novr. 1839	June 1859	Novr. 1886	June 1886.	June 1886
S.B. Clarke	March 1841.	Decr. 1859	March 1888	Decr. 1886	Decr. 1886.
+ R.F. Morris	Septr. 1839	Decr. 1859	Septr. 1886.	Decr. 1886.	Septr. 1886
+ A.C. Smith	June 1842	Novr. 1860	June 1889	Novr. 1887	Novr. 1887
+ R.E. Drake-Brockman	Septr. 1841	Decr. 1860.	Septr. 1888.	Decr. 1887	Decr. 1887.
Captains					
+ W.H. Coaker	Augt. 1844	June 1863	Augt. 1891	June 1890	June 1890.
+ A. Audrey	April 1844	Decr. 1864	April 1891	Decr. 1891	April 1891.

Note The above Statement is founded on the assumption that the officers named will each attain the rank of Lieut.-Colonel before the dates given in the last Column.

R.S. 1-5-82.

H.E.B.

Cl. 927-25-4-82

Royal Engineers
Officers.

927-C-25-4-82.

Sir U. Hudleston

For orders.

Surgn Drake-Brockman in the annexed memo. of 27th March shows that under the Operation of the Army Warrant of 25th June 25th July 1881 he and other Surgns of Royal Engrs will be compulsorily retired within a few years and submits for consideration certain Grievances which while maintaining the principle of retirement as essential would at the same time obviate the unreasonable cost to India incurred to the public Service, men of ripe experience and in full vigor

A reference is made to the Adjt Genl to ascertain which of our Officers would be affected by the Warrant in question but as will be observed has failed to elicit any information

By the Secretary
Lt Col D. Capt Edwards
Lee Duty Extra Aide
D.A. Commandant R.E.
Inclosing application
in Indian F.W.D. that
the Rules of the Indian
Service do not require
Retirement. What
would be the Benefit
Service may Engineer
be questioned.

4/6/82

OLS. 3-6.
Cir: 3.5-82 K 4.6.82.

A list has accordingly been prepared in this Office showing all Officers of both the late Madras Engineers & the Home Corps whose periods of service would bring them into the category, the date of compulsory retirement being given in the last column; but it seems almost certain that the exemption given by S. 27, para 100, clause (d), of the Royal Warrant (Army) that Officers of the Indian Engineer Cadres are specially exempted, a result which might also be expected from the operation of the well known "Henley" clause

The Officers to whom the Warrant would possibly apply are the last five on the accompanying list

Even should it not actually affect all these Officers the number of Officers whose services may be lost to the Dept. is sufficiently serious to make it desirable to ascertain how the S of S. contemplates any measures as in contemplation to obviate the any serious disturbance which may thus be occasioned

With the exception of these three Officers whose services are it may be said are already lost to the Dept. it is useless to say that the Dept. would lose gratuitously in Officers if deprived of any or all of the four remaining Officers Major A C Smith, Major R R E Drake-Brockman, Captain W H Cowley, & Captain A Awdry.

If the H. Co. considers it desirable that Broderick's name might be founded to the S of S I write a request for information 1st as to what Officers are to be compulsorily retired, and 2nd what measures are in contemplation by Government

the difficulties which such must inevitably entail on the Dept. &c.

Adt

3/5/82

Memo

Under the provisions of the Army Warrant of 1st July 1881 all Majors of Royal Engineers who do not attain to the substantive Rank of Lieut Colonel on the completion of 27 years total effective service, or at the age of 47 when long-service is allowed to count, will be obliged to retire on a pension of £290 or £300 per annum. This ruling will affect all officers so circumstanced regardless of the localities wherein their service has been spent, and no exemption is contemplated

48?

As.

As yet to be made in favor
of those who originally
volunteered for Indian
Service and who have
continued to serve abroad
under the regulations
obtaining in that dependency.
The position therefore of
such officers, the major
portion of whose service
has been spent in India
has become rather a
Critical one, and will
involve upon them Con-
-siderable hardship and
disappointment at a point
in their Career when, after having
borne the burden and
heat of the day under
an Indian Sun, they
have arrived at such
Departmental positions
as would entitle them
to Considerably higher
Emoluments

Emoluments Combined
with partial relief from
Exhausting out door labor.

The pension due
to such officers will be
debitable under existing
rules to the Home Ex-
-chequer, and no part
of it will be paid from
Indian revenues, not-
-withstanding that
most and in my in-
-dividual case 24
out of the 27 years will
have been Spent in
India.

This pension of a
British officer may
be regarded as the
legitimate reward
for the Continued state
of preparedness he
has had to be under
during the whole of his
Indian Departmental
Career

Career, liable as he was
at any time to be called
out to serve his Queen
and Country wherever
"Right and glory" led
the way. But during
the whole of this period
it will be observed
the Indian Empire
has been reaping
the advantage of his
labors without being
called upon to contri
-bute a share out
of its revenues towards
the pension of one who
has contributed to
its prosperity for so
many years. Had
such an officer had
no Indian service
at all, he would
still have become
entitled to his pension
from the Home Ex-
-chequer and in
equity

equity he would also
feel bound to expect
that a certain recog-
-nition for long
and faithful service
should be accorded
him by a special pen
-sion allowance from
Indian revenues for
augmenting his British
pension by bringing it
up if not to equal that
enjoyed by his brother
officers of the Indian
Codres, at all events to
those granted to Cove-
-nanted Civil Engineers
appointed from England.

I would respectfully
submit therefore that
rather than that officers
of such Indian Experi-
-ence and standing
in the Department be
shelved by compulsory
retirement from
participating

participating in the major rewards in that Department that they were on the point of attaining to by long service therein, that an inducement should be held out to them to Continue in Departmental service by some such promise as I have indicated above. I would not advocate any change in the existing rules regarding Compulsory retirement, which rules were the outcome of long and careful Consideration by selected officers under the Presidency of Earl Morley, as such alterations would doubtless prejudice the prospects of the Junior officers of the Corps in regard to their promotion, but would recognise the

the fact of the compulsory retirement of such officers as inevitable, but allowing them to retain their Departmental portion permit them to serve on under the pension rules applicable to the Covenanted Civil Engineers. At the end of their full service in the Department Qualifying them for pension, I would suggest that the difference between such full pension and the British pension they commenced to receive on retirement from the Corps be paid to them as their legitimately earned quota of Indian pension.

By the foregoing arrangement

arrangement, the Indian Empire would be doubly the gainer, first by retaining on its service an Experienced and thoroughly seasoned subordinate, and he called upon eventually to pay Considerably less for his pension that would be due to a Covenanted or even uncovenanted Civil Engineer of that length of service. The subject is one of importance to a large body of my brother officers of whom there cannot be less than 150 serving at present in India.

I &c &c &c

Madras
27 Mar 82

Drake Brockman
Majr RE
2nd Grade

B
From Govt of India

W Department.

No 1176 Wdg dated May 82.

To

The Secretary to the
Govt of India
W. Department.

Sir,

I am directed to forward for the consideration of the Govt of India the accompanying memorandum by Major Drake Brockman RE. an Executive Engr in the Madras W Department in which he points out the hardships entailed by the provisions of the Royal warrant of the 1st July 1881, which contemplate the compulsory retirement of Majors of Royal Engrs. who do not attain

the

the substantive rank of Lieutenant Colonel on completion of 27 years total effective service, or on attaining the age of 48.

2. It is understood from Clause (d) para 100 of the warrant that officers of the Indian Regt. Cadres are specially exempted from the operation of the Compulsory retirement rulings, but there are several officers in the Department of the Home Corps of Royal Engrs, of whom Major Drake Brockman is one, who would probably be affected - and this circumstance renders it desirable in the opinion of H.E. the Governor in Council to ascertain whether any measures are under consideration to obviate the serious difficulties which would in consequence result,

to the W. Department

3. I am also to ask for information as to the names of the officers who are likely to be compulsorily retired under the Royal warrant.

R.S.

5/5/82

Desp'd
9/5/82
with enclos.

Encl.

B No 1170 w. 9. 5: 82.

2501

Dy A.

I submit for approval a draft letter to the Dy. Adjt. Genl House Guards as suggested by the Adjt. Genl in C. by 2501, but I am not sure that W. would care to ~~[illegible]~~ wait until we receive a reply.

CG

24/4

S.A.W.

Despatch letter to D.A.G. RE. Home Guards - from W. that he has done so - and send him Brig. Genl. Godfrey Clerk's letter for perusal. Letter send him complete file.

24.4.82

To

W.

Submitted for information -

The information available in this office in the Secretariat (the asst. in which I consulted personally) does not admit of your drawing up the list required, & it will be seen that the Adjt. Genl's office too is unable to do it.

CH

26/4/82

No. 63.

ADJUTANT-GENERAL'S OFFICE,

Head-quarters, Calcutta

18 April 1882.

From

BRIGADIER-GENERAL GODFREY CLERK,

ADJUTANT-GENERAL,

To

The Chief Engineer

Public Works Dept.

SIR,

I am desired to acknowledge the receipt of your Memorandum, calling for a list of Royal Engineer officers serving in this Presidency who will be required to retire under the provisions of the Royal Warrant of 25th June 1881.

2. In reply I am to state that compulsory retirement under the warrant quoted above, is not carried out by this office, but by the Home Authorities, and I am therefore to suggest that a reference be made to the Deputy

Adjutant

Adjutant General Royal Engineers
Horse Guards.

I have the honor to be
Sir,
Your most obedient Servant
[illegible]
Brigadier General
Adjutant General.

No 831 C of 14-4-82

No 927 C of 25 April 82

P. W. DEPARTMENT—1881-82.

MEMORANDUM.

C/

Current No. 2501

Letter Number 63

dated the 18th April 1882

from the ~~Supg. Engineer of~~ Adjt-Genl

To the Deputy Adjt-Genl
Royal Engineers
Horse Guards
London

Sir,

In forwarding the annexed extract from a letter from Major R. R. S. Drake Brockman, R.E., dated the 27th March 1882, I have the honor to request that you will be so good as to furnish me at your early convenience with a list of Royal Engineer Officers serving in the Madras Presidency who would be affected in

only 26/4/82. Re 8/5

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS.

MILITARY DEPARTMENT.

14th July 1882, No. 3601.

Engineers.

Read the following paper:—

No. 256. *Memorandum from Colonel W. M. LEES, Officiating Secretary to the Government of India, Military Department, to Colonel E. L. HANKIN, Acting Secretary to Government, Military Department, Madras; dated Fort William, 5th July* 1882, *No.* 965-*A.*

Retirement.

From Adjutant-General in India, No. 2840-C, dated 29th June 1882, and enclosures.

Forwarded for disposal under the orders of His Excellency the Governor in Council.

From Major-General G. R. GREAVES, Adjutant-General in India, to the Secretary to the Government of India, Military Department; dated Simla, 29th June 1882, No. 2840-C.

Royal Engineers.

I am directed by the Commander-in-Chief to forward, for favor of communication to the Government of Madras, the accompanying Horse Guards letter,* with enclosure, relative to the retirement of Majors of the Royal Engineers under the provisions of the Royal Warrant of 25th June 1881.

* No. 7688-716, dated 24th May 1882.

From Lieutenant-General Sir G. J. WOLSELEY, G.C.B., G.C.M.G., Adjutant-General, to His Excellency the Commander-in-Chief in India; dated Horse Guards, War Office, S.W., 24th May 1882, No. 7688-716.

I am directed by His Royal Highness the Field Marshal Commanding-in-Chief to forward for your information the copy of a letter from the Acting Under Secretary to the Government, Madras Presidency, on the subject of the compulsory retirement of Majors, Royal Engineers, under the provisions of the Royal Warrant of 25th June 1881, and asking for a list of the officers of that corps serving in the Presidency who would be retired in consequence of such provisions.

With reference thereto, I am to point out that by Article 100 of the Royal Warrant the age for the compulsory retirement of Majors is forty-eight, and not forty-seven as therein stated, and further that, as promotion to the rank of regimental Lieutenant-Colonel in the Royal Engineers is not by time of service, but is altogether dependent on casualties in the corps, it is regretted that the required list cannot be compiled.

I am at the same time to request that in future all questions of a similar nature may be referred to this office through the medium of the Military authorities in India.

From Lieutenant-Colonel ROSS THOMPSON, R.E., Acting Under Secretary to Government, Public Works Department, to the Deputy Adjutant-General, Royal Engineers, Horse Guards, London; dated 25th April 1882, No. 927-C.

In forwarding the annexed extract from a letter from Major R. R. E. Drake-Brockman, R.E., dated the 27th March 1882, I have the honor to request that you will be so good as to furnish me at your early convenience with a list of the Royal Engineer Officers serving in the Madras Presidency who would be affected in the manner therein described by the Royal Warrant of the 25th June 1881, showing when they would have to retire from the service under its provisions.

EXTRACT from a memorandum by Major R. R. E. DRAKE-BROCKMAN, Royal Engineers; dated Madras, 27th March 1882.

* * * * *

"Under the provisions of the Army Warrant of 1st July 1881 all Majors of Royal Engineers who do not attain to the substantive rank of Lieutenant-Colonel on the completion of twenty-seven years' total effective service, or at the age of forty-seven when boy service is allowed to count, will be obliged to retire on a pension of £290 or £300 per annum. This ruling will affect all officers so circumstanced regardless of the localities wherein their service has been spent, and no exemption is contemplated as yet to be made in favor of those who originally volunteered for Indian service and who have continued to serve abroad under the regulations obtaining in that dependency."

* * * * *

No. 257. ORDER THEREON, 14th July 1882, No. 3601.

Communicated to the Public Works Department with the remark that His Excellency the Commander-in-Chief is the proper channel of communication with the Horse Guards in regard to all questions affecting British Officers.

(True Extract.)

For *Ag. Secretary to Government,*
Mily. Dept.

To the Public Works Department.
" Adjutant-General.

Sent on d/c

Exd. E. C. Shunker.

No 1982 wdy 6th August/82

B

Recorded

28.7.82

Attt

25.7.82

Gobt. of [1882] Madras.

MILITARY.

To

THE PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT,
&c., &c., &c.

Dated July, 14th.

Issued

Recd. 24 July 82 Encl.

G.O., 14th July 1882, No. 3601.

JULY.
Nos. 256-257.

Communicating with remark correspondence from the Horse Guards relative to the retirement of Majors of the Royal Engineers under the provisions of the Royal Warrant of 25th June 1881.

With Orders

Entd. in Record Regr

Ch 5/8

C R W.

Horse Office Sec
& Wolseley's letter of
24/5/82 made over

Attt

29/7/82

31/7 Copy made

31/7

Ord 59.7

Hy 28-7-82 Re 4/8. Hy 4/8

No. 862 G

All communications should be addressed to the Secretary.

GOVERNMENT OF INDIA.

PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT.

GENERAL.

To

The Secy: to the Govt of Madras

Public Works Department

Simla 12th June 1882.

Sir,

I am directed to acknowledge your letter No 1176W of 9th May 1882 submitting a memorandum by Major Drake-Brockman R.E. an Executive Engineer on the Madras Public Works Department Establishment pointing out some of the consequences entailed by the provisions of the Royal Warrant of the 1st July 1881, on Majors of Royal Engineers who do not attain the substantive rank of Lieutenant Colonel on completion of 27 years total effective service or on attaining the age of 48 years.

I am directed to state that as no officer of Royal Engineers will be affected by the orders quoted for some years to come, the Government of India is not prepared at present to consider the subject, and Major Doake-Broekmann may be so informed.

I have the honor to be
Sir,
Your most Obdt Servt

W B Sampson
Under Secy to the Govt of India

No 1638 W dt 28th June

B Communicated to the S.E. 5th Circle for Major Doake Broekmans information

2/6/82

S E fifth Circle

Exd in R Register

P.W. Department,

Proceedings of the Madras Government,

Under date the

Read the following:-

Letter from Major A. C. Smith
R.E.
Executive Engr, S. Arcot Division (H.E. No 465 dt 10th July 1883)

Endorsement thereon by the S.E. Fifth Circle (.. .. 1497 dt 16th ..)

Ordered that the
Government of India be
addressed.
(H.E. No Wdt)

To,
The Secretary to the Govt of India
P.W. Deptt

Sir,

Supdy Engr Fifth Circle. In my letter No 1176 W

dated 9th May 1882 I was
directed to point out some
of the consequences entailed
by the provisions of the
Royal Warrant of 1st July
1881 on Majors of Royal
Engineers who do not attain
the substantive rank of
Lieutenant-Colonel on
completion of 27 years
service or on attaining the
age of 48 years.

2. The Madras Government & were informed in reply that as no officers of Royal Engineers will be affected by these orders for some years to come, the Govt of India is not at present prepared to consider the

& Your letter No 862 G dated 12th June 1882.

subject.

3. I am directed to again address the Govt of India on this matter, and to represent that on a point of such vital importance to many members of the Madras S. W. Dept, it is, in the opinion of H.E. the Governor in Council, very desirable that timely intimation should be given as to the intentions of Government in regard to their retirement or continued employment. The time is not now very distant when some of the Royal Engineer officers of this Presidency will come under the operation of the

Royal Warrant of 1881,
and His Excellency in
Council therefore hopes
that the circumstances
represented in my letter No.
1176 W dd 9th May 1882 may
be taken into early
consideration by the
Government of India.

27.7.83

R.S.

Ent. Epic Civ 27/7 R 30/7

I doubt the expediency of moving again in the matter just yet. Frazer if he has not been promoted since the publication of the last list is the first officer who will be affected and he is safe for two years more and A.C. Smith has more than 4 years to run. I would wait until Xmas and then go up with a lot.

H.L.

29/7.

Since the list was prepared 14 months ago no less than 7 officers have been promoted Lieut Col:—

Lt. W.

Letter and list as returned above, but being wks again

Yrs col not returned

30/7 L.O.

Copy of letter from Brigadier-General Godfrey Clerk, Adjutant-General, to the Examiner Public Works Accounts, Madras

No. 643/T.C. dated 14th August 1883.—

In acknowledging the receipt of your letter No. 27 M. dated 3rd August 1883 I have the honor to inform you that

I Officers of the Royal Engineers under Indian retiring Regulations can either

(a) retire on the recently revised scale of pensions* for officers who entered the Staff corps subsequent to 12th September 1866 which rates are given irrespective of rank or period of service in rank or

Years*	£
20	250
24	365
28	500
32	700
38	750

(b) they may avail themselves of the extra annuity specified in Article 978 XI of the Royal Warrant dated 11th March 1882 which is given in addition to the retiring pensions* in force prior to 1881 but subject to the restrictions detailed in Article 92 III of the Royal Warrant

Years*	£ S. D.
20	191-12-6
24	292-0-0
28	365-0-0
32	456-0-0
38	456-0-0

20 - 150
24 - 150+40 = 190
28 - 150+80 = 230
32 - 150+120 = 270
38 - 150+180 = 330

Warrant dated 11th March 1882.

I The rate of retired pay given to Royal Engineer Officers of the Superiorial list are laid down in Section II Article 972 and 973 of the Royal Warrant dated 11th March 1882. —

(True Copy)

for Supdt. Correspondence Branch

22/8

927C 25.4.82
B. 1176 W 5.5.82
1638 W 28.6.82
1984 W 4.8.82

Statement shewing the rates of pension admissible to Stanley Engineers and Cooper's Hill College officers.

No. of years service	Class of Pension	Rate of Pension	Remarks.
For 20 years	Invalid Superannuation or Compensation Pension.	1/3 Average Emoluments not exceeding Rs 3000/. a year; or if average Emoluments do not exceed Rs 12.000/. a year, Rs 2000/. a year.	Vide Sections 109 & 111 of the Civil Pension Code
" 24 "			
" 28 "	Retiring Pension	1/2 Average Emoluments not exceeding Rs 5000/. a year; or if average Emoluments do not exceed Rs 12000/. a year; Rs 4000/. a year.	Vide section 112 of the Civil Pension Code.
" 32 "			
" 38 "			

Madras
22nd August/83.

C
Ex. 210

O.C. No 3
1263/63

No 484

South Arcot Divisional Office
Camp Tirumalaicherry 17th July 1863.

From

Major A. Lo. Smith, R.E.,
Executive Engineer of
South Arcot

To

The Secretary to Government
D.P.W.
Through the Superintending Engineer
5th Circle

Sir,

I have the honor to request you will be good enough to forward, for the consideration of the Govt of India with the concurrence of the Madras Govt, the following statement of circumstances connected with the services of the Royal Engineers (Imperial list) in India.

2. A large number of our officers have now been serving the Government of India exclusively - thus sacrificing all chances of a career in England - for some years.

3.

3. Many years ago, I addressed the then Deputy Adjutant General Royal Engineers at the Horse Guards on the subject of Pensions for Indian Service and I was then told that this subject was receiving the attention of the authorities and this with other circumstances induced me to continue my service in this country.

4. Nothing however has yet been decided by either the Home or Indian Governments.

5. This being so, I respectfully lay the following facts before the Government for favorable consideration.

6. That many Royal Engineer officers have already served the Government of India for nearly 20 years and have taken the place of the late Indian Engineers and have shared with them the onerous duties & hardships consequent on exposure and isolation.

7. That having elected for Indian Service, Royal Engineer Officers have cut themselves off from all chances of a career at home & not only this, but by their isolation have been precluded from improving themselves by studying the great Engineering works of the day.

8. That such service renders their chances of employment in any civil capacity at home, on retirement, very slight.

9. That under the new Royal warrant of July '81 such retirement is rendered now compulsory at a comparatively early age.

10. That under existing rules the retiring pensions are very small and in fact Royal Engineer Officers on the Imperial list are now by far the lowest pensioned servants of the Government of India.

11. I respectfully request, therefore, that the Government of India may be graciously pleased to take the above facts into consideration and ameliorate our

our present condition by raising our Pensions on retirement and assimilating them to those of our more fortunate brother officers in the late Indian Engineers.

C.W. Ex 2
457/13
7263

I have the honorable
Sir
your most obt Servt

A.D. Smith Major, R.E.,
Executive Engineer

~~D.W.C. No. 6154~~
R Dte 2159
20.7.83.

From
Major A. C. Smith R.E.
Executive Engineer
South acct.

C.W.Gen' 7263/A

No. 1555

Forwarded to the Chief
Engineer Public Works
Department.

No. 484.
Dated 17th
Recd 18th
Rec'd 21st } July 1883.

R. C. Hamilton Lieut. R.E.

For Supdg. Engineer, 5th Circle.

20th July 1883.

Encl

Royal Engineers
(Imperial Estt.) in India
Requesting to forward
for the consideration of
the Govt. of India
certain points con-
nected with the
services of the —

Rc 2770/2771 Way 3-10-83

Cir 26/9-R 4/10
ors 30.7
ack 24/7 Rd 30/7

GOVT. OF MADRAS. } 188 . { P. W. DEPT.

SECRETARY.

CHEPAUK, 23rd July 1883.

OFFICE NOTE.

To W.

The accompanying petition from Major A. C. Smith R.E. opens a question which, in the interests of all R.E. Officers on the Home list now serving in India, calls for serious consideration & early settlement.—

I was myself on the eve of asking your attention to a matter which so closely affects myself as well as my brother Officers in all the Presidencies, but I need not add nothing to what Major Smith says. In fact in my position of D.P.W. I ought merely forward without a word of comment Major Smith's letter for such action as you may deem advisable— reserving that I have to report on the subject for a formal memorial of my own.

C. Chevers
23/7

P.W.

I am of course quite prepared to give them all the assistance in my power but I think an official detailed facts and figures would be essential in any case to Government. This could best be done by substituting in parallel columns the pensions claimable after certain years of service in average cases for (1) R.E.s of the old Indian Cadres (2) R.E.s of the Home Cadres (3) Stanley Engrs. (4) R.I.C.s of Coolum Hills —

Perhaps the C could assist in framing a short note which I could submit to illustrate fully the bearings of the case

26/7/83

I 922. S dy 20-9-81

I 27 M dy 3-8-83

P/S 29.9
Cir 24/9 R 1/10
W 22/9 R 3/10

No 1646 D dy 31st July 83

To C. R. No. 408 31-7-83. I. D.O.No. 7263

Would you kindly assist in framing the statement required to shew the W of pensions claimable by the several classes of officers in V.C.D. CE

Rec'd 23/8/83 30/7

No. 5-36 of 23rd Augt. 83 Encs: 3.

To W.

Your Office Note No. 1646 D. dated 31st. July 1883.

The accompanying copy of letter No. 643 I.O. dated 14th. August 1883 from the Adjutant General affords the required information on behalf of Royal Engineer Officers.

2. As regards the Stanley Engineers and Cooper's Hill College Officers the enclosed statement will give the rates of pension admissible to them for the service noted against each.

DC

C. Note C.R. 6487

CE 22/8 Rec 2770 2771 W dy 3-11-83

B

Can you on this prepare a tabular statement - comparative - of men of the different classes, viz: Stanley Engineers, Cooper's Hill Engineers, R.E. officers on Indian Lists, R.E. officers on Imperial list? what W wants is a statement that will show, at a glance, as regards pensions the relative advantages enjoyed by each class CE 24/8.

WM

A very clear comparison cannot be made, but a statement is sent up

Murray

A note is only put up

Murray

Lieut. Haddelsey RE.

Probable date of Retirement	No of years service on retirement	Pension of a Stanley or C.H. Engineer retired after 28 years.	Do – of an officer RE. on the Indian establishment	Pension on being RE on Home list retired under rules
16 October 98.	27 years service (of which 24 under §. of 3)	Rs. 5000	£500	£300

Major A.C. Smith R.E.

Private

Date of Retirement.	No. of years service on Retirement.	Pension if a Steady or C.H. Engineer retired after 28 yrs.	Do – Do – Do. if an Indian Cadre.	Pension as Major R.E. on home list retired under Rules.
1st July – 88 (if not promoted to Lt Col that date)	27 ½ years of which under 6 of J.	Rs. 5000.	£500.	£300. . . .

C No 1263

Note

The Standing and Corps Hill Engineers are allowed an Invalid Pension per annum according to their length of service as below.

10 years $\frac{16}{45}$ of Average emoluments

11 — " — $\frac{11}{45}$ of — " —

12 — " — $\frac{12}{45}$ of — " —

13 — " — $\frac{13}{45}$ of — " —

14 — " — $\frac{14}{45}$ of — " —

for 15 years, and less than 20 years service 1/3 of average emoluments, not exceeding Rs 2000; or if the Average emoluments do not exceed Rs 12000 a year, Rs 2000 a year pension.

The Pension from 10 to 14 years service is not to exceed Rs 2000 a year or to be less than Rs 1000

a year.

After a period of not less than 25 years ser-
vice the Pension is
(half) average emoluments or
not to exceed Rs 5000
a year, or Rs if the
Average emoluments
do not exceed Rs 1200
a year, then Rs 400
a year.

Jenny
6/9

B.

By "average Emoluments" am I to
understand the average salary to the
whole number of years employed?

CJ
6/9.

D.M.

"Average emoluments" for the
purposes of pension, means
the average calculated upon
the last four years of service

Jenny
6/9

+ For officers who entered the Staff Corps subsequent to 12th September 99. It is given irrespective of rank or period of service in rank.
+ Subject to the restrictions detailed in Article 92 III of the Royal Warrant (11-3-02)

Nature of Pension	Stanley Engineers (Rate of Pension)	Cooper Hill Engineers (Rate of Pension)	R. E. Officers on Indian List (Rate of Pension)	R. E. Officers on Imperial List (Rate of Pension)			
				Colonel	Lt: Colonel	Major	Lieutenant or Captain
Invalid Superannuation or Compensation Pension	1/3 of average emoluments not exceeding Rs: 3000 a year, or if average emoluments do not exceed Rs: 12 000 a year then Rs 2000 a year.	1/3 of average emoluments not exceeding Rs: 3000 a year, or if average emoluments do not exceed Rs: 12 000 a year then Rs: 2000 a year.	×250 £s retiring pension or ±191-12-6 together with an annuity (i.e. 150 £s after 20 yrs. service & 10 £s extra for every completed year of service above 20 years) provided the whole shall not exceed 350 £s & provided that the whole amount received from all sources does not exceed 1000 £s a year £342	To a Colonel who has reced: the over-regulation value of his commission & is retired before 55 years of age:— 420 £s & any compensation granted by the Secy: of State. All other Colonels:— 450 £s a year	250 £s a year after 12 years service	200 £s a year after 20 years service and at the age between 40 and 48	200 £s a year after 20 years service & at the age of 40
do	do	do	×365 £s retiring pension or ±292 £s together with the extra annuity (as above) £190 = £82	To a Colonel appointed as such before the 1st Octr 77:— Retired pay of 600 £s a year, or 550 £s a year with a gratuity of 500 £s	250 £s a year after 12 years service	250 £s a year after 12 yrs service	
do	do	do	×500 £s retiring pension or ±365 £s together with the extra annuity (as above) £230 = £95	To a Colonel appointed as such after the 30th Septr 77:— Retired pay of 600 £s a year or 550 £s with a gratuity of 500 £s	300 £s a year after 20 years service	after 7 years service as Substantive Major or at the age of 48:— 300 £s a year	
Retiring Pension	1/2 average emoluments not exceeding Rs: 5000 a year, or if average emoluments do not exceed Rs: 12 000 a year Rs 4000 a year —	1/2 average emoluments not exceeding Rs: 5000 a year, or if average emoluments do not exceed Rs: 12 000 a year, Rs: 4000 a year	×700 £s retiring pension or ±456 £s together with the extra annuity (as above) £230 = £226 ×750 £s retiring pension or ±456 £s together with the extra annuity (as above) £330 = £326		365 £s a year after 20 years service or at the age of 53		

Major A. C. Smith R. E.

Probable date of Retirement	No of years service on Retirement	Pension of a Stanley or Coopers Hill Engineer invalided after 25 years or retired after 30 years	Pension of an R.E officer on the Indian Cadre after 28 years. Service.	Pension as Major R.E on Home List, retired under rules.
1st July 1888 (if not promoted to Lt. Colonel by that date)	27 years 8 months, of which 24 years 6 months under the Government of India.	Rs 5000. If retired after 27 years 8 months, the pension then is only Rs 3000	£ 500 or Pension £ 365 + annuity of 230 £ If retired after 27 years 8 months the pension then is only 365 £ or 292 £ + annuity of 220 £s.	£s 300

Lieut. Baddeley R. E.

Probable date of Retirement	No. of years service on Retirement	Pension of a Stanley or Coopers Hill Engineer retired after 30 years service of invalided after 25 years service	Pension of an R.E. officer on the Indian Cadre. after 28 years service.	Pension as Major. R.E Home List, retired under rules
16th October 1898	26 years 10 months, of which 23 years 11 months under the Government of India	Rs 5000. If retired after 26 years 10 months, the pension then is only Rs 3000	£s 500 or Pension £ 365 + annuity of 230 £. If retired after 26 years 10 months the pension then is only 365 £ or 292 £ + annuity of 210.	£s 300

Note.

The headings of Cols: 3 & 4 of the forms given have been altered to suit the Rules.

Col. 3 – A Stanley or Coopers Hill Engineer can only retire after 25 years service on production of a Medical Certificate. He can voluntarily retire only after 30 years service.

Col. 4 – An R.E. officer on the Indian Cadre receives a pension of 365 £ or 292 £s + the annuity after 24 years service & upto 28 years Service. After 28 yrs: service he receives a pension of 500 £s or 365 £ + the annuity (230 £s).

Cu 1/19 W. 1/19

Memo

On a similar appeal
last year Gen Major
Drake. Brockmann
the C of S, without in
any way calling in
question the justice,
considered that the
time had not yet
arrived for dealing
with the case; but
looking to the long
and abnormal service
of many of the Officers
who are affected, the
fact that they are
married and have
families for which
as President were they
must provide, it
seems to me that
apart from all ordinary
considerations the
Government should

Chepauk.
23d Sept.

To W.

I put up a memorandum of Lieut. Sankey on appeals from Major A. C. Smith & Lt. Waddeley regarding their future "status" in P.W.D., pensions, retirement, &c.

A memorial of Major Drake-Brockman on same subject was submitted to Govt. of India last year, and the reply was that as officers on home list would not be affected by the orders for some years Govt. was not prepared to consider the question at the time.

In July the Hon'ble member of Council doubted the expediency of going into it in the matter "just yet".—

It appears to me that the present would be a fitting opportunity to send up Major Smith's & Lt. Waddeley's appeals, as a Committee is now sitting at Simla on the subject of promotion, pensions, &c, of all members of the Department. In such a vital matter to many men it seems hardly fair to shelve the question.

AS.
23/9.

0/

Cir/B

No 2770W P.W. Department

Proceedings of the Madras Government

under date the 3rd Oct. 83

Read the following:

Letter from Major A.C. Smith M.E. Ex. Engr. S. Arcot Dn.	M.E. No 465	dy 10th July 83
Endorsement thereon by the Suptg. Engr. Fifth Circle	" "	1497 dy 16th do
Letter from Major A.C. Smith M.E. Ex. Engr. S. Arcot Dn.	" "	484 dy 17th do
Endorsement thereon by the Suptg. Engr. Fifth Circle	" "	1555 dy 20th do
Letter from the Lieut. Waddeley, Genl. Supt. P.W. Workshops	" "	— dy 30th do

Ordered that the Government of India be addressed.

(M.E. No 2770W dy 3rd Oct. 83)

To

The Secy. to the Govt. of India, P.W. Dept.

Sir,

In my letter No 1176 W

dw 3/10

Suptg. Engr. Fifth Circle
Genl. Supt. P.W. Workshops.

dated 9th May 1882, I was directed to point out some of the consequences entailed by the provisions of the Royal Warrant of 1st July 1881 on Majors of Royal Engineers who do not attain the substantive rank of Lieutenant-Colonel on completion of 27 years service or on attaining the age of 48 years.

2. The Madras Government were informed in reply that, as no officers of Royal Engineers will be affected by these orders for some years to come, the Government of India is not at present prepared to consider the subject.

3. I am directed to again address the Govt. of India on this matter, and to represent that, on a

& Your letter No. 862 G of 12th June 1882.

point of such vital importance to many members of the Madras P.W. dept., it is, in the opinion of H.E. the Governor in Council, very desirable that timely intimation should be given as to the intentions of Government in regard to their retirement from the P.W.D or continued employment therein. The time is not now very distant when some of the Royal Engineer officers of this Presidency will come under the operation of the Royal Warrant of 1881, and His Excellency in Council therefore hopes that the circumstances represented in your letter No. 1176 W of 9th May 1882 may be taken into early consideration by the Govt.

of India.

4. H.E the Governor in Council is fully convinced of the expediency of allowing Royal Engineer officers who may be compulsorily retired from the army to remain in the P.W. Dept, not only ~~as a matter of justice~~ to the officers themselves, but also on grounds of public convenience. It would, in the opinion of His Excellency in Council, be highly undesirable that the services of able and experienced ~~Royal~~ Engineers ~~officers~~ should be prematurely lost to the P.W. Dept, when by suitable administrative arrangements it may be found possible to retain them. The status and prospects of the several classes

for the public

in the P.W. Dept

classes of Engineers, are now under enquiry by a Committee specially appointed for the purpose, and this opportunity may perhaps be taken to consider the best means for meeting the difficulties entailed by the compulsory retirement conditions of the Royal warrant of 1881. I am accordingly to invite the attention of the Govt of India to the matter and in doing so to forward the accompanying appeals from two of the ~~Royal~~ Engineer officers affected.

* Major Capt. A.C. Smith. R.E
Lieut. W.L.C. Baddeley. R.E

Despatch
2 Encls
3/10

Ex Ed S.

B 1984 W 4.8.82
927 C 25.4.82
B 1176 W 9.5.82
1638 W 28.6.82

Nos 2770 Way 3-10-83
2771

Cir 26/9 R 4/10 C

In P. after 5 Pm Entd in Recpt. Register