

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS.

PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT.

4th February 1882, No. 320 W.

Buildings and Roads.
Civil Works.

Read the following papers:—

No. 19. From the Superintending Engineer, Third Circle, dated 14th January 1882, No. 251.

No. 20. From the Conservator of Forests, dated 28th January 1882, No. 1148.

No. 21. ORDER THEREON, 4th February 1882, No. 320 W.

THE revised estimate, amounting to Rs. 7,159, for constructing a bungalow with out-offices for the Forest Officer at Nandyál in the Kurnool Division is sanctioned.

2. The excess of Rs. 1,759 over the original estimate of Rs. 5,400 is due to an alteration in the rates previously provided, which are insufficient for the site now selected.

3. The sum of Rs. 5,400 has already been provided for the work by the Forest Department, and the Conservator of Forests will place the balance required, Rs. 1,759, to the credit of the Executive Engineer, Kurnool Division.

(True Extract.)

(Signed) R. H. SANKEY, Colonel, R.E.,
Secretary to Govt., P.W.D.

To the Superintending Engineer, Third Circle, with seven enclosures.
" Examiner of Public Works Accounts, with duplicate Abstract Estimate.
" Revenue Department.

Exd. S. T. Austin.
Spare Copies

BUILDINGS AND ROADS.
CIVIL WORKS.

Govt. of (1882) Madras.
PUBLIC WORKS.

To

THE SUPERINTENDING ENGINEER,
THIRD CIRCLE,
&c., &c., &c.

Dated February, 4th.

Issued

Recd.

Encl.

G.O., 4th February 1882, No. 320 W.

FEBRUARY.

Nos. 19-21.

Bungalow with out-offices for the Forest Officer at Nandyal.—Revised estimate amounting to Rs. 7,159 for constructing a —, sanctioned.

1882.

Board of Revenue,
MADRAS.

Printed January, 8th.

164.

Proceedings, 18th December 1882, No.

Communicating to all Collectors Order relating to the questioner on furlough is at liberty management of a Company, is any distinction as regards management of Companies No. 18 belonging to the Covenanters. wanted Services; and directed to be made in the Board No. 241 on the subject.

RESOLUTION—dated 18th December 1882, No. 3150.

Communicated to all Collectors, the Commissioner of Salt Revenue, and the Superintendent of Stamps.

2. The following should be inserted as paragraph 2 in Board's Standing Order No. 241:—

"2. The prohibition from taking part in the management of Companies applies to all public servants, whether they be Covenanted or Uncovenanted, and whether actually doing duty or on furlough."

3. For "Covenanted Servants" at the head of the Standing Order "Public Servants" will be substituted.

(A true Copy and Extract.)

(Signed) E. GIBSON,
Acting Secretary.

(True Copy.)

(Signed) S. SRINIVASA RAGHAVAIYANGAR,
First Assistant.

To all Collectors.
„ the Commissioner of Salt Revenue.
„ the Superintendent of Stamps.
Copy to the Director of Agriculture.

point appears to have been given. In despatch to the Secretary of State, No. 3, dated 8th August 1864, the Government of Bombay referred for orders the case of the

who, while on furlough in India, became one of the Editors of the * * * newspaper; and in paragraph 8 of that despatch they expressed the opinion that there was no sufficient reason for excluding any occupations in which Government servants might engage while on furlough within the limits of lawful and respectable employments, excepting those likely to cause engagements extending beyond the duration of the furlough, and those which lead to new relations with Government independent of, and liable to be inconsistent with, the relations between Government and its paid officers. Applying these principles, which appear to us to be sound, to the case under consideration, the management of a Company may be held to be a "lawful and respectable employment." On the other hand, it is an employment which might not improbably "cause engagements extending beyond the duration of the furlough," and might lead to "new relations with Government independent of, and liable to be inconsistent with, the relations between Government and its paid officers." Moreover, we consider that an officer taking furlough is not entitled to divest himself entirely of the restrictions and responsibilities under which he rests while on duty. For these reasons, therefore, we are inclined to the opinion that it is undesirable that an officer who is on furlough should undertake the management of a Company. We desire, however, to receive your Lordship's instructions on the subject, as similar cases have doubtless already been brought to your Lordship's notice, owing to the fact that most officers while on furlough reside in England, and it is desirable that in this matter there should be no divergence between the practice of the Secretary of State and that of the Government of India.

Despatch from Her Majesty's Secretary of State for India, to the Government of India, dated London, 7th September 1882, No. 99.

I have considered in Council the despatch of your Excellency in Council, No. 41, dated the 8th of July last, relating to the questions whether an officer who is on furlough is at liberty to undertake the management of a Company, and whether there is any distinction as regards taking part in the management of Companies between officers belonging to the Covenanted and to the Uncovenanted Services.

2. I agree with your Excellency in the opinion that the principle of Sir Charles Wood's despatch (No. 46, Public), dated 31st May 1862, applies to all public servants of whatever class and position, and that all public servants, whether they be Covenanted or Uncovenanted, are alike prohibited from taking part in the management of Companies.

3. I am also of opinion that this prohibition is none the less applicable to a public servant because he happens to be on furlough, for the fact of an officer's being on furlough does not appear to me substantially to diminish the serious objections which exist to his engaging in the management of a Company.

Order—dated 20th November 1882, No. 1416, Public.

The correspondence will be published in the *Fort St. George Gazette* for information and guidance of all public servants.

(True Extract.)

(Signed) C. G. MASTER,
Chief Secretary.

To the Departments of the Secretariat.

Order—dated 1st December 1882, No. 4043, Revenue.

Communicated to the Board of Revenue, and to the Settlement, Survey and Departments. The Board will embody the rule in their Standing Orders.

(True Extract.)

(Signed) J. D. REES, *der No. 1*
Ag. Under Secy. to Govt. *rivers.*

To the Board of Revenue.
„ Settlement Department.
„ Superintendent of Revenue Survey.
„ Conservator of Forests.

PROCEEDINGS OF THE BOARD OF REVENUE.

READ—the following G.O., dated 1st December 1882, No. 4043, Revenue.

Read—the following G.O., dated 20th November 1882, No. 1416, Public.

Read—the following letter from A. MACKENZIE, Esq., C.S., Secretary to the Government of India, Home Department (Public), to the Secretary to the Government of Madras, dated Simla, 20th October 1882, No. 45-1687 :—

With reference to Home Department Notification, No. 3421, dated 28th August 1872, I am directed to forward a copy of the correspondence marginally noted relative to the questions (1) whether an officer who is on furlough is at liberty to undertake the management of a Company, and (2) whether there is any distinction as regards taking part in the management of Companies between officers belonging to the Covenanted and the Uncovenanted Services.

2. I am to request that the rules laid down by Her Majesty's Secretary of State on these questions may be carefully observed by all public officers under your Government.

ENCLOSURES.

Despatch from the Government of India, to Her Majesty's Secretary of State for India, dated Simla, 8th July 1882, No. 41.

In his despatch, No. 46 (Public), dated 31st May 1862, Sir Charles Wood, in replying to a notice made to him by the Government of India on the subject of Government servants holding and engaging in speculations in India, observed that he saw no objection to servants of Government holding shares in mining or other companies having for their object the development of the resources of the country, and the only restriction which he thought it necessary to impose was that public servants should take no part in the management of such institutions and not be employed in the districts where the operations of the Company might be carried on. The despatch was promulgated for general information in January 1862 and was subsequently (August 1872) republished with the remark that the Governor-General in Council would be prepared to enforce the principle therein laid down in regard to all servants, of whatever class or position.

A question has now been raised whether an officer who is on furlough is at liberty to undertake the management of a Company, and whether there is any distinction in this respect between officers belonging to the Covenanted and the Uncovenanted Services. We think that no objection can be drawn so far as the rules in question are concerned. The notification from the Government of India on the 28th August 1872 distinctly states that the principle laid down in the despatch cited above of 31st May 1862 applies to "all public servants, of whatever class" and the principle is that public servants are to take no part in the management of Companies. We are, therefore, of opinion that this should be made clear by declaring that the same principle applies to the Uncovenanted as well as to the Covenanted Service.

Regarding the question whether an officer may be allowed while on furlough to assume the management of a Company, we would observe that no specific or authoritative ruling on this

Printed January 29th.

Proceedings, 20th January 1883, No. 164.

Issuing Standing Order No. 1
regarding unusual Floods in rivers.

Resolution—dated 19th January 1883, Mis. No. 527.

Communicated to the Collector of Nellore.

(A true Copy and Extract.)

(Signed) E. GIBSON,
Acting Secretary.

To the Collector of Nellore.

RESOLUTION—dated 20th January 1883, No. 164.

The following is issued as Standing Order, No. 155-A :—

“ Damage, which might be prevented by timely notice being given, is often occasioned by unusual floods in large rivers. Collectors will understand that, whenever such floods occur in their districts, they should forthwith telegraph the fact to the Collectors of any districts lower down the streams which are likely to be affected by the floods.”

(True Copies and Extract.)

(Signed) E. GIBSON,
Acting Secretary.

(True Copy.)

(Signed) S. SRINIVASA RAGHAVAIYANGAR,
First Assistant.

To all Collectors.

A. Raitt.

P. M. Drift
Govt. of 1883 Madras
REVENUE.

47, REVENUE.

ferred to the Public Works Department in utilizing the allotment made

Mr. Ferguson in his report show that the whole doing well. The Conservator to the identification of the insect has attacked the Mahogany trees. not stated in the report whether testing the tanning properties of

work accomplished by the Department with interest.

(Signed) P. P. HUTCHINS,
Ag. Secretary to Government.

Issued

Recd.

Encl.

G.O., 12th January 1883, No. 17.

rests, Southern Division.
Northern Division.
culture.

N. 131 Jan 1883
Communicate to the Supg Engineer, Thiruvannamalai for report on the subject of paragraph 10
by P. D. M.

Forest Administration Report
for 1881-82.—Orders passed on Board's review of the —.

So
The Supg Engineer, Thiruvannamalai

Resolution—dated 19th January 1883, Mis. No. 527.

Communicated to the Collector of Nellore.

(A true Copy and Extract.)

(Signed) E. GIBSON,
Acting Secretary.

To the Collector of Nellore.

RESOLUTION—dated 20th January 1883, No. 164.

The following is issued as Standing Order, No. 155-A :—

" Damage, which might be prevented by timely notice being given, is often occasioned by unusual floods in large rivers. Collectors will understand that, whenever such floods occur in their districts, they should forthwith telegraph the fact to the Collectors of any districts lower down the streams which are likely to be affected by the floods."

(True Copies and Extract.)

(Signed) E. GIBSON,
Acting Secretary.

(True Copy.)

(Signed) S. SRINIVASA RAGHAVAIYANGAR,
First Assistant.

To all Collectors.

A. Raiff.

Govt. of (1883)
REVENUE.

P. P. Hutchins
Madras

referred to the Public Works Department in utilizing the allotment made

in his report show that
ing well. The Conser-
itification of the insect
ked the Mahogany trees.
d in the report whether
the tanning properties of

omplished by the Department
interest.

(Signed) P. P. HUTCHINS,
Ag. Secretary to Government.

Issued

Recd.

G.O., 12th January

1883, No. 47

Encl.

Enclosures.

Southern Division.
Northern Division.

riculture.

JAN

12345 *11/21/31 Jan*
the Supg Engineer, Thir
for report on the subject
Paragraph 10
pay 1897

Forest Administration Report
for 1881-82.—Orders passed on Board's review
of the —

To
The Supg Engineer, Thir

BOARD

Resolution—dated 19th

To the Collector of Nello

RESOLUTION—dated 20th

The following is is
" Damage, which
occasioned by unusual
ever such floods occur
the Collectors of any d
by the floods."

(Signed)

To all Collectors.

A. Raitt.

4

12TH JANUARY 1883, No. 47, REVENUE.

10. Paragraph 87 of the report will be referred to the Public Works Department for report as to the delay which has occurred in utilizing the allotment made for Forest Officer's quarters in Kurnool.

11. The interesting details furnished by Mr. Ferguson in his report show that the Mahogany and India Rubber trees are on the whole doing well. The Conservator will adopt the Board's suggestion in view to the identification of the insect G.O., 10th February 1882, No. 722, para. 16. which has attacked the Mahogany trees. It is not stated in the report whether any further experiments have been made for testing the tanning properties of wattle bark.

12. The Conservator's résumé of the work accomplished by the Department since its organization in 1856, has been perused with interest.

(True Extract.)

(Signed) P. P. HUTCHINS,
Ag. Secretary to Government.

To the Board of Revenue, with 28 enclosures.
" Public Works Department.
" Conservator of Forests, Southern Division.
" Do. Northern Division.
" Director of Agriculture.

Exd. W. H. French.

200 Copies.

3. The Government observe that while the removal of Rs. 1,83,577 worth of timber by the Department last year was effected at a cost of Rs. 43,100, the removal of timber valued at Rs. 1,35,972 in the year under report cost Rs. 57,014. Similarly while the removal of Sandalwood valued at Rs. 35,719 cost Rs. 9,851 in 1881-82, it cost only Rs. 8,689 in 1880-81 to remove a larger quantity valued at Rs. 38,265. These and other variations of the same character are explained in part by the increase in stock at the end of the year and the fact that the establishment and upkeep expenses do not vary in proportion to the increase or decrease in sales. The amount expended on timber operations during one single year gives no practically useful result. In his next annual report the Conservator should endeavour to give the quantity of timber brought to depôt for two or three years and the amount expended thereon. The figures, as they stand, need re-arrangement or explanation. The investigation proposed by the Conservator in paragraph 80 of his report should be of a searching character.

4. It is probable, as suggested, that the disparity evidenced by the statement of apportionment of fixed establishment charges between the results of the Departmental and the License and Voucher Systems is greater than what really exists. The figures should be well scrutinized and the results noted in the report for 1882-83. The Board's observations on the subject are approved.

5. *Reserved Forests.*—The Conservator submits a statement compiled from detailed information carefully collected for the Inspector-General exhibiting the extent of the reserves hitherto officially recognized as such. The estimated area excluding those tracts for the reservation of which no authoritative sanction can be traced, is given at 1,182 square miles. The areas for each district will, as the work of selection proceeds and as the tracts are formally taken up under the Act, be subject to modification. In this connection Major Walker reports on the operations of Mr. Cherry, the officer entrusted with the duty of selecting reserves in the Salem District during the year under review. The result is that the Committee have agreed in selecting 35 reserves conveniently distributed and containing 306 square miles, which is accepted as satisfactory. The people concerned have been served with notices of the intended reservations and their claims are being recorded. These will hereafter have to be investigated and disposed of in accordance with the procedure laid down in the Forest Act.

G.O., 29th August 1881, No.

6. With reference to paragraph 10, orders have been passed on the Ganjam reserves.

G.O., 18th August 1882, No. 867.

7. As observed by the Board of Revenue, orders on the reserves mentioned in paragraphs 14 and 15 must await action under the Forest Act. Adverting to paragraph 19, the Conservator should, in communication with the Revenue authorities, take steps for extending the area of Sandalwood reserves in the Coimbatore District.

8. The receipts from Fuel reserves were Rs. 23,504 or Rs. 5,245 in excess of those of last year:—

	ACRES.
Total area reserved	160,259
Equal to fully stocked	60,475
	RS.
Receipts. { in the year	23,504
{ up to year	76,383
Charges. { in the year	6,849
{ up to last year	1,64,947

The Conservator should report on which statement of Fuel reserves is based, and accepting it as accurate.

9. The Conservator will pay particular attention to the remarks made by the Board in paragraph 9 of their Proceedings.

Divisions.	Demarcated Area, Square Miles.	Undemarcated Area, Square Miles.	Total Square Miles.	Orders by Government and the Board of Revenue.	Remarks.
North Arcot ..	22.47	..	22.47	G.O., No. 971, dated 1st June 1871.	
				" " 820, " 9th April 1879.	
				" " 1798, " 30th Aug. "	
				" " 4, " 4th April 1874.	
South Arcot ..	67.05	82.56	149.61	" " 1346, " 19th Oct. "	
				" " 1189, " 29th July 1878.	
				" " 1023, " 20th Aug. 1880.	
Trichinopoly ..	6.51	..	6.51	Rev. Board No. 6512, " 31st 1869.	
Coimbatore ..	65.22	80	145.22	G.O., No. 502, " 21st Mar. 1871.	
				" " 1064, " 15th June "	
Nâlgiris ..	2	26.24	28.24	" " 1612, " 2nd Aug. 1879.	
				" " 2247, " 5th Dec. "	
				" " 82, " 16th Jan. 1878.	
Salem ..	17.81	..	17.81	" " 110, " 29th " 1880.	
				" " " " 15th " 1867.	
Pâlgat ..	20.70	..	20.70	" " 190, " 16th Feb. 1880.	
Nilambûr ..	24.31	..	24.31	" " 270, " 2nd Mar. "	
Wynad ..	..	..	..	" " 1445, " 18th Oct. 1872.	
South Canara ..	..	..	..	" " 1261, " 3rd " 1874.	
Nellore ..	..	..	..	" " " " " " " "	
Madura ..	..	235.43	235.43	G.O., No. 1681, dated 17th Oct. 1878.	
Tinnevely ..	..	286.81	286.81	" " 1284, " 29th Aug. 1881.	
				" " 257, " 11th Feb. "	
Total ..	356.94	825.19	1,182.13		

Resolution—dated 11th November 1882, No. 2741.

Submitted to Government with reference to paragraph 6 of G.O., dated 10th July 1882, No. 722.

(True Copies and Extract.)

(Signed) R. SEWELL,
Acting Sub-Secretary.

To the Secretary to Government, Revenue Department.

Copy to the Conservator of Forests.

" to the Inspector-General of Forests.

READ—also Proceedings of the Board of Revenue, dated 30th November 1882, No. 2952:—

ABSTRACT.—Submitting to Government letter from the Conservator of Forests stating that paragraph 5 of G.O., 10th July 1882, No. 722, requires a clearer statement regarding yield of forests in the report for 1882-83 and not for 1881-82.

ORDER—dated 12th January 1883, No. 47, Revenue.

Forest, No. 4.

review leaves but little for

2. The receipts and Rs. 3,89,892, respectively, Rs. 1,34,716 in the year 81 years ending with 1879-8

The report of the Conservator compares favorably with that of the previous year, and the Board's exhaustive ment to remark on.

the year under report were Rs. 5,06,456 and plus of Rs. 1,16,564 as against a surplus of an average surplus of Rs. 4,638 in the five It is satisfactory.

12TH JANUARY 1883, No. 47, REVENUE.

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS.
REVENUE DEPARTMENT.

READ—the following Proceedings of the Board of Revenue, dated 5th October 1882, No. 2437 :—

ABSTRACT.—Reviewing the Forest Administration Report for 1881-82.

READ—also the following Proceedings of the Board of Revenue, dated 11th November 1882, No. 2741 :—

Read—the following letter from Major J. CAMPBELL WALKER, Conservator of Forests, to the Secretary to Government, Revenue Department (through the Board of Revenue), dated Madras, 2nd November 1882, No. 1379C :—

Adverting to paragraph 6 of G.O., dated 10th July 1882, No. 722, reviewing the Forest Administration Report for 1880-81, I have the honor to forward a statement distinguishing the areas of demarcated and undemarcated reserves in case Government may think it worth insertion as a supplement to the report recently submitted for 1881-82.

2. When compiling the report it was supposed that, under the circumstances explained in the concluding remarks of Dr. Brandis' note published with G.O., dated 28th April 1882, No. 433, Revenue Department, information of this nature would not be of much interest, and the table in the body of that report therefore contains only the total area of reserved forests.

3. The areas now given are in reality merely a revision of those relating to the 1st April 1881 as no addition has been recorded for 1881-82, because though demarcation has progressed during the year in Salem, Tinnevely and Coimbatore, the tracts demarcated have either not been surveyed or only partially so.

4. In Tinnevely operations were suspended by desire of the Inspector-General to enable him to offer certain suggestions for future guidance.

ENCLOSURE.

A Statement showing the Area of Demarcated and Undemarcated Reserves up to 1st April 1882.

Divisions.	Demarcated Area, Square Miles.	Undemarcated Area, Square Miles.	Total Square Miles.	Orders by Government and the Board of Revenue.	Remarks.
Godavari ..	68	..	68	G.O., No. ..	ed 10th July 1880.
Kistna ..	..	..	..	" "	8th Sept. "
Cuddapah ..	62.87	114.15	177.02	" "	ed 13th June 1877.
Kurnool ..	..	..	..	" "	7th May 1878.
				Rev. Board	29th Nov. 1881.
					8th Dec. 1870.

JANUARY 1883, No. 164.

BOARD OF REVENUE.

Board of Revenue, dated 19th January No. 527.

Board of Revenue, dated 5th December 1882, No. 1395, Revenue :—

Board of Revenue, dated 5th December 1882, No. 1395, Revenue :—

WARNER, Esq., Acting Collector of Board of Revenue, dated 2nd December 1882, No. 1395, Revenue :—

On the night of the 29th ultimo, it rained in the afternoon of the 29th and 30th November, which fell between 8 p.m. 29th and 4 a.m. 30th to rise at the anicut, until at 12-30 in the afternoon of the 30th the water was 1.2 above the highest point of the flood occurred in the flood embankment near the town between the fort and known as the Skew Bridge, the water was made in the night to cut away a dyke on the 1st instant, the waters seen some loss of house property along parts of Kummaraiddi, Kukkalgunda escaped with marvellous good fortune. A tremendous gale from the south-west, on the 29th, and the high north-easterly brought the whole daylight of the 30th. Luckily, the second storm was unexceptional ease with which such an eater protection given above the town of the Nellore tank. Some villages were water, but in the main the waters are such that the delta crops may, in great part, be an estimate of damage done for some time. It is only nine feet this morning, but on the northern side. It is believed that the water is still much water on the top of the temple tower at the north and south, was entirely cut off is flood earlier. I sent off, however, a demi-official letter to the Revenue if necessary, as far as Venkatagiri to speak in the trunk road at Manubolen, which is at present unknown, as the water is soon as the Pennér is fordable, I am, Mr. Moberly, to visit all the temporary Deputy Collector will do the hope to get round the delta villages.

Municipality was held this morning, at the flood. If more help is needed

Govt. of (1883) Madras
REVENUE.

Issued

Recd.

Encl.

G.O., 12th January 1883, No. 47.

JANUARY.

No 345 Wd/31 January 1883

Communicated
to the Supt Engineer, Third
Circle for report on the
Subject of paragraph 10

Forest Administration Report

for 1881-82.—Orders passed on Board's review of the —.

To
The Supt Engineer, Third Circle