

209 Wd/15.1.83

See in B. and K.

N. 1775 3/10/10
 No 275 of 9 January 83
 C.

Insurances

2/1
 2/1

No 849 215 1. 83.

The Insurances
 The Chief &
 P. W. T.

121/6 - T.T
 121/6 - T.T

No 20918/18. 1. 83
 Travelling allow-
 ance of Insurances
 increasing from
 from December 1881
 April 1882 amount
 to Rs 23.2.8

Requests that
 sanction may be
 granted to enable
 the Insurances to be

No. 1096. 11 9 8. 80
 1944. 11 2 8. 82
 5467 2 30 12 81

12/1
 15/1

No 209W

Public Works Department.

PROCEEDINGS OF THE MADRAS GOVERNMENT.

Underdate the 18 January 1883
 Read the following

From the S. S. Sixth Circle No. 1762 J. J. 5th Jan 83.
 Office note from the S. S. P. W. T. 849 215 1. 83

The S. S. P. W. T.
 Accounts is authorised
 to admit the claim
 amounting to Rs 23.2.8.

Cu

16/1/83
 16/1/83

16/1/83

S. S. Sixth Circle

18/1/83

5. Under the circumstances,
I have the honor to request
you will be good enough to
lay the matter before Govern-
ment and obtain their sanction
for the travelling allowance
of Rs 25-2-8 being paid to
the bearer.

I am &c.

Yr. Obedt. Servant.

Wm. H. H. H.

No 191 of 1883.

[J. H. H.]

Forwarded to the Chief
Superintendent Police Department with
a request that the necessary
sanction may be granted to
enable the examinee to admit
the claim of Casuarina. The examinee
has been from December 1881 to April
1882. It is to be regretted that
the claim was not prepared
here first. Nevertheless, there is
a doubt but that the Casuarina
is entitled to the allowance.

J. H. H.
Superintendent Police Department.

1883
ing

my 23.

P.W.
ind
ine

3.2.8

Public works Board. It is pro-
posed that no claims can be
presented which have been
made in this bill. Under
this amount the travelling bill
of the Government is
entitled to be claimed from the
Government, 1880.

3. The Government has petitioned
dated 21st March 1882 asked that
office to pass the allowance as
other Government of the position
are drawing such allowance.
On this I referred the matter
in my No. 115 of the 25th March
1882, to the Government of P.W.
accounts and asked him whether
this lascar is entitled to any
travelling allowance while on ex-
cise. The Government in his
reply No. 115 of the 22nd
September 1882 stated that "under
para 10 of the P.W. Code, members
of the P.W. Establishment are
not, except under special cir-
cumstances, entitled to travelling
allowance. When any travelling

allowance claim is preferred
on their behalf a brief expla-
nation of the necessity of such
charges, should be recorded to
admit of the same being passed
to them under the rules vide
P.W. Code Standing order No.
95 of 1882. The travelling allow-
ance claims of the extra lascar
under Mr. Baker should be dis-
posed of accordingly."

4. In accordance with the
above and as I was satisfied
that the lascar was required
in camp, I included the tra-
velling bill of the lascar
from December 1880 to April 1882
for Rs. 2342.8 in the travelling
allowance bill for September 1882
but the Government now disallows
the claim stating that the claim
now preferred refers to a period
nearly 3 years ago and the case
should be referred with full
particulars for the orders of
Government.

GENERAL.
ESTABLISHMENT.

Gobt. of (1882) Madras.
PUBLIC WORKS.

Dated December, 30th.

Ret

Encl.

G.O., 30th Dec. 1882, No. 3447 W.

DECEMBER.
Nos. 203-204.

Travelling Allowance to
Lascars, &c.

Paragraph 60A of the Public Works Code
regarding—, ordered to be cancelled.

No. 3532

Tanjore Division office
Tanjore 21st December/82.

Sir,

The Executive Engineer—

To,

Tanjore Division

The Supr. Engineer b: brick

Trichinopoly.

Sir,

I have the honor to in-
-form you that when I was about
to report on the Travelling allow-
-ance of Lascar Veerasamy
Rajoo, I received your memo No.
1660 T.T. dated 14th December/82.
I only waited to collect the neces-
-sary information on the subject.
2. In October 1880, the Examiner
remarked on the Travelling
allowance bill of that month as
follows:—

"It should be noted that lascars
entertained under G.O. No. 1896 W
of 9th August 780 are not entitled to
any Travelling allowance with re-
-ference to para 60A of the Madras

Public

No. 16.

ORDER—dated 2nd August 1882, No. 1944 W., Public Works.

The rules referred to by the Superintending Engineer were framed by the Government of India and are applicable to the three Presidencies, and this Government do not consider that there is sufficient ground to recommend a modification of them.

(True Extract.)

(Signed) R. H. SANKEY, Colonel, R.E.,
Secretary to Govt., P.W.D.

To the Superintending Engineer, Fourth Circle
Examiner of Public Works Accounts

Ex L. S. T. Austin.
Spare Copies

2ND AUGUST 1882, No. 1944 W., PUBLIC WORKS.

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS.

PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT.

General
Establishment.

No. 15.

READ—the following papers:—

From Lieutenant-Colonel H. R. MEAD, R.E., Superintending Engineer, Fourth Circle, to the Chief Engineer, Public Works Department, dated Coimbatore, 4th July 1882, No. 255:—

I have the honor to state that paragraph 621-iv of the Public Works Code being inconsistent with the provision of paragraph 622, I consider that the former necessarily requires correction.

2. Paragraph 622 provides that if a member of the office establishment travels by any other conveyance than railway, and if the allowance mentioned in paragraph 616 (i.e., three-tenths of his salary) is insufficient, he can recover the actual expenses, whereas paragraph 621, clause iv, restricts this claim to the three-tenths of the individual's salary in addition to railway fare, if he makes also journey on the same day by railway.

3. As clerks often have to make a railway journey in the course of another journey at the discretion of the officer under whom they serve, it will be hard upon them if the allowances are regulated in accordance with paragraph 621-iv of the Code. I have therefore the honor to request that the rule may be modified to the effect that in allowing the actual expenses the restriction of three-tenths of their salary may be removed.

4. I enclose a copy of Lieutenant Romilly's letter, which causes this reference, also the Examiner's reply.

No. 15-A.

Office Note from the Examiner, Public Works Accounts, dated 20th July 1882, No. 321.

The ruling contained in paragraph 621-iv does not appear to me to be inconsistent with that contained in paragraph 622 of the Public Works Department Code. One applies to combined railway and road journeys, and the other solely to road journeys. Under the former nothing in excess of double or single railway, plus three-tenths of pay for the number of days absent from head-quarters, is admissible, and under the latter only three tenths of pay for the number of days absent from head-quarters, and under special sanction when ordered to travel by special or particular conveyance other than railway, actual expenses.

2. If any modifications of existing rules are considered necessary by Government, the previous sanction of Government of India should be obtained with reference to paragraph 652 of the Public Works Department Code.

29. Aug 1880

Miss

12-8-80

12-8-80

18

3. This sanction has been resisted as the No 30 to 27

30. 7. 80

Agno. N. 548 of 18. 1. 80
G.O. 1674 of 18. 1. 80

Present,
The Supr. Engineer
3^d Circle

To,
The Chief Supt.
P. W. D.

P. 2 93815
Dated 26th July 1880.

Lascars

It is requested sanction
to two — for each
section officer —

A. 507. R. 1. 18

No 1896. Tr.
PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT.

PROCEEDINGS OF THE MADRAS GOVERNMENT.
UNDER date the 9th Aug: 80
Read the following:—

From the Supr. Engr., 3^d Circle (N. 548 of 18. 1. 80)

The entertainment
of two lascars for each
subordinate not in
charge of a sub division
in the 3^d Circle is
sanctioned, as a tem-
porary measure, for
six months, after which
time if Col. Beatty still
considers a second
lascar to be indis-
pensable he should
apply for a renewal
of the sanction.

2. The pay of the men
entertained under this
order will be charged to
the budget provision
for

Supp Engrs' Office 3rd Mile
No 938 TT
Pichinopoly 26th July 1880
Weeks: 27c.

From,
Establishment Lieut Col J. Beatty R.E.
Supp Engineer 3rd Mile

To,
Colonel A. H. Laukey C.B. R.E.
Chief Engineer P.M.D.
Madras

Sir,

I have the honor to bring
to your notice that only one lascar
is sanctioned in para 6 of your
Memo No 548 dated 18th February
1880 for employment under Subordi-
nates not in charge of Sub Divi-
sions. As one lascar is quite in-
sufficient for these Subordinates
whose duties are similar to those
of Sub Division officers, Mr
Pundall authorized the subordi-
nates of 2 lascars under the au-
thority conveyed in the foot note
to appendix C, ^{no 11.} printed with G.O.
No 3674 M. dated 18th September 1878.
The Examiner of P.M. Accounts now