

Original

J.W.C. No. 5688

Gobt. of (188) Madras.

PENSION.

To

THE ACCOUNTANT-GENERAL,

&c., &c., &c.

Dated

Despd.

Recd 6/7/83.

G.O.,

*Granting a Compensation
gratuity to break Kuppasawmy
Mudalliar*

No 1933 on 10 July 1883

B Recorded

Cy

Cy
7/7

PENSION DEPARTMENT.

PROCEEDINGS OF THE MADRAS GOVERNMENT.

Read the following papers:—

From the *Superintending Engineer 5th Circle dated 13th December 1882.*
Examiner of P. W. Accounts dated 7th June 1883
Accountant General, dated 1st 1902.

ORDER THEREON, *5th July* 1883. *N^o 585.*

Under Sections *100 and 109a* of the Civil Pension Code (5th Edition), the Right Honorable the Governor in Council is pleased to grant to *Arrot Kuppasawmy Muddias late Petty Establishment Irrigation Maistry P. W. Department a Compensation* gratuity of Rupees *(125-0-0)* One hundred and twenty-five equal to five months pay payable at *Chidambaram South Arcot District* in consideration of his qualifying *superior* service of *five* years, and three months, and *days.*

(True Extract.)

C. Unwen. Major RE
Under Secretary to Govt. P. W.
for Ag. Under Secy to Govt. P. W.

To the Accountant-General, with Register.

" Exam^r of P. W. Accounts.
" P. W. Department.
" Supg. Engineer 5th Circle.
" Collector of S. Arcot.

NOTES.

REFERENCE TO MADRAS P. W. D. CODE.

CHAPTER XIV.—PARAS. 1829 TO 1832.

1. The object of this Return is to place before Government an analysis of all shortcomings in matters of financial regularity in the operations of each month with a view to their being remedied. It should be submitted to Government on the first day of the third month succeeding that to which the accounts relate.

2. There should be a separate statement for each separate Fund—Imperial, Provincial, Local, and Irrigation.

3. The Provincial statement will be posted from the Divisional Statements of Objectionable Expenditure. The statement need not be delayed in order to embody the remarks of the Superintending Engineer if these do not reach the Examiner's Office in time. It is desirable, however, that the Superintending Engineer's opinion should be recorded as far as may be practicable, and, in order to ensure this being done, the Divisional Statement of Objectionable Items should be checked immediately on receipt and sent to the Superintending Engineer for his remarks before the audit of the other accounts is proceeded with.

4. All Executive Officers who have failed to submit their Divisional Statements of Objectionable Items with their Monthly Accounts, as well as those whose statements are generally incomplete, should be specially brought to the notice of Government under "General Remarks" at the end of the statement.

5. Items of irregular expenditure which are left unincorporated by Executive Engineers in their Divisional Statements of Objectionable Items should be distinctly noted as such either in a separate supplementary statement or in the original statement in red ink.

6. Columns under all the heads should be filled up in each case so as to bring out clearly where estimate or appropriation exists and where it does not.

7. In the case of large projects such important features as the following should be stated with precision:—

A. Lump sum estimate. { 1. Submitted to Chief Engineer.
 B. Abstract detail estimate { 2. Submitted to Superintending Engineer.
 by sub-heads, and so on. { 3. Not yet submitted.

8. A large work which is proceeded with on merely a lump sum sanction is still objectionable till an abstract and detail estimate by sub-heads is submitted and sanctioned for it by competent authority.

9. Special mention should be made of works being carried out in portions by authorities, beyond whose powers of sanction it would be to sanction the expenditure as a whole.

10. An important point to notice is the duration of a serious irregularity.

11. Voluminous masses of detail, when their practical significance could be given in a few lines, should always be avoided as a rule. If, for instance, the state of matters as to sanction in one month does not materially differ from that of a preceding month, it will answer all practical purposes to say so, instead of sending another full report.

12. Too much stress can never be laid on the fact that this statement is not to take place of special reports, then and there, of works on which serious irregular expenditure is being largely incurred, either without estimate or appropriation.

1622-19-68

Reginal

PW5565/P3

P. W. D. Madras.
Form No. M 64 a.

Duple

CENTRAL OFFICE OF ACCOUNTS.

CIRCLE *6th*

FUND *Local*

No 1936 Wdgn-7-83

Provincial Statement of Objectionable Items
 in the Accounts of Executive Engineers
 for the Month of *April* 1883.

No. *1622-19-68*
 MADRAS,
 Dated *2nd July* 1883.

FORWARDED for orders to the Secretary to Government, Public Works Department, Madras.

D. P. Gordon

Examiner of P. W. Accounts,

Madras 1622-19-68

With order

With order

Provincial Statement of Objectionable Items in the Accounts requiring the

Item No.	Particulars of each Item.	Month in the Accounts of which Objectionable Expenditure first appeared.	COMPARISON WITH ESTIMATE.			COMPARISON WITH APPROPRIATION OF THE YEAR.			Expenditure during the Month.
			Amount of Estimate.	Expenditure up to Date.	Excess over Estimate.	Amount of Appropriation.	Expenditure of the Year.	Excess over Appropriation.	
	Incorporated Local Funds under Madras Act IV of 1841.								
	Miscellaneous Repairs								
	Tanjore Division Work Vouchers submitted to the Examiner for works above Rs 2500 each.								
	Forming a Road from Road No. 14 at Caruthyur—	Jan 1883	17950	17229					

of Executive Engineers for the Month of Orders of Government.

[P. W. D. Madras, Form No. M 61 a—Inner Sheet.

April 1883

Opinion of the Superintending Engineer, with Final Remarks by Examiner.

Orders by Government.

Re 1936 Wd 11 to July 1883

The protracted delay in the Submission of this Work Voucher requires the notice of Government. The Executive Engineer has been repeatedly called upon for its submission. In reply to the Auditors note in his accounts for January he

Order issued in O. I. S. for March 1883 should receive immediate attention.

Item No.	Particulars of each Item.	Month in the Accounts of which Objectionable Expenditure first appeared.	COMPARISON WITH ESTIMATE.			COMPARISON WITH APPROPRIATION OF THE YEAR.			Expenditure during the Month.
			Amount of Estimate.	Expenditure up to Date.	Excess over Estimate.	Amount of Appropriation.	Expenditure of the Year.	Excess over Appropriation.	
	Miscellaneous irregularities. Trincomalee Division <u>Completion Reports</u> <u>not submitted for work below Rs 2500 each.</u> 2. Works _____								

of Executive Engineers for the Month of
Orders of Government.

April 1883

Opinion of the Superintending Engineer,
with Final Remarks by Examiner.

Orders by Government.

he attributed the delay to the loss of the Revised Estimate. It was pointed out to him that a copy of the Revised Estimate could no doubt be obtained from the Superintending Engineer. In reply to Audit note for March he stated that the matter was under reference with the Sub-Divisional Officer with regard to the original Estimate.

the non-submission
of these Completion
Reports is again
brought

There should be no further
delay in furnishing
the two complete

Provincial Statement of Objectionable Items in the Accounts requiring the

of Executive Engineers for the Month of
orders of Government.

April

1883

Opinion of the Superintending Engineer,
with final Remarks by Examiner.

Orders by Government.

brought to the notice
of Government.

reports called for by
the Examiner

Car

C
7/7

D. P. Gordon

Examiner of P. W. Accounts,

Madras

The Supy. Ing. Lett. Arch. -
Thro' the Examiner R. M. Green.

peru

NOTES.

REFERENCE TO MADRAS P. W. D. CODE.

CHAPTER XIV.—PARAS. 1829 TO 1832.

1. The object of this Return is to place before Government an analysis of all shortcomings in matters of financial regularity in the operations of each month with a view to their being remedied. It should be submitted to Government on the first day of the third month succeeding that to which the accounts relate.

2. There should be a separate statement for each separate Fund—Imperial, Provincial, Local, and Irrigation.

3. The Provincial statement will be posted from the Divisional Statements of Objectionable Expenditure. The statement need not be delayed in order to embody the remarks of the Superintending Engineer if these do not reach the Examiner's Office in time. It is desirable, however, that the Superintending Engineer's opinion should be recorded as far as may be practicable, and, in order to ensure this being done, the Divisional Statement of Objectionable Items should be checked immediately on receipt and sent to the Superintending Engineer for his remarks before the audit of the other accounts is proceeded with.

4. All Executive Officers who have failed to submit their Divisional Statements of Objectionable Items with their Monthly Accounts, as well as those whose statements are generally incomplete, should be specially brought to the notice of Government under "General Remarks" at the end of the statement.

5. Items of irregular expenditure which are left unincorporated by Executive Engineers in their Divisional Statements of Objectionable Items should be distinctly noted as such either in a separate supplementary statement or in the original statement in red ink.

6. Columns under all the heads should be filled up in each case so as to bring out clearly where estimate or appropriation exists and where it does not.

7. In the case of large projects such important features as the following should be stated with precision:—

A. Lump sum estimate. { 1. Submitted to Chief Engineer.
B. Abstract detail estimate { 2. Submitted to Superintending Engineer.
by sub-heads, and so on. { 3. Not yet submitted.

8. A large work which is proceeded with on merely a lump sum sanction is still objectionable till an abstract and detail estimate by sub-heads is submitted and sanctioned for it by competent authority.

9. Special mention should be made of works being carried out in portions by authorities, beyond whose powers of sanction it would be to sanction the expenditure as a whole.

10. An important point to notice is the duration of a serious irregularity.

11. Voluminous masses of detail, when their practical significance could be given in a few lines, should always be avoided as a rule. If, for instance, the state of matters as to sanction in one month does not materially differ from that of a preceding month, it will answer all practical purposes to say so, instead of sending another full report.

12. Too much stress can never be laid on the fact that this statement is not to take place of special reports, then and there, of works on which serious irregular expenditure is being largely incurred, either without estimate or appropriation.

3375 W. 21.12.82
1820 W. 9.6.83

P. W. D. Madras.
Form No. M 64 a.

CENTRAL OFFICE OF ACCOUNTS.

CIRCLE Madras Harbour works

FUND Imperial

Provincial Statement of Objectionable Items
in the Accounts of Executive Engineers
for the Month of April 1883.

No. _____

MADRAS,

Dated 3rd July 1883.

FORWARDED for orders to the Secretary to Government, Public Works Department, Madras.

Examiner of P. W. Accounts,

Madras.

Ac 1937 W 411-7-83

With order

Provincial Statement of Objectionable Items in the Accounts requiring the

Item No.	Particulars of each Item.	Month in the Accounts of which Objectionable Expenditure first appeared.	COMPARISON WITH ESTIMATE.			COMPARISON WITH APPROPRIATION OF THE YEAR.			Expenditure during the Month.
			Amount of Estimate.	Expenditure up to Date.	Excess over Estimate.	Amount of Appropriation.	Expenditure of the Year.	Excess over Appropriation.	
1	<u>Imperial</u> <u>Excess over estimate</u> <u>and without appro-</u> <u>priation</u> Madras Harbour works	Octbr 1881	5145165	6436412	691247	11436	11436	11436	
2	<u>Miscellaneous Irregularities</u> <u>I works for which Re-</u> <u>-vised Estimates are</u> <u>required</u> Madras Harbour works		As above						
3	<u>Non submission of</u> <u>Returns</u> Return of Stock for the half year ending 31 st March 1883 Return of Tools and Plant for the half year ending 31 st March 1883								

of Executive Engineers for the Month of orders of Government.

April 1883

Opinion of the Superintending Engineer, with final Remarks by Examiner.

Orders by Government.

No 1937 Wd 11th July 1883

Sanction to revised estimate still awaited

Funds applied for in para 2 of G.O. No. 3375 W dated 21st December 1882 are awaited

The Govt of India have been addressed on the subject of revised estimate & provision of funds.

These Returns are now 3 months over due to this office. The Superintendent states they are under preparation and will be forwarded as soon as the stock taking is finished

D. C. K. Rao

Note B
no bill

CS
6/7

11/7

Supdt. M. H. works

thru the Ex^r P.W.A.
thru the Secy to Govt