

(1) Number of pages 20  
(2) Date of repair 10-8-87  
(3) Initials of the Referencer long

# TAMIL NADU ARCHIVES

GOVERNMENT OF TAMIL NADU

Public works DEPARTMENT

|          |          |        |
|----------|----------|--------|
| G.O. No. | 1357W.   | Press. |
| B.P. No. |          | Mis.   |
| Dated    | 9-5-1883 | 198    |

S.A. 7

Not to be folded or roughly handled.

9TH MAY 1883, No. 1357 W., PUBLIC WORKS.

1357  
1  
GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS.

PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT.

General  
Account.

READ—the following letter from D. C. GORDON, Esq., Examiner of Public Works Accounts, to the Secretary to Government, Public Works Department, dated Madras, 1st May 1883, No. 28 W.:—

I have the honor to submit, for information and orders of Government, notes by the Assistant Examiner of his inspection of the office of the Consulting Architect.

*Paragraph 4.*—The practice of temporary transfers of materials from one work to another is ingenious, if not ingenuous, but should not be permitted to continue. Transactions in which the Consulting Architect is the principal only by virtue of his position as Superintendent of the School of Arts should not be brought on to his divisional books, but be adjusted direct by the division for which the supplies are furnished by the Superintendent.

*Paragraph 5.*—The attention of the Consulting Architect should be given to these remarks. In paragraph 2 of my letter printed with G.O., No. 2818 W., dated 26th October 1882, I pointed out the necessity of greater vigilance in the matter of the consumption of materials at site of the large works in hand. There is still great want of accuracy in all the initial accounts of daily labor, and the sooner attention is paid to the prescribed procedure the better. The attention of Government is invited to the entertainment of a storekeeper, whose pay is charged to Works instead of to Establishment. See also paragraph 12 of last report (G.O., No. 2818 W., dated 26th October 1882).

*Paragraphs 6 and 7.*—Attention to these remarks is necessary.

ENCLOSURE.

NOTES of Inspection by R. C. F. VOLKERS, Esq., Assistant Examiner, Public Works Accounts, of the Office of the Consulting Architect to Government, on the 25th April 1883, dated Madras, 30th April 1883.

C. Vincent, Esq., is officiating as Consulting Architect. He assumed charge of the office on the 16th instant. Mr. A. Stephens is the Accountant.

2. The office was last inspected on the 11th October 1882.

3. *Cash Book.*—The book number of cheques should be entered as well as the serial number, in accordance with Code, paragraph 1905.

4. *Adjustment Book.*—Several temporary transfers in March were noticed of the value of materials, plant, &c., between works, so as to prevent allotments being exceeded. This practice is objectionable.

In cases where articles are obtained by the Consulting Architect from the Madras School of Arts for works \* which are in some measure supervised by him, but the expenditure on which is recorded in the accounts of other divisions, it was noticed that the cost was first brought on to the Consulting Architect's books and afterwards transferred to the divisions concerned for final adjustment. It would be better if such items were adjusted directly between the divisions concerned and the School of Arts.

\* Government House, Ooty.  
Palace, Madura.

5. *Work Abstracts.*—The two principal works in progress, viz., the Post and Telegraph Offices, are carried out *entirely* by daily labor, while piece-work, petty contract, and contract work are adopted in the execution of most of the remaining works. The ten-day reports of labor and materials and the work abstracts for the Post and Telegraph Offices are not altogether in a satisfactory condition. The sub-heads given in the ten-day reports do not in many cases agree with the nomenclature in the abstract estimates, and there is therefore a difficulty in ascertaining to which particular estimate sub-head charges are debitable.

With regard to the account of materials, the issues of brick, lime, and such like items *only* are entered in the reports, and even these there is no attempt made to price and value in the proper manner. No regular account is kept to the materials at site used up on the works, and until this is done the ten-day reports will never show the actual issue of materials to sub-heads, nor will the register of works record accurately (as it is intended to do) the actual value of work done and the balance of materials at site.

It is stated that the materials at site were examined by the subordinate in charge of the works in January last, and that the value of materials then found to have been already put into the works, though still remaining at debit of materials at site, was adjusted by transfer to the sub-heads of work concerned. No subsequent examination has been made, and (as stated above) no account of issues kept, the subordinate stating that he has not the time at his disposal to devote to the task. It is observed, however, that a *storekeeper* is employed on and charged to the works (apparently without authority), and if, as is presumed must be the case, his duties consist of generally supervising the receiving, issuing and looking after materials, there should be no difficulty in his supplying the information necessary to complete the materials account of the ten-day reports, or preparing the monthly statement suggested in last report.

In those cases where works are executed by contract and petty contract or either, and there are besides transactions under materials at site, ten-day reports of receipts and issues must be sent in by the officer in charge of the works. The general impression seems to be that when *daily labor* is not employed in the execution of a work, no ten-day reports of *either* kind need be submitted; the value of receipts of materials entered in the work abstract being taken from bills, and issues from notes made on contract certificates and petty contract accounts by the officer in charge of the work. This procedure is unsafe, for bills might not be sent in and settled till some time after the receipt of the materials, and the result would be that the materials might be at sight of the work or actually put into it before their value had been taken into the work accounts.

6. *Half-yearly balance returns of stock* should always be sent in by sub-divisional officers in charge of stock, in addition to the *monthly* balance return (Form No. 12); this remark applies also to tools and plant.

7. *Manufacture Account.*—This account was opened afresh in November last, and the balance then at *credit* was transferred to building materials, and subsequently to the work on which the manufactured articles were used. The next account is to be closed from the end of March last. There is a balance of Rs. 1,012-4-2 at *debit* of this, which should be transferred to the works (through "Building materials") on which the manufactured articles were used in the supplementary accounts for March.

8. The books and accounts not specially noticed above are in good order and up to date.

9. With regard to the points noticed in last report—

Paragraph 5.—This remark has special reference to the materials at site of the Post and Telegraph Offices. These were verified in January last (see paragraph 5 above).

Paragraph 10.—See paragraph 7 above.

Paragraph 11.—The balance at debit of stock is now well within the reserve limit.

Paragraph 12.—The pay of the men is now charged to temporary establishment.

ORDER—dated 9th May 1883, No. 1357 W., Public Works.

Communicated to the Consulting Architect to Government for information and guidance and for special attention to the points noticed by the Examiner of Public Works Accounts.

2. If the services of a storekeeper are found to be absolutely necessary in the division, the matter should be submitted for the consideration of Government, but the irregularity pointed out by the Examiner should cease.

(True Extract.)

Major, R.E.,  
Under Secy. to Govt., P.W.D.

To the Consulting Architect to Government.  
" Examiner, Public Works Accounts.

Exd. C. Howarth.  
Spare Copies

GENERAL.  
ACCOUNT.

Gobt. of {1883} Madras.

PUBLIC WORKS.

Dated May, 9th.

Recd.

Encl.

G.O., 9th May 1883, No. 1357 W.

MAY.

Office of the Consulting Archi-  
tect to Government

Passing orders on Notes of Inspection of  
the — submitted by the Examiner, Public  
Works Accounts.

26TH OCTOBER 1882, No. 2818 W., PUBLIC WORKS.

1357  
3.

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS.

PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT.

General.  
Account.

No. 183.

READ—the following letter from D. C. GORDON, Esq., Examiner of Public Works Accounts, to the Secretary to Government, Public Works Department, dated Madras, 17th October 1882, No. 125 W.:—

I have the honor to submit, for information and orders of Government, notes by the Deputy Examiner of his inspection of the office of the Consulting Architect.

2. In regard to the verification of materials at site of works, there is little doubt that owing to the very confined space at the Post and Telegraph Offices it is extremely difficult to take stock of stuff brought to site. It is therefore the more necessary that the rates of work executed be carefully scrutinised, so that there may be a tolerable certainty that materials have been charged to the executed sub-heads. In paragraph 11 of last report it was pointed out that executed sub-heads had not borne the cost of materials put in, and that consequently the value under "materials at site" was not represented by stuff on the ground. While the rigid scrutiny of rates compared with executed work would tend to check in a measure the issue of materials, it would not check the transfer adjustment of the value from the suspense to the final sub-head, and the consequence might be that, by the omission of such adjustment, the work when finished would still show an item under materials at site, the equivalent of which had been already included in rates of work done, or in short, a double debit. The subordinate in charge of the work should therefore submit a statement of materials and value transferred monthly from materials at site to the final sub-head, and progress and rates should be carefully watched.

3. There has been extraordinary delay in opening the new accounts for manufacture. It should have been done six months ago. The rating of outturn is evidently too high. In April 1882 the balance at debit of manufacture was Rs. 5,000; at end of August there was a credit balance of Rs. 1,400. To adjust this fictitious credit month after month is troublesome, and the Consulting Architect should be required to revise rates at once. In September 1881 (see paragraph 3 of my letter No. 164 W. of 16th November 1881, G.O. No. 2926 W. of 23rd November 1881,) there was a fictitious profit of Rs. 11,000 in the manufacture account, and there will be a repetition of this soon, if rates continue as at present.

4. The amount of reserve stock was determined only a month ago, and its having been exceeded requires explanation.

5. The irregularity noticed in paragraph 12 of the report requires the notice of Government.

ENCLOSURE.

NOTES of Inspection by H. RAINIER, Esq., Deputy Examiner, of the Office of the Consulting Architect, on the 11th October 1882.

The last inspection was on the 21st April 1882.

2. R. F. Ohisholm, Esq., is the Consulting Architect. Mr. A. Stephens (who on the 8th instant succeeded Authimula Mudaliar) is the Accountant.

3. Mr. Lennon still holds an imprest of Rs. 10, and is the sole disburser other than the Consulting Architect. He is in sub-charge of the Post and Telegraph offices. The imprest accounts are in order and properly disposed of in the cash-book.

4. The average cash in hand amounts to about Rs. 20, which is kept by the Accountant who has charge of the cash-chest, and keeps the cash-book. It and the cash abstract book were carefully examined and appear to be in order generally.

5. The register of works is very neat, and well kept, but with reference to paragraph 11 of the last Inspection Report respecting this office, the Consulting Architect now finds it impracticable to thoroughly verify materials at site of works, and the question seems to need final decision as to what is to be done in order to set matters in this respect on a proper footing, in accordance with the Examiner's remarks, *vide* G.O., No. 1110 W. of 3rd May 1882, paragraph 11.

The total amount at debit of materials at site of works on 31st August last was Rs. 47,455 in respect of works costing over Rs. 2,500 each.

6. *Constructing Telegraph Office at Madras.*—The net decrease in the month of April last, of materials at site, which is shown by the work abstract to have been Rs. 1,936-5-1, appears in the register of works as Rs. 1,686-12-4, the value of materials at site at end of August in the work abstract consequently differing from that in the register of works by Rs. 249-8-9. The Consulting Architect promised to investigate the matter. A revised estimate for the above work has gone up to Government.

7. *Picture Gallery at School of Arts.*—Some of the sub-heads show the outlay to be in excess of sanction, and although the total sanction is not yet exceeded, it probably will be shortly, as the materials at site (which it is understood will all be used on the work) aggregate Rs. 1,542 in value.

8. *Post Office at Madras.*—A revised estimate has gone up. The materials at site of this work amount to Rs. 11,549, and are said to be not altogether separate from those of the Telegraph Office.

9. *Erecting Monagar Choultry Medical School.*—The outlay on this work has exceeded the sanctioned estimate, thus:—

|              |     |     |     |     |     |     |            |        |
|--------------|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|------------|--------|
| Estimate ... | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... | ...        | Rs.    |
| Outlay ...   | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... | ...        | 16,080 |
|              |     |     |     |     |     |     |            | 16,699 |
|              |     |     |     |     |     |     | Excess ... | 619    |

to which has to be added materials at site (since put into the work) amounting to Rs. 1,838.

10. With reference to paragraph 10 of the last report on this office (see G.O., No. 1110 W. of 3rd May last) the new account of Manufactures is promised from November 1882. There was at the end of August a fictitious credit balance at *Manufactures* of Rs. 1,393-6-1, caused by charging the outturn off at too high a rate to works. This should be adjusted by crediting such works (by debit to *Manufactures*) proportionately to the amount previously over-debited to each; and with a view to future accuracy the rate of outturn should be re-arranged.

11. The sanctioned limit of stock (*vide* G.O. No. 2308 W. of 7th September 1882) in this division, Rs. 15,000, is exceeded, the balance at end of August being Rs. 18,079.

12. Two men who assist the Accountant, one at Rs. 25 and the other at Rs. 20, per month, are charged to the estimate for Post and Telegraph offices, in equal proportion. They do general work in accounts, and are not merely employed for the above works. They should therefore be brought on the staff of the office in regular course.

13. In the contractors' accounts two little items of twelve rupees each, due to contractors since March last, were noticed. Steps are being or will be taken to settle these.

14. Everything that has not been noticed here is in good order, and all the suggestions in last report, except such as are noticed here, have been attended to.

No. 184.

ORDER—dated 26th October 1882, No. 2818 W., Public Works.

Communicated to the Consulting Architect to Government for information and guidance.

2. Special attention is directed to the remarks in paragraph 10 of the Deputy Examiner's report, and arrangements should be made to adjust the rates so as to prevent a recurrence of fictitious balances in the manufacturing account.

1357  
u

3. The amount of reserve stock should be reduced as soon as possible to the limit sanctioned in G.O., No. 2308 W., dated 7th September 1882.

4. Explanation should be submitted on the subject of paragraph 12 of the report.

(True Extract.)

(Signed) J. SHAW-STEWART, Colonel, R.E.,  
Offg. Secy. to Govt., P.W.D.

To the Consulting Architect to Government.  
" Examiner, Public Works Accounts.

Exd. S. T. Austin.  
Spare Copies

GENERAL.  
ACCOUNT.

Govt. of (1882) Madras.  
PUBLIC WORKS.

Dated October, 26th.

Recd.

Encl.

G.O., 26th Oct. 1882, No. 2818 W.

OCTOBER.  
Nos. 183-184.

Office of the Consulting Archi-  
tect to Government.

Inspection Notes of the — by the Deputy  
Examiner, Public Works Accounts, commu-  
nicated to the Consulting Architect for  
guidance.

1357  
Enclome 5/5  
Notes of Inspection by E. C. F. VOLKERS ESQ, ASSISTANT  
EXAMINER PUBLIC WORKS ACCOUNTS, OF THE OFFICE OF THE  
CONSULTING ARCHITECT TO GOVERNMENT, ON THE 25TH APRIL 1883.

1. C. VINCENT ESQ. IS OFFICIATING AS CONSULTING ARCHI-  
TECT. HE ASSUMED CHARGE OF THE OFFICE ON THE 16TH INSTANT.  
MR. A. STEPHENS IS THE ACCOUNTANT.

2. THE OFFICE WAS LAST INSPECTED ON THE 11TH OCTOBER  
1882.

3. CASH BOOK. THE BOOK NUMBER OF CHEQUES SHOULD BE  
ENTERED AS WELL AS THE SERIAL NUMBER, IN ACCORDANCE WITH  
CODE PARA 1905.

4. ADJUSTMENT BOOK. SEVERAL TEMPORARY TRANSFERS IN  
MARCH WERE NOTICED OF THE VALUE OF MATERIALS, PLANT ETC.  
BETWEEN WORKS, SO AS TO PREVENT ALLOTMENTS BEING EXCEEDED.  
THIS PRACTICE IS OBJECTIONABLE.

IN CASES WHERE ARTICLES ARE OBTAINED BY THE CONSULTING  
ARCHITECT FROM THE MADRAS SCHOOL OF ARTS, FOR WORKS WHICH  
GOVT. HOUSE - COTY. } ARE IN SOME MEASURE SUPERVISED  
PALACE - MADURA. } BY HIM, BUT THE EXPENDITURE ON  
WHICH IS RECORDED IN THE ACCOUNTS OF OTHER DIVISIONS, IT  
WAS NOTICED THAT THE COST WAS FIRST BROUGHT ON TO THE  
CONSULTING ARCHITECT'S BOOKS, AND AFTERWARDS TRANSFERRED  
TO THE DIVISIONS CONCERNED FOR FINAL ADJUSTMENT. IT WOULD  
BE BETTER IF SUCH ITEMS WERE ADJUSTED DIRECTLY BETWEEN THE  
DIVISIONS CONCERNED AND THE SCHOOL OF ARTS.

5. WORK ABSTRACTS. THE TWO PRINCIPAL WORKS IN PROGRESS VIZ THE POST AND TELEGRAPH OFFICES ARE CARRIED OUT ENTIRELY BY DAILY LABOR, WHILE PIPE WORK, PETTY CONTRACT AND CONTRACT WORK ARE ADOPTED IN THE EXECUTION OF MOST OF THE REMAINING WORKS. THE TEN-DAY REPORTS OF LABOR AND MATERIALS AND THE WORK ABSTRACTS FOR THE POST AND TELEGRAPH OFFICES ARE NOT ALTOGETHER IN A SATISFACTORY CONDITION. THE SUB-HEADS GIVEN IN THE TEN-DAY REPORTS DO NOT IN MANY CASES AGREE WITH THE NOMENCLATURE IN THE ABSTRACT ESTIMATES, AND THERE IS THEREFORE A DIFFICULTY IN ASCERTAINING TO WHICH PARTICULAR ESTIMATE SUB-HEAD CHARGES ARE DEBITABLE.

WITH REGARD TO THE ACCOUNT OF MATERIALS, THE ISSUES OF BRICK, LIME AND SUCH LIKE ITEMS ONLY ARE ENTERED IN THE REPORTS, AND EVEN THESE THERE IS NO ATTEMPT MADE TO PRICE AND VALUE IN THE PROPER MANNER. NO REGULAR ACCOUNT IS KEPT OF THE MATERIALS AT SITE USED UPON THE WORKS, AND UNTIL THIS IS DONE THE TEN-DAY REPORTS WILL NEVER SHOW THE ACTUAL ISSUE OF MATERIALS TO SUB HEADS, NOR WILL THE REGISTER OF WORKS RECORD ACCURATELY (AS IT IS INTENDED TO DO) THE ACTUAL VALUE OF WORK DONE AND THE BALANCE OF MATERIALS AT SITE.

IT IS STATED THAT THE MATERIALS AT SITE WERE EXAMINED BY THE SUBORDINATE IN CHARGE OF THE WORKS IN JANUARY LAST, AND THAT THE VALUE OF MATERIALS THEN FOUND TO HAVE BEEN ALREADY PUT INTO THE WORKS, THOUGH STILL REMAINING AT DEBIT OF MATERIALS AT SITE, WAS ADJUSTED BY

TRANSFER

1357  
MP3

TRANSFER TO THE SUB HEADS OF WORK CONCERNED. NO SUBSEQUENT EXAMINATION HAS BEEN MADE AND (AS STATED ABOVE) NO ACCOUNT OF ISSUES KEPT-THE SUBORDINATE STATING THAT HE HAS NOT THE TIME AT HIS DISPOSAL TO DEVOTE TO THE TASK. IT IS OBSERVED, HOWEVER, THAT A STOREKEEPER IS EMPLOYED ON AND CHARGED TO THE WORKS (APPARENTLY WITHOUT AUTHORITY) AND IF, AS IS PRESUMED MUST BE THE CASE, HIS DUTIES CONSIST OF GENERALLY SUPERVISING THE RECEIVING, ISSUING AND LOOKING AFTER MATERIALS, THERE SHOULD BE NO DIFFICULTY IN HIS SUPPLYING THE INFORMATION NECESSARY TO COMPLETE THE MATERIALS ACCOUNT OF THE TEN-DAY REPORTS, OR PREPARING THE MONTHLY STATEMENT SUGGESTED IN LAST REPORT.

IN THOSE CASES WHERE WORKS ARE EXECUTED BY CONTRACT AND PETTY CONTRACT OR EITHER, AND THERE ARE BESIDES TRANSACTIONS UNDER MATERIALS AT SITE, TEN-DAY REPORTS OF RECEIPTS AND ISSUES MUST BE SENT IN BY THE OFFICER IN CHARGE OF THE WORKS. THE GENERAL IMPRESSION SEEMS TO BE THAT WHEN DAILY LABOR IS NOT EMPLOYED IN THE EXECUTION OF A WORK, NO TEN-DAY REPORTS OF EITHER KIND NEED BE SUBMITTED. THE VALUE OF RECEIPTS OF MATERIALS ENTERED IN THE WORK ABSTRACT BEING TAKEN FROM BILLS, AND ISSUES FROM NOTES MADE ON CONTRACT CERTIFICATES AND PETTY CONTRACT ACCOUNTS BY THE OFFICER IN CHARGE OF THE WORK. THIS PROCEDURE IS UNSAFE, FOR BILLS MIGHT NOT BE SENT IN AND SETTLED TILL SOME TIME AFTER THE RECEIPT OF THE MATERIALS, AND

AND THE RESULT WOULD BE, THAT THE MATERIALS MIGHT BE AT SITE OF THE WORK, OR ACTUALLY PUT INTO IT, BEFORE THEIR VALUE HAD BEEN TAKEN INTO THE WORK ACCOUNTS.

6. HALF YEARLY BALANCE RETURNS OF STOCK SHOULD ALWAYS BE SENT IN BY SUB DIVISIONAL OFFICERS IN CHARGE OF STOCK. IN ADDITION TO THE MONTHLY BALANCE RETURN (FORM NO. 12) THIS REMARK APPLIES, ALSO TO TOOLS AND PLANT.

7. MANUFACTURE ACCOUNT. THIS ACCOUNT WAS OPENED HEREIN IN NOVEMBER LAST, AND THE BALANCE THEN AT CREDIT WAS TRANSFERRED TO BUILDING MATERIALS, AND SUBSEQUENTLY TO THE WORKS ON WHICH THE MANUFACTURED ARTICLES WERE USED. THE NEXT ACCOUNT IS TO BE CLOSED FROM THE END OF MARCH LAST. THERE IS A BALANCE OF RS. 1,012-4-2 AT DEBIT OF THIS, WHICH SHOULD BE TRANSFERRED TO THE WORKS (THROUGH 'BUILDING MATERIALS') ON WHICH THE MANUFACTURED ARTICLES WERE USED, IN THE SUPPLEMENTARY ACCOUNTS FOR MARCH.

8. THE BOOKS AND ACCOUNTS NOT SPECIALLY NOTICED ABOVE ARE IN GOOD ORDER AND UP-TO DATE.

9. WITH REGARD TO THE POINTS NOTICED IN LAST REPORT:-

PARA 5. THIS REMARK HAS SPECIAL REFERENCE TO THE MATERIALS AT SITE OF THE POST AND TELEGRAPH OFFICES- THESE WERE VERIFIED IN JANUARY LAST (SEE PARA 5 ABOVE).

PARA 10. SEE PARA 7 ABOVE.

1357  
4  
APR  
PARA 11. THE BALANCE AT DEBIT OF STOCK IS NOW WELL WITHIN THE RESERVE LIMIT.

PARA 12. THE PAY OF THE MEN IS NOW CHARGED TO TEMPORARY ESTABLISHMENT.

H. C. F. Pillay

ASST. EXAMINER P.W. ACCOUNTS.

MADEAS.  
30<sup>th</sup> APRIL 1883.

From,

The Examiner P.W. Accounts,

Madras.

To,

The Secretary to Government,

Public Works Department.

No. 2877

Madras, 1<sup>st</sup> May 1883.

Sir,

I have the honor to submit for information and orders of Government notes by the Assistant Examiner of his inspection of the Office of the Consulting Architect.

Para 4. The practice of temporary transfers of materials from one work to another is ingenious, if not ingenious, but should not be permitted to continue. Transactions in which the Consulting Architect is the Principal only by virtue of his position as Superintendent of the School of Arts should not be brought on to his Divisional books, but be adjusted direct by the Division for which the supplies are furnished by the Superintendent.

Para 5. The attention of the Consulting Architect should be given to these remarks. In para 2 of my letter printed with G.O. No. 2818 W. dated 26th. October 1882, I pointed out the necessity of greater vigilance in the matter of the consumption of materials at site of large works in hand.



B. No 2965 W 29. 11. 82  
A - 2818 W 29. 10. 82

P.W.C. No. 3667

5 sent  
No 1357 a of 9 May/83  
A

From,  
The Examiner P.W. Accounts,  
Madras.  
To,  
The Secretary to Government,  
P.W. Department.

Communicated  
to the Cons. Architect  
to Govt for info =  
Materia & guidance  
aid for special attention  
to the points noticed  
by the Ex<sup>r</sup> of P.W.  
accounts.

2. Of the services  
of a storkeeper are  
found to be absolutely  
necessary in the Division,  
the matter should be  
submitted for the  
consideration of Govt  
but the irregularity  
pointed out by the  
Examiner should  
cease

No. 28 W.  
dated 24 May  
April 1883.  
Recd. 2

1357

Encls. 1.

Notes of inspection of  
the Office of the Consulting  
Architect by the Asst. Examiner.  
Forwards with remarks-----

Chaves 6/5/83  
Cons. Architect to Govt  
Examiner P.W. Accounts  
date 5/5/83

W. H. H. H.

1357  
10

357

Govt. of Madras.

PUBLIC WORKS.

Dated

Recd.

Encl.

G. O., 9 May 1882, No. 1357 W

Nos.

Office of the Consulting Architect  
to the Govt.

Having seen the notes of the  
Commission of the — submitted by the  
Arch. Messrs.

136  
3

Entered in Receipt Register