

Reg. No. 307 19th April/82
No 502 & 8/18/4/82
To C.

For note & return

Recd
10-6-82

To E.W.

No 53

18.4.82
D.W.

Noted & returned

19th April/82

Hly
19.4.82
for C

B. No 991. Waj 22nd April/82.

Recorded in con-
tinuation of G.O. No 935 W
of 15th April 1882.

C.

21.4.82

B. No 745 W of 21-3-82
B. No 664 W of 14-3-82
B. No 935 W of 15-4-82.

From
The Supr Engineer
6 circle.

To
The Chief Engineer
P.W.D.

No 80 T. J.
Dated 11th April 1882.
Recd. 17th April 1882.

Encls: 1.

B. No 991. Waj 22. 4-82.
Work vouchers of the
Tanjore Palace.

Offers further
information in regard to
the delay in the submission
of —

W. Thordu
Entd. in Receipt Reg. No

Entd. in Receipt Reg

N-958

Executive Engineer's Office
Tanjore 5th April 1882
D. No. 64

From,

The Executive Engineer
Tanjore

To,

The Superintg Engineer
in Circle.

Sir,

In reference to your letter

Encls: 1.

N^o $\frac{2149 \frac{1}{2}}{23.3.82}$, N^o $\frac{1720}{28.3.82}$, N^o $\frac{2203 \frac{1}{2}}{30.3.82}$ &

N^o $\frac{1171}{3.4.82}$ I have the honor to
inform you

(1) regarding the Work abstracts
for the Tanjore Palace Repairs,
that the abstracts from July to
October have been completed and
the work vouchers sent to the
Examiner. The Work Voucher for
November goes to day: that for

for December ^{about} two days hence: the
vouchers for January is being
prepared and will be submitted
in a few days. The work abstract
for February has been received
on the 29th March and the work
vouchers will be prepared as soon
as possible.

2. The work abstract from July
to January were received on the
following dates: -

July	received middle of Jan ^y	Work Voucher sent 19 Feb 82.
August	7 Aug 82	- 24 March.
September	20 Feb. 82	- 29 March
October	28 Feb. 82	- 31 March
November	11 March	- 5 April.
December	13 March	} not yet submitted.
January	14 March	
February	29 March	

It takes a considerable time
to check the abstracts - hence

the time they were kept in this office.
The Storekeeper has devoted ^{very} all
his time during the last fortnight
to checking the abstracts
and preparing the work vouchers
which are now being got off
more rapidly.

3. With regard to your remark
in para 3 of your first letter
under reply that "no serious
effort seems to have been made
to put a stop to this highly
irregular state of things." I
beg to refer you to my letter
no 680 of 13th March 1882 para 3.
from which you will see that
what was possible has been
done to get the work abstracts
prepared. I had before this
sanctioned the employment of

a temporary Clerk on Rs 10 whose pay is met from the Palace Esti- mates and the reason of whose employment is that some as- sistance was needed on ac- count of the extra work which the Palace repairs gave the Sub. Division Office. This was not sufficient assistance, but as an extra permanent Clerk had been applied for, I did not consider it advisable to apply for any temporary extra establishment.

4. In regard to the Revised Estimates, I have the honor to inform you that of the 41 numbers, estimates have been received in my office for the following:—

Nos 6, 9, 11, 17, 22, 36, 37, 41

and

30 B. In addition to these there are 22 Estimates due for other binding on which work has been begun. There are 8 blocks which have not yet been touched. Also Nos 1 & 2 have been done out of civil funds 2 years ago. For No 35 there is no Estimate. I send a domestic official letter from Mr Bird from which you will see that he expects to get in 8 Estimates within the next 10 days.

5. I cannot tell you exactly when the revision of the Esti- mates was begun. There had been numerous calls from this office, but the first serious attempt at preparing the Estimates

appears to have been made in
October last by Mr. Hanson.
Nothing much was however
done until November.

6. I have given the Assistant
as desired by your orders not
to take up any fresh work
until estimates for that in
progress has been prepared.

7. In regard to the Trivida
Maruthi Palace, it is ne-
cessary to revise our por-
tions of the Estimate. All
that is required is as re-
presented in my N^o $\frac{2287}{3.9.81}$
to apply savings which can
be effected in certain parts
of the building to the im-
provement of other parts,
the total cost being kept

within the sanctioned amount.
I gave orders to have the
necessary estimates prepared
as far back as 10 Oct. last
in my N^o 2792. but shortly
after that time, work was
stopped and the Sub. Officer
seems to have done nothing
in regard to the revision
called for. As there has been
no deviation from the esti-
mate during last year, I
think the estimate need not
have been included in the
objectionable Item statement.
8. Since receipt of your letter
N^o 2149, I have submitted
Estimates for stone reserve
supplied at upper & lower amounts. I

I thought the sanction of the
Chief Engineer for Irrigation of
the list submitted from this
office was sufficient authority
for me to go on with the
work; otherwise the estimates
would have been sent long
ago.

9. The Completion report for
Upper ancient repairs was
sent on the 29th March 1882.

10. In regard to the concluding
paragraph of your letter N.
²¹⁴⁹/_{23.3.82}, I have to express my
opinion that the Accountant
has perhaps not made over
to the Clerk as much of the
office work as he might
have done. I have spoken
to him in regard to this &

and advised him to take less
of the work himself. Since
he has joined the Division, he
has always worked hard and
late hours. I do not mean
to blame him for his manage-
ment of the Office especially
as he has only lately been
made manager. and I am
sure you will have no reason
in future to complain
of any delay as far as he
may be concerned in the
disposal of affairs in the
office. Orders have been re-
ceived from you yesterday
transferring the Head Clerk
to Trichinopoly. As he is
leaving, I wish to mention

Bordaleau.
5 April 82

My dear Mr. Hays

The following will show the Palace Estimates
revised or disposed of.

No. 1. } Done out of Civil funds 2 years ago.
No. 2. }

No. 6. } Done in today. Original est. ^{if} 9 + 10 together.

- 11

- 17

- 22

- 34

- 36

- 37

- 41

- 30B. a part revised est.

No. 42. DRAINAGE.

No. 35. probably numbered for convenience,
no original estimate.

The following have not yet been commenced

No. 4

- 8

- 15

- 26

- 33

- 38

- 39

- 40

Overlap are the Nos. which are in hand but
revised est. due.

that he cannot be considered
responsible for any faults which
may have occurred in the
office business. He has never
refused any work and has always
professed to me his willingness
to undertake whatever was
given him.

I have &c

J. Hays
Exec Engineer
Zanone

No 34 not
rec. M
5.4.82

Revised Est. sent.

13 1/2

8

Revised estimates required for

No. 3. Only 3F in hand.

- 5. } will be ready shortly.
- 7. }
- 10. Orig. est. 9 & 10. I will do this one on my return. End in say Monday, 10th.
- 12 almost completed
- 13
- 14
- 16
- 18 almost finished except 18 B.
- 19
- 20
- 21
- 23
- 24
- 25. This cannot be done until after some demolition. Tenants move on 10 April.
- 27 nearly finished
- 28 completed except cookroom.
- 29
- 30. 30B. has come in.
- 31
- 32 nearly finished.

That is 21 estimates. Of these I shall I think be able to send in for Nos. 5, 7, 10, 12, 18, 27, 28, 32 within the next 10 days. This will reduce the No. to only 13 of which I believe some will scarcely require alteration. but I cannot say definitely about them as I have no office nor overseer in camp with me.

Yours sincerely
W. L. Reid.

December January + February will be submitted in a few days.

3. I find now that work has been begun on 33 out of 41 items in the Estimate; on 7 items work has been completed, 26 are in various stages of progress.

The revised estimates of the 33 items that have been begun will be ready about the middle of next month, and in order to secure this, as far as possible, I have directed the Executive Engineer to undertake no fresh work until those revised estimates have been submitted.

4. So far as can be judged at present, the total cost of these repairs is not likely to exceed materially the amount of the sanctioned estimate.

5. I propose to employ the assistant Engineer lately ordered to Tanjore, at first, on bringing up

up arrears on the Palace works on pushings on the preparation of the river Subankment estimates which are urgently wanted, and have been making slow progress.

I have the honor to be

Sir

your most obedt servt

L. Beatty - Col R.R.
Sup^g Engineer C.E.

Reg. No. 307 19th April/82
No 502 & 8/18/4/82
To
C.

For note & return
18.4.82
D.W.
Noted & returned.

Reg. No. 53
To E.W.

19th April/82
H.L.
19.4.82
For C

B. No 991. Waj 22nd April/82.

Recorded in con-
tinuation of S.O. No 935 W
of 15th April 1882.
C.
21.4.82

B.O. No 45 W of 21-3-82
B.O. No 664 W of 14-3-82
B.O. No 935 W of 15-4-82.

2027/4

P.H. C. No 2356
From
The Sup^r Engineer
b' circle.

To
The Chief Engineer
P.W.D.

No 807.9.
Dated 11th April 1882.
Reed. 11th April 1882.

Encls: 1.

B. No 991. Waj 22.4.82.
Work vouchers of the
Tanjore Palace.

Offers further
information in regard to
the delay in the submission
of —

With order
Sd/- in Receipt Rep. To