

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS.

PUBLIC DEPARTMENT.

READ—the following letter from F. C. DAUKES, Esq., Under Secretary to the Government of India, Home Department (Public), to the Chief Secretary to Government, dated Fort William, 14th March 1882, No. 9-391 :—

I am directed to forward, for information and guidance, the accompanying copy of a letter* to the Resident at Hyderabad, containing certain orders of the Government of India regarding the receipt of addresses by Government servants, and to explain that the omission to communicate these orders at the time of their issue was due to an oversight.

* No. 1244, dated 27th August 1881.

ENCLOSURE.

From the Hon. C. GRANT, C.S.I., Secretary to the Government of India, Home Department, to the Resident at Hyderabad, dated Simla, 27th August 1881, No. 1244.

I am directed to acknowledge the receipt of your letter, No. 272, dated the 16th ultimo, explaining the circumstances under which an address was presented to ———, an Assistant Commissioner in the Hyderabad Assigned Districts. You take the opportunity of inquiring whether the standing orders which prohibit the receipt of address by Government servants apply—

- (1) to the case of addresses presented to a Government officer by persons unconnected with the province to which he may belong, and
- (2) to the case of an officer who has actually retired from the service of Government.

2. In reply, I am to say that the Governor-General in Council does not consider it desirable to make any general exception to the standing rule in respect of addresses presented to an officer of Government by persons unconnected with the province to which he may belong. The presentation of addresses, even under such circumstances, might lead to inconvenient consequences, although there may be special cases, such as that of the address received by ——— at Poona, in which the presentation and receipt of an address may be unobjectionable, and which may therefore properly form an exception to the general rule. Such cases, however, are scarcely likely to be of frequent occurrence, and the Governor-General in Council would prefer to deal with them on their merits as they may arise.

3. With regard to the second question raised in your letter under reply, I am to observe that the propriety of receiving an address after retirement from the service of Government must depend largely on the particular circumstances of each case. For instance, it is obvious that, when the presentation of the address immediately succeeds retirement and is intended as an acknowledgment of acts performed by the recipient whilst in the public service, the spirit of the existing rule is contravened, and therefore the presentation of addresses under such circumstances should be discouraged. On the other hand, it would be clearly impossible for the Government to impose any general prohibition on the receipt of addresses by officials who had permanently severed their connection with the Government service. In the present case, however, it seems to the Governor-General in Council that there was a clear departure from the existing rule, inasmuch as ——— when he received the address presented to him at Bassim was still in the service of the Government.

payments.

I have H.
P. Beatty. Col. R.B.
Sup. Engineer 6th Circle.

29TH MARCH 1882, No. 190, PUBLIC.

ORDER—dated 29th March 1882, No. 190, Public.

Communicated to all Heads of Departments.

(True Extract.)

G. Macleod

Acting Chief Secretary.

To the Departments of the Secretariat. (*Public Works*)
„ all Heads of Departments.

Exd. J. W. Fischer.

2006

P. b. C. No 2303
Govt. of (1882) Madras.
PUBLIC.

B
No 945 Waj 18 April 1882

Communicated
to officers of the
P.W. Department.

Cy
14.4.82

Recd 14 April 1882

Order, dated 29th March 1882, No. 190.

Sublt. Secy
Ch. Secy for P.W.
Comy Secy for P.W.
Supt. Mr. H. Warkins
Supt. P.W. S.N.
Comy Archt. to Govt.
Govt. P.W. S.N.

Recd 18/4/82

10/4

Public Service.—Communicating letter from Government of India forwarding copy of their orders regarding the receipt of addresses by Government servants.

With order
Sd. in Receipt Regr.

29TH MARCH 1882, No. 190, PUBLIC.

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS.
PUBLIC DEPARTMENT.

READ—the following letter from F. C. DAUKES, Esq., Under Secretary to the Government of India, Home Department (Public), to the Chief Secretary to Government, dated Fort William, 14th March 1882, No. 9-391 :—
I am directed to forward, for information and guidance, the accompanying copy of a letter* to the Resident at Hyderabad, containing certain orders of the Government of India regarding the receipt of addresses by Government servants, and to explain that the omission to communicate these orders at the time of their issue was due to an oversight.

ENCLOSURE.

From the Hon. C. GRANT, c.s.i., Secretary to the Government of India, Home Department, to the Resident at Hyderabad, dated Simla, 27th August 1881, No. 1244.

I am directed to acknowledge the receipt of your letter, No. 272, dated the 16th ultimo, explaining the circumstances under which an address was presented to ———, an Assistant Commissioner in the Hyderabad Assigned Districts. You take the opportunity of inquiring whether the standing orders which prohibit the receipt of address by Government servants apply—
(1) to the case of addresses presented to a Government officer by persons unconnected with the province to which he may belong, and
(2) to the case of an officer who has actually retired from the service of Government.

2. In reply, I am to say that the Governor-General in Council does not consider it desirable to make any general exception to the standing rule in respect of addresses presented to an officer of Government by persons unconnected with the province to which he may belong. The presentation of addresses, even under such circumstances, might lead to inconvenient consequences, although there may be special cases, such as that of the address received by ——— at Poona, in which the presentation and receipt of an address may be unobjectionable, and which may therefore properly form an exception to the general rule. Such cases, however, are scarcely likely to be of frequent occurrence, and the Governor-General in Council would prefer to deal with them on their merits as they may arise.

3. With regard to the second question raised in your letter under reply, I am to observe that the propriety of receiving an address after retirement from the service of Government must depend largely on the particular circumstances of each case. For instance, it is obvious that, when the presentation of the address immediately succeeds retirement and is intended as an acknowledgment of acts performed by the recipient whilst in the public service, the spirit of the existing rule is contravened, and therefore the presentation of addresses under such circumstances should be discouraged. On the other hand, it would be clearly impossible for the Government to impose any general prohibition on the receipt of addresses by officials who had permanently severed their connection with the Government service. In the present case, however, it seems to the Governor-General in Council that there was a clear departure from the existing rule, inasmuch as ——— when he received the address presented to him at Bassim was still in the service of the Government.

29TH MARCH 1882, No. 190, PUBLIC.

ORDER—dated 29th March 1882, No. 190, Public.

Communicated to all Heads of Departments.

(True Extract.)

C. G. MASTER,
Acting Chief Secretary.

To the Departments of the Secretariat.
„ all Heads of Departments.

Govt. of [1882] Madras.
PUBLIC.

Order thrown ^{N^o} 945. Wa
18 April/82.

Communicated
to officers of the
P. W. Department

(True Extract)

Signed, Ross Thompson
Lt Col R. E.
of Under Secretary
to Govt P. W. D.

To
Sup^r & Engineers
Chief Engineer for Irrigation
Eng. Sup^r for Railways
Sup^r of Mil. H. Works
General Sup^r P. W. S.
Eng Architect to Govt
Examiner. P. W. D.

Order, dated 29th March 1882, No. 190.

B N^o 945. Wa 18 April/82.

Public Service.—Communicating letter from
Government of India forwarding copy of their
orders regarding the receipt of addresses by Gov-
ernment servants.

109/4