Archives I - 10,006 — 16-8 77.

(1) Number of pages 28

(2) Date of repair 23.2.87

(3) Initials of the Referencer

# TAMIL NADU ARCHIVES

## GOVERNMENT OF TAMIL NADU

Public Works. DEPARTMENT

| | | | |
|---|---|---|---|
| G.O. No. / B.P. No. | 87 / 88 | W | Press. / Mis. |

Dated 11-1-1882 1971.

*Not to be folded or roughly handled*

1/87

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS.

PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT.

---

11th January 1882, No. 87 W.

General.
Account.

Read the following paper:—

No. 39. From Colonel W. S. TREVOR, R.E., Deputy Secretary to the Government of India, Public Works Department, (Account—General,) to the Secretary to the Government of Madras, Public Works Department, dated Fort William, 22nd December 1881, No. 473 A.—G.

I AM directed to acknowledge receipt of your No. 2922 W., dated 23rd ultimo, requesting the approval of the Government of India to the re-appropriation to Works of the sum of Rs. 60,000 from the excess provision in the Provincial Budget Estimate for 1881-82 for Establishment.

2. In reply I am to say that the Government of India cannot approve of the proposed appropriation unless it can be clearly shown that the entire grant of 2½ lakhs proposed to be assigned under 31 Famine Relief Protective Public Works can be wholly utilized on the specific object which that grant is intended to meet. The Government of India is aware that a grant of Rs. 2½ lakhs from Protective Public Works money was promised in Public Works Department No. 681 G., dated 5th May 1881, but on a reconsideration of this question it has been determined that the grant can only be given to meet such outlay as can be shown to be distinctly due to or connected with Protective Public Works. A general grant under this head without any restrictions as to how it is to be expended cannot be assigned.

3. The only outlay in Madras that can be legitimately charged to Protective Public Works at present is such outlay as may be actually incurred on or in connection with the preparation of projects likely to be classed as Protective Public Works. I am therefore directed to request that the Government of India may be furnished with a report showing what this outlay is likely to amount to and how the amount is arrived at. On receipt of this information orders will be passed sanctioning the necessary allotment from Protective Public Works Funds.

---

No. 40. ORDER THEREON, 11th January 1882, No. 87 W.

ORDERED that the following reply be sent:—

(Here enter No. 88 W., dated 11th January 1882.)

(True Extract.)

(Signed) R. H. SANKEY, Colonel, R.E.,
Secretary to Govt., P.W.D.

Exd. S. T. Austin.
Spare Copies

GENERAL ACCOUNT.

Govt. of {1882} Madras.

PUBLIC WORKS.

*Dated January,* 11*th.*

*Issued.*

*Recd.* *Encl.*

*G.O., 11th January 1882, No. 87 W.*

JANUARY.

Nos. 39-40.

*Re-appropriation to Works of the sum of Rs. 60,000 from the excess provision in the Provincial Budget Estimate for 1881-82 for Establishment.—Passing orders on letter from the Government of India declining for certain reasons to approve of the —.*

2/87

PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT.

General Account.

No. 88 W.

No. 41.

FROM THE SECRETARY TO THE GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS,
Public Works Department,

To

THE SECRETARY TO THE GOVERNMENT OF INDIA,
Public Works Department.

SIR,—In reply to your letter No. 473 A.—G., dated 22nd December 1881, ruling that only outlay on or in connection with the preparation of the projects likely to be classed as "Protective" could be legitimately charged against the promised Imperial grant of 2½ lakhs for the current official year, I am desired to state that in the opinion of the Right Honorable the Governor in Council the following works (see Appendix D, printed with G.O., 14th July 1881, No. 1,729 W.,) should be so classed, viz.:—

(*a*) Ganjam Gopálpur Tidal Canal.
(*c*) Buckingham Canal.
(*d*) Do. do. south of Markanum.
(*e*) Baroor Tank.
(*f*) Marandahaly Tank.
(*g*) Vaniar do.
(*h*) Hindupur do.
(*i*) Roddam do.
(*l*) Periyár Project.
(*m*) Poogalur Channel.
(*n*) Rushikulya do.
(*r*) Bhaváni Reservoir.

2. As regards (*b*) in the same list, viz., the road from Salur, viâ the Potinghy Ghât, to Koraputt, &c., the Government in deference to the opinion expressed by the Government of India in their letter as per margin have omitted it, though at the same time desirous that the matter may be reconsidered on the grounds (1) that the work is in itself certainly Protective in character (see the reasons given in Appendix D above), and (2) that neither a navigable canal or a railway has apparently any chance of being ever made so as to serve this part of the country.

No. 467 I., dated 23rd December 1881.

3. With the exception of project (*c*), the Buckingham Canal, all the others are at present in a condition which renders it difficult if not impossible to state in even an approximate way what the charges for establishment during the current year are likely to be.

4. One Project Division only has been completely formed, while the other three are only partially so, and at the same time officers not exclusively told off to their divisions are engaged on some of the projects.

5. To place the matter for the current year on a basis that may readily approve itself to the Government of India, the Right Honorable the Governor in Council desires me to suggest that the re-appropriation asked for (Rs. 60,000) may be definitely made towards covering part of the charge for the year on the Buckingham Canal.

6. The condition and character of this work are generally defined in Appendix D, and it may therefore suffice to say that the most strenuous efforts are being made to frame the complete estimate for the work.

7. From the ~~normal~~ character of many of the questions that have presented themselves for solution in dealing with this great work, and from the special and close study needed at nearly every drainage crossing in a length of 240 miles, unavoidable delays have occurred, but as most of the detailed estimates connected therewith have already received the approval of this Government, hopes are now entertained that in two or three months at furthest the complete project will be ready for submission to the Government of India.

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

(Signed) R. H. SANKEY, Colonel, R.E.,
Secretary to Govt., P.W.D.

Fort St. George,
11th January 1882.

Exd. S. T. Austin.
Spare Copies

GENERAL
ACCOUNT.

# Govt. of [1882] Madras.

## PUBLIC WORKS.

To

THE SECRETARY TO THE
GOVERNMENT OF INDIA.

Dated January, 11th.

Issued.

Rec'd.

11th January 1882, No. 88 W.

JANUARY.
No. 41.

On the subject of the re-appropriation of Rs. 60,000 to works from the excess provision in the Provincial Budget for 1881-82 for Establishment.

All communications should be addressed to the Secretary.

No. 473-44.

GOVERNMENT OF INDIA.

PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT.

ACCOUNT.

General

4/87

To The Secretary to the
Government of Madras
Public Works Department

Fort William, 22nd December, 1881

Sir,

I am directed to acknowledge receipt of your No 2922 of dated 23rd ultimo requesting the approval of the Government of India to the re-appropriation of Rs 60,000 from the excess provision in the Provincial budget estimate for 1881/82 for establishment.

2. In reply I am to say that the Government of India cannot approve of the proposed appropriation unless it can be clearly shown that the extra grant of 2½ Lakhs proposed to be assigned under 3 Famine Relief

Relief Protective Public Works, can be wholly utilized on the specific object which that grant is intended to meet. The Government of India is aware that a grant of Rs 2½ lakhs from Protective Public Works money was promised in Public Works Department No 681 G dated 6th May 1881 but on a reconsideration of this question it has been determined that the grant can only be given to meet such outlay as can be shewn to be distinctly due to or connected with Protective Public Works. A general grant under that head without any restrictions as to how it is to be expended, cannot be assigned. –

3. The only outlay in Madras that can be legitimately charged to Protective Public Works at present is such outlay as may be actually incurred on or in connection with the preparation of projects likely to be classed as Protective Public Works. I am therefore directed to request that the Government of India may be furnished with a report shewing what this outlay is likely to amount to and how the amount is arrived at. On receipt of this information orders will be passed sanctioning the necessary allotment from Protective Public Works funds.

5/87

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obed[t] Servant
J. H. Brown Collr

By Secy to Govt of India

Proceedings of Mr Maclean Govt Regd the following
From Govt of India - No 473 dated 22nd Dcr 1881.
Ordered that the following reply be sent
No 3811 dated 11 January 82

To Lt Col Woodthorpe
Indian

To

In reply to your
letter No 473 A.G.
dated 22nd Dcr 1881
ruling that ~~the~~ ~~matter~~ on an Application ~~with the~~ for
-prosecution of the persons
liable to be charged
as "Instigators" and
to ~~be~~ ~~legitimately~~ be
charged against the
persons who pursued
pursuit of 2 Rakkis
in the annual Hill
year I am directed
to state that in
the opinion of the
Rt Hon. the Govr in C
the following words
(See Appendix D
printed with S.O

fo 2922 R. 23-11-81.

(a) July 1st. 1709
W should be so
classed wef:

(a) Sainjam Soprandhone
tidal canal

(c) Burhainghum Canl

(d) Lista Lanka
of Chankanna

(e) Palavar Lanka

(f) Varandalanka - Do.

(g) Vancar - Do -

(h) Hindupaw - Do -

(i) Rodelam - Do -

(2) Pangamu Pezich

(m) Pongalur Channl

(n) Ro[illegible]achal

(o) Bhavani Reaun

2. As regards
(b) in the same list
wef the Road from
Salem viâ to Pottiapatty
Should be Korapatty
to the Powanmuth

+ No 467 I dy 23rd Dec 81.

T/6

p 6

in reference
to Remarks adopted
by the G of I in their
letter as per margin
have omitted it
from the Schedule of the same
time claiming that
the matter may be
remedied on the
grounds (1) that the
work is in itself
entirely Protective
in character (see the
reasons given in
Appendix D above)
and (2) that with
a navigable canal
or a Railway has
effectually any Charge
of Levy ever made
so as to leave the
Land of the country

3. With the ex-
-ception of the Pezich
(c). the Burhainghum
Canal all the other

renders it difficult if not impossible to State in even an approximate way what the Charges for Estate during the Current year and likely to be.

4. As a Division only has been constituted Journal while the Journals do and at the same time Mains not exclusively told Off to this Division are unpaid on Loans of the Projects

5. To place the matter in the Current year on a basis that may readily be adjusted to the Cost of Works

8/87

In Dr. Ibn Sina Charges are to Difficult but the Estimation Contribution asked by (Rs Crores) may be definitely made towards Covering part of the Charge for two years in the Budget plan Canals

6. The condition and Character of this work as Supplied Defined in Appendix D, and it may therefore suffice to say that the most strenuous efforts are being made to frame the complete Estimate for the work

7- From the general
character of many of
the Questions that
have presented themselves
for Solution in dealing
with this Great work,
and from the Special
and close Study which
at present every Division
Covering, in a length
of 240 miles, navigable
& the deltaic branch
connected with it- as work
of the detailed Estimates
connected therewith
have already received
the Sanction of this
Govt: hopes are now
entertained, that 2 or
3 months will suffice
the Complete Projects
ready for
Submission to the
Govt of India -

Accepted Calcutta
17-1-82-

Attd
3/1/82

9/87 Hon W Hudleston

Draft for Approval

If approved this might also serve as a dispatch of the Patiengha Branch letter No 5 of 1 which received back in circulation 7 87 w

Attd
3/1/82

+ It is not intended to send a second reply but to record with one observation

S. Alw

Zur I think many now agree that we had better consult the Govt of India at the Patiengha Branch should be forwarded Rendel Hall

To Hon W Hamilton

10/87

## For orders

In this present letter No 473 Adg. of 22nd Dec 1881 the S of I have decided to reconsider the grant of 2½ Labels for Imperial Protective Works and "Provincial Establ", and will now only allow the outlay which can be legitimately charged "on or in connection with the "preservation of the "property already to be "classed as "Protective "Works" —

The principle on which the 2½ Labels was originally framed (See para 7 of S of I letter No 681 G printed with S.O. No 1729 W) was that in addition to the charges for Officers & Establishments

engaged excluding
on framing the pro-
-jects "some portion
"of the time of the
"regular divisional
"staff will also be
"so occupied" and
this being so it appears
to the P of S "as to
"estimate that only
"1/5th of the whole energies
"of the staff will be
"devoted for practical
"purposes"

As at present
situated it would be
difficult if not im-
-possible to estimate
precisely the cost of
that portion of the
Establishment which
will be set to the 1st Appd
vent. be exclusively
engaged on preparing
projects in "Protective"
works as the whole

matter now is in a
transition stage, and
the Estab.t employed
in mixed Railway
and Protective work

E.g. 11/87

(A) Project division
is entirely formed

(B) – Ditto – but
more advanced

(C) – Ditto – partly

(D) – Ditto – fully
formed but the
Construction of the
work is mixed "Pro-
-tective & Protective"

On the other hand
much of the time of
Officers & Subordinate
of other Services
is taken up with the
preparation of "Protective"
works projects though
this may until set
as two would be
effectually charged
against this fund

C.A.

The Ex Engineer of the Buckingham Canal which it is now desired to Class as a Protective work, and Several of his Subordinates have to devote much of their time to preparing the Complete Estimate for the work

And the Superintending Engineer 3rd Circle has to have in hand to frame the Complete Project for the Perennial Project (a work which may be Classed as Protective) in addition to his other duties

The time of the Officers can also Some portion of that at the disposal of the Chief Engineer in the Canal and Irrigation Branches is necessarily devoted

to these annual & other duties 17/10/1

As further rather complicating the case the S of S in Govt. is aware has lately ruled that the Potteries Project is not to be classed as "Protective" though held by this Govt to be connected with a work of this character under the circumstances

The case I venture to recommend that in view to accommodate the demand for Rs 60,000 asked for in No 2922 W dated 23 Nov 1, the S of S be solicited under some such explanation as the above given, to pass for the Current Year

year under the
head Personal
"Protective"

1st. A contribution
of Rs 30000 towards
the Estab.t Embankg
engaged as Protective
Projects and

2.d a similar grant
of Rs 30,000 towards
the works of the
Buckingham Canal

As regards the
1st head it will be
seen from the foregoing
observations that it
is and must remain
merely an approximate
Estimate for the Service
in question

As regards the 2.d
head the works in
question (See Appendix
D. S.O. 14 July 1881
No 1729 W) has been
chosen by the reality

"Protective" and the Govt have
lately in dividing upon
the claims of the Protective
Grant also included
expenses of Navigable
Canals under this
head 13/8

If the Govt. approves
of this mode of dealing
with the reference
a letter on the above
General lines may
be drafted, and Govt
to the first that we
are now to mark
observations to the
Govt of Madras it
will afterwards remain
to have the Scheme
decided in Govt as
possible

1/1/82

14/87

87 7791.

Govt. of {1882} Madras.

PUBLIC WORKS.

To

Dated

Issued

Recd. Encl.

G. O., 11th January 1882, No. 876.

Nos. 39641

Reappropriation to Works of the sum of Rs. 60,000 from the Excess provision in the Provincial budget estimate for 1881/82 for Establishments.

Passing orders on letter from the Govt. of India claiming for certain reasons the approval of the Reappropriation to Works of the sum of Rs. 60,000 from the Excess provision in the Provincial budget estimate for 1881/82 for Establishments.

Entd. in Receipt Regr.

Y.