

From P. N. L. No 1782

The Supy. Engineer,
Fourth Circle.

B. No 764 Waf 22 March 82

Altered accor-
ding.

Cy


20-3-82

Notes
Ans
23/3

Dated 16th
recd: 17th } March 1882.
recd 18

No 103.

Requesting to alter
the word "Salem" into
"foimbakore" in G. O No.
637 of 14th March 1882,
as the sum of Rs: 173
applied for was for the
foimbakore Dn.

S. S. 4. circle
Gard No 2

disptd 23-2-82.

BC forwale

B. No 764 Waf 22 March 82

Entd. in Receipt Regr.

W. Thordon

F^o 103

Supy. Engineers Office IV Cade
Coimbatore, 15. March 1882.

From,

The Supy: Engineer
IV Grade

So,

The Chief Engineer

P. W. Department

Madras

Six,

I have the honor to request that you will be so good as to have the words "Salem" altered to "Coimbatore" in G. O. No 637 W of 14th March 1882 as the sum of Rs 173 applied for in this office application No 71 of 27th January was for the Coimbatore Division on which G. O. No: 272 W of 31st January 1882 was passed.

7 sent up for C. No.
933 not yet
disposed of.

I have the honor to be

Lexi

Your most obt. servant

M. M. Mum

Major TFE

Sup: Engineer IV circle

Paper taken out of the Records from the charge of the Referencer.

Press
Miscellaneous
Routine No. 763, dated 22.3.82 190.

Date taken out.	For what purpose.			Initials of clerk taking the paper or of attender.	Date returned.	Initials of attender.
	Department.	C. No.	Year.			
27 ¹¹ / ₈₆	Shahidpur Comm.					

PUBLIC WORKS STORE DEPARTMENT,
Madras, 15th March 1882.

No. 2224 S.

From

THE GENERAL SUPERINTENDENT,

PUBLIC WORKS STORES, &C.,

To

THE Deputy Chief Engineer N.P. W.
Chopank

Sir,

Enclosures.

The Assistant Chief Eng^r for Irrigation in his memo: P. 41 M. dated 6th March 1882, requires to be furnished as soon as possible with a statement showing the value of Tools and Plant debited to Provincial Funds for each of the four years from 1877/78 to 1880-81, and a similar statement for 1881-82, before the end of May 1882.

G.O. P. 3 W dated 5th January 1882 calls for 3 lists to be completed by the 31st March 1882 at latest of all stores.

- Surplus stores with value etc.
- Serviceable stores showing present book rates and proposed reduced rates.
- Unserviceable or Obsolete stores showing book rates and value.

Further that the entire stock in store should be taken before the 31st March 1882 which is being done.

G.O. No. 438, H. dated 18th Feb.
1882, Calls for 3 Statements of receipts and
issues for 5 years from 1875-76 to 1880-81, with
appendices, for submission to the Government
of India with the least possible delay.

The Balance Return of tools
and plant for the half year ending 31st March
1881 with valuation will be due on the 1st April
1882. This will be prepared after stock is taken.

The Consulting Engineer to Govt
of India for Guaranteed Railways has also
called upon me to furnish a list of surplus
stores which is under preparation, as there are
none in hand.

The existing store establishment
consisting of 4 clerks are all fully occupied
with their current work, and I fear that it will
be impossible for me to submit these returns as
promptly as I could wish.

I may mention that the work
of the Imperial State Railways is increasing,
and that consignments from London, Bombay
and Karachi have been received, and some are
on their way to Madras.

The S.S. "Commerant" from London
and S.S. "Culna" and "Cleron" from Karachi have
discharged their cargo for the Southern Mahratta
Railway, the "Pachumba" is daily expected from
Karachi and I am advised by the Director General
of

of Railways of about 3500 tons of rails M. G.
for the Southern Mahratta Railway, which will
probably arrive here next month, as the total
quantity contracted for must be delivered in
England before the end of February 1882.

Under the above circumstances
I request that I may be allowed to entertain
two temporary clerks for 6 months on salaries
of Rupees 20 and 15 respectively to be paid
from the Contage which I now propose should
be charged on all stores issued to Railways
Municipalities &c. from the 1st April 1882.

75 per Cent on
Book prices

I have the honor to be
Sir,

Your most obedient servant
W. B. Addison, Secy. G.O.

General Supt. P. G. Stores

DEPARTMENT.
Public Works Store Department.

From
THE GENERAL SUPERINTENDENT,
P. W. STORES, &C.,
MADRAS.

To
The Deputy Chief Eng.
P. W. Stores,
Chennai

No. 2224S.

Dated 15th
Despd. 15th March 1882
Recd. 16th

No. OF ENCLOSURES.

Requests sanction
for the entertainment of 2 tempo-
rary clerks for 6 months, to
assist in the preparation of
statements called for by
Govt. and other Dept.

No 763 W. M. Department
Proceedings of the Madras Government,
Under date the 22 March 1882
Send the following. —

Letter from the General Superin-
-tendent, P. W. Stores &C. Madras } (P. W. No 2224 S of 15th March 1882)

The entertainment of
two temporary clerks for
a period of six months
on salaries of Rupees 20 and
15 respectively in the
Public Works Stores is
sanctioned.

2. An appropriation of
funds to meet the charge
will be made from the
grant for temporary Estab-
lishment in 1882/83.
3. This sanction has

been registered as Provincial
No 58 W of 1881-82 and
classified as Establishment

RS
V


16.3.82

Alt

16.3.82

Be No 763 W of 12 March 82

Notes



to

The Genl. Supt. M. Stores
" Examiner M. Stores

recd 23-2-82

Entd. in Receipt Reg.

Cur 17/3

BC

NOTES.

REFERENCE TO MADRAS P. W. D. CODE.

CHAPTER XIV.—PARAS. 1829 TO 1832.

1. The object of this Return is to place before Government an analysis of all shortcomings in matters of financial regularity in the operations of each month with a view to their being remedied. It should be submitted to Government on the first day of the third month succeeding that to which the accounts relate.

2. There should be a separate statement for each separate Fund—Imperial, Provincial, Local, and Irrigation.

3. The Provincial statement will be posted from the Divisional Statements of Objectionable Expenditure. The statement need not be delayed in order to embody the remarks of the Superintending Engineer if these do not reach the Examiners Office in time. It is desirable, however, that the Superintending Engineer's opinion should be recorded as far as may be practicable, and, in order to ensure this being done, the Divisional Statement of Objectionable Items should be checked immediately on receipt and sent to the Superintending Engineer for his remarks before the audit of the other accounts is proceeded with.

4. All Executive Officers who have failed to submit their Divisional Statements of Objectionable Items with their Monthly Accounts, as well as those whose statements are generally incomplete, should be specially brought to the notice of Government under "General Remarks" at the end of the statement.

5. Items of irregular expenditure which are left uninclosed by Executive Engineers in their Divisional Statements of Objectionable Items should be distinctly noted as such either in a separate supplementary statement or in the original statement in red ink.

6. Columns under all the heads should be filled up in each case so as to bring out clearly where estimate or appropriation exists and where it does not.

7. In the case of large projects such important features as the following should be stated with precision:—

- | | |
|--|--|
| A. Lump sum estimate. | 1. Submitted to Chief Engineer. |
| B. Abstract detail estimate by sub-heads, and so on. | 2. Submitted to Superintending Engineer. |
| | 3. Not yet submitted. |

8. A large work which is proceeded with on merely a lump sum sanction is still objectionable till an abstract and detail estimate by sub-heads is submitted and sanctioned for it by competent authority.

9. Special mention should be made of works being carried out in portions by authorities, beyond whose powers of sanction it would be to sanction the expenditure as a whole.

10. An important point to notice, is the duration of a serious irregularity.

11. Voluminous masses of detail, when their practical significance could be given in a few lines, should always be avoided as a rule. If, for instance, the state of matters as to sanction in one month does not materially differ from that of a preceding month, it will answer all practical purposes to say so, instead of sending another full report.

12. Too much stress can never be laid on the fact that this statement is not to take place of special reports, then and there, of works on which serious irregular expenditure is being largely incurred, either without estimate or appropriation.

P. W. D. Madras.
Form No. M 64a.

CENTRAL OFFICE OF ACCOUNTS.

CIRCLE Madras Harbour Works

FUND Imperial
Productive Public Works
In duplicate

Provincial Statement of Objectionable Items in the Accounts of Executive Engineers for the Month of December 1881.

No. _____

MADRAS,
Dated 6th March 1882.
7

FORWARDED for orders to the Secretary to Government,
Public Works Department, Madras.

Be No 761 Waf 22 March 1882

P. C. Gindrey

Examiner of P. W. Accounts,
Madras.

Entd. in Receipt Regr.

With order

W. H. S.

P.C.

NOTES.

REFERENCE TO MADRAS P. W. D. CODE.

CHAPTER XIV.—PARAS. 1829 TO 1832.

1. The object of this Return is to place before Government an analysis of all shortcomings in matters of financial regularity in the operations of each month with a view to their being remedied. It should be submitted to Government on the first day of the third month succeeding that to which the accounts relate.

2. There should be a separate statement for each separate Fund—Imperial, Provincial, Local, and Irrigation.

3. The Provincial statement will be posted from the Divisional Statements of Objectionable Expenditure. The statement need not be delayed in order to embody the remarks of the Superintending Engineers if these do not reach the Examiners Office in time. It is desirable, however, that the Superintending Engineer's opinion should be recorded as far as may be practicable, and, in order to ensure this being done, the Divisional Statement of Objectionable Items should be checked immediately on receipt and sent to the Superintending Engineer for his remarks before the audit of the other accounts is proceeded with.

4. All Executive Officers who have failed to submit their Divisional Statements of Objectionable Items with their Monthly Accounts, as well as those whose statements are generally incomplete, should be specially brought to the notice of Government under "General Remarks" at the end of the statement.

5. Items of irregular expenditure which are left uninclosed by Executive Engineers in their Divisional Statements of Objectionable Items should be distinctly noted as such either in a separate supplementary statement or in the original statement in red ink.

6. Columns under all the heads should be filled up in each case so as to bring out clearly where estimate or appropriation exists and where it does not.

7. In the case of large projects such important features as the following should be stated with precision:—

A. Lump sum estimate. { 1. Submitted to Chief Engineer.
B. Abstract detail estimate by sub-heads, and so on. { 2. Submitted to Superintending Engineer.
3. Not yet submitted.

8. A large work which is proceeded with on merely a lump sum sanction is still objectionable till an abstract and detail estimate by sub-heads is submitted and sanctioned for it by competent authority.

9. Special mention should be made of works being carried out in portions by authorities, beyond whose powers of sanction it would be to sanction the expenditure as a whole.

10. An important point to notice is the duration of a serious irregularity.

11. Voluminous masses of detail, when their practical significance could be given in a few lines, should always be avoided as a rule. If, for instance, the state of matters as to sanction in one month does not materially differ from that of a preceding month, it will answer all practical purposes to say so, instead of sending another full report.

12. Too much stress can never be laid on the fact that this statement is not to take place of special reports, then and there, of works on which serious irregular expenditure is being largely incurred, either without estimate or appropriation.

P. W. D. Madras.
Form No. M 64a.

CENTRAL OFFICE OF ACCOUNTS.

CIRCLE Madras Harbour Works

FUND Imperial
Productive Public Works
In duplicate

Provincial Statement of Objectionable Items
in the Accounts of Executive Engineers
for the Month of December 1881.

No. _____

MADRAS,
Dated 6th March 1882.

FORWARDED for orders to the Secretary to Government,
Public Works Department, Madras.

Be No 761 Wap 12 March 1882

P. C. Lando

Examiner of P. W. Accounts,
Madras.

Entd. in Receipt Regr.

With order

NOTES.

REFERENCE TO MADRAS P. W. D. CODE.

CHAPTER XIV.—PARAS. 1829 TO 1832.

1. The object of this Return is to place before Government an analysis of all shortcomings in matters of financial regularity in the operations of each month with a view to their being remedied. It should be submitted to Government on the first day of the third month succeeding that to which the accounts relate.

2. There should be a separate statement for each separate Fund—Imperial, Provincial, Local, and Irrigation.

3. The Provincial statement will be posted from the Divisional Statements of Objectionable Expenditure. The statement need not be delayed in order to embody the remarks of the Superintending Engineers if these do not reach the Examiners Office in time. It is desirable, however, that the Superintending Engineer's opinion should be recorded as far as may be practicable, and, in order to ensure this being done, the Divisional Statement of Objectionable Items should be checked immediately on receipt and sent to the Superintending Engineer for his remarks before the audit of the other accounts is proceeded with.

4. All Executive Officers who have failed to submit their Divisional Statements of Objectionable Items with their Monthly Accounts, as well as those whose statements are generally incomplete, should be specially brought to the notice of Government under "General Remarks" at the end of the statement.

5. Items of irregular expenditure which are left uninclosed by Executive Engineers in their Divisional Statements of Objectionable Items should be distinctly noted as such either in a separate supplementary statement or in the original statement in red ink.

6. Columns under all the heads should be filled up in each case so as to bring out clearly where estimate or appropriation exists and where it does not.

7. In the case of large projects such important features as the following should be stated with precision:—

A. Lump sum estimate. { 1. Submitted to Chief Engineer.
B. Abstract detail estimate by sub-heads, and so on. { 2. Submitted to Superintending Engineer.
3. Not yet submitted.

8. A large work which is proceeded with on merely a lump sum sanction is still objectionable till an abstract and detail estimate by sub-heads is submitted and sanctioned for it by competent authority.

9. Special mention should be made of works being carried out in portions by authorities, beyond whose powers of sanction it would be to sanction the expenditure as a whole.

10. An important point to notice is the duration of a serious irregularity.

11. Voluminous masses of detail, when their practical significance could be given in a few lines, should always be avoided as a rule. If, for instance, the state of matters as to sanction in one month does not materially differ from that of a preceding month, it will answer all practical purposes to say so, instead of sending another full report.

12. Too much stress can never be laid on the fact that this statement is not to take place of special reports, then and there, of works on which serious irregular expenditure is being largely incurred, either without estimate or appropriation.

P. W. D. Madras.
Form No. M 64a.

CENTRAL OFFICE OF ACCOUNTS.

CIRCLE Consulting Architect

FUND Imperial and Provincial
In duplicate

Provincial Statement of Objectionable Items
in the Accounts of Executive Engineers
for the Month of December 1881.

No. _____

MADRAS,
Dated 6th March 1882.

FORWARDED for orders to the Secretary to Government,
Public Works Department, Madras.

Be No 760 Wap 12 March 1882

P. C. Lando

Examiner of P. W. Accounts,
Madras.

Entd. in Receipt Regr.

With order

Provincial Statement of Objectionable Items in the Accounts requiring the

Item No.	Particulars of each Item.	Month in the Accounts of which Objectionable Expenditure first appeared.	COMPARISON WITH ESTIMATE.			COMPARISON WITH APPROPRIATION OF THE YEAR.			Expenditure during the Month.
			Amount of Estimate.	Expenditure up to Date.	Excess over Estimate.	Amount of Appropriation.	Expenditure of the Year.	Excess over Appropriation.	
	<u>Imperial</u>								
	Works for which Revised Estimates have been promised.								
	Constructing Telegraph Office at Madras.		257192	152714		77500	57312		6033
	Constructing Post Office at Madras.		245010	65226		77500	65226		9360
			502202	217940		155000	116538		15393
	<u>Provincial</u>								
	Excesses over sanctioned Quantity Rate and cost of Sub-heads.								
	Constructing a Lying-in Hospital Madras.		177795	176461		15500	14639		1629
	Carried Over.		177795	176461		15500	14639		1629

of Executive Engineers for the Month of *December 1381*
orders of Government.

Opinion of the Superintending Engineer,
with Final Remarks by Examiner.

Orders by Government.

No 460 Waf 22 March 1902

The Quantities, Rates, and cost of some of the sanctioned! The revised Estimates are awaited. Sub-heads of these Works have The Cons. Architect will see to them been exceeded and the consulting lady submission Architect states that Revised Estimates will be submitted shortly.

The excesses on some of the Sub-heads of this Work are very high but the consulting Architect states 2 Attention is called to para the Work will be completed H of G.O. No. 541 W of 1st March 1902 shortly. It is too late now to get out a Revised Estimate; With the sanction of Government these excesses will be accepted till receipt of completion.

NOTES.

REFERENCE TO MADRAS P. W. D. CODE.

CHAPTER XIV.—PARAS. 1829 TO 1832.

1. The object of this Return is to place before Government an analysis of all shortcomings in matters of financial regularity in the operations of each month with a view to their being remedied. It should be submitted to Government on the first day of the third month succeeding that to which the accounts relate.

2. There should be a separate statement for each separate Fund—Imperial, Provincial, Local, and Irrigation.

3. The Provincial statement will be posted from the Divisional Statements of Objectionable Expenditure. The statement need not be delayed in order to embody the remarks of the Superintending Engineers if these do not reach the Examiners Office in time. It is desirable, however, that the Superintending Engineer's opinion should be recorded as far as may be practicable, and, in order to ensure this being done, the Divisional Statement of Objectionable Items should be checked immediately on receipt and sent to the Superintending Engineer for his remarks before the audit of the other accounts is proceeded with.

4. All Executive Officers who have failed to submit their Divisional Statements of Objectionable Items with their Monthly Accounts, as well as those whose statements are generally incomplete, should be specially brought to the notice of Government under "General Remarks" at the end of the statement.

5. Items of irregular expenditure which are left uninclosed by Executive Engineers in their Divisional Statements of Objectionable Items should be distinctly noted as such either in a separate supplementary statement or in the original statement in red ink.

6. Columns under all the heads should be filled up in each case so as to bring out clearly where estimate or appropriation exists and where it does not.

7. In the case of large projects such important features as the following should be stated with precision:—

- | | | |
|--|---|--|
| A. Lump sum estimate. | { | 1. Submitted to Chief Engineer. |
| B. Abstract detail estimate by sub-heads, and so on. | | 2. Submitted to Superintending Engineer. |
| | | 3. Not yet submitted. |

8. A large work which is proceeded with on merely a lump sum sanction is still objectionable till an abstract and detail estimate by sub-heads is submitted and sanctioned for it by competent authority.

9. Special mention should be made of works being carried out in portions by authorities, beyond whose powers of sanction it would be to sanction the expenditure as a whole.

10. An important point to notice is the duration of a serious irregularity.

11. Voluminous masses of detail, when their practical significance could be given in a few lines, should always be avoided as a rule. If, for instance, the state of matters as to sanction in one month does not materially differ from that of a preceding month, it will answer all practical purposes to say so, instead of sending another full report.

12. Too much stress can never be laid on the fact that this statement is not to take place of special reports, then and there, of works on which serious irregular expenditure is being largely incurred, either without estimate or appropriation.

P. W. D. Madras.
Form No. M 64a.

P. W. D. No 1467

CENTRAL OFFICE OF ACCOUNTS.

CIRCLE *et*

FUND *Imperial and Provincial*

by duplicate

Provincial Statement of Objectionable Items
in the Accounts of Executive Engineers
for the Month of *December 1881*.

No. _____

MADRAS,
Dated *6th March 1882*

Resd. 7

FORWARDED for orders to the Secretary to Government, Public Works Department, Madras.

Bel No 759 Wd/22 March 1882

D. P. Gannon

Examiner of P. W. Accounts,
Madras.

Adtd. in Receipt Rep.

With order

Provincial Statement of Objectionable Items in the Accounts requiring the

of Executive Engineers for the Month of
orders of Government.

188

Item No.	Particulars of each Item.	Month in the Accounts of which Objectionable Expenditure first appeared.	COMPARISON WITH ESTIMATE.			COMPARISON WITH APPROPRIATION OF THE YEAR.			Expenditure during the Month.
			Amount of Estimate.	Expenditure up to Date.	Excess over Estimate.	Amount of Appropriation.	Expenditure of the Year.	Excess over Appropriation.	
	<i>Provincial (continued)</i>								
	<i>Excess over Estimate</i>								
	<i>Godavari Western.</i>								
3	<i>Tools and Plant.</i>		836	1259	423				944
	<i>Miscellaneous Irregularities</i>								
	<i>Vizagapatam.</i>								
	<i>Completion Report</i>								
	<i>not submitted for Works</i>								
	<i>above Rs 2500 each.</i>								
4	<i>Constructing Senior Assistant Collector's bungalow at Parvatipore.</i>	1	3800	3084					154
5	<i>Completion Report not submitted for works below Rs 2500 each.</i>	3	4056	2956					61

Opinion of the Superintending Engineer, with Final Remarks by Examiner.

Orders by Government.

Sanction to revised 3. Early submission of a revised Estimate, said to be under Estimate is necessary preparation, is awaited.

The non-submission of these completion reports is brought to the notice of Government.

4. The Supt Engr will see to the completion reports being forwarded to the Revenue.

1891

1891

1891

1891

1891

1891

1891

1891

1891

1891

1891