

GOVT.
OF MADRAS.

1882. P. W.
(DEPT.)

SECRETARY.

C. No. 1008 of 21st Jan 1882
Chepauk, 30 January 1882.

OFFICE NOTE.

To

C.

With reference to
your office note No. 1060 of
27 January/82 please send
me Mr Boteler's agreement
with the Secy. of State
and also the copy of the
letter of the Director Genl.
of Stores sent to you
with the General Supt's
letter No. 426 of 12 Sept/81.

RS

30.1.82

By W.

TO W.

No. 1091 of 3rd Feb 1882
Encl. 2

HEREWITH THE DOCUMENTS REQUIRED.

WHICH PLEASE RETURN WHEN DONE WITH.

rc2

2/3/2

c. 1/4/2

Copy of letter from the Examiner P.W. Accounts
to the Superintendent Madras Harbour Works No 1312
dated 5 September 1881.

In forwarding herewith Copy of G.O.
No 1918.W. dated 3 August 1881, I have the honor to
request that the equivalent of the sum of 14 shillings
therein referred to may be adjusted in your accounts
for August 1881 as follows:-

"Miscellaneous advances Dr to } Rs 7-0-0
"London account Miscellaneous }

"Miscellaneous advances Dr to } Rs 1-6-5
"Gain by Exchange }

2. The aggregate amount viz Rs 8-6-5 thus debited
to Miscellaneous advances should be recovered from
Mr. Potlur as early as possible.

(True Copy)

G. E. Potter

Suptt. Correspondence Branch

27/1

27/1

27/1

Copy of letter from the Superintendent Madras
Harbour Works to the Examiner P.W. accounts
Madras No 426 dated 12th September 1881.

In reply to your letter No 431X of the 5th
instant I have the honor to state that I do not
feel disposed to stop Rs 8-6-5 from Mr.
Boteler's pay without a special order of
Government

2. Mr Boteler's agreement entitles him to tele
free passage from his house to Madras, and
the fourteen shillings referred to in your
letter was for a portion of such passage
namely from Poplar to Southampton. There
is nothing in the letter of the Secretary of the
P.W. London to show that Mr Boteler has
to pay for that railway journey.

X 3. I enclose copy of a letter from the
Director General of Stores to Mr. Boteler
referring to the payment of the Railway
fare 2nd class which is in accordance with
the terms of agreement of all those who
have come out to this work.

(True copy)

G. Statton

Suppl Correspondence Branch

Copy of letter from the Examiner
of H. accounts to the Superintendent Madras
Harbor Works No. 4962 dated 4th October 1881.

In reply to your No. 42 C
dated 12th September/81 I regret to state
I am unable to admit the claim of Mr. Boteler
to a free second class Railway fare from
London to Southampton without the special
sanction of Government, as no provision for
a free Railway fare from London to South-
ampton has been made in that Officers'
Covenant with the Secretary of State.

2. In the case of Mr. Cluskey, the
Foreman Mechanic of the Harbor Works, Railway
fare from Newcastle to Southampton was
admitted by this office in consequence
of an express stipulation to that effect having
been inserted in his articles of agreement
with the Secretary of State.

(True copy)

G. H. Ratten

Sub. Correspondence Branch

No. 85 W

FROM

THE SUPERINTENDENT,
MADRAS HARBOUR WORKS,

TO

Colonel R. H. Sankay, M. B. P. C.
Secretary to Government P. W. Dept.
Buildings & Roads
Fort St. George
MADRAS, January 23rd 1882.

Sir,

I have the honor to ask the sanction of Government to admit the claim of Mr. Boteler to a free second class Railway fare Rs. 6. 5 from London to Southampton objected to by the Examiner of Public Works Accounts.

2. Mr. Boteler according to his agreement is entitled to free passage from his place of residence to Madras and the amount disallowed by the Examiner was for a portion of the journey namely from Poplar to Southampton.

3. I beg to observe that there is nothing in the letter of the Secretary P. W. London, to shew that the Railway journey is to be paid for

by

by Mr. Boteler. -

4. The railway fare of all those who have come out to these works has always been borne by the State, and a copy of the letter from the Director General of Stores to Mr. Boteler referring to the payment of the railway fare second class was forwarded to the Examiner of Public Works Ac. counts for information, but that Officer refuses to pass the claim unless sanctioned by Government, while I, on the other hand, object to deduct it from Mr. Boteler without orders of Government. -

I have the honour to

Sir

Your most obedient servant,

J. H. Mowbray, Esq. M. A. S. S. S.
Superintendent

Madras Harbour Works

No 1091 W a 26-4-81 do. do. do.
 No 1018 W a 3-8-81
 No 1320 W a 23-5-81
 No 1236 W a 16-5-81.
 R. 954 d 25 Jan 82

Buildings & Roads

From The Superintendent
 Madras Harbour Works

No 62 N a 24-1-82

For remarks.



23.1.82

Tow No 1060 d 27 Jan 1882

The encl. ~~forwarded~~
 noted in the margin
 copies of which are
 herewith forwarded
 furnish all par.
 details relative
 to this case.

The said Mr. B. W.
 attention should be
 drawn to para 643
 of our Code with
 reference to the
 concluding remark
 in his letter

27/1/82
 C

No 85 W
 Dated 23 January 1882
 Recd. 24

C. letter no 401 X of
 5/9/81
 Subj. Mr. B. W. letter
 no 42 C of 14/9/81
 C. letter no 496 X
 of 4/10/81.

Mr. Botcher

Submit a claim of Rs 6.5
 railway fare from Poplar &
 Southamp ton of may be
 sanctioned.

Read the following:—

Letter from the Superintendent, Madras Harbour Works, No 85
 of 23rd January 1882.

FOR ORDERS.

Para 1 of Mr. Botcher's

After reading the Book
 of the papers I do not
 think that the Officer
 has an advance
decoration.

The pay was
advanced

The fare was
issued.

I do not know
 whether further instructions
 "It is reasonable to suppose" in the course of his transit
 that all the travelling (being provided for him)

Articles of Agreement with
 the Secretary of State reads
 as follows:—
 "That he the said William
 Stewart Botcher will as soon
 as he may be directed by
 the Secretary of State for India
 in Council (a second class
 passage but not hotel
 accommodation or any other

FOR ORDERS.

Paris & Le Havre

V.D.

placed to Madras and will
"report himself to the Super-
intendent of the Madras
Harbour Works there and
"submit himself to the orders
"of the said Superintendent
"and of the officers under
"whom he shall be placed."

2. It would thus appear
that nothing beyond passage
by sea is provided for.

3. The letter from the
Director General of Stores
on which Mr. Thoroughgood
apparently founds the claim
to Railway Expenses from
Poplar to Southampton is
in the file.

4. Read in the light
of the Articles of Agreement
it would seem to mean

that the sum of 14.5/
to cover Railway Expenses
was an advance subject
to adjustment in the
same way as the months
pay.

C. W. Harvey Colonel, R.E.
Secretary to Genl. M. Dept.
R.S.

PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT.

UNDER date the 10th Feby 1882.
Read the following:—

For Orders.

from the Supt. M. H. Works 183. No 83W of 23 June 1882
Office note from the Supt. W. A. " " 1060 of 27 " " "

Ordered that a reference
be made to the India Office
relative to the amount
issued to Mr Boteler for
travelling expenses from
Poole to Southampton -
Pending a reply, the re=
trenchment will remain
in abeyance.

H.S. Co 379 W 27 10th Feb/82

To The Under Secy of State
for India
India Office
London.

Si-

With reference to
letter from the Secretary
in the P. W. Department

No

Supt. Dr. H. W. Cook

Er W. W. d. v.

with 2 enclos.

Sept 11-2-82

5
4
e
y

no ^{9P} 707 of India Office 5th July
1881. I am directed to
inquire whether the sum
of 14 shillings issued to
Mr. Boteler for travelling
expenses from Poona to
Southampton was intended
as an advance recoverable
from his pay in India,
or whether it was intended
that those expenses should
be defrayed by the State.

2. Mr. Boteler's agreement
with the Secy of State expressly
stipulates that the Govt shall
not be liable for any expenses
incurred by him on land.
& the P.W. Acct Dept therefore
seek to recover from him
the sum of 14 shillings, above
mentioned.

Bel. 378 May 10th July 1882
379

Sept 14/2.

~~Att~~

B 1780 w d 13 Aug 81 2. 82
B 1320 w d 23 Aug 81
B 1256 w 16/5/81
B 2091 w d 26/11/81

Ch. 27/1/84