Archives I 10,006—16-8 77.

(1) Number of pages 20.
(2) Date of repair 12.3.87
(3) Initials of the Referencer Lms

TAMIL NADU ARCHIVES

GOVERNMENT OF TAMIL NADU

PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT

G.O. No. / B.P. No.	373-74 W	Press. / Mis.
Dated	10.2.1882	197 .

MY

Not to be folded or roughly handled

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS.

PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT.

10th February 1882, No. 373 W.

1/373

General.
Account.

Read the following papers:—

No. 33. From Major-General A. FRASER, R.E., Secretary to the Government of India, Public Works Department, (Account—General,) to the Secretary to the Government of Madras, Public Works Department, dated Fort William, 30th January 1882, No. 74 A.—G.

I AM directed to acknowledge the receipt of your letter No. 105-57 W. of the 12th instant, submitting the preliminary issue of the Madras Provincial Service Budget Estimate for 1882-83, and to make the following observations in continuation of Public Works Department telegram of to-day's date.

2. The Regular Estimate, 1881-82, is put down at Rs. 15,00,855, but as this amount includes the sum of Rs. 1,04,166 on account of Protective Public Works Establishment, referred to in Public Works Department letter No. 473 A.G., dated 22nd December 1881, the Regular Estimate has been reduced to Rs. 13,96,689, the actual amount of the Provincial Service grant. Any sum that may hereafter be contributed from Protective Public Works must be charged to that head, and not added to the grant for Provincial Services.

3. Regarding the Budget Estimate for 1882-83 I am to say that proposals should be submitted for any outlay for surveys which can be properly charged to Protective Public Works, in addition to which the Government of India will allow the usual percentages for Establishment and Tools and Plant for the outlay that may be increased on works that are sanctioned as Protective Public Works. Meanwhile the Provincial Budget Estimate for 1882-83 has been accepted for Rs. 19,00,000. I am, however, to request that the Government of India may be furnished with an explanation regarding the provision of Rs. 1,02,482, which is stated to have been sanctioned, subsequent to the preparation of the Budget Estimate, for expenditure from "Convict Labor Fund." No particulars of this fund are known to the Government of India, and it is a question whether the amount should not be provided for under Contributions.

No. 33-A. Office Note from the Examiner, Public Works Accounts, dated 7th February 1882, No. 1105.

No. 33-B. ORDER THEREON, 10th February 1882, No. 373 W.

COMMUNICATED to the Financial Department, also to the Examiner, Public Works Accounts, for information and guidance.

2. Ordered that the following letter be sent to the Government of India:—

(Here enter No. 374 W., dated 10th February 1882.)

(True Extract.)

Lieut.-Col., R.E.,
Ag. Under Secy. to Govt., P.W.D.

To the Financial Department.
" Examiner of Public Works Accounts.

Exd. S. T. Austin.
Spare Copies

GENERAL ACCOUNT.

Govt. of [1882] Madras.

PUBLIC WORKS.

To

THE FINANCIAL DEPARTMENT,

&c., &c., &c.

Dated February, 10*th*.

Issued.

Recd. *Encl.*

G.O., 10*th February* 1882, *No.* 373 *W.*

FEBRUARY.

Nos. 33-33-B.

Preliminary Issue of the Madras Provincial Service Budget Estimate for 1882-83.—Observations of the Government of India on the —, communicated to the Financial Department and to the Examiner of Public

2/375-4

PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT.

General Account.

No. 374 W.

No. 34.

FROM

THE SECRETARY TO THE GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS,
Public Works Department,

To

THE SECRETARY TO THE GOVERNMENT OF INDIA,
Public Works Department.

SIR,—I am directed to acknowledge receipt of your letter No. 74 A.G., dated 30th ultimo, and with reference to the second paragraph thereof, I am to enquire whether provision for Protective Public Works should appear in the Irrigation Budgets.

2. With regard to the provision of Rs. 1,02,482 from Convict Labor Fund for expenditure by the Public Works Department during 1882-83, I am to state that hitherto such provision has been included in the Incorporated Local Fund Budgets; but, as will be seen from the correspondence printed with G.O., No. 423 W., of 17th February 1881, a copy of which is herewith forwarded, it is now treated in the Civil Accounts as an addition to the Public Works Provincial grant.

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

Lieut.-Col., R.E.,
Ag. Under Secy. to Govt., P.W.D.

FORT ST. GEORGE,
10th February 1882.

Exd. S. T. Austin.
Spare Copies

GENERAL.
ACCOUNT.

Govt. of [1882] Madras.

PUBLIC WORKS.

To

THE SECRETARY TO THE
GOVERNMENT OF INDIA.

Dated February, 10th.

Issued.

Recd.

10th February 1882, *No.* 374 *W.*

FEBRUARY.

No. 34.

ENQUIRING whether provision for Protective Public Works should appear in the Irrigation Budgets, and submitting explanation regarding the provision of Rs. 1,02,482 from Convict Labour Fund for expenditure by the Public Works Department.

All communications should be addressed to the Secretary.

No. 74 of 6

4/3984

GOVERNMENT OF INDIA.

PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT.

ACCOUNT.
General.

To

The Secretary to the Government of Madras
Public Works Department

Fort William 30th January 1882.

Sir,

I am directed to acknowledge the receipt of your letter No. 105/57 W of the 12th instant submitting the preliminary view of the Madras Provincial Service budget Estimate for 1882/83 and to make the following observations in continuation of Public Works Department telegram of 6th [illegible] date:-

2. The Regular Estimate 1881/82 is put down at Rs 1500.858- but as this account includes the sum of Rs 104,166- on account of Protective Public Works Establishment, referred to in Public Works department letter No. 473 A.F. dated 22nd December 1881, the Regular Estimate has been reduced to Rs 1396.689- the actual amount of the Provincial Service grant. Any sums that may hereafter be contributed from Protective Public

works

works must be charged to that head, and not added to the grant for Provincial Services.-

P. W. C. No 7.

Regarding the Budget Estimate for 1882/83 I am to say that proposals should be submitted for any outlay for surveys which can be properly charged to Protective Public works, in addition to which the Government of India will allow the usual percentages for Establishment and Tools and Plant for the outlay that may be incurred on works that are sanctioned as Protective Public Works. Meanwhile the Provincial Budget Estimate for 1882/83 has been accepted for Rs 19.00.000. I am, however, to request that the Government of India may be furnished with an explanation regarding the provision of Rs. 102.482, which is stated to have been sanctioned, subsequent to the preparation of the Budget Estimate, for expenditure from "Convict Labor funds". No particulars of this fund are known to the Government of India and it is a question whether the amount should not be provided for under Contributions.-

Go's 3965 W. 28.11.81
8784 - 11.1.82
934 - 12.1.82
1065 W. 12.1.82
1918 - 24.1.82
2964 - 2.2.82
3214 - 4.2.82

I have the honor to be,
Sir
Your most obedient Servant
Allan Hazen Major R.E.
Secy to Govt of India

5/3954

Copy of letter from the Accountant General Public Works Department to the Examiner of Public works Accounts Madras No 240 G dated 22d April 1881.

I have the honor to acknowledge the receipt of your letter No 229 A of the 12 March 1881 forwarding the orders of the Local Government classifying the Funds noted in the margin as Provincial and enquiring with reference to these orders whether the accounts for 1879-80 may not be corrected with a view to adjusting the difference of Rs 12708 between the Civil and Public works Department accounts.

+ Salt Revenue and Government Farm Fund.
Convict Labor Fund.

2. In reply I have to inform you that the Financial Department had classed these funds as Provincial and your Finance accounts and the books of this office have accordingly been already corrected as you propose; you should do the same in your own books and accounts; and this is all that is necessary.

/True copy/

G. L. Patton
Deputy Correspondence Branch.

G.o No 321 W dy 4-2-82
B.No 294 W dy 2-2-82
G.o No 191 W dy 24-1-82
G.o No 105 W dy 12-1-82
G.o No 104 W dy 12-1-82
G.o No 93 W dy 12-1-82
G.o No 88 W dy 11-1-82
G.o No 89 W dy 11-1-82
G.o No 2965 W dy 28-11-81
G.o No 423 W dy 7-2-81

No 131.2

GOVT. OF MADRAS. } 188 . { P. W. DEPT.

SECRETARY.

6
3754

Chepauk, 6th February 1882.

OFFICE NOTE.

875
To C 3743 W

Sect of India W: No A.G.
dy 30th Janry: 82.

For remarks as to the adjustments necessary under these orders.

Rtt

W.

Regd. Bill No. 1105 dy 7th Feb 1882 Encls: 2

TO W.

UNDER THE OBSERVATIONS MADE BY THE GOVERNMENT OF INDIA IT WILL BE NECESSARY TO REMOVE THE PROTECTIVE PUBLIC WORKS GRANT FROM THE ABSTRACT OF THE REGULAR ESTIMATE OF THE PROVINCIAL BUDGET, BUT IT WILL BE NECESSARY TO ASCERTAIN WHETHER THE BUDGET

GRANT FOR THOSE WORKS AND THE DETAILS THEREOF SHOULD APPEAR IN THE IRRIGATION BUDGETS.

2. AS EXPLAINED IN MY OFFICE NOTE NO.1070 OF THE 31ST.ULTIMO.THE CONVICT LABOR FUND GRANT SHOULD BE TREATED AS A PORTION OF THE PROVINCIAL GRANT. THIS IS BORNE OUT BY THE FACT THAT THE ACCOUNTANT GENERAL MADRAS TREATS THE OUTLAY FROM THIS FUND AS SUCH, AND THAT IN THE FINANCE ACCOUNTS OF 1879-80 IN WHICH THERE WAS A DIFFERENCE OF RS.12,707-7-0 BETWEEN THE ACCOUNTS OF THE P.W. AND CIVIL DEPARTMENTS,OWING TO THIS DEPARTMENT SHEWING THE OUTLAY FROM THE CONVICT LABOR FUND DISTINCTLY FROM PROVINCIAL, THIS OFFICE WAS DIRECTED BY THE ACCOUNTANT GENERAL P.W.DEPARTMENT TO WRITE BACK THE AMOUNT TO THAT HEAD, AS WILL BE SEEN FROM HIS LETTER NO. 240 G. DATED THE 22ND.APRIL 1881 A COPY OF WHICH IS HEREWITH FORWARDED.

3. UNDER THE ABOVE EXPLANATION IT IS NECESSARY THAT THE CONVICT LABOR FUND GRANT SHOULD BE INCLUDED IN THE ABSTRACT OF THE PROVINCIAL BUDGET AND THAT THE DETAILS SHOULD FORM AN APPENDIX TO THAT BUDGET.

C.

Seal
Secy

A
M.S.L. Index

8/373-4

No. 373 W. PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT.

PROCEEDINGS OF THE MADRAS GOVERNMENT.

UNDER date the 10th Feby 1882

Read the following :—

From The Govt. of India (H.C. No. 74 A.G. d/ 30 Jany/82.)
Office Note from the Examiner P.W. Accts (" . 1105 d/ 7 February/82)

Communicated to the Financial Dept., also to the Examiner Public Works Accounts for information and guidance.

2. Ordered that the following letter be sent to the Government of India.

(H.C. No. 374 W. d/ 10 Feb 82)

To

The Secretary to the
Government of India
P. W. Dept.

Sir

I am directed to
acknowledge

Fin. Dept. ✓
Ex. P. W. Accts. ✓
Desptd 18-2-82-

acknowledge receipt of your letter No. 74 A.G. dated 30th ultimo and with reference to the 2d paragraph thereof, I am to enquire whether provision for Protective Public Works should appear in the Irrigation Budgets.

2. With regard to the provision of Rs. 102,482 from Convict Labor Fund for expenditure by the Public Works Department during 1882-83, I am to state that hitherto such provision has been included in the Incorporated Local Fund Budgets; but, as will be seen from the correspondence printed with G.O. No. 423 W of 17th February 1881 a copy of which is herewith forwarded, it is now treated in the Civil Accounts as an addition to the Public Works Provincial Grant

9/5334

RS

8.2.82

accepted Calcutta
10th Feby 1882.

8/2/82

750.

Govt. of {1882} Madras.

PUBLIC WORKS.

To

Dated

Issued

Recd. Encl.

G. O., 10th July 1882, No. 373-4th.

Nos. 33535

Preliminary Issue of the Madras Provincial Service budget estimate for 1883-84.

Observations of the Govt on the ~~2~~. Comm^{rs} to the Fin^l Dep^t. & to the Ex^t. of W^r accounts.

Enquiring whether provision for Protective Public works should appear in the Irrigation Budget and stating that the ~~subject~~ ~~is engaging~~ ~~engaging~~ ~~regarding the~~ provision of Rs 1,02,482 from Convict Labour fund for expenditure by the P.W. Dept^t.