Archives I 10,006—16-8 77.

(1) Number of pages 42.

(2) Date of repair 6.4.87

(3) Initials of the Referencer Lava

TAMIL NADU ARCHIVES

GOVERNMENT OF TAMIL NADU

PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT

G.O. No. / B.P. No.	365-66-W	Press. / Mis.
Dated	9.2.1882	197 .

MH

Not to be folded or roughly handled

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS.

1/365.66

PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT.

9th February 1882, No. 365 W.

General.
Account.

Read again :—

No. 31. Proceedings of Government, Public Works Department, dated 3rd November 1881, Nos. 2730/2731 W.

No. 31-A. Circular from the Government of India, Public Works Department, (Account—General,) dated Fort William, 20th January 1882, No. II P.W.

THE orders laid down in Government of India Circular No. XX P.W., dated 29th September last, regarding the adjustment of Establishment charges, should have effect from the commencement of the year 1882-83.

(Signed) A. J. FILGATE, Major, R.E.,
Depy. Secy. to the Govt. of India.

Read also :—

No. 31-B. Telegram to the Secretary to the Government of India, Public Works Department, dated 28th January 1882.

YOUR Accounts Circular 29th September last, paragraph 4. May Revenue Other Services be debited with 5 and Irrigation with 10 per cent. for Establishment in current and next official year. Wire reply solicited.

No. 31-C. Telegram from the Secretary to the Government of India, Public Works Department, Calcutta, to the Secretary to the Government of Madras, Public Works Department, dated 2nd February 1882.

REVENUE Other Services and Irrigation realised directly by Public Works officers may be debited with five and ten per cent. for Establishment only in 1882-83. Circular does not apply to 1881-82 or to revenue realised in the Civil Department; such charges must be met from existing grants.

No. 31-D. Office Note from the Examiner of Public Works Accounts, dated 4th February 1882; No. 1,097.

Budget Estimate.	Receipts.	5 per cent.
Military	30,000	1,500
Other Services	3,000	150
	33,000	1,650
Direct Revenue.		**10 per cent.**
From Productive Public Works	1,08,185	10,818
Irrigation and Navigation.	10,606	1,061
Agricultural	10,000	1,000
	1,28,791	12,879

THE Budget Estimates for receipts as already communicated under Imperial are as shown in the margin, against which the percentages at 5 and 10 have been entered.

2. The Establishment charges entered at 23 per cent. in the several Budgets will have to be increased to the extent of these amounts.

No. 31-E. ORDER THEREON, 9th February 1882, No. 365 W.

ORDERED that the following letter be sent to the Government of India :—

(Here enter No. 366 W., dated 9th February 1882.)

(True Extract.)

(Signed) R. H. SANKEY, Colonel, R.E.,
Secretary to Govt., P.W.D.

To the Examiner of Public Works Accounts, with letter.

Exd. S. T. Austin.
Spare Copies

2/365/66

GENERAL.
ACCOUNT.

Govt. of {1882} Madras.

PUBLIC WORKS.

To

THE EXAMINER OF PUBLIC WORKS
ACCOUNTS.

Dated February, 9th.

Issued

Recd. *Encl.*

G.O., 9th February 1882, No. 365 W.

FEBRUARY.

Nos. 31—31-E.

Establishment Charges.—Ordered that the Government of India be requested to increase the — of the several Budgets of the Public Works Department for 1882-83.

3/365 b

PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT.

General.
Account.

No. 366 W.

No. 32.

FROM

THE SECRETARY TO THE GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS,
Public Works Department,

To

THE SECRETARY TO THE GOVERNMENT OF INDIA,
Public Works Department.

SIR,—Adverting to my telegram of the 28th ultimo and your reply thereto of the 2nd instant, I am directed to append a statement furnished by the Examiner showing that the Establishment charges of the several Budgets in this Department for 1882-83 will have to be increased by Rs. 1,650 on account of 5 per cent. on the receipts under Military and Imperial Other Services, and by Rs. 12,879 as being 10 per cent. on the direct revenue realised in this Department for Productive, Irrigation and Navigation, and Agricultural Works.

Budget Estimate.	Receipts.	5 per cent.
Military	30,000	1,500
Other Services	3,000	150
	33,000	1,650

Direct Revenue.		10 per cent.
From Productive Public Works	1,08,185	10,818
Irrigation and Navigation.	10,606	1,061
Agricultural	10,000	1,000
	1,28,791	12,879

2. In requesting that these adjustments may be made in the several budgets already submitted, I am desired by the Right Honorable the Governor in Council to solicit the very earnest attention of the Government of India to the communications marginally noted, showing how Provincial has for the last three years had to bear an undue amount of Irrigation Establishment charges elsewhere debitable to Imperial, and to request the favor of an early settlement being arrived at, as serious embarrassment in regard to this question still exists.

G.O., 15th September 1881, No. 2267 W.
„ 8th October „ „ 2473 W.

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

(Signed) R. H. SANKEY, Colonel, R.E.,
Secretary to Govt., P.W.D.

FORT ST. GEORGE,
9th February 1882.

Exd. S. T. Austin.
Spare Copies

GENERAL.
ACCOUNT.

Govt. of {1882} Madras.

PUBLIC WORKS.

To

THE SECRETARY TO THE
GOVERNMENT OF INDIA.

Dated February, 9th.

Issued

Recd. *Encl.*

9th February 1882, *No.* 366 *W.*

FEBRUARY.

No. 32.

REQUESTING to increase the Establishment charges of the several Budgets of the Public Works Department for 1882-83 for certain reasons.

4/3676

[*For Heads of Departments only.*]

Circular No. XXP.W.

GOVERNMENT OF INDIA.

PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT.

ACCOUNT.
General.

Simla, September 29, 1881.

Mode of charging for Establishment when work carried out by one fund is supervised by Establishment belonging to another fund.

Read—

Chapter XV, section IV, paragraph 131, of the Code of the Public Works Department.

OBSERVATIONS.—It is observed from the paragraph of the Public Works Code above read that when the establishments of provincial funds are required to carry out work chargeable to imperial funds, or, *vice versâ*, when imperial establishments have to execute work for provincial purposes, the sums credited to those establishments for such duties are based on percentages of the outlay on works and repairs which are uniform for the mutual work in each province, but differ in different provinces.

2. In most provinces the bulk of the establishment is provincial, and consequently the balance of the work done by one fund for the other lies generally with the provincial establishments, on which, therefore, any unsuitability in the rate of percentage fixed for such purposes chiefly tells, and in some instances those rates have been challenged as insufficient.

3. The ground of their alleged insufficiency has usually been that the fixed rate has been less than the proportion of the provincial establishment to the provincial works. But on investigation it has almost invariably transpired that the provincial establishment has been employed on local as well as provincial works, and that the rate at which the local works have been debited for the use of that provincial establishment has been considerably less than the fixed rate, so that the amount charged to provincial works has obviously been a balance, considerably larger, and therefore showing a larger ratio, than would have resulted if the fixed rate had been used for the local works on which that establishment had been partially employed. It is evident that the nominal cost of the provincial establishment so arrived at gives no proper basis for a charge for work done for imperial purposes. It would be equally legitimate to suggest that the imperial works should not be charged at a higher rate than the local works. In fact, such a suggestion would not be unreasonable, as experience and enquiry show incontestably that petty and scattered works, of the general nature of local works, entail expense in respect of supervision, if supervised professionally, from three to six times as great as works that are concentrated or in large stations, however great the professional skill that the latter may demand. Thus, for instance, the construction establishment on the local outlay of State Railways costs almost exactly one-half of that for Other public works and Irrigation, concentrated and scattered alike.

4. The correct principle on which to decide what percentage should be charged for the use of what may be called foreign establishment would appear to be to use as a starting basis the cost of the whole establishment employed for the latter class of public works in all India. In 1878-79

the whole of the establishment charges for Other public works and Irrigation for all India were £1,677,000. They were excessive for the work involved, and were reduced in the following year by one-fifth, bringing them down to £1,342,000, which may be treated as the normal scale, the estimated cost for the present year being £1,345,000, almost exactly the same amount. At the same time, the expenditure has risen, instead of diminishing, the estimated amount for the current year being £7,890,000 against an actual expenditure in 1878-79 of £7,180,000. But as it is possible that the full outlay expected may not be incurred, or that the establishment may have to be increased, the safest calculation for existing circumstances is to compare the normal establishment (£1,342,000) with the actual transactions of 1878-79, which are also on a level with those of the two succeeding years. In considering this establishment, it has to be remembered that it deals with revenue as well as expenditure, and it would appear from investigation that the revenue, for the present purpose, may fairly be debited for establishment with 5 per cent. of the amount realised from Other public works, and 10 per cent. of that realized from Irrigation. This would reduce the establishment for works to £1,230,000, which is 23 per cent. of the outlay on works and repairs of 1878-79 after deducting tools and plant.

5. It would appear, therefore, that this is the average proportion, for the whole of the Other public works and Irrigation works of India, of the cost of establishment compared with the outlay on works and repairs in whatever way supervised or managed; and it should, in the opinion of the Governor General in Council, represent the normal charge to be made for the use of establishment. When an establishment carries out foreign work, it may be assumed that it is done for the convenience of both parties and in the interest of economy; that the work, for instance, lies where the fund for which it is executed has no establishment at hand, while the establishment which executes it can with advantage have additional work given it to do, and does not, as a rule, require to be increased at all for such additional work. It seems obvious, therefore, that the charge for establishment in such cases should not exceed the average cost.

6. The outlay with which the charge for establishment is comparable is the normal local outlay on works and repairs, exclusive of outlay on imported English stores (iron work and the like) and on the cost of land; the latter because the establishment that does the work is not public works establishment, and both of them because the proportion of the total outlay on such items to the total outlay of the General Branch of the Department on which the calculations have been founded were so slight as to be inappreciable in dealing with percentages.

7. There are provinces, doubtless, in which the normal rate should not apply; but this can be for only one reason, *viz.*, that the *rate of work* is so exceptional as to affect materially the whole proportion in the province of the cost of the establishment to that of work. But this or any similar exceptional reason can be dealt with on its own merits.

8. When, however, no such circumstance exists, there seems to be no reason why the average rate should not be adopted—should not, at any rate, be the maximum limit. If in any province the cost of the general establishment is above that average rate and no exceptional reason, only the system and scale adopted locally, can be adduced for such excess, that would be no ground for allowing an excess in charging another fund for the use of establishment; because, under the provincial system, the provincial administration is responsible for its establishment arrangements, and must bear the entire consequence of its costliness, as, on the other hand, it would receive the full benefit of its economy in organisation and management.

RESOLUTION.—The Government of India therefore resolves that for the future the basis for the rate to be adopted for the use of establishment shall be the proportion current for the cost of the establishment of the General Branch of the whole of India to the outlay on the works and repairs of that branch, and that for the present the rate is 23 per cent.

ORDERED, that this Resolution be circulated to all Local Governments and Administrations for information and for adoption as the basis of any modification that may be hereafter proposed of the arrangements for the adjustment of charges for the use of establishment.

The Governments of Madras, Bombay, Bengal, the North-Western Provinces and Oudh, and the Punjab, in the Public Works Department.
The Chief Commissioners, Central Provinces, British Burma, and Assam.
The Resident at Hyderabad.
The Resident at Mysore (through Foreign Department).
The Agents to the Governor General for Central India, Rajputana, and Biluchistan.
The Superintendent of Port Blair and Chief Commissioner, Andaman and Nicobar Islands.
The Director General of Telegraphs.
The Inspector General of Military Works.
The Accountant General, Public Works Department.
The Director General of Railways.
The Director-in-Chief, Indo-European Telegraph.
The Director, Persian Telegraph.
The Deputy Director, Persian Gulf Telegraph.
The Consulting Engineers to the Government of India for Guaranteed Railways, Calcutta, Lahore, and Lucknow.

J. S. TREVOR, Col., R.E.,
Offg. Secy. to Govt. of India.

GOVERNMENT OF INDIA.

PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT.

ACCOUNT.
General.

To

No 2730 &
731
Bulch 68

Circular No. XXP.W.

Dated Simla, September 29, 1881.

Received

no 2730 d/3 Nov/81
Recorded with the remark that this Govt await the decision of the Govt of India as to the contribution from Revenue which is to be allowed to this Presidency towards the cost of Establishment, none whatever having been hitherto received in this Presidency though allowed in other provinces.
H.E. No 2731. W. d/3 Nov/81.
Copy of the above forwarded to the Govt of India.
To Ex Para

Mode of charging for Establishment when work carried out by one fund is supervised by Establishment belonging to another fund.

Govt. C. B. Press, Simla.—No. 174 P. W. D. A. G.—15-10-81.—220.

[For Heads of Departments.]

Circular No. II Public Works.

GOVERNMENT OF INDIA.

PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT.

ACCOUNT.

General.

To

THE GOVERNMENTS OF MADRAS, BOMBAY, BENGAL, THE NORTH WESTERN PROVINCES AND OUDH, AND THE PUNJAB, IN THE PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT.
THE CHIEF COMMISSIONERS OF THE CENTRAL PROVINCES, BRITISH BURMA AND ASSAM.
THE RESIDENT AT HYDERABAD.
THE RESIDENT AT MYSORE (THROUGH FOREIGN DEPARTMENT).
THE AGENTS TO THE GOVERNOR GENERAL FOR CENTRAL INDIA, RAJPUTANA, AND BELUCHISTAN.
THE SUPERINTENDENT OF PORT BLAIR AND CHIEF COMMISSIONER, ANDAMAN AND NICOBAR ISLANDS.
THE DIRECTOR GENERAL OF TELEGRAPHS.
THE INSPECTOR GENERAL OF MILITARY WORKS.
THE ACCOUNTANT GENERAL, PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT.
THE DIRECTOR GENERAL OF RAILWAYS.
THE DIRECTOR-IN-CHIEF, INDO-EUROPEAN TELEGRAPH.
THE DIRECTOR, PERSIAN TELEGRAPH.
THE DEPUTY DIRECTOR, PERSIAN GULF TELEGRAPH.
THE CONSULTING ENGINEERS TO THE GOVERNMENT OF INDIA FOR GUARANTEED RAILWAYS, CALCUTTA, LAHORE, AND LUCKNOW.

Fort William, January 20, 1882.

The orders laid down in Government of India Circular No. XX P W, dated 29th September last, regarding the adjustment of Establishment charges, should have effect from the commencement of the year 1882-83.

A. J. FILGATE, Major, R. E.,
Depy. Secy. to the Govt. of India.

GOVERNMENT OF INDIA.

PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT.

ACCOUNT.
General.

To

Circular No. II Public Works.

Dated January 20, 1882.

Received

B. No. 368 W. dated 10th July 1882
Recorded in extenso
of G.O. 2730 W. dated 3rd Nov. 81.
(Sd) R. H.S

Ex. Sec. to Govt.

The orders contained in Government of India Circular No. XX P W, of 1881, regarding adjustment of Establishment charges, to have effect from 1882-83.

No. 56, P. W. D. Press—20-1-82—930.

Telegram - to the Secy to the Govt of India PW Deptt dated 28 January, 1882

"your accounts Circular 29th September last para 4 + May Revenue other services be debited with 5 and Irrigation with 10 per Cent for establishment in current and next official year + Wire reply solicited.

Fm W Butterston

Spiritual

No answer has as yet been received to S.O. 15 Sept. 1881 No 2267 W regarding the incidence of the Irrigation Estab.t Charge, although some time since elapsed on the subject, but learning that was called In the papers annexed with Circulars of the S of S No XX PW of 25 Sept. 1881 and No II PW of 20 Jan. 1882 I for the first time have been Cognizant in the Irrigation Branch No 90 A1. of 17.th Nov. 1881 in reply to a letter of Col Dunlop No 704 I of 15 October 1881 which also to the best of my recollection I have also not been

The last letter from the S of S (17 Nov.) does not it is true directly refer

to mine of 15th Sept last time is enough I think to admit of a reference being made by telegram in connection with the Budgets of 1882-83 to the S of S — and if the H. Co. approves I would now write as follows to

Sec. Works India
Calcutta

"Your accounts circular 29th September last para 4 + Any Revenue often Annis be debited with 5 and Irigation with 10 per cent for Establishment in current and next Official year + " Sir I reply solicited Sir I would I think be a long matter to a

Telegram Despd marked "urgent" 28-1-82.

X 4 of S of S / circular no XX PW of 29th Sept 1881

read as in the para X quoted the Principle on which such charges are made as a rule clearly is I am distinctly affirmed and if extended to Madras would at once relieve us in all our difficulties — 10 per cent — on Irigation revenue alone would by the last returns bring in 2/3 of the Estab.

28/1/82

To the Secretary
Govt of India

Sir

I am directed to acknowledge your telegram of the 28th ult. and your reply thereto of the 2nd instant. I am directed to forward a statement furnished by the Examiner showing that the Estimated Charges of the Central Budget in this Department for 1882-83 will have to be increased by Rs 1650 on account of 5 per cent on the receipts under Military and Imperial Services, and by Rs 12 879 on account of 10 per cent on the direct revenue realized in this Dept for Productive Irrigation & Navigation and Agricultural works.

2. In requesting that these adjustments

GOVERNMENT OF INDIA.

PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT.

ACCOUNT.

General

Telegram No. 19 A G.

STATE MESSAGE DESPATCHED BY ELECTRIC TELEGRAPH.

On the 2nd of February 1882

From Calcutta | To Madras

From Works | To Madras Works.

Revenue other Services and Irrigation realized directly by Public Works officers may be debited with five and ten per cent for establishment only in 1882 83 Circular does not apply to 1881/82 or to Revenue realized in the Civil Department. Such Charges must be met from existing grants.-

Telegram No. 19 A. G.

Copy forwarded by post for information.

W Purnell

Calcutta
The 2nd Feby 1882.

for Secy. to the Govt. of India.

12/10
3656

P 11
3656

* G.O. 15 Sept. 1881 No 2697
G.O. 8 Oct. 1881 No 2973

may be made in the Revised Budgets already submitted I am directed by the Rt. Hon'ble the Secy. in Council to solicit the very earnest attention of the Govt to the Communications marginally noted, showing how Provincial Revenues for the last three years have had to bear an undue amount of Irrigation Establishment Charges which are debitable to Suspense and to request the favour of an early Settlement being arrived at on Lines embracing the principles which exists

8/2/82

Take the papers together 9/2/82

Traffic Code Form C.

Class 55 — INDIAN TELEGRAPH. — Line No.

To (Station) Madras — From (Station) Calcutta

Words	Day.	Hour.	Minute.	Official Instructions.
55/14	2	15	40	

To (Person) Madras Works — From (Person) Works

Revenue and directly works be five per 1882/83 not 1881/82 revenue the such be. existing other services irrigation realized by public officers may debited with and ten cent for establishment only in circular does apply to or to realized in civil depart charges must met from grants

Recd from — L. S. — At Hrs. Mts. Pr — Sent To — L. S. — At Hrs. Mts. Pr — Date

Telegraph Master

MADRAS 2-2-82

4 FEB 82
EXAMINER

P. W. C. No 71

Rec'd 3 July

13/361-66

EXAMINER
NA
4 FEB 82
CALENDA

No 1218 E
R.H. 1031 of 4 Feb 1882

C Please let me know to what extent this will affect our Budgets in 82-83 The result will I fear be inappreciable

3/2/82

PS

TO W. R.H. 1097 of 4 Feb 1882
Encls. abstd. below

. .THE BUDGET ESTIMATES FOR RECEIPTS AS ALREADY COMMUNICATED UNDER IMPERIAL ARE AS SHEWN IN THE MARGIN, AGAINST WHICH THE PERCENTAGES AT 5 AND 10 HAVE BEEN ENTERED.

2. THE ESTABLISHMENT CHARGES ENTERED AT 23 PER CENT IN THE SEVERAL BUDGETS WILL HAVE TO BE INCREASED TO THE EXTENT OF THESE AMOUNTS.

C.

	Budget Estimate	Receipts	5 per cent	10 per cent
Military		30000	1500	
Other Services		3000	150	
		33,000	1,650	
Forest Revenue				10 per cent
Mines Productions				
Public works	105,185			10,518
Irrigation and Canalization		10,606	1061	
Agricultural		10,000	1,000	
	1,28,791		1,2,879	

X

Govt of India Resolution and Circular No XX P.W. of 29th Octr 1881

If this resolution is to be made applicable to Madras it must be so in its entirety, and in that case there is an end of our establishment trouble.

Ten per cent on our irrigation revenue is beyond our most sanguine ideas, and we can very well put up the two per cent (25-23) on works expenditure.

(Sd) J.P.

2/6 oct/82

C, No. 925 N

Please let me know as soon as possible what relief this will give to our provincial Establishment.

As 120 lakhs is the revenue dependent on works classed as Irrigation para 4 we should receive a contribution from this source of 12 lakhs per annum towards Provincial

(Sd.) R. H. S.

To W. No. 753
28-10-81.

I do not draw the same conclusions as you do from the Govt. of India Circular No. XX P. W. of 29 September 1881.

2. As I understand it, our 25 per cent is to be reduced to 23 per cent. The 5 per cent and 10 per cent in para 4 of the observations seem to me merely part of the argument upon which the decision is arrived at, that 23 per cent shall, for the present, be the contribution on account of "foreign Establishments."

3. If, however, your view is the right one, we should get about 15 lakhs on account of revenue percentage for our contribution from Imperial, in addition to the 23 per cent on works and repairs. I fear there is no chance of this.

(Sd.) D. C. G.

C.

10/383

For information

The present Resolution of the Govt: of India will have the immediate effect of reducing the charge we make for establishment against Imperial Irrigation from 29 (about) per cent to 23- and for Imperial Military and Other Services from 25 to 23 %- this at least will be the immediate effect of the Resolution.

What effect the observations in para 4 may have in eventually deciding the incidence of our charge for Irrigation Establishment seems doubtful

(See

We can only record with the remark that we await the decision of Govt. of India as to the contribution from Revenue which is to be allowed to this Presidency towards the cost of Establishment hence whatever having been hitherto received in this Presidency though allowed in other provinces.

(Sd.) W. H.

(See annexed Office Notes)

As urged by the Examiner the Govt: of India may only have introduced the remark by way of enforcing their general argument and in applying the principle to Madras may not admit that the Revenue under Irrigation should be charged 10%. In fact they do not seem to have taken Madras into account in calculating the charge against Revenue

e.g.

	£
Normal Estab^{t} charge	13,42,000
Reduced to	12.30,000
Difference	£ 112,000

which is 10% on Rs. 112,00,000 whereas the Revenue alone under the Madras Works is Rs. 120,00,000.

The Resolution though in fact likely to have an indirect bearing on our question as to the incidence of the charge does not authorize any specific action in the direction of charging off any Establishment to Revenue and we must await the result of our reference on this subject as made not long since — Probably the ruling will not now be long delayed.

(Sd) R. H. C^{o}
29.10.87

It would probably suffice to "Record" the Resolution and communicate to the Examiner with reference to the Code para quoted in the heading?

No 90. P.W.
Govt of India.
P. W.D.
Account
Irrigation

To The Secretary to the Govt of Madras.
P. W.D

Simla 17 Nov 1881.

Sir,

I am directed to acknowledge the receipt of your letter No 704. P. dy 15 Oct 1881, forwarding for sanction proposals for the re-appropriation of certain percentage charges on account of Establishment and in reply to refer you to Govt of India P.W.D. Circular No XX P.W of 29 Sept: last, which describes the mode of charging for Establishment when work carried out from one fund is supervised by Establishment belonging to another fund, in accordance with which a complete proposal as to reappropriation of grants should be submitted to the Govt of India for sanction as early as possible.

I have &c
Signed A Pollen Major R.E.
Secy to Govt of India

No. 365 W

PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT.

PROCEEDINGS OF THE MADRAS GOVERNMENT.

UNDER date the 9th February 1882.

Read the following :—

19

G.O. Nos 2730 W dy 3rd November 1881

2731

Circular from the Govt of India (H.E. No 11 W dy 20th January 82)

Read also

Telegram to the do (" dy 28th January 1882)

Do from the do (" dy 3rd February ")

Office Note from the Examiner of Accounts (" 1097 dy 4th ")

Ordered that the following letter be sent to the Government of India.

(H.E. No 366 W dy 9th February 82)

To,

The Secy to the Govt of India, P.W.D.

Sir,

Adverting to my telegram

To,

The Examiner of Accounts

Chief Engr for Irrigation with copy of letter to India despd 24-2-82

recd 24-2-82

of the 28th ultimo and your reply thereto of the 2d instant, I am directed to append a statement furnished by the Examiner shewing that the Establishment charges of the several Budgets in this Department for 1882-83 will have to be increased by Rupees 1650 on account of 5 per cent on the receipts under Military and Imperial Other Services, and by Rs 12,879 as being 10 per cent on the direct revenue realized in this Department for Productive, Irrigation and Navigation, and Agricultural Works.

	Budget Estimate	Exempt	5 per cent
Military	30,000	—	1,500
Other Services	3,000	—	150
	33,000	—	1,650.
Direct Revenue			10 per cent
from Productive Public Works.	108,185	—	10,818
Irrigation & Navign.	10,606	—	1,061
Agricultural	10,000	—	1,000
	128,791	—	12,879

2. In requesting that these adjustment may be made in the several Budgets already submitted, I am desired by the Right Honorable the Governor in Council to solicit the very earnest attention of the Govt of India to the Communications marginally noted shewing how Provincial has for the last three year had to bear an undue amount of Irrigation Establishment charges elsewhere debitable to Imperial and to request the favor of an early settlement being arrived at, as serious embarrassement in regard to this question still exists.

9/2/82

& GO 15th Sept 1881 No 2267W.
" 8th Octr 1881 No 2473W.

U.S. Disptd to India.
9-2-82

Go no 2650. 3.2.80
2254. 27.1.81
3360 } 8.2.81
3370 }
Des: No 14 of 1881.
Go 4794 } 22.2.81.
4806 }
269 } 12.4.81
270 }
1678 W. 7.7.81
Des: No 41 of 1881
Go 1697 W - 9.7.81
1729 W. 14.7.81
Des. No 50 of 1881
2017 W.
Go 2265 W. 15-9.81
2472 W. } 8. 10.81.
2478 W }
3684 W. 10.2.82

B. No. 365 Wd 9-2-82
366

21/365-66

719.

Govt. of {1882} Madras.

PUBLIC WORKS.

To

Dated 13/2/82

Issued

Read. 21/2/65-6 Encl. 1-3

G. O., 9th Feby 1882, No. 365-66

Nos. 317-32

Establishment Changes

Ordered that the Govt be requested to announce the ——— of the General Budgets ~~of the~~ of the Pr Dept for 1882/83

Re preceding to increase the Establishment charges of the General Budgets of the Pr Dept for 1882 for certain reasons

1675/2