

READ the following:—

Govt. of 1882 Madras.
REVENUE.

B. No. 3352 Wd/19.12.82

Recorded
Cell
15.12.82

Co. 1781. 14.13.7.82

At 10/12

Issued

Recd.

Encl.

G.O., 17th November 1882, No. 1262.

NOVEMBER.

No. 3352 Wd/19.12.82

Special Local Funds.

Report on the operations of the — for 1881-82.
— Reviewing —.

FOR ORDERS.

The ~~probable~~ effect of the ~~rule~~ under ~~rule~~ of his Note dated 19th June 1882 on the subject of para 7 below is much remodelling the Civil & be deprecated and Engineering College, the India should beached Chief Engineer wrote as follows:—
The Committee in para-
graph 5 of their report
"are under a misapprehension
"in supposing that Commission-
"ed (i.e. Staff Corps) Officers
"are eligible for appointment
"from the College. Again,
"no European or East Indian
who are not of pure descent
percentage. H.V.

29/11

Why has this most question
not been brought up to test
already -

as I understand, two or
three East Indians, who
entered the P. W. in 1881

ed (i.e. Staff Corps) Officers
are eligible for appointment
from the College. Again,
no European or East Indian

Govt. of 1882 Madras.
REVENUE.

B. No. 3352 Wd/19.12.82

Recorded

Cell

15.12.82

Issued

Recd.

15/12/82

5

Encl.

G.O., 17th November 1882, No. 1262.

NOVEMBER.

No. 3352 Wd/19.12.82

Special Local Funds.

Report on the operations of the — for 1881-82.
— Reviewing —.

10/24/82

I will be the order. Rep.

"Can, under the late ruling
"of the Secretary of State,
"be appointed to the
"Engineer Establishment,
"or indeed to any higher
"position than that of
"Supervisor, 2^d grade, this
"being the highest available
"appointment under the
"limit of Rs 200 salary."

2. In making these
remarks, the Chief Engineer
had in view the recent
decision of the Govt of
India in regard to the
proposed promotion to the
Engineer Establishment of
certain Upper Subordinates
recommended by this
Government, and the
general instructions of the

FOR ORDERS.

Govt & have risen up
to the top of the "Subordinate
Branch", are refused by
India their promotion to
the "Engineer Branch", be-
cause they are not of pure
Ariatic origin. The promisi-
-tion being stated to be based
on a Despatch from S. of S. -
we asked to see that Despatch,
which was refused - "Correspon-
-dence is still going on between
"India & the S. of S. on the sub-
"ject" and it was added that
the S. of S.'s intention was in-
ferred to be as described - not
distinctly stated.

Surely this gave a fair oppor-
-tunity for a howl from the
Officers superseded - & we
shd have incited them to howl.

It is quite impossible to
believe that the S. of S. has been
rightly understood by India -
1st. In his standing Order
restricting the employ^t of
Europeans in the public ser-
-vice, he reminds how he has
defined "Native of India";
the definition includes East
Indians, as the children of
people domiciled in India.

2^d / Even in restricting
the employ^t of Europeans,
the S. of S. says "Mind - this
"will not affect the promotion
"to places of over 200 Rs a
"month, where he man-
"has risen in the dept since
"a certain date" - anything
else wd have monstrously
unfair - history is to be freshly
app^d to 200 Rs, unless he is
a "Native of India" - but he
may rise from 150 to Rs 200,
even if he be a European.
The S. of S. cannot possibly
have intended to be any harder
on Europeans already in the
S.P.W. than upon Europeans
in other depts.

get an authorization
& clear decision
MSW

Secretary of State as to
the appointment of
Natives of India exclusively
to the higher posts of the
Uncovenanted Civil Service.

3. The accuracy of the
Chief Engineer's interpretation
has however been questioned.

The subject is one of
vital importance to a
large number of persons,
and it seems to the
Chief Engineer that there
should be a clear under-
-standing not only of the
literal interpretation
of the rulings laid down -
but also of the policy
which the Government
intend to pursue under
the general instruction

S. W. Dept
No. 3351 W. Madras, 19 Decr 82

To,
The Secy to the
Government of India,
S. W. Dept

Sir,

Considerations connected
with the remodelling of the
Madras Civil Engineering
College, now engaging the
attention of Government,
have led to enquiries as to
the practical effect of the
recent ruling of the Govt
of India prohibiting the
employment in the higher
appointments of the S. W.
Dept of persons who are
not of pure Asiatic
parentage, and I am

& Letter No 797 G of 10 June 82
" 216 G of 7 July "

The Hon. H. C. S. S. S.
The Hon. D. F. C. S. S.
The Hon. H. C. S. S. S.

B. N. 3351 W of 19-12-82

Letter to India sent to
the Secy to Govt for info
on 12-6-82

Ex. 2214 W of 27-8-79.
194 W of 23-1-80
205 W of 2-2-81
2529 W of 19-10-81
739 W of 17-3-81
106 W of 27-4-81
163 W of 20-1-82
421 W of 15-2-82

Revised 12/12/82
C. B. H. - 12-12-82

directed to address the
Government of India
on the subject.

2. In their Circular No
21-746-53 dated 18th April
1899 the Government of India
in the Home Department
drew attention to the necessity
of appointing natives of
India to a greater number
of the higher offices in the
Uncovenanted Service. In
communicating these instructions
the Secretary of State
ruled that with certain
exceptions (of which the
P. W. Dept was one)
no person other than a
Native of India should for
the future be appointed

2214
194
196
1529
739
106
163
424

1-807-12

to an office carrying a
salary of Rs 200/- a month
or upwards. There was
a further exception made
in the case of officers who
entered the service before
the 1st January 1899.

3. A Native of India
was defined to be "any
"person born and domiciled
"within the dominions of
"Her Majesty in India,
"of parents habitually resident
"in India, and not established
"there for temporary purposes
"only"

4. The promotion of ^{the} Upper
Subordinates who were
nominated by this Govt
for advancement to the

3-5-92 ✓

27-1-99 ✓

27-1-99 ✓

14-6-99 ✓

9-1-11 ✓

8-2-23

B/
No 3357 Wd/19 Dec/82

Ans/12 R B/12

Ans/12