

194, 195 - Ootacamund Municipality - Procp: in the
 Pub. Dept. on the ap-
 plication of the -
 for a loan of 4 lakhs
 of Rupees, recorded
 Sub Dist Office - Central
 14/12 3304. W.

196, 197 - Delta Dist. - Sanctg. the
 meeting of a house
 at Amalapur for
 the -
 14/12 3305. W.

198, 199 - Spice scales & weights
 lying in the Bellary
 Co. Dist. Office - Procp.
 Passing orders on
 Procp: in the Rev. Dept.
 regarding the ^{dysnomal}
 transfer of the ^{certain}
 which ~~particular~~
 during the ~~same~~
 to the Salt Comr.
 14/12 3306. W.

200, 201 - Major Fraser - letter
 from the G. of I. de-
 clining to transfer -
 to the G. of I. list
 Comd: to him for
 information. 14/12 3307. W.

202, 203 - Suit against D. Shwara -
 I write - letter from
 the S. E. ~~...~~

Co. 113. W. ...
 No. 675. C. 9. 3. R
 " 773. C. 1. 4. R
 " 913. C. 22. 4. R
 " 148 E. 25. 5. R
 " 169. E. 29. 5. R
 " 278. E. 20. 6. R

No. 668. W. 15. 3. R
 " 2882. W. 3. 11. R

No. 2963 h. 9. 11. R
 2964

25TH NOVEMBER 1882, No. 1957, JUDICIAL.

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS.
 JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT.

READ—the following paper:—

Despatch from the Government of Madras, to the Most Honorable the Secretary of State for India, dated Ootacamund, 17th August 1882, No. 12.

We have the honor to forward the accompanying memorial addressed to your Lordship by ———, late a Sub-Inspector of Police in the district of ———.

2. The memorialist was appointed to the Police service on probation, but failing to qualify within the proper time in the prescribed tests, and being, moreover, unfavorably reported on by his superior officers, he was removed from the Force by the Inspector-General of Police, whose action we supported. Memorialist appeals against our orders.

3. We take this opportunity to inquire, with reference to Rule XII (Clause 9) of the rules laid down by your Lordship regarding the transmission of memorials addressed to the Secretary of State for India, which vests the Governments in India with discretionary power to withhold memorials when they are mere appeals against the non-exercise by such Governments of a dispensatory discretion vested in it by law or rule, whether it is the wish of your Lordship that memorials like the present should be transmitted. It will be observed that the memorialist's service was only probationary.

4. We have no recommendation to make in memorialist's behalf.

Extract from Despatch from the Most Honorable the MARQUIS OF HARTINGTON, Her Majesty's Secretary of State for India, to His Excellency the Right Honorable the Governor in Council, Fort St. George, dated India Office, London, 12th October 1882, No. 14 (Judicial).

Para. 3. With reference to the inquiry in the 3rd paragraph of your despatch, I can only say that the present memorial appears to come under Rule XII (Clause 9) of the Memorial Rules, whereby the Governments in India are authorised to exercise their discretion in withholding memorials which are merely appeals against the non-exercise by such Governments of a dispensatory discretion vested in them by law or rule.

ORDER—dated 25th November 1882, No. 1957, Judicial.

Communicated to the Departments of the Secretariat.

(True Extract.)

C. G. MASTER,
 Chief Secretary.

To the Departments of the Secretariat.

Exd. T. O'Connor.

Govt. of (1882) Madras.

JUDICIAL.

Order thereon No 3218
4/7 Dec/82.

Recorded

(True Extract)

12d/ Ross Thompson
Ag Under Secy to Govt
P.W. Dept

Issued

Recd.

Encl.

G.O., 25th November 1882, No. 1957.

No 3218 of 4/7 Dec/82
NOVEMBER.

Memorials.

Communicating to the Departments of the Secretariat Despatch from the Secretary of State regarding the discretionary power of Governments in India to withhold memorials addressed to the Secretary of State under Rule XII (Clause 9) of the Memorial Rules.

with order

25TH NOVEMBER 1882, No. 1957, JUDICIAL.

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS.

JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT.

READ—the following paper:—

Despatch from the Government of Madras, to the Most Honorable the Secretary of State for India, dated Ootacamund, 17th August 1882, No. 12.

We have the honor to forward the accompanying memorial addressed to your Lordship by _____, late a Sub-Inspector of Police in the district of _____.

2. The memorialist was appointed to the Police service on probation, but failing to qualify within the proper time in the prescribed tests, and being, moreover, unfavorably reported on by his superior officers, he was removed from the Force by the Inspector-General of Police, whose action we supported. Memorialist appeals against our orders.

3. We take this opportunity to inquire, with reference to Rule XII (Clause 9) of the rules laid down by your Lordship regarding the transmission of memorials addressed to the Secretary of State for India, which vests the Governments in India with discretionary power to withhold memorials when they are mere appeals against the non-exercise by such Governments of a dispensatory discretion vested in it by law or rule, whether it is the wish of your Lordship that memorials like the present should be transmitted. It will be observed that the memorialist's service was only probationary.

4. We have no recommendation to make in memorialist's behalf.

Extract from Despatch from the Most Honorable the MARQUIS OF HARTINGTON, Her Majesty's Secretary of State for India, to His Excellency the Right Honorable the Governor in Council, Fort St. George, dated India Office, London, 12th October 1882, No. 14 (Judicial).

Para. 3. With reference to the inquiry in the 3rd paragraph of your despatch, I can only say that the present memorial appears to come under Rule XII (Clause 9) of the Memorial Rules, whereby the Governments in India are authorised to exercise their discretion in withholding memorials which are merely appeals against the non-exercise by such Governments of a dispensatory discretion vested in them by law or rule.

ORDER—dated 25th November 1882, No. 1957, Judicial.

Communicated to the Departments of the Secretariat.
(True Extract.)

Gloucester
Chief Secretary.

To the Departments of the Secretariat.

Exd. T. O'Connor.

Govt. of (1882) Madras.

JUDICIAL.

No 3218 of 7th Decr/82
B

Recorded.

4.12.82

Issued

Recd.

4/12/82

Encl.

G.O., 25th November 1882, No. 1957.

B. No 3218 of 7th Decr/82
NOVEMBER.

6 copies

Memorials.

Communicating to the Departments of the Secretariat Despatch from the Secretary of State regarding the discretionary power of Governments in India to withhold memorials addressed to the Secretary of State under Rule XII (Clause 9) of the Memorial Rules.

Intd. in Receipt Regr.

with order.

No 86356.

Superintending Engineer's Office
2nd Circle, Masulipatam
22nd November 1882.

From

The Superintending Engineer
2nd Circle.

S

The Chief Engineer,
Public Works Department

Sir,

The Executive Engineer, Sangam Project Division reports that, he has detached 2 Overseers from Sangam, during the present slack time of work to collect Artizans and laborers for next Season's operations.

2. Overseer Ramiiah has been sent to Caddapah and Kurnool, and Overseer Kuppuswami Iyengar to Madras and Chingleput. Both Overseers having been sent beyond the limits of this Circle, I have the honor to request, with reference to Code para 509, that the journeys on duty of these 2 Overseers may be sanctioned.

C. E. for J. ✓
C. E. for R. S. ✓

Despatched 8-12-82-

1074/12