

NOTES.

REFERENCE TO MADRAS P. W. D. CODE.

CHAPTER XIV.—PARAS. 1829 TO 1832.

1. The object of this Return is to place before Government an analysis of all shortcomings in matters of financial regularity in the operations of each month with a view to their being remedied. It should be submitted to Government on the first day of the third month succeeding that to which the accounts relate.

2. There should be a separate statement for each separate Fund—Imperial, Provincial, Local, and Irrigation.

3. The Provincial statement will be posted from the Divisional Statements of Objectionable Expenditure. The statement need not be delayed in order to embody the remarks of the Superintending Engineer if these do not reach the Examiners Office in time. It is desirable, however, that the Superintendent Engineer's opinion should be recorded as far as may be practicable, and in order to ensure this being done, the Divisional Statement of Objectionable Items should be checked immediately on receipt and sent to the Superintending Engineer for his remarks before the audit of the other accounts is proceeded with.

4. All Executive Officers who have failed to submit their Divisional Statements of Objectionable Items with their Monthly Accounts, as well as those whose statements are generally incomplete, should be specially brought to the notice of Government under "General Remarks" at the end of the statement.

5. Items of irregular expenditure which are left unincorporated by Executive Engineers in their Divisional Statements of Objectionable Items should be distinctly noted as such either in a separate supplementary statement or in the original statement in red ink.

6. Columns under all the heads should be filled up in each case so as to bring out clearly where estimate or appropriation exists and where it does not.

7. In the case of large projects such important features as the following should be stated with precision:—

- | | | |
|--|---|--|
| A. Lump sum estimate. | { | 1. Submitted to Chief Engineer. |
| B. Abstract detail estimate by sub-heads, and so on. | | 2. Submitted to Superintending Engineer. |
| | | 3. Not yet submitted. |

8. A large work which is proceeded with on merely a lump sum sanction is still objectionable till an abstract and detail estimate by sub-heads is submitted and sanctioned for it by competent authority.

9. Special mention should be made of works being carried out in portions by authorities, beyond whose powers of sanction it would be to sanction the expenditure as a whole.

10. An important point to notice is the duration of a serious irregularity.

11. Voluminous masses of detail, when their practical significance could be given in a few lines, should always be avoided as a rule. If, for instance, the state of matters as to sanction in one month does not materially differ from that of a preceding month, it will answer all practical purposes to say so, instead of sending another full report.

12. Too much stress can never be laid on the fact that this statement is not to take place of special reports, then and there, of works on which serious irregular expenditure is being largely incurred, either without estimate or appropriation.

P. W. D. Madras.
Form No. M 64a.

CENTRAL OFFICE OF ACCOUNTS.

CIRCLE 2nd

FUND Imperial and Provincial

Provincial Statement of Objectionable Items in the Accounts of Executive Engineers for the Month of August 1882.

No. _____

MADRAS,
Dated 30 October 1882.

FORWARDED for orders to the Secretary to Government, Public Works Department, Madras.

H. Ramm
Dy. Examiner
For Examiner of P. W. Accounts,
Madras.

3015 M of 14 Nov 82

Ex-tem Receipt Regd

201711

Co. 2770. H. 24. 10. 12

Provincial Statement of Objectionable Items in the Accounts
requiring the

Item No.	Particulars of each Item.	Month in the Accounts of which Objectionable Expenditure first appeared.	COMPARISON WITH ESTIMATE.			COMPARISON WITH APPROPRIATION OF THE YEAR.			Expenditure during the Month.
			Amount of Estimate.	Expenditure up to Date.	Excess over Estimate.	Amount of Appropriation.	Expenditure of the Year.	Excess over Appropriation.	
	<i>Imperial</i>								
	<i>Nil</i>								
	<i>Provincial</i>								
	<i>Want of Estimate</i>								
	<i>Jungum Project</i>								
1	Tools and Plant								
	<i>New supplies</i>								
	Value of Tools &c. purchased.	Augt. 1881		1578	1578				809

of Executive Engineers for the Month of
orders of Government.

August 1882

Opinion of the Superintending Engineer,
with Final Remarks by Examiner.

Orders by Government.

No 3015 W of 14' No 01/82

The Executive Engineer states that Estimate for purchase in April has been sanctioned. Estimates for June and July are sent to Government for sanction. An Estimate for the purchases in August will be submitted for sanction. As previously brought to notice the piecemeal submission of Estimates for sanction after payment is incurred is objectionable.

Estimate for Rs. 604 sanctioned on 2.8.82 in G.O. No 1947 and for Rs. 770 on 6.11.82 in G.O. No 2917

Provincial Statement of Objectionable Items in the Accounts requiring the

of Executive Engineers for the Month of

August 1882

Item No.	Particulars of each Item.	Month in the Accounts of which Objectionable Expenditure first appeared.	COMPARISON WITH ESTIMATE.			COMPARISON WITH APPROPRIATION OF THE YEAR.			Expenditure during the Month.
			Amount of Estimate.	Expenditure up to Date.	Excess over Estimate.	Amount of Appropriation.	Expenditure of the Year.	Excess over Appropriation.	
	<i>Part of Estimate (continued)</i>								
	<i>Sungum Project (continued)</i>								
2	Repairs and Carriage of Tools and Plant April from April to August 1882 1882	1882	316	316					189
	<i>Kistna Eastern</i>								
3	Archaeological works March at Anuradthy 1882	1882	1723	1723					

Opinion of the Superintending Engineer, with Final Remarks by Examiner.

Orders by Government.

Pending sanction to an Estimate the outlay will have to be treated as objectionable.

Estimates should be early submitted for sanction

Outlay incurred under the authority of G.O. No 836 W. dated 30 March 1882 and the Executive Engineer has been requested to obtain sanction to an Estimate.

Instructions issued in O.P.D. for July/82 should be early attended to

H. Ramin
Dy Examiner
For Examiner P. M. Accts
30/10

Cy

488

S. B. Sundar Ladi
To the Examiner P. M. Accts
Decptd 11-11-82

Provincial Statement of Objectionable Items in the Accounts requiring the

[illegible]

of Executive Engineers for the Month of
orders of Government.

183

Opinion of the Superintending Engineer, with Final Remarks by Examiner.	Orders by Government.