

R. No 568 of 30.10.82 Encl 2
No 94 of 26th Oct/82

To C

For remarks.

From

The Supg Engineer
2nd Circle

Ag W
No 633 of 31.10.82
To W. Encl 3

Please see
recommending entry in
this claim Subd. No
778 X of 7-10-82
to the address of S.E.
2nd Circle -

To

The Chief Engineer
P. W. D.
Madras.

Dated 18th
Despatch 19th
Recd 24 } October 1882

No 1315 K.S.
B. No 2970 Wd 10th Nov-1882

20/10/82
of C

B. No 2970 Wd 10th Nov-1882
under the circumstances
stated Mr. C. M. Smith is
reinstated in his appointment
with benefit of previous service

Per 10
6.11.82

33.10 S.E. 2nd Circle
By 24/10 Ex. P. W. acct

Smith - C. M. Mr. Asst.
Engineer - Forwards original
letter from - explaining the cir-
-cumstances which caused him
to overstay his leave & requests
to condone his absence & to re-
-appoint him with retention
of his previous service.

Subd. in Receipt Page To

Copy of Endorsement No. 778 x dated
7th October 1882 by the Examiner P. W.
Accounts on a letter from ^{Mr.} C. Mitford Smith
Assistant Engineer dated 6th October 1882.

Forwarded to the Superintending
Engineer 2nd Circle.

Mr. Smith availed himself of the
3 months' Privilege Leave granted in
G. O. No. 1461 W. dated 13th June 1882 on
the afternoon of the 27th June 1882, and
should therefore have returned to duty
on the forenoon of the 28th September 1882.

Under Section 162 of the Civil
Leave Code an officer who remains
absent after the end of his leave is
entitled to no allowances for the
period of overstay, and ceases to have
a lien on any appointment if the
period by which Privilege Leave is
overstayed, exceeds a week. If the
absentee is reinstated, and the Local
Government declares that his previous
service will not be forfeited with
reference

reference to Section 96 (4) of the Civil
Pension Code, and the proviso follow-
ing, the unauthorised absence will
not be treated as an interruption
of service. Please also see Section
71 Rule 7 and Rules 9 and 10 of Section
162 of the Civil Service Code.

/ True copy /

G. H. Patton

Supt. Correspondence Branch
29/9/00

No. 1253 H.S.

Superintending Engineer's Office
2nd Circle, Masulipatam
28th September 1882

From

The Superintending Engineer,
2nd Circle.

To

The Chief Engineer,
Public Works Department

Sir,

I have the honor to bring to your
notice that, I have received 2 Telegrams from
Mr. C. Milford Smith, Assistant Engineer, one
from Bundisi dated the 14th Instant,

stating that to say, he had missed his steamer, and
he will ~~see~~ a second dated this day from Aden.
and his leave
by more than
a week

Mr. Smith gave over charge of his
Sub Division on the afternoon of the 27th June,
and proceeded on 3 months' privilege leave.
He is due today, but as it is clear he
will overstay his leave by more than
a week, he will, I conclude, have
to be re-gazetted.

I will, on Mr. Smith's return
to duty, obtain and forward any explanation

he may wish to offer of his services to
join within the period of his leave, but
as I have been informed by this gentleman,
that he will certainly exceed the weeks
of grace allowed at the end of privilege
leave, I think it right to bring the matter
to your notice.

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most Obedt Servant

J. W. Rundall

Superintending Engineer,
2nd Circle.

41328710

Compld

30 OCT 82

From
The Supg Engineer
Second of file

To
The Chief Engineer
P. W. D. Madras.

Dated 28th }
Recd 29th } Sept 1882
Received 2 October 1882

No 1253 N.S.

No 948 d/d - 26th Oct 1882

Milford Smith - Mr
Asst Eng^r C

Brings to notice
that - has telegraphed
from Eden that owing
to detentions he will not
be able to join before
the expiry of his leave

W. For Orders.

KISTNA EASTERN DIVISION OFFICE,

1332

No. 370

FROM

THE OFFICER IN CHARGE OF

Southern SUB DIVISION

EASTERN DIVISION KISTNA.

TO.

The Executive Engineer
Eastern District Kistna

Enclosures.

SIR,

In continuation of this office no. 564
of the 7th inst., I have the honor to
forward the following explanation of the cause
of my overstaying the leave granted me. With
another gentleman returning from
leave, I left London 12 hours before the
mail. At Paris we asked for a sleeping
compartment through to Turin, and were put
into a carriage which we were informed was
a through carriage from which we should not
have to change.

When we awoke next morning we found
ourselves near Lyons instead of on the road
to Turin and consequently arrived at Brindisi
after the departure of the mail steamer.

I have the honor to request that
you will send this explanation to the
Superintending Engineer and ask

C. R. W.

Amount in
Smith's duplicate
attn

4/10

only 2/10

2.10.82

him to forward it to Government with
a request that the delay may be
condoned.

No 3141
Forwarded to the Engr
Supt. 2nd Circle, as requested

Wm Canal
13 October 1882

recd 14th 82

[Signature]

I have the honor

11th October 1882
Chief Engineer
Asst. Engr.

J.D. Hunt
4th Engr

30 OCT 82

with reference
to Supt. Engr
No 1270 of
3rd instant

No 1315 H.S.

Superintending Engineer's Office,
2nd Circle, Masulipatam
18th October 1882.

From

The Superintending Engineer,
2nd Circle.

To

The Chief Engineer,
Public Works Department.

Sir,

I have the honor, in continuation of my
No 1753 H.S. of the 28th ultimo, to forward herewith
an original letter from Mr. C. Mitford Smith
Assistant Engineer explaining the circumstances
which caused him to overstay his leave by 11
days.

The delay was purely an accident, and
under the circumstances, I trust Government will
be pleased to condone Mr. Smith's absence and
to reappoint him with retention of his pre-
vious service.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,

Your most Obedt Servant
J.W. Rumsalt
Superintending Engineer
2nd Circle

Ch. 6604
copy 2/10/82

1/13/28/10

From

Mr. Engr. Engineer

Mr. Engr. Engr.

Mr. Engr. Engineer

2nd Circle

2/13th October 1882

No 3141

Smith - C.M. Engr.

Engr. - Forwarded -

Information from - for

overriding the leave an

ed to him.

Page 17 10.82

1332 H.S.