

(1) Number of pages 18
(2) Date of repair 15.4.87
(3) Initials of the Referencer bin ✓

TAMIL NADU ARCHIVES

GOVERNMENT OF TAMIL NADU

P. W. DEPARTMENT

G.O. No.	2656 W	Press.
B.P. No.		Mis.
Dated	12. 10. 1882	197.

HL

Not to be folded or roughly handled

12TH OCTOBER 1882, No. 2656 W., PUBLIC WORKS.

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS.

PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT.

General.
Account.

No. 65.

READ—the following letter from D. C. GORDON, Esq., Examiner, Public Works Accounts, to the Secretary to Government, Public Works Department, dated Madras, 3rd October 1882, No. 107 W.:—

I have the honor to forward copies of correspondence noted in the margin for orders of Government.

Letter from Superintending Engineer, Fourth Circle, to Executive Engineer, Nilgiri Division, No. 565 of 31st August 1882.

Examiner's No. 258 S.E., 4th of 8th September 1882.

Superintending Engineer's (Fourth Circle) No. 226 of 11th September 1882.

Examiner's No. 279 of 22nd September 1882.

Superintending Engineer's (Fourth Circle) No. 244 of 28th September 1882.

2. The Superintending Engineer has sanctioned a sum beyond his powers of sanction for works, such sanction being an open and running one, the advances to be made on an unlimited number of unknown works, for an indefinite period and quite uncontrolled by the Superintending Engineer.

3. This is, in my opinion, opposed to the spirit of paragraph 1161, and requires the orders of Government.

4. There is no doubt that in cases in which advances have to be given it is troublesome to apply for sanction separately for each individual advance, and I think there can be no objection to Executive Engineers being allowed to give advances subject to confirmation of the Superintending Engineer, but *only* when work cannot be prosecuted without previous advance and as exceptional cases. Whenever advances are made, the Executive Engineer must send a statement to the Accounts Office through the Superintending Engineer, otherwise there can be no control whatever by the audit.

5. In no case should an advance above Rs. 500 be granted for any one work without sanction of Government.

No. 66.

ORDER—dated 12th October 1882, No. 2656 W., Public Works.

The Government concur in the views expressed by the Examiner of Public Works Accounts in paragraph 4 of the foregoing letter, which will be communicated to the Superintending Engineer for information and guidance.

(True Extract.)

Lieut.-Col., R.E.,
Ag. Under Secy. to Govt., P.W.D.

To the Superintending Engineer, Fourth Circle.
„ other Superintending Engineers.
„ the Examiner, Public Works Accounts.

Exd. S. T. Austin.
Spare Copies

GENERAL.
ACCOUNT.

Govt. of (1882) Madras.
PUBLIC WORKS.

Dated October, 12th.

Recd.

Encl.

G.O., 12th Oct. 1882, No. 2656 W.

OCTOBER.
Nos. 65-66.

Advances to Workpeople.

Concurring in the views of the Examiner,
Public Works Accounts, for payment of —,
and communicating the same to the Super-
intending Engineer, Fourth Circle, for in-
formation.

N^o 565 N.S.

To

The Executive Engineer
Nilgiri Division

3. As ~~works~~ are being again started
it will be necessary for further advance to
be made, therefore in supersession of this
Office sanction N^o 532 N.S. dated 10th August 1882
sanction is given to the Executive Engineer
to make advances to the extent of Rs 400 to
laborers.

dated 31st August 1882.

(Sgd) H. R. Mead. Lieut Col. R. E.
Superintending Engineer
IV Circle

True extract forwarded to the Examiner
Public Works Accounts for information

(Sgd) H. R. Mead. Lieut Col. R. E.
Superintending Engineer
IV Circle

Camp Copy.
31st August 1882

True copies

G. S. Rattan
Supt Correspondence Branch

Ref. 3/10

2656
3

Copy of letter from the Examined
Public Works Accounts to the Superintend-
ing Engineer 4th Circle N^o 258 S. 6th dated
3rd September 1882.

With reference to your letter N^o
565 W. S. dated 31st August 1882, I have
the honor to request you will name
the works on account of which the advances
have been sanctioned specifying the
amount as pertaining to each.

(True copy)

J. P. Patton

Supt. Correspondence Branch

L. P.
3/10

W. S. 11

2656
4

Copy of letter from the Superintending
Engineer IV Circle to the Examiner Public
Works Accounts Madras N° 226 dated.
11th September 1882

With reference to your N° 258 I beg
to regret that I am unable to lay down
specific amounts to be advanced on each
work to labourers &c in the Nilgiri Division.
The chief advances are for Government house
Ootacamund but I have no wish to hamper
the Executive Engineer by ordering that
no advance must be paid on account
of any other work and see no necessity
to do so, he must and will use his
discretion as regards to what work the
advances are debited to.

(True copy)

J. H. Statter
Supt Correspondence Branch.
29
3/0
H. C. 10

Copy of letter from the Examiner, Public
Works Accounts Madras to the Superintending
Engineer II Circle No 249 S. K. II dated 22nd
September 1882.

I have the honor to acknowledge
your No 226 of 11th Instant, and in reply beg to
state that I understand the sanction given
in para 3 of your No 565 of 31st August last to the
Executive Engineer Nilgiri Division is intended
to cover a series of initial advances limited to
an aggregate of Rs 400 and not to further
periodical advances as the initial ones are
worked off; e.g. if the Executive Engineer has
in September given several advances aggregat-
ing Rs 400 of which a portion say Rs 400 may have
been worked out, he cannot give out the Rs 400
in further advances without obtaining your
further sanction.

2. If I am correct in this, I would ask you
to instruct the Executive Engineer to advise me
(through you, or direct, as you may consider
right) of the amount of the advances granted
& the works which have been debited. This in-
formation is necessary to enable my Office
to exercise a proper check on the Accounts
submitted.

3. If however, you intend that your sanction
shall cover prospective and general advances to
laborers, provided that the aggregate outstanding
at any one time shall not exceed Rs 400, the sanction
of Government will be necessary. In this case the in-
formation asked for in para 2 above will still be re-
quired by me. (True copy)

[Signature]

Superintendent Branch

2650

Copy of letter from the Superintending
Engineer IV Circle to the Examiner Public
Works Accounts No 244 dated 28th September
1882

Your letter No 579 S.C. 4th dated 22nd September 1882

I have the honor to inform you that
the advances sanctioned of Rs 400 will not
be exceeded but it will be recovered from
time to time and in some cases further
advances will be made this is necessary
on the Nilgiri Division and I, under para
1161 of Code, am authorised to give sanc-
tions

2. With reference to para 3 of your
letter I request you will inform me
under what rule the sanction of Govern-
ment you ask for is necessary.

(True copy)

G. S. S. S.
Supt Correspondence Branch

29
3/10

11.06.3.10

Print
without
enclos

FROM,

The Examiner P. W. Accounts,

Madras.

To,

The Secretary to Government,

Public Works Department.

No. 107 W.

2656
7

Madras, 3 October 1892.

2656 W

Sir,

I have the honor to forward copies of correspondence

Letter from Supg. Engineer 4th. Circle
to Executive Engineer Nilgiri
Division No. 305 of 31st. August
1892.

Examiner's No. 258 of 8th. September 1892
Supg. Engineer's (4th. Circle) No. 226 of
11th. September 1892.

Examiner's No. 279 of 22nd. September
1892.

Supg. Engineer's (4th. Circle) No. 294 of
28th. September 1892.

noted in the
margin for
orders of
Government.

2. The

Superintending Engineer has sanctioned a sum beyond his
powers of sanction for works, such sanction being an open
and running one, the advances to be made on an unlimited
number of unknown works, for an indefinite period and quite
uncontrolled by the Superintending Engineer.

3. This is in my opinion opposed to the spirit of
para. 1561, and requires the orders of Government.

4. There is no doubt that in cases in which advances
have to be given, it is troublesome to apply for sanction
separately for each individual advance, and I think there can

be no objection to Executive Engineers being allowed to give advances subject to confirmation of the Superintending Engineer, but only when work cannot be prosecuted without previous advance, and as exceptional cases. ^WWhenever advances are made, the Executive Engineer must send a Statement to the Accounts Office through the Superintending Engineer, otherwise there can be no control whatever by the audit.

5. In no case should an advance above Rs. 500 be granted for any one work without sanction of Government.

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your Most Obedient Servant.

D. P. Kanungo

Examiner P.W. Accounts.

ful
sent

No 2656 W 2, 12 October 82

The Govt concurs in
the views expressed by
the Govt of P.W. Accounts
in para 4 of the fore-
going letter, which will
be communicated to the
Suptg Engineer for
information & guidance.

The Examiner P.W. Accounts,
Maarab.
The Secretary to Government,
P.W. Department.

5.10.82

Dated 3 October 1882.
Recd.

87 115-
10
5
8
19
17
17
7

18/10

Enclos. 5.

Regarding the sanction of
advances to work people by the
Superintending Engineer 4th circle.

S.E. Fourth Circle
S.E. Fourth Circle
Other Suptg Engrs
For P.W.A.

Write order

only 5/10 Oct 6.10 rd 11-10

6913.

Gobt of (1882) Madras
PUBLIC WORKS.

13 10

Dated

Recd.

Encl.

G. O. 12th Oct 1882, No. 265610

Nos. 75666

Advances to work people

~~been~~ Conceding in
the views of the Eq. M. A.
for payment of —
& Conceding the same
to the Dist. & District Circle
for information

12/10

1073/10

Entd. in Receipt Book