PRESIDENCY PUBLIC WORKS WORKSHOPS,
Madras, 5th September 1882.

No. 1415 W.

---

of G. O. No. 2185 W dated 24th August 1882. (True extract)

8th September/82 (Signed) Ross Thompson
Lieut Col. R.E.
Under Secy to Govt. P.W.D.

(True Copy)

P.W.D.

C. Simpson
Second Assistant
P.W. Secretariat

Vide G.O. No. 2586 W dy 4 Oct 82

Entd in Receipt Regr.

PRESIDENCY PUBLIC WORKS WORKSHOPS,
Madras, 5th September 1882.

No. 1415 W.

Godavari District Special
Assistant Agents Office,
Rajahmundri, dated
5th September 1882.

From

J. N. Atkinson Esquire
Special Assistant Agent.

To

W. A. Happill Esquire,
Government Agent,
Cocanada.

Sir,

I think it right that I should call your attention to a letter from Mr. Foste to the chief Engineer for Irrigation dated 1st April last No 182 embodied in Govt. Order Revenue dated 15th July last No. 751.

2. That letter was I believe, based almost entirely on a report of mine No. 55 dated 23rd March 1882.

3. This report included all the information I was then able to collect on the subject of the reasons assignable for the apparent decrease of trade on the Godavari.

But subsequently I discovered a much more important fact Viz, that the returns of trade for years previous to 1880-81 were undoubtedly erroneous. This matter is mentioned in para 9 of my administration Report for 1881-82. It was in January 881 that my predecessor Mr. Martindale discovered that goods passing down the river which had already been entered in the registers of the chowkidar at Dumagudiem were again entered in the Wadagudiem registers and vice versa with goods going up the river. This practice was at once put a stop to and naturally the returns for 1880-81 showed a deficiency as compared with those for previous years. This seeming deficiency appears also in the returns for last year. But you will observe that in the amount of exports at any rate there was an increase of 30,000 maunds in 1881-82 over 1880-81.

5. I have considered it necessary to mention this fact because the question of making the juncture Canal from Gangole to Bhadrachalam may in some measure depend thereupon.

I have the honor to be
Sir,
Your most obedient serv
(Signed) J. N. Atkinso
Special Assistant

No. 419.

Forwarded to the Chief Engin for Irrigation, Madras in continuation of this office letter No. 182 dated 1st April 188

Godavari District
Collector's Office
Cocanada,
15th September 1882
despd 16 do

(Signed) W. A. Happell
Ag. Collector.

No. 57 W.

Forwarded to the Secretary to Govt. P.W.D. in continuation of this office endorsement No. 27 W dated 15th April 1882.

27th Septr 1882

(Signed) Ross Thompson
Lieut. Col. R.E.
For Chief Engineer
for Irrigation.

Order thereon No. 2586 W d/ 4th October/82

Recorded in Continuation of

Entd. in Reco

of G. O. No. 2185 W dated 24th August
1882. (True extract)

8th September/82 (Signed) Ross Thompson
is
Lieut Col. R.E.
Under Secy Govt. P.W.D.

(True Copy)

P W D

C Simpson
Second Assistant
P.W. Secretariat

Mis G.O. No. 2586 W dy 4 Oct. 1882

15th July 1882, No. 751, Revenue.

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS.

REVENUE DEPARTMENT.

Read—again the following Mis. G.O., dated 10th June 1882, No. 1824:—

Read—the following letter from W. S. FOSTER, Esq., Collector of the Godávari District, to the Chief Engineer for Irrigation, dated Cocanada, 1st April 1882, No. 182.

Referring to your letter, No. A, dated 9th January last, I have the honor to inform you that I am of opinion that the circumstances of the river and the difficulties it places in the way of navigation are materially prejudicial to the development of trade.

2. The extent and value of trade is given below:—

Years.	Exports.		Imports.	
	Quantity.	Value.	Quantity.	Value.
	B. MDS.	RS.	B. MDS.	RS.
1877-78	69,492	5,11,928	98,203	8,95,209
1878-79	217,646	10,63,835	231,592	11,78,450
1879-80	276,294	7,80,444	110,423	5,64,552
1880-81	142,702	3,81,521	80,133	3,50,313
1881-82	172,751	3,29,366	75,597	2,78,171

From the above figures you will see that the exports have gradually increased up to 1879-80, while the exports and imports have fallen off, the former from 1880-81, and the latter from 1879-80. The reasons for the gradual falling off in exports and imports are as follow:—

I. Timber trade has decreased because there is a glut in the market.
II. The discontinuance of the regular running of steamers on the river.
III. The recent fituri.
IV. Several jungle products having become scarce owing to reckless treatment. Thus myrabolans which used to be exported very largely are now becoming extremely scarce, because the jungle tribes, instead of merely gathering the nut, cut down the whole tree.
V. Two years ago there was a large increase in the tax charged on timber in Bustar.

3. I think it would be very much for the benefit of the trade and for surmounting the difficulties of the river to have a boat canal from Gangole to Bhadráchalam or Sudaram.

Endorsement, No. 27 W.

Forwarded to the Secretary to Government, Public Works Department, with reference to paragraph 16 of Colonel Mullins' Memorandum, No. 18 W, dated 17th March 1882.

Chepauk,
15th April 1882.

(Signed) J. O. HASTED, Col., R.E.,
Chief Engineer for Irrigation.

Memorandum—from Colonel R. S. SANKEY, R.E., Secretary to Government, Public Works Department, dated Ootacamund, 27th April 1882, No. 47-E.

Referred to the Revenue Department for consideration with special reference to Clauses IV and V paragraph 2 and paragraph 3, of the Collector's letter.

To the Revenue Department, with papers in original to be returned.

Mis. G.O., No. 1824, dated 10th June 1882.

Referred to the Conservator of Forests for remarks.

Read—the following letter from Major J. C. WALKER, Conservator of Forests, to the Secretary to Government, Revenue Department, dated Madras, 5th July 1882, No. 408-C:—

With reference to Miscellaneous G.O., No. 1824, dated 10th June, I have the honor to remark that the demarcation of forest reserves in the two taluks under Forest Conservancy in the Godávari District—Bhadráchalam and Rékapalle—is in progress, and that when completed, the protection of the trees yielding the myrabolans of commerce (*Terminalia belerica and chebula*) will receive careful attention with a view to augmenting the supply of this valuable product. At present matters are quite in an initiatory stage, and I do not think Mr. Boileau has attempted to conserve those trees specially or collect their produce, not wishing to interfere permanently with the so-called privileges of the people.

2. I can offer no opinion on the charges on timber in Bustar, or the state of the timber trade generally.

Our receipts from timber have declined considerably since the transfer of the two taluks from the Central Provinces, owing, I believe, to a more liberal interpretation of the rules under which free passes are issued and "podu" or hill cultivation permitted.

Order—dated 15th July 1882, No. 751, Revenue.

Forest, No. 53.

The Conservator appears to have misapprehended Section 4, paragraph 3, of the Collector's letter. Jungle tribes have not, as far as is known, the right to felling myrabolan trees. He is requested to place himself in communication with the Collector and to report what steps can be taken for the preservation of these trees.

(True Extract.)

(Signed) E. F. WEBSTER,
Secretary to Government.

To the Public Works Department.
,, Conservator of Forests.
,, Board of Revenue.

Exd. W. J. Lewis.

100 Copies.

B No 2185 W of 24-8/82

Recorded

Initd / R.J.
21/8/82

Printd / R.A.S.
21/8/82

Govt. of (1882) Madras.

REVENUE.

Order, dated 15th July 1882, No. 751.

B No 2185 W of 24-8/82

Myrabolan Trees — in the jungles of Godávari.—Preservation of —. Conservator of Forests requested, in communication with Collector, to report the steps to be taken for —.

Godavari District Special
Assistant Agents Office
Rajahmundri dated
6th September 1882.

From
J. N. Atkinson Esquire
Special Assistant Agent

To
W. A. Happell Esquire
Government Agent
Cocanada

Sir

I think it right that I should call your attention to a letter from Mr. Foster to the chief Engineer for Irrigation dated 1st April last No 182 embodied in Government Order Revenue dated 15th July last No 751.

2. That letter was I believe based almost entirely on a report of mine No 55 dated 23rd March 1882.

3. This report included all the information I was then able to collect on the subject of the reasons assignable for the apparent decrease of trade on the Godavari

Godaveri.

4. But subsequently I discovered a much more important fact Viz. that the returns of trade for years previous to 1880-81 were undoubtedly erroneous. This matter is mentioned in para 9 of my administration Report for 1881-82. It was in January 1881 that my predecessor Mr. Martindale discovered that goods passing down the river which had already been entered in the registers of the chowkidar at Dumagudiem were again entered in the edagudiem register, and vice versa with goods going up the river. This practice was atonce put a stop to and naturally the returns for 1880-81 showed a deficiency as compared with those for previous years. This seeming deficiency appears also in the returns for last year. But you will observe that in the amount of exports at any rate there was an increase of 30,000 maunds in 1881-82 over 1880-81.

5 I have considered it necessary to mention this fact because the question of making the juncture Canal from Gangole to Bhadrachalam may in some measure depend thereupon.

I have the honor to be
Sir
Your Most Obedient Servant
(Signed) J. N. Atkinson
Special Assistant Agent

No. 419

Forwarded to the Chief Engineer for Irrigation Madras in continuation of this Office letter No. 182 dated 1st April 1882.

Godavery District
Collector's Office
Cocanada
15 September 1882
Despd 16 Do

Ag: Collector

No. 57 W.

Forwarded to the Secretary to Govt. P.W.D. in continuation of this office endorsement No. 27 W. dated ~~17th March~~ 1882 –
/15th April –

R W Thompson
For Chief Engr
27th Sept 82. for Irrign

P.W.C. No. 556

recd 28/9.

CHIEF ENGINEER FOR IRRIGATION
22 SEP 188

A – No. 3048 W. 8-12-81
" " 829 W. 28-3-82
" " 1334 W 29.5 – "
B – 2185 W. 24.8 – "

No. 2586 W d/ 4th Octr /82

B

Recorded in continuation of G.O. No. 2185 W dated 24th August 1882

29.9.82

2.10.82

Oct 29.9

Ord 29/9 Re 4/10

Entd. in Receipt Regr.

Memo No. 47 E dated 27th April 1882

P.W.C. No. 2449 – Endt. of the C.E. for I.
No. 27 W dated 15th April 1882 forwarding lette
from the Collector of Godavari District, No. 18
dated 1st April 1882 giving an expression of
his opinion in regard to the benefits to be
expected from the Dumagudiem Bhadrach
-lum canal and furnishing statistics of the
extent and character of the trade.

Referred to the Revenue Department for consideration with special reference to Clauses IV & V para 2 and para 3 of the Collector's letter.

(Signed) R. H. Sankey, Col R.
Secy to Govt. P.W. Dep

To
The Secy. to Govt. Rev. Deptt.
with papers in original to be returned

(True Copy)

Second Assistant
P.W. Secretariat

Ex. DAW

26/9 Oct 14.9

Entd. in Receipt Regr.

Date taken out.	For what purpose.			Initials of clerk taking the paper or of attender.	Date returned.	Initials of attender.
	Department.	C. No.	Year.			

P. W. Cho. 6616

No 2583 w of 4th October 82

B.

The appointment of M. Rungabramah Row as delta Supt 3rd grade Godavari Western Division is sanctioned - His claim to pension will be regulated by the established rule.

Cu

2. 10. 82

From

The Examiner, P. W. Accounts,
Madras,

To

The Secretary to Government,
P. W. Department,

Dated 27th } September 1882
Received 2 Oct 82

No 2583 w d/4-10-82

No. 25 A/V

Rangabramha Row

Reporting the appointment of ——— above 25 years of age, with reference to Rule 2 A, Section 52, Civil Pension Code, and to the Government of India's Resolution No. 423, dated 20th January 1871.

S. E. First Circle √ Desd 4/10/82
Exr P. W. a/c √ La

Entd. in Receipt Regr
Witherden

P. W. C. No. 6466

No 2584 w d/4th October 82

B

Under the circum-stances stated, the Govt. authorise the adjustment of the charges (Rs 30) incurred by Mr Taylor in defending the suit brought against him by maistry Yerakal Row

2- In the event of a further suit being brought, its defence is sanctioned

26. 9. 82

From

The Superintending Engineer,
~~III~~ ~~1st~~ Circle,

To

The Chief Engineer
P. W. Departt.
Madras.

Dated 23rd } September 1882.
Recd. 25th

Enclosures 1

No. 1124.

Suit filed by Maistry Yerikal Row:— Affording particulars regarding ——— and requesting permission to defend the same at Government expence.

With order

Entd. in Receipt Regr.

S. E. Third Circle √ Desd 4/10/82
Exr P. W. a/c La
only 26/9 Recd 10 Oct 14. 9.

Date taken out.	For what purpose.			Initials of clerk taking the paper or of attender.	Date returned.	Initials of attender.
	Department.	C. No.	Year.			

P. W. C. No. 5516

No 2583 W of 4 October /82

B.

The appointment of M. Rungabramah Row as delta Supt 3rd grade Godavari Western Division is sanctioned – His claim to pension will be regulated by the established rule.

Cu

2 · 10 · 82

From

The Examiner, P. W. Accounts,
Madras,

To

The Secretary to Government,
P. W. Department,

Dated 27th
Received 2 Oct 82 } September 1882

No 2583 W d/4-10-82

No. 25 A/V

Rungabramha Row

Reporting the appointment of —— above 25 years of age, with reference to Rule 2 A, Section 52, Civil Pension Code, and to the Government of India's Resolution No. 423, dated 20th January 1871.

Entd. in Receipt Regr.
Witter[illegible]

Sent 4/10/82

S. E. First Circle ✓
Exr P. W. A. – – ✓

Ea

Copy of a letter No. 896, dated 29th August 1882, from the Executive Engineer Cuddapah Division to the Superintending Engineer, III Circle, Bellary

I have the honor to report the following circumstances with regard to the suit brought by late Maistry Yerakul Row in addition to my letter No. 870, dated 25th August 1882.

On my return from circuit on the 4th June, I heard that I was summoned to attend the District Munsiff's Court as a defendant, but it was not till nearly the end of the month that I knew definitely that I had to appear on the 7th of July.

There was no time to comply with paras 351 and 352 of the Code and I therefore engaged a Vakil who told me that the case would certainly be dismissed on the technical ground that I as a public officer, had not received two months' notice that the suit would be filed.

I therefore allowed the matter to take its course.

The suit came on the 7th was adjourned for 4 days and then finally dismiss-

-ed on the above grounds.

The Vakils fees amount to Rs 30/- that is two consultations at Rs. 5 and Rs. 20/- for defending the suit and I request that sanction may be given for the amount. My reply to para 349 is that I had not the time to comply with the procedure laid down in the following paras.

1/4 Sub 6666/82

(Copy)

Major. R. E.
Superintending Engineer
III Circle.

MR

SUPERINTENDING ENGINEER'S OFFICE,
~~MADRAS~~,
Bellary ~~Chepauk~~, 23rd September 18-2.

No. 1124.

From
THE SUPERINTENDING ENGINEER,
III ~~1st~~ CIRCLE,

To
THE Chief Engineer
P. W. Department
Madras.

Enclosures.

SIR,

I have the honor to submit a copy of letter No. 896, dated 29th August 1882, from the Executive Engineer Cuddapah Division and to request that under the circumstances therein explained, authority may be given for the adjustment of the charges (Rs. 30) incurred by Mr. Taylor in defending the suit brought against him by a Maistry named Yerakul Row for recovery of wages Rs. 68-12-4 said to be due to him.

2. The facts of the case are: the Maistry was employed for the period of 3 months on the Post Office at Rajampett; his services were dispensed with for inefficiency in September 1881; but Captain Jackson the then Executive Engineer having ordered to give the Maistry another trial

he was reemployed on the understanding that he would be paid at the rate of 3% on the value of work turned out. When the Maistry's Services terminated he claimed pay at Rs. 12 per mensem, the rate of pay allowed to him before; but the Executive Engineer offered to pay him only at 3% on the value of work turned out; the Maistry refused to accept this and filed a suit, but the suit was dismissed on the ground that Mr. Taylor, as a public officer, had not received two months' notice that the suit would be filed.

3. Mr. Taylor's explanation is that he had no time to follow the Code instructions in this case as he was not aware till the end of June that he had to attend the District Moonsiff's Court on the 7th July

4. In a subsequent letter Mr. Taylor states that "in reading paras 348 et. seq., of the Code for guidance in this case, his attention was not drawn to para 482 and he was unaware that an application for postponing the case could be made".

5. In the event of a further suit being brought, permission is requested for its defence on the ground that the Maistry was fully informed of the terms on which he was to be reemployed and has no claim to any further remuneration than the three percent offered him.

I have the honor to be

Sir.

Your most obedient Servant

J. Pennycuick Major. R. E.

Superintending Engineer

III Circle

MR

No. 2584 W d/ 4th October /82

B B

Under the circumstances stated, the Govt. authorise the adjustment of the charges (Rs 30) incurred by Mr Taylor in defending the suit brought against him by Maistry Yerukul Row

2 - In the event of a further suit being brought, its defence is sanctioned.

26.9.82

Rs 40

4/10/82

S.E. Third Circle ✓

P.W.A.

Recd 10 only 26/9

Oc/ 14.9

P.W.C. No. 6466

From

The Superintending Engineer,

~~1st~~ III Circle,

To

The Chief Engineer
P.W. Depart.
Madras.

Dated 23rd }
Recd. 25 } September 1882.

ENCLOSURES 1

No. 1124.

Suit filed by Maistry Yerikal Row:—— Affording particulars regarding —— and requesting permission to defend the same at Government expence.

With Order

Entd. in Receipt Regr

R. No. 491 D/ 26.9.82

No. 1110 D d/ 25 Septr/82

To C.

Please say whether the building in question was Imperial or Provincial.

23.9.82

Cy

Regd 2/10 No. 535 D/ 29th Septr/82

To W.

Provincial - Please see Appendix I. para 14 (19) of the Code which classes all Medical Buildings under that service.

C.

A.G.
29/9

No. 2585 W d/ 4th October/82

B B

The action of the Supdg. Engr. 1st Circle is confirmed

2.10.82

S.E. First Circle ✓

Exr P.W.A. ✓

4-10-82

P.W.C. No. 6407

From

The Supdg. Engineer
1st Circle

To

The Chief Engineer
P.W.D.
Madras

Dated 14th }
Despd. 16th } September /82
Received 22 }

No. 1388 G.D.

Enclosure

Hospital Building at Dummagudem— Materials of the—

Informs ~~that the~~ were sold by public auction in anticipation of sanction of Government & requests sanction of Govt. confirming the Sa

With Order

No 2584 W of 4th October/82

B

Under the circumstances stated, the Govt. authorise the adjustment of the charges (Rs 30) incurred by Mr Taylor in defending the suit brought against him by Maistry Yerakul Row

2 - In the event of a further suit being brought, its defence is sanctioned

26.9.82

Rs. 40
4/10/82

To Third Circle
Commt. P.W.A.
only 26/9 Recd 2/10 Ord 14.9

P W C No 6666

From

The Superintending Engineer,

~~III~~ ~~1st~~ Circle,

To

The Chief Engineer
P. W. Depart.
Madras.

Dated 23rd
Recd. 25 } September 1882.

Enclosures, 1

No. 1124.

Suit filed by Maistry Yerikal Row: ———

Affording particulars regarding ——— and requesting permission to defend the same at Government expence,

With order

Entd in Receipt Regr

No. 1388 G.D.

From,
The Executive Engineer
In charge 1st Circle.

To,
The Chief Engineer
P.W. Department.

Dowlaishweram 14th September/82
Desp. 16th do

Sir,

I have the honor to bring to your notice that Mr Walch Officiating Superintending Engineer, ordered, in anticipation of sanction of Government, the sale of materials of the Hospital building, built some 20 years ago at Dumagudem, as it was reported by the Eastern Division officer Godavari, to be in the last stage of decay and not worth repairing & that it was not required for any purpose, the Hospital Establishment at Dumagudem having been withdrawn a long time ago.

2 The original value of the building cannot be traced from the records of the Division Office.

3. The materials of the building were

were sold by public auction for Rupees 381-"-".

4. Under the above circumstances I trust you will see fit to obtain the sanction of Government under para 1403 of the P. W. Code confirming the proceedings of the Officiating Supd. Engr.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient Servt

14/9
Exe: Engr. in ch. 1st Circle.

P.N.

R. No. 491 D/26.9.82

No. 1110 D d 25 Septr/82

To C.

Please say whether the building in question was Imperial or Provincial.

23.9.82
D.W.

C.

Regd 21/10 No. 535 D/29th Septr/82

To W.

Provincial - Please see Appendix I.para 14 (10) of the Code which classes all Medical Buildings under that service.

C.

S.P.
29/9

No. 2585 w d 4th October/82

B.B.

The action of the Suptg: Engr 1st Circle is Confirmed

2.10.82

S.E. First Circle
Exr Pubc. A

4-10-82

P.W.C. No. 6407

From
The Supdg Engineer
1st Circle

To The Chief Engineer
P.W.D.
Madras

Dated 14th
Desp.d 16th
Received 22
} September/82

No. 1388 G.D.

Enclosure

Hospital Building at Dummagudem — Materials of the — Informs ~~that the~~ were sold by public auction in anticipation of sanction of Government & requests sanction of Govt ~~confirming~~ the Sa

No. 2579 w d/4th October/82

B.

Ordered to be forwarded for the sanction of the Govt of India.

H.S. No. 2580 w d/4th October/82

Forwarded

29.9.82

C.

Despd to India
4/10/82

Copy furnished to C
15/11/82

S.E. 1st Circle
4/11

P.W.C. No. 542

From
The Supdg Engineer
1st Circle

To The Chief Engineer
P.W.D.

Dated 20th
Despd 22d
Received 28
} September/82

No. 2579

No. 426 V.Z.

Enclosure Nil

Invalid Sepoys Barracks at Vizagapatam — Requests the sanction of Govt to demolish & dispose off the materials of the under the circumstances stated within —

with order

No. 426 V.Z.

From

The Executive Engineer in charge
1st Circle.

To

The Chief Engineer
Public Works Department
Madras.

Dowlaishweram 20th September 1882.
Desp'd 22nd do.

Sir,

I have the honor to inform you that on the 19th May 1882, the Quarter Master General, Madras, furnished me with a copy of his Docket to the address of the Officer Comm'd'g Vizagapatam & Waltair, in which it was authorized that the invalid Sepoys Barracks at Vizagapatam should be handed over to the Superintendent of Police to be utilized as guard room for Police Powder Magazine.

2. The Executive Engineer, Vizagapatam now reports to me that at the request of the Superintendent of Police he took over charge of the above building, as it is no longer requi

---

For C. No.

MEMORANDUM.

Communication to offices of the P.W.D.
REQUESTED for ~~reference~~ the under-mentioned paper~~s~~:—

The Copy of
G. O. Financial Department No. 830
dated 31st July 1882.

C. Sanford
Chief Assistant
~~Registrar.~~ P.W. Dept.

GOVERNMENT OFFICE,
Public Works Department,
10th Nov'r 1882.

To
The ~~Registrar of the~~ Chief Assistant Public Dept.

842

Ie Entd. in Receipt Regr.

4/10 Ie Entd. in Receipt Regr.

by the Police. This building, the Executive Engineer states, is in a ruinous condition, and unless some watcher is placed in charge, the materials are most likely to be stolen.

3. The Executive Engineer further states that the building is not required by the Military Department and is not likely to be useful in any other way, and therefor he recommends that the building be demolished and the materials sold by Public auction.

4. Under para 1403 of the Code, I request you will be good enough to obtain the sanction of Government for the demolition of the building under reference.

I have the honor to be

Sir

Your Most Obdt. Servt.

Executive Engineer in Charge

1st Circle.

No. 2579 W d/4th October/82
B

Ordered to be forwarded for the sanction of the Govt of India.

H.S. Lr 2580 W d/4th October/82 forwarded

Ch 29.9.82

Despd to [illegible] 4/10/82

Copy furnished to C 15/11/82

S.E. 1st Circle / Desd 4/11/82

P.W.C. No. 654

From
The Supg Engineer 1st Circle

To The Chief Engineer P.W.D.

Dated 20th }
Despd 22d } September/82
Received 26 }

No. 426 V.Z.
Enclosure Nil

Invalid Sepoys Barracks at Vizagapatam - Requests the sanction of Govt. to demolish & dispose off the materials of the - under the circumstances stated within -

Entd. in Regr. with order

14th September 1882, No. 2379 W., Public Works.

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS.

PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT.

General.
Account.

No. 111.

Read—the following letter from J. C. LARMINIE, Esq., Executive Engineer, in charge First Circle, to the Chief Engineer, Public Works Department, dated Dowlaishweram, 26th August 1882, No. 286 G.N. :—

I have the honor to submit herewith an estimate in duplicate, amounting to Rs. 502, for the cost of instruments supplied from Public Works Stores for the use of the A Project Division, and to request you will be good enough to obtain the sanction of Government to the same.

2. The amount of the estimate will be met from the sum of Rs. 600 allotted in G.O., No. 1973 W., of the 4th August 1882.

No. 112.

Order—dated 14th September 1882, No. 2379 W., Public Works.

The estimate, amounting to Rs. 502, for instruments supplied from the Public Works Stores for the use of the A Project Division is sanctioned.

2. This sanction has been registered as Provincial No. 106 W. of 1882-83, and classified as Tools and Plant.

(True Extract.)

(Signed) R. H. SANKEY, Colonel, R.E.,
Secretary to Govt., P.W.D.

To the Superintending Engineer, First Circle, with original Estimate.
" Examiner, Public Works Accounts, with duplicate do.

Exd. S. T. Austin.
Spare Copies

GENERAL.
ACCOUNT.

Govt. of (1882) Madras.
PUBLIC WORKS.

Dated September, 14th.

Recd. *Encl.*

G.O., 14th Sept. 1882, No. 2379 W.

SEPTEMBER.
Nos. 111-112.

Instruments.

Estimate amounting to Rs. 502 *for* — supplied from the Public Works Stores, for the use of the A Project Division, sanctioned.

No. 291 G.L.

From,
The Executive Engineer
In charge of 1st Circle.

To,
The Chief Engineer
Public Works Department.

Through the Examiner P.W.A.

Dowlaishweram 13th Sept. 1882

Sir,

With reference to G.O. No. 2072 W. dated 14th August 1882, passing orders on the statement* of objectionable items for May 1882, I have the honor to report as follows.

* Imperial & Provincial

Items Nos. 2 & 7

The Divisional Officer concerned has been called upon to hasten the submission of the completion reports to the Examiner's Office.

Item No. 3.

An Estimate amounting to Rupees 502 was submitted for the sanction of Government with this Office No. 286 G.N. dated 26th August 1882.

5452 [illegible]
G.O. 2379 W.
14-9-82
A.

A

A Revised Estimate for the new Polinghy Ghât was submitted with Superintending Engineer's No. 396 V. Z. dated 4th September 1882.

Items Nos. 4 & 5.

6250 sent [illegible]
26/9/82. [illegible]

The Eastern Division Officer, Godavari has been requested to explain the cause of delay in the submission of the documents alluded to –

Item No. 9.

I have the honor to be
Sir
Your Most Obedient Servant

Executive Engineer in charge 1st Circle

Comp'd. [illegible]

Madras.

1882.

Entd. in Receipt Regr.

Endorsement No 738 S.E.I
dated 28th September 1882.

Forwarded to the Chief Engineer P.W. Department with the observation that the objections against Items Nos 2 and 9 have since been removed, but in respect to item No 9 it may here be mentioned that the Work Vouchers and Objectionable Items Statements for April, May, June and July were received in this office on the following dates.

April — 9th August 1882
May — 28th August 1882
June — 9 September 1882
July — 22d September 1882

D. C. Gordon
Examiner P.W. Accounts

28/9

28/9

738
29 SEP 82

From P.W. No 6580

The Supdg. Engineer
1st Circle

To The Chief Engineer
P.W.D.
Madras

Dated 13th }
Despd 16th } September /82
Received 29 }

No 291 G.L.

Enclosure Nil

Objectionable Item Statement for May /82 affording Explanation for certain items in the –

No 2582 W

PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT.

PROCEEDINGS OF THE MADRAS GOVERNMENT.

UNDER date the 4th October /82

Read the following :—

a/1 From

The Supdg Engineer 1st Circle } (W.E. No: 291 G.L. d/ 13th Septr /82)
Endt from the Exr P.W.A. } " " 738 S.E.I d/ 28th —

Recorded.

2. Item No 3 has been removed by G.O. No: 2379 W of 14th September 1882.

3. The revised estimate for the new Printing Plant is under con=sideration.

4. The Supdg: Engineer should see that greater expedition is used in the submission of work vouchers.

2.10.82

To The S.E. First Circle
" Exr: P.W.A.

4/10

4/10

Entd. in Receipt Regr.