

B. G. 15/7 N. 24-2-82.

B

1828 W. 1/18 July/82

P. W. f. no 4604

B

1827 W. 1/18 July/82
To The Under Secy of State
India Office
London.

Sir

I am directed to request that the Store Dept India Office may be instructed to forward all documents connected with the despatch of P. W. Stores from England, to the Master Attendant Madras, as that Officer is the Govt. landing & shipping Agent here.

This request is preferred as Bills of Lading, Packing accounts, Tenders for freight, & tonnage accounts are in some instances sent direct to the Genl. Supt P. W. Stores, while the Marine Dept is in ignorance of the shipment.

All consignments for the P. W. Dept & the Mysore State & S. Mahabla Railways are landed by the Marine Dept, & all documents connected with the shipping & despatch of these & all State Railway Stores consigned to Madras, should be sent to the Master Attendant, who will transfer them in due course to the Dept.

Concerned
Dispt. 22. July/82

14.7.82

14.7.82

14.7.82

P. W. f. no 4604

Public Works Store Department.

From

THE GENERAL SUPERINTENDENT,

P. W. STORES, &C.,

MADRAS,

To

The Deputy Chief Eng^d
M P. W.
Madras

No. 687 S.

Dated

10. July

Despd.

10. July

1882.

Recd.

No. of ENCLOSURE.

1827 W. 1/18 July/82

Requests that the India Store Department London may be asked to forward all documents connected with the despatch of Stores from England to the Master Attendant Madras, as that Officer is the Govt. shipping and landing Agent.

In the letter

Subl. in Receipt Regd

Provincial Statement of Objectionable Items in the Accounts requiring the

Item No.	Particulars of each Item.	Month in the Accounts of which Objectionable Expenditure first appeared.	COMPARISON WITH ESTIMATE.			COMPARISON WITH APPROPRIATION OF THE YEAR.			Expenditure during the Month.
			Amount of Estimate.	Expenditure up to Date.	Excess over Estimate.	Amount of Appropriation.	Expenditure of the Year.	Excess over Appropriation.	
	<u>Excess over Estimate</u>								
	Chota Nagpur Railway Survey	March 1882	14939	17498	2559				1613
	<u>Excesses on Main Heads</u>								
	"Plant" Purchase and carriage	March 1882	2200	3800	1600				
	"Establishment"	April 1882	2739	13390	651				1694
	<u>Excesses on Sub heads of Plant</u>								
			Estimated Outlay	Excess					During month
	(i) Cost of feeding elephants	March 1882	300	685	385				
	(ii) Carts, pack bullocks and coolies for carriage of camp Equipage &c.	Do	"	1223	1223				
	(iii) Sundry purchases poles &c.	Do	"	56	56				
	IV Scientific Instruments &c.	March 1882	"	1009	1009				

of Executive Engineers for the Month of

April 1882

Opinion of the Superintending Engineer, with Final Remarks by Examiner.

Orders by Government.

No 1816 W d/17th July/82

The Statement called for in G.O. No. 1521 W d/17th June 1882 will be awaited.

The excess of Rs 2559 on total amount of Estimate is brought to notice of Government.

D. P. Gonsou

Examiner of P. W. Accounts,

Madras.

The Exec. Eng. in charge of the Chota Nagpur Division has the Examiner P. W. Accounts

B. No. 15212.17-6-82.

NOTES.

REFERENCE TO MADRAS P. W. D. CODE.

CHAPTER XIV.—PARAS. 1829 TO 1832.

1. The object of this Return is to place before Government an analysis of all shortcomings in matters of financial regularity in the operations of each month with a view to their being remedied. It should be submitted to Government on the first day of the third month succeeding that to which the accounts relate.

2. There should be a separate statement for each separate Fund—Imperial, Provincial, Local, and Irrigation.

3. The Provincial statement will be posted from the Divisional Statements of Objectionable Expenditure. The statement need not be delayed in order to embody the remarks of the Superintending Engineer if these do not reach the Examiners Office in time. It is desirable, however, that the Superintending Engineer's opinion should be recorded as far as may be practicable, and, in order to ensure this being done, the Divisional Statement of Objectionable Items should be checked immediately on receipt and sent to the Superintending Engineer for his remarks before the audit of the other accounts is proceeded with.

4. All Executive Officers who have failed to submit their Divisional Statements of Objectionable Items with their Monthly Accounts, as well as those whose statements are generally incomplete, should be specially brought to the notice of Government under "General Remarks" at the end of the statement.

5. Items of irregular expenditure which are left unincorporated by Executive Engineers in their Divisional Statements of Objectionable Items should be distinctly noted as such either in a separate supplementary statement or in the original statement in red ink.

6. Columns under all the heads should be filled up in each case so as to bring out clearly where estimate or appropriation exists and where it does not.

7. In the case of large projects such important features as the following should be stated with precision:—

- | | | |
|--|---|--|
| A. Lump sum estimate. | { | 1. Submitted to Chief Engineer. |
| B. Abstract detail estimate by sub-heads, and so on. | | 2. Submitted to Superintending Engineer. |
| | | 3. Not yet submitted. |

8. A large work which is proceeded with on merely a lump sum sanction is still objectionable till an abstract and detail estimate by sub-heads is submitted and sanctioned for it by competent authority.

9. Special mention should be made of works being carried out in portions by authorities, beyond whose powers of sanction it would be to sanction the expenditure as a whole.

10. An important point to notice is the duration of a serious irregularity.

11. Voluminous masses of detail, when their practical significance could be given in a few lines, should always be avoided as a rule. If, for instance, the state of matters as to sanction in one month does not materially differ from that of a preceding month, it will answer all practical purposes to say so, instead of sending another full report.

12. Too much stress can never be laid on the fact that this statement is not to take place of special reports, then and there, of works on which serious irregular expenditure is being largely incurred, either without estimate or appropriation.

P. W. D. Madras.
Form No. M. 64a.

CENTRAL OFFICE OF ACCOUNTS.

CIRCLE Chota Nagpore S.P. Survey
FUND Imperial Ordinary

Provincial Statement of Objectionable Items
in the Accounts of Executive Engineers
for the Month of April 1882.

No. _____

MADRAS,

Dated 4-7- 1882.

FORWARDED for orders to the Secretary to Government,
Public Works Department, Madras.

D. C. Lucas

Examiner of P. W. Accounts,
Madras.

No 1816 w a/17th July/82

With Order
Entd. in Receipt Regr.

Oct. 10.7
July 6/7 & 13/7

Sum/7

Provincial Statement of Objectionable Items in the Accounts requiring the

Item No.	Particulars of each Item.	Month in the Accounts of which Objectionable Expenditure first appeared.	COMPARISON WITH ESTIMATE.			COMPARISON WITH APPROPRIATION OF THE YEAR.			Expenditure during the Month.
			Amount of Estimate.	Expenditure up to Date.	Excess over Estimate.	Amount of Appropriation.	Expenditure of the Year.	Excess over Appropriation.	
	Local Incorporated under act IV of 1871								
	Trichinopoly Division								
	No appropriation								
1	Constructing dispensary at Musery	April 1882	"	"	"	"	598	598	598
	Miscellaneous Irregularities								
	Work Voucher not submitted to Examiner for works above Rs 2500 each								
2	Road No 9 Closing breaches and repairing	March 1882	2870	2041					
	Completion Reports not submitted to Examiner for works below Rs 2500 each								
3	Unnervelly four works.	April 1882	1761	221					

of Executive Engineers for the Month of orders of Government.

April 1882

Opinion of the Superintending Engineer, with Final Remarks by Examiner.

Orders by Government.

Superintending Engineer states that the work was in progress at close of last official year and could not be stopped expenditure appears however for the first time in April appropriation will be annulled

Executive Engineer does not explain cause of non submission of work Voucher which has not been received up to date

Executive Engineer states that Completion Reports will be sent shortly

D. C. Carson

Examiner of P. W. Accounts, Madras.

No 1815 W d/17th July 1882

Supg: Engr. should apply for the funds required for the current year.

Vide G.O. No 1466 W d/13th June 1882

Noted.

To The Supdt. P.W. District
Thru the Examiner P.W. District
1882
10782
1877
1877
Wtd. in Receipt Regt.

No. 14668. 13-6-82.

NOTES.

REFERENCE TO MADRAS P. W. D. CODE.
CHAPTER XIV.—PARAS. 1829 TO 1832.

1. The object of this Return is to place before Government an analysis of all shortcomings in matters of financial regularity in the operations of each month with a view to their being remedied. It should be submitted to Government on the first day of the third month succeeding that to which the accounts relate.

2. There should be a separate statement for each separate Fund—Imperial, Provincial, Local, and Irrigation.

3. The Provincial statement will be posted from the Divisional Statements of Objectionable Expenditure. The statement need not be delayed in order to embody the remarks of the Superintending Engineer if these do not reach the Examiners Office in time. It is desirable, however, that the Superintending Engineer's opinion should be recorded as far as may be practicable, and, in order to ensure this being done, the Divisional Statement of Objectionable Items should be checked immediately on receipt and sent to the Superintending Engineer for his remarks before the audit of the other accounts is proceeded with.

4. All Executive Officers who have failed to submit their Divisional Statements of Objectionable Items with their Monthly Accounts, as well as those whose statements are generally incomplete, should be specially brought to the notice of Government under "General Remarks" at the end of the statement.

5. Items of irregular expenditure which are left uninclosed by Executive Engineers in their Divisional Statements of Objectionable Items should be distinctly noted as such either in a separate supplementary statement or in the original statement in red ink.

6. Columns under all the heads should be filled up in each case so as to bring out clearly where estimate or appropriation exists and where it does not.

7. In the case of large projects such important features as the following should be stated with precision :—

- | | |
|--|--|
| A. Lump sum estimate. | 1. Submitted to Chief Engineer. |
| B. Abstract detail estimate by sub-heads, and so on. | 2. Submitted to Superintending Engineer. |
| | 3. Not yet submitted. |

8. A large work which is proceeded with on merely a lump sum sanction is still objectionable till an abstract and detail estimate by sub-heads is submitted and sanctioned for it by competent authority.

9. Special mention should be made of works being carried out in portions by authorities, beyond whose powers of sanction it would be to sanction the expenditure as a whole.

10. An important point to notice is the duration of a serious irregularity.

11. Voluminous masses of detail, when their practical significance could be given in a few lines, should always be avoided as a rule. If, for instance, the state of matters as to sanction in one month does not materially differ from that of a preceding month, it will answer all practical purposes to say so, instead of sending another full report.

12. Too much stress can never be laid on the fact that this statement is not to take place of special reports, then and there, of works on which serious irregular expenditure is being largely incurred, either without estimate or appropriation.

P. W. D. Madras.
Form No. M 64a.

In duplicate

CENTRAL OFFICE OF ACCOUNTS.

CIRCLE 6

FUND Local

Provincial Statement of Objectionable Items
in the Accounts of Executive Engineers
for the Month of April 1882.

No. _____

MADRAS,

Dated 5-7- 1882.

FORWARDED for orders to the Secretary to Government, Public Works Department, Madras.

D. P. Gordon

Examiner of P. W. Accounts,
Madras.

1-18/5 and 17 July/82

With Order
Entd. in Receipt Regr.

21.7
18/7/82 Re 13/7

Provincial Statement of Objectionable Items in the Accounts requiring the

of Executive Engineers for the Month of

April 1882

Item No.	Particulars of each Item.	Month in the Accounts of which Objectionable Expenditure first appeared.	COMPARISON WITH ESTIMATE.			COMPARISON WITH APPROPRIATION OF THE YEAR.			Expenditure during the Month.
			Amount of Estimate.	Expenditure up to Date.	Excess over Estimate.	Amount of Appropriation.	Expenditure of the Year.	Excess over Appropriation.	
	In Queen of Estimate								
	Cuddalore Division.								
1	Taluk Cutcherry and out houses at Kadiri	Jan'y 182	33070	34297	1227				8
	Miscellaneous Irregularities.								
	Completion Reports not submitted for works above Rs 2500/- each								
2.	Completing the roof of the Taluk Cutcherry at Anantapur	Sept 180	7795	8069					

Opinion of the Superintending Engineer, with Final Remarks by Examiner.

Orders by Government.

No. 1814 W d/17th July 1882

Further outlay on this work during the month. In their orders on Objectionable Item Statement for February and March 1882 Government have called for the very early submission of the Revised Estimate.

The Supg Engr. is requested to expedite the despatch of the Revised Estimates already called for by Government and to report on the delay.

This Completion Report is due from April 1880 and its submission should be no longer delayed. The report called for in G.O. No. 1546 W dated 19th June 1882 will be awaited.

J. C. Gordon

Examiner of P. W. Accounts,

Madras.

To The Supd. Engr. Third Circle thro' the Executive P.W. Accounts.

Des 10 18/7

B. No. 15468. 19-6-82.

NOTES.

REFERENCE TO MADRAS P. W. D. CODE.

CHAPTER XIV.—PARAS. 1829 TO 1832.

1. The object of this Return is to place before Government an analysis of all shortcomings in matters of financial regularity in the operations of each month with a view to their being remedied. It should be submitted to Government on the first day of the third month succeeding that to which the accounts relate.

2. There should be a separate statement for each separate Fund—Imperial, Provincial, Local, and Irrigation.

3. The Provincial statement will be posted from the Divisional Statements of Objectionable Expenditure. The statement need not be delayed in order to embody the remarks of the Superintending Engineers if these do not reach the Examiners Office in time. It is desirable, however, that the Superintending Engineer's opinion should be recorded as far as may be practicable, and, in order to ensure this being done, the Divisional Statement of Objectionable Items should be checked immediately on receipt and sent to the Superintending Engineer for his remarks before the audit of the other accounts is proceeded with.

4. All Executive Officers who have failed to submit their Divisional Statements of Objectionable Items with their Monthly Accounts, as well as those whose statements are generally incomplete, should be specially brought to the notice of Government under "General Remarks" at the end of the statement.

5. Items of irregular expenditure which are left unincorporated in Executive Engineers in their Divisional Statements of Objectionable Items should be distinctly noted as such either in a separate supplementary statement or in the original statement in red ink.

6. Columns under all the heads should be filled up in each case so as to bring out clearly where estimate or appropriation exists and where it does not.

7. In the case of large projects such important features as the following should be stated with precision:—

- | | | |
|--|---|--|
| A. Lump sum estimate. | { | 1. Submitted to Chief Engineer. |
| B. Abstract detail estimate by sub-heads, and so on. | | 2. Submitted to Superintending Engineer. |
| | | 3. Not yet submitted. |

8. A large work which is proceeded with on merely a lump sum sanction is still objectionable till an abstract and detail estimate by sub-heads is submitted and sanctioned for it by competent authority.

9. Special mention should be made of works being carried out in portions by authorities, beyond whose powers of sanction it would be to sanction the expenditure as a whole.

10. An important point to notice is the duration of a serious irregularity.

11. Voluminous masses of detail, when their practical significance could be given in a few lines, should always be avoided as a rule. If, for instance, the state of matters as to sanction in one month does not materially differ from that of a preceding month, it will answer all practical purposes to say so, instead of sending another full report.

12. Too much stress can never be laid on the fact that this statement is not to take place of special reports, then and there, of works on which serious irregular expenditure is being largely incurred, either without estimate or appropriation.

CENTRAL OFFICE OF ACCOUNTS.

CIRCLE

FUND

Provincial Statement of Objectionable Items
in the Accounts of Executive Engineers
for the Month of April 1882.

No.

MADRAS,

Dated 4 July 1882.

FORWARDED for orders to the Secretary to Government,
Public Works Department, Madras.

Examiner of P. W. Accounts,
Madras.

M. 15-7
only 6/7 Re 13/7

Scrup

With the order
Entd in Receipt Regr.

Provincial Statement of Objectionable Items in the Accounts requiring the

Item No.	Particulars of each Item.	Month in the Accounts of which Objectionable Expenditure first appeared.	COMPARISON WITH ESTIMATE.			COMPARISON WITH APPROPRIATION OF THE YEAR.			Expenditure during the Month.
			Amount of Estimate.	Expenditure up to Date.	Excess over Estimate.	Amount of Appropriation.	Expenditure of the Year.	Excess over Appropriation.	
					<i>Excess over Estimate</i>				
	<i>Kistna Eastern</i>								
1	Constructing a Quay wall in the salt creek below Tidal Lock at Masulipatam	<i>July 1882</i>	<i>13525</i>	<i>13989</i>	<i>464</i>				

of Executive Engineers for the Month of *April* 1882
orders of Government.

Opinion of the Superintending Engineer, with Final Remarks by Examiner.

Orders by Government.

The Superintending Engineer states that the Revised Estimate for the work has not yet been received from the Executive Engineers

No 1813 in d/17th July
Vide G.O. No. 1545 W date of 19th June 1882 -

D. P. Gurusamy

Examiner of P. W. Accounts, Madras.

15/7
To the Supdt. Eng. Second Circle
Vins' the Examiner P. W. Accounts.

8/3/82
Entd in Receipt Keyr.

CHAPTER XIV.—PARAS. 1829 TO 1832.

2. There should be a separate statement for each separate Fund—Imperial, Provincial, Local, and Irrigation.

3. The Provincial statement will be posted from the Divisional Statements of Objectable Expenditure. The statement need not be delayed in order to embody the remarks of the Superintending Engineer if these do not reach the Examiners Office in time. It is desirable, however, that the Superintending Engineer's opinion should be recorded as far as may be practicable, and, in order to ensure this being done, the Divisional Statement of Objectable Items should be checked immediately on receipt and sent to the Superintending Engineer for his remarks before the audit of the other accounts is proceeded with.

4. All Executive Officers who have failed to submit their Divisional Statements of Objectable Items with their Monthly Accounts, as well as those whose statements are generally incomplete, should be specially brought to the notice of Government under "General Remarks" at the end of the statement.

5. Items of irregular expenditure which are left uninclosed by Executive Engineers in their Divisional Statements of Objectionable Items should be distinctly noted as such either in a separate supplementary statement or in the original statement in red ink.

6. Columns under all the heads should be filled up in each case so as to bring out clearly where estimate or appropriation exists and where it does not.

7. In the case of large projects such important features as the following should be stated with precision :—

A. Lump sum estimate. B. Abstract detail estimate by sub-heads, and so on.	}	1. Submitted to Chief Engineer. 2. Submitted to Superintending Engineer. 3. Not yet submitted.
--	---	---

8. A large work which is proceeded with on merely a lump sum sanction is still objectionable till an abstract and detail estimate by sub-heads is submitted and sanctioned for it by competent authority.

9. Special mention should be made of works being carried out in portions by authorities, beyond whose powers of sanction it would be to sanction the expenditure as a whole.

10. An important point to notice is the duration of a serious irregularity.

11. Voluminous masses of detail, when their practical significance could be given in a few lines, should always be avoided as a rule. If, for instance, the state of matters as to sanction in one month does not materially differ from that of a preceding month, it will answer all practical purposes to say so, instead of sending another full report.

12. Too much stress can never be laid on the fact that this statement is not to take place of special reports, then and there, of work on which serious irregular expenditure is being largely incurred, either without estimate or appropriation.

FUND Real Estate

Provincial Statement of Objectionable Items
in the Accounts of Executive Engineers
for the Month of April 1882.

MADRAS,

Dated 4-7- 1882.

FORWARDED for orders to the Secretary to Government, Public Works Department, Madras.

J. C. Gaudoy

Examiner of P. W. Accounts,
Madras.

With order

Entd. in Receipt Regr.
Entd. in Receipt Regr.

~~MI 247~~
only 6/7 Re 13/14 MI 15-7 Soup

Provincial Statement of Objectionable Items in the Accounts requiring the

Item No.	Particulars of each Item.	Month in the Accounts of which Objectionable Expenditure first appeared.	COMPARISON WITH ESTIMATE.			COMPARISON WITH APPROPRIATION OF THE YEAR.			Expenditure during the Month.
			Amount of Estimate.	Expenditure up to Date.	Excess over Estimate.	Amount of Appropriation.	Expenditure of the Year.	Excess over Appropriation.	
	Imperial								
	Excess over Estimate								
1	Madras Harbour Works	Oct/81	574-516-5	599-574-9	250-584	2,00,000	15,758	"	15,758
	Miscellaneous Irregularities								
	Works for which Revised Estimates are likely to be required								
2	Madras Harbour Works	Do.			as above				
	Want of Appropriation								
	North Pier								
	Rubble stone in surf bank and base - Rs 169-11-7								
	Repairs to sea damages - 2508-6-9								
3	South Pier								
	Rubble stone in surf bank and base Rs 157-12-2	Apr/82					6046	6046	6046
	Repairs to sea damages - 132-13-9								
	General Tools and Plant - 229-11-0								
	Mooring - 1538-12-11								
	Barges Sea damages - 1238-8-4								
	Repairs to moorings - 19-15-								
	Total 6045-11-17								

of Executive Engineers for the Month of
orders of Government.

April 1882

Opinion of the Superintending Engineer,
with Final Remarks by Examiner.

Orders by Government.

Sanction to the Revised Estimate still awaited

The Revised Estimate is still under consideration -

Do - Do -

The Government of India in their letter No. 204. P. 9 dated 12th May 1882, printed with G.O. No. 1329 W. dated 29th May 1882 stated that the expenditure incurred against the grant of Rs. 2,00,000 must be strictly confined to "Block making" "Quarrying" and "Establishment Charges" and as the items as per margin are apparently not included in the above they are now reported for want of appropriation

The Sup^{ts} reports that there are incidental charges and must therefore be passed &

J. P. Gordon

Examiner of P. W. Accounts.

To The Sup^{ts}. Madras N. Works
thru The Examiner P. W. Accounts

B. 24 1479 13-6-82

B. 24 1479 13-6-82

B. 24 1479 13-6-82

NOTES.

REFERENCE TO MADRAS P. W. D. CODE.

CHAPTER XIV.—PARAS. 1829 TO 1832.

1. The object of this Return is to place before Government an analysis of all shortcomings in matters of financial regularity in the operations of each month with a view to their being remedied. It should be submitted to Government on the first day of the third month succeeding that to which the accounts relate.

2. There should be a separate statement for each separate Fund—Imperial, Provincial, Local, and Irrigation.

3. The Provincial statement will be posted from the Divisional Statements of Objectionable Expenditure. The statement need not be delayed in order to embody the remarks of the Superintending Engineer if these do not reach the Examiner's Office in time. It is desirable, however, that the Superintending Engineer's opinion should be recorded as far as may be practicable, and, in order to ensure this being done, the Divisional Statement of Objectionable Items should be checked immediately on receipt and sent to the Superintending Engineer for his remarks before the audit of the other accounts is proceeded with.

4. All Executive Officers who have failed to submit their Divisional Statements of Objectionable Items with their Monthly Accounts, as well as those whose statements are generally incomplete, should be specially brought to the notice of Government under "General Remarks" at the end of the statement.

5. Items of irregular expenditure which are left unimelated by Executive Engineers in their Divisional Statements of Objectionable Items should be distinctly noted as such either in a separate supplementary statement or in the original statement in red ink.

6. Columns under all the heads should be filled up in each case so as to bring out clearly where estimate or appropriation exists and where it does not.

7. In the case of large projects such important features as the following should be stated with precision:—

- | | | |
|--|---|--|
| A. Lump sum estimate. | { | 1. Submitted to Chief Engineer. |
| B. Abstract detail estimate by sub-heads, and so on. | | 2. Submitted to Superintending Engineer. |
| | | 3. Not yet submitted. |

8. A large work which is proceeded with on merely a lump sum sanction is still objectionable till an abstract and detail estimate by sub-heads is submitted and sanctioned for it by competent authority.

9. Special mention should be made of works being carried out in portions by authorities, beyond whose powers of sanction it would be to sanction the expenditure as a whole.

10. An important point to notice is the duration of a serious irregularity.

11. Voluminous masses of detail, when their practical significance could be given in a few lines, should always be avoided as a rule. If, for instance, the state of matters as to sanction in one month does not materially differ from that of a preceding month, it will answer all practical purposes to say so, instead of sending another full report.

12. Too much stress can never be laid on the fact that this statement is not to take place of special reports, then and there, of works on which serious irregular expenditure is being largely incurred, either without estimate or appropriation.

P. W. D. Madras.
Form No. M 64a.

CENTRAL OFFICE OF ACCOUNTS.

CIRCLE Madras Harbour

FUND Imperial Productive Public Works

Provincial Statement of Objectionable Items
in the Accounts of Executive Engineers
for the Month of April 1882,

No. _____

MADRAS,
Dated 4-7- 1882.

FORWARDED for orders to the Secretary to Government, Public Works Department, Madras.

D. C. Gordon

Examiner of P. W. Accounts,
Madras.

With Order

Receipts

Provincial Statement of Objectionable Items in the Accounts requiring the

Item No.	Particulars of each Item.	Month in the Accounts of which Objectionable Expenditure first appeared.	COMPARISON WITH ESTIMATE.			COMPARISON WITH APPROPRIATION OF THE YEAR.			Expenditure during the Month.
			Amount of Estimate.	Expenditure up to Date.	Excess over Estimate.	Amount of Appropriation.	Expenditure of the Year.	Excess over Appropriation.	
	<u>Imperial</u>								
	<u>Miscellaneous Irregularities</u>								
	Works for which Revised Estimates are likely to be required								
1	Constructing Telegraph Office at Madras		237192	179481	"	51000	327	"	327
	- do Post Office	Dec 1881							
2	at Madras		247854	96074	"	130000	10126	"	10126
	Non Submission of Completion Reports		503046	273533	"	131000	10453	"	10453
3	Constructing Mule Stables	December 1881							

of Executive Engineers for the Month of April 1882
orders of Government.

Opinion of the Superintending Engineer, with Final Remarks by Examiner.

Orders by Government.

No 1817-4 d/17th July 1882

Sanction to Revised Estimates still awaited

The Consulting Architect will report when the revised estimates may be expected to be submitted.

(Vide C No 4996 of 1881)

This has been repeatedly called for but up to date it has not been received. The Consulting Architect states that it is under preparation.

Should be submitted early - It has been due since Decr 1881.

D. P. C. C. C.

Examiner of P. W. Accounts,
Madras.

To The Consulting Architect
thru' the Executive Engineer
18/7/82

B. No. 1514 & 24-2-82

No 1819m Quarterly list of Memorials addressed to the Home Secy. by the Govt. of Madras, under Govt. of India Circular, No. 172-82, dated 17th Nov. 1882.

Rule under which withheld.

Subject

From Whom Recd. & Date

Specifying that either his former appointment be given him or any other appointment conferred on him

Behar Das on 12th June 1882
aided, date of
is P.W. Dept.:-

13.7.82
By W.
24/7

17th July 1882
C. W. 1/17

10/7

B. No. 1549 & 19-6-82

P. W. D. Madras.

Form No. M 64a

NOTES.

REFERENCE TO MADRAS P. W. D. CODE.

CHAPTER XIV.—PARAS. 1829 TO 1832.

1. The object of this Return is to place before Government an analysis of all shortcomings in matters of financial regularity in the operations of each month with a view to their being remedied. It should be submitted to Government on the first day of the third month succeeding that to which the accounts relate.
2. There should be a separate statement for each separate Fund—Imperial, Provincial, Local, and Irrigation.
3. The Provincial statement will be posted from the Divisional Statements of Objectionable Expenditure. The statement need not be delayed in order to embody the remarks of the Superintending Engineers if these do not reach the Examiners Office in time. It is desirable, however, that the Superintending Engineer's opinion should be recorded as far as may be practicable, and, in order to ensure this being done, the Divisional Statement of Objectionable Items should be checked immediately on receipt and sent to the Superintending Engineer for his remarks before the audit of the other accounts is proceeded with.
4. All Executive Officers who have failed to submit their Divisional Statements of Objectionable Items with their Monthly Accounts, as well as those whose statements are generally incomplete, should be specially brought to the notice of Government under "General Remarks" at the end of the statement.
5. Items of irregular expenditure which are left unincorporated in Executive Engineers in their Divisional Statements of Objectionable Items should be distinctly noted as such either in a separate supplementary statement or in the original statement in red ink.
6. Columns under all the heads should be filled up in each case so as to bring out clearly where estimate or appropriation exists and where it does not.
7. In the case of large projects such important features as the following should be stated with precision:—

A. Lump sum estimate.	1. Submitted to Chief Engineer.
B. Abstract detail estimate by sub-heads, and so on.	2. Submitted to Superintending Engineer.
	3. Not yet submitted.
8. A large work which is proceeded with on merely a lump sum sanction is still objectionable till an abstract and detail estimate by sub-heads is submitted and sanctioned for it by competent authority.
9. Special mention should be made of works being carried out in portions by authorities, beyond whose powers of sanction it would be to sanction the expenditure as a whole.
10. An important point to notice is the duration of a serious irregularity.
11. Voluminous masses of detail, when their practical significance could be given in a few lines, should always be avoided as a rule. If, for instance, the state of matters as to sanction in one month does not materially differ from that of a preceding month, it will answer all practical purposes to say so, instead of sending another full report.
12. Too much stress can never be laid on the fact that this statement is not to take place of special reports, then and there, of works on which serious irregular expenditure is being largely incurred, either without estimate or appropriation.

CENTRAL OFFICE OF ACCOUNTS.

CIRCLE Architect Division

FUND Imperial

Provincial Statement of Objectionable Items
in the Accounts of Executive Engineers
for the Month of April 1882

No. _____

MADRAS,
Dated 4-7- 1882.

FORWARDED for orders to the Secretary to Government, Public Works Department, Madras.

J. P. Sardaony

Examiner of P. W. Accounts,
Madras.

No 1817 m d/17

With Order

Encl. in Receipt Regr.

M. 11.7
1882

PUBLIC WORKS STORE DEPARTMENT,
Madras, 10th July 1882.

No. 681 S.

From

THE GENERAL SUPERINTENDENT,

PUBLIC WORKS STORES, &c.,

To

THE Deputy Chief Engineer
D. P. H.
Madras.

SIR,

Enclosures.

I have the honor to request that the India Store Department London may be asked to forward all documents connected with the despatch of stores from England to the Master Attendant Madras as that Officer is the Government shipping and Landing Agent here; I make this request as Bills of Lading, Packing Accounts, Vouchers for freight and Luggage Accounts are in some instances sent direct to me from London while the Marine Department is in ignorance of the shipment. All consignments for the Public Works Department Mysore State and the Southern Railway are landed by the Marine Department, and all documents connected with the shipping and despatch of these stores, and all State Railway Stores consigned to Madras should be sent to the Master Attendant, who will transfer them

MS 29-7
only 1/7

MS 29-7
only 1/7

Foruf

Foruf

Subd. in Receipt Regr.

to the Departments concerned.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,

Your most Obedient Servant.

Wm. M. Diller - Lieut. Col.

General Supt. P. W. H. H. H.

B

To The Under Secy of State
India Office
London.

Sir

I am directed to request that the Store Dept India Office may be instructed to forward all documents connected with the despatch of P. W. Stores from England, to the Master Attendant Madras, as that Officer is the Govt. Landing & Shipping Agent here.

This request is preferred as Bills of Lading, Packing accounts, Tenders for freight, & Stowage accounts are in some instances sent direct to the Genl. Supt. P. W. Stores, while the Marine Dept is in ignorance of the shipment.

All consignments for the P. W. Dept & the Mysore State & S. Madras Railways are landed by the Marine Dept, & all documents connected with the Shipping & despatch of these & all State Railway Stores consigned to Madras, should be sent to the Master Attendant, who will transfer them in due course to the Dept concerned.

Dispd. 22. July/82

14.7.82

July 12/7

Public Works Store Department.

From

THE GENERAL SUPERINTENDENT,
P. W. STORES, &C.,
MADRAS.

To

The Deputy Chief Eng^r
R. P. W.
Madras

No. 681 S.

Dated 10th July 1882.
Despd. 10th July 1882.
Reed. 11th July 1882.

No. OF ENCLOSURES.

No 1827 W d / 18th July/82

Requests that the India Store Department London may be asked to forward all documents connected with the despatch of stores from England to the Master Attendant Madras, as that Officer is the Govt. Shipping and Landing Agent.

In the letter

Subd. W Receipt Regd

Dispd

July 11/7

Drury