

GOVERNMENT OF INDIA.
PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT.
ACCOUNT.
General.

To

Circular No. IX Public Works.
Dated Simla, June 23, 1882.
Received

Order No. 1787 w d/14th July/82

Recorded for
Guidance.

(True Extracts)
124/ A. S. Russell
under Secy to Govt
P. W. D.

No. 1787 w d/14th July/82

Ruling that the amounts passed on the Regular
Estimates must be recorded in the books and
accounts of the Department as the final grants of
the year for comparison with outlay.

To The Examiners Accounts

For Heads of Departments.]

Circular No. IX Public Works.
GOVERNMENT OF INDIA.
PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT.
ACCOUNT.
General.

To

THE GOVERNMENTS OF MADRAS, BOMBAY, BENGAL, THE NORTH-WESTERN PROVINCES
AND OUDH, AND THE PUNJAB, IN THE PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT.
" CHIEF COMMISSIONERS, CENTRAL PROVINCES, BRITISH BURMA, AND ASSAM.
" RESIDENT AT HYDERABAD.
" RESIDENT AT MYSORE (THROUGH FOREIGN DEPARTMENT).
" AGENTS TO THE GOVERNOR GENERAL FOR CENTRAL INDIA, RAJPUTANA, AND BILUCHIS-
TAN.
" SUPERINTENDENT OF PORT BLAIR AND CHIEF COMMISSIONER, ANDAMAN AND NICOBAR
ISLANDS.
" DIRECTOR GENERAL OF TELEGRAPHS.
" INSPECTOR GENERAL OF MILITARY WORKS.
" ACCOUNTANT-GENERAL, PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT.
" DIRECTOR GENERAL OF RAILWAYS.
" DIRECTOR-IN-CHIEF, INDO-EUROPEAN TELEGRAPH.
" DIRECTOR, PERSIAN TELEGRAPH.
" DEPUTY DIRECTOR, PERSIAN GULF TELEGRAPH.
" CONSULTING ENGINEERS TO THE GOVERNMENT OF INDIA FOR GUARANTEED RAILWAYS,
CALCUTTA, LAHORE, AND LUCKNOW.
" COMPTROLLER GENERAL.
" ACCOUNTANT GENERAL, BENGAL.

Simla, June 23, 1882.

SIR,

The Accountant General, Public Works Department, having brought to notice that it is a general practice with Local Governments and Administrations to alter the amounts of their Regular Estimates for Provincial Services and Incorporated Local Funds by additions or reductions after those estimates have been accepted by the Government of India, the attention of Local Governments and Administrations is invited to the fact that the figures of the Regular Estimates advised by the Government of India are those which have been adopted by the Department of Finance in the general estimates of the empire, and that it is with those figures that the actual outlay incurred during the year is required to be compared. The figures passed in Budget orders must therefore be invariably recorded as the final grants of the year in all the books and accounts of the Department.

2. Local Governments and Administrations may, however, after the receipt of the Regular Estimates from the Government of India, vary the expenditure at will, under Provincial and Local Funds, by the issue of additional grants, or by the reduction of the grants passed in Budget orders; but these additions and reductions can be brought to notice only in explanation of the differences between the estimated and the actual figures.

I have the honor to be,

SIR,

Your most obedient Servant,

L. CONWAY-GORDON,

Offg. Deputy Secretary.

No 71 A.E. dated 20th ultimo, that Mr. P.W. 4439
Grant will be replaced during his
absence by Mr. F.F. Hensley, Deputy
Examiner, Public Works Accounts,
Bengal, and that the transfer of
Mr. Gray has been cancelled. -

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient Servant

M. G. Gordon
Secretary to Govt of India

No 1786 w d 14th July/82
Communicated to
the Secy of P.W. Accts.

Cy

10.7.82

MS 16.7
copy 6/7 Re 12/7

Secy
Mr. P.W. Accts
Ex. G. R. Accts
Subd. in Receipts Bgr
Subd. in Receipts Bgr

No. 85 - 48

GOVERNMENT OF INDIA.
PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT.
Establishment

All communications
should be addressed to
the Secretary.

To The Secretary to the
Government of Madras,
Public Works Department.
Mile, 28th June, 1882.

Sir,

In reply to your endorsement
No 1359 W. dated 31st ultimo, I am directed
to communicate sanction to the grant
of privilege leave for 3 months to Mr
A. Grant, Deputy Examiner, Guaranteed
Railway Accounts, Madras, with effect
from such date as he may be permitted
to avail himself of it.

I am to add, with reference to
Public Works Department endorsement

No

13-15194-11/6/82.

NOTES.

REFERENCE TO MADRAS P. W. D. CODE.

CHAPTER XIV.—PARAS. 1829 TO 1832.

1. The object of this Return is to place before Government an analysis of all shortcomings in matters of financial regularity in the operations of each month with a view to their being remedied. It should be submitted to Government on the first day of the third month succeeding that to which the accounts relate.

2. There should be a separate statement for each separate Fund—Imperial, Provincial, Local, and Irrigation.

3. The Provincial statement will be posted from the Divisional Statements of Objectionable Expenditure. The statement need not be delayed in order to embody the remarks of the Superintending Engineers if these do not reach the Examiners Office in time. It is desirable, however, that the Superintending Engineer's opinion should be recorded as far as may be practicable, and, in order to ensure this being done, the Divisional Statement of Objectionable Items should be checked immediately on receipt and sent to the Superintending Engineer for his remarks before the audit of the other accounts is proceeded with.

4. All Executive Officers who have failed to submit their Divisional Statements of Objectionable Items with their Monthly Accounts, as well as those whose statements are generally incomplete, should be specially brought to the notice of Government under "General Remarks" at the end of the statement.

5. Items of irregular expenditure which are left uninclosed by Executive Engineers in their Divisional Statements of Objectionable Items should be distinctly noted as such either in a separate supplementary statement or in the original statement in red ink.

6. Columns under all the heads should be filled up in each case so as to bring out clearly where estimate or appropriation exists and where it does not.

7. In the case of large projects such important features as the following should be stated with precision:—

A. Lump sum estimate. { 1. Submitted to Chief Engineer.
B. Abstract detail estimate { 2. Submitted to Superintending Engineer.
by sub-heads, and so on. { 3. Not yet submitted.

8. A large work which is proceeded with on merely a lump sum sanction is still objectionable till an abstract and detail estimate by sub-heads is submitted and sanctioned for it by competent authority.

9. Special mention should be made of works being carried out in portions by authorities, beyond whose powers of sanction it would be to sanction the expenditure as a whole.

10. An important point to notice is the duration of a serious irregularity.

11. Voluminous masses of detail, when their practical significance could be given in a few lines, should always be avoided as a rule. If, for instance, the state of matters as to sanction in one month does not materially differ from that of a preceding month, it will answer all practical purposes to say so, instead of sending another full report.

12. Too much stress can never be laid on the fact that this statement is not to take place of special reports, then and there, of works on which serious irregular expenditure is being largely incurred, either without estimate or appropriation.

CENTRAL OFFICE OF ACCOUNTS.

CIRCLE *A* *H*

FUND *Local*

Provincial Statement of Objectionable Items
in the Accounts of Executive Engineers
for the Month of *April* 1882.

No. —

MADRAS,
Dated *3-7* 1882.

FORWARDED for orders to the Secretary to Government,
Public Works Department, Madras.

B No 17894 of 16 July 1882

D. P. Coimbatore

Examiner of P. W. Accounts,
Madras.

With orders

Entd. in Receipt Regr.

Oct. 12. 7

Imp.

[illegible]

April 1882

Orders by Government.

No. 1789 vi. dated 14th July 1882

The non submission
of a Completion
Report is again
brought to the notice
of Government.

The Superior is requested to report fully on the cause of delay in the submission of this complete report. The delay of eight months is unsatisfactory.

8.7.52

Ch

D. C. Evans

Examiner of P. W. Accounts,

Madras.

Abb

8/22/08

4/5

To The People. From the Circle

Thru the Economic P. W. Assembly

Deed
14/7

GENERAL RECORD DEPARTMENT.

Paper taken out of the Records from
charge of the Record-keeper.

Department

P.W.

E. M. C., G.O., or Letter No.

1787

Date

14-July 82

Series,

To whom given

P.W.

For Current No.

4373 of 1891,

Department,

P.W.

When taken out

28/4/91

Initials.

B. 25738. 24-10-91.

19-11-91

this officer.

19-11-91

1792

2. In reply I am to state
that this Government is
not aware of the Secre-
-tary of State having en-
-tered into any agreement
or laid down any terms
for special work such
as that on which Mr
Parkes was engaged, and
that in the absence of
any such guidance the
Government made the
best terms in their power
with Mr Parkes.

3. The charges I am
to add, have been
ordered to be adjusted
against Provincial.

R.S.

Att

11/7/92

Secy

Oct 16.7

Re 14/7

Shall be
checked from
the Records of
the Bureau before
the issue of the
Fair-Share

Have looked into
the records and
unable to trace any
papers of the nature
alluded to in the
letter as well as
any case in his office
before issue of the Fair-
Share Co. operations

B. No 1792 W. 2/15-7/82

GENERAL RECORD DEPARTMENT.

Paper taken out of the Records from
charge of the Record-keeper.

Department

P.W.

E. M. C., G.O., or Letter No.

1787

Date

14-july 82

Series,

To whom given

P.W.

For Current No.

4373 of 1891,

Department,

When taken out

23/4/91

Initials.

No. 95 M. & C. E.

GOVERNMENT OF INDIA.

PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT.

Military & Civil.

Establishment.

To, The Secy to the Govt of Madras.
Public Works Department.

Simla, 28th June 1882.

Sir,

The Accountant General
Public Works Department has
brought to notice that for the
period Mr. Parkes was employed
in examining and reporting
on the ports of Pimlipatam
Kalingapatam and Vizagapatam
he has been allowed by the
Government of Madras a fee
£10/10/- a day and all bonafide
travelling and hotel expenses
instead

instead of his Indian pay of £160/- per mensem; and I am directed to request that the Government of India may be furnished with an explanation why the terms entered into by the Secretary of State with this Officer were departed from.

P.W.C. 200 4440

Recd 5th July/82
The Secy of State
with no answer in
reply to letter of 20th
June 82

2. I am also to enquire how it is proposed to adjust the amount expended on this account.

61244.93-82
13814-5-6-82

1381. H. 5.6.82. orig.
612. Ar. 9.3.82. "
Lp. 1433-14-5-71-

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
your most obedt. servant,

G. F. L. Marshall Major R.E.

Under Secy to the Govt. of India,

146.

Oct 16-7

W/O 57

C. H. V.

Draft reply from
Lansing but this
Govt. is not aware of
the S of S being entered
into any agreement
or laid down any
terms and in the
absence of such
guidance made the
best arrangement in
this power under Mr.
Parker

The amount expended
will be met from
Provincial

Att

8/7

Encl. in Receipt Regd.

Recd. 15/7/82

My dear Mr. Parsons

Mr. Cullen informs me that the two existing orders are the only ones in force, that is the one sanctioning £160 for heavy gunnery while Mr. P. is employed on the Harbor works, and the one sanctioning £10 a day for employment.

Order

under Mr. Cullen's order
with the sanction of the
Committee of the Harbor
works, and the one
sanctioning £10 a day
for employment.

1.
2.
3.

only 7/13/7

9/11/7

Which he says were
Mr. Pender's terms to
which Gov. Amos and
Sanborn —

Young & Sons
Sole Proprietors

No. 1792 v.

B. E. 25938. 24-11-0.

S.
E.
2.

12

only 11/12/10/11

117 Entd. in Receipt Regr.

216.7

No. 1792 W

Government of Madras.

PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT.

To

*The Secretary to the**Government of India
P.W. Department**Fort St. George 15 July 1882*

SIR,

I am directed to acknowledge the receipt of your letter No 95 M & C. of 28th June 1882 requesting explanation as to why the rate of remuneration allowed to Mr Parkes for examining and reporting on the reports of Binlipatam, Kalugapatam & Vizagapatam differs from the terms entered into by

only 7/7 10 13/7

7/7 Entd. in Receipt Regr.

M. & N. Co.

19-11-21 ✓
this officer - 19-11-21 1792

2. In reply I am to state that this Government is not aware of the Secretary of State having entered into any agreement or laid down any terms for special work such as that on which Mr Parkes was engaged, and that in the absence of any such guidance the Government made the best terms in their power with Mr Parkes -

3. The charges I am to add, have been ordered to be adjusted against Provincial -

R.H.
X Should be checked from the Records at the Home Office before the Fair - 11/7/82

I have looked into the records but am unable to trace any papers of the nature alluded to. I shall endeavour to ascertain as well as I can if any are in the possession of the Home Office before the Fair? By Mr. C. O. Jones 11/7/82

B. No 1792 W. 2/15-7/82

R.S.
11/7/82
Legal
16.7

W. 20.7
only 7/7 Re 13/7

12/7/7

With order
Entd. in Receipt Regr.