

Handwritten note: *Handwritten*



3. 7. 81

Re. 41.

cu

Handwritten signature or initials

B. No. 1697 W 2/6-7-82

To
The Supr. Eng. Dist. Arls
The Commr. S. M. Ar.
The Divisional Office
The Director of Public Instruction
Re. 41
7/7

Oct. 76.6.
Oct. 30/6 Re 5/7

Oct. 28/6 Re 2/7

No 1697 W

PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT.

PROCEEDINGS OF THE MADRAS GOVERNMENT.

UNDER date the

6th July 1882

Read the following:—

G.O. No 259 W of 19th February 1881.

The estimate submitted in the G.O. now read, ^{again} not having been completed by the middle of March 1881. the balance of the estimate is required to complete the work. The Accountant General will be instructed in the Financial Dept to place the sum of Rs. 31 as per details in work — — — Rs. 25 in the establishment — Rs. 6 margin, at 25% of — — — at the disposal of the P. W. Dept. from the Educational Budget of the current official year for reconstructing the walls of the Comm. inst. School house at

BUILDINGS AND ROADS.
CIVIL WORKS.

Govt. of 1882 Madras.

PUBLIC WORKS.

Dated July, 6th.

Recd.

Encl.

G.O., 6th July 1882, No. 1700 W.

JULY.

Nos. 42-43.

Chepauk.

Widening the promenade between the Napier Bridge and Triplicane Creek Bridge, and for other improvements at —. Sanctions estimate amounting to Rs. 45,400 for —.

6TH JULY 1882, No. 1700 W., PUBLIC WORKS.

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS.

PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT.

Buildings and Roads.
Civil Works.

No. 42.

READ—the following letter from R. F. CHISHOLM, Esq., Consulting Architect to Government, to the Chief Engineer, Public Works Department, dated Chepauk, 15th June 1882, No. 221 :—

I have the honor to submit the plan and estimate* called for in your memorandum, No. 162, dated the 27th May 1882, providing for certain improvements in the Beach Road and Chepauk, together with a letter from the Municipal Commissioner, No. 826, dated 14th June 1882, who undertakes to construct and uphold a certain

* Rs. 45,400.

- 1 Tracing.
- 1 Detailed Estimate and Specification.
- 1 Duplicate Abstract.
- 1 Letter in original.

portion of the design as explained in the report attached.

ENCLOSURE.

From A. T. ARUNDEL, Esq., President of the Municipal Commission, Madras, to the Consulting Architect to Government, dated 14th June 1882, P.W.C. No. 826.

In reply to your letter, No. 209, dated 10th June, I have the honor to inform you that the Municipality will construct the sea face wall of the proposed explanade opposite the Senate House in the manner indicated by you, and will maintain it in good order for the future.

2. The enclosures of your letter are herewith returned.

No. 43.

ORDER—dated 6th July 1882, No. 1700 W., Public Works.

The estimate, amounting to Rs. 45,400, for widening the promenade between the Napier Bridge and Triplicane Creek Bridge, and for other improvements at Chepauk, is sanctioned.

2. A sum of Rs. 35,000 is provided in the current year's Provincial Budget for this work, and if the balance of the estimate or further funds are required before the close of the year, timely application should be made to Government.

3. This sanction has been registered as Provincial No. 51 W. of 1882-83 and classified as Miscellaneous Public Improvement, Original Works.

(True Extract.)

Under Secy. to Govt., P.W.D.,
Irrigation Branch.

To the Superintending Engineer, Fifth Circle, with two Enclosures.
" Examiner of Public Works Accounts, with duplicate Abstract Estimate.

" Consulting Architect to Government.
" Financial Department.

Exd. A. F. Goodall.

Spare Copies

as Prov. No. 57. W of 1882.
- 83 and classified
as Miscellaneous Public
Improvement, Original
works.

Cu

24.6.82

Att

25/6/82

The cost of the drainage of Madras will be on a scale of magnitude that the proposed expenditure in improving the principal recreation ground of the inhabitants will not affect the question. The drainage scheme is essentially a municipal work affecting as it does the value of the property of the tax paying residents and its cost should be borne by property who will hereafter benefit thereby. But the cost of the proposed improvements to the public frame made which is made as much by visitors native & European & the Presidency town as by residents may I mention the present condition of Municipal Revenue being such from Provincial resources. The scheme as presented out by the Chief Engineer is complete in itself and in sanctioning it it is clear that Govt. is in no way committed to further expenditure. In these circumstances there seems no objection to the Draft G.O. being issued. J.C.

The Suply Engineer
Fifth circle with 2 encls.

The Examiner P. M. Acet
with duplicate abstract &c.

The Jons. Acet. to Govt.

The Financial Dept.
recd 14/7/82

Recd 30.6.82 R 1.7.82
Agd 2.7.82 R 3.7.82
Copy 2.7.82 R 6/7
Crd 26.6.82 R 10/6/82

No 1700 W 12 B 1/2
PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT.

PROCEEDINGS OF THE MADRAS GOVERNMENT

Under date the 6th July/82
Read the following:-

Letter from the Consulting Architect
to Government } N.E. No 221 d/15th June 1882.

The estimate amounting to Rs 45,400 for widening the promenade between the Napier Bridge and Triplicane Creek Bridge and for other improvements at the point is sanctioned.

2. A sum of Rupees 35,000 is provided in the current year's Govt. Budget for this work and if the balance of the estimate or further funds are required before the close of the year, timely application should be made to Government.

3. This sanction has been registered as

the Scheme commits Government to further outlay; it may be observed that the work to be executed provided for in the estimate is so far complete in itself

1/7/82

~~Att~~

Clear in notes above p. 20 up to
H.R.
I think we may say
yes to this; but if the
Municipality is really as
impecunious as you
represent it to be, it
is a question whether we should
even again advance anything for
ornamental purposes at all, as
until the drainage works are
finished. I understand that a sum
of Rs 10,000 has been committed to
the City for the purpose of only
not understanding
June 24/82

18/

