B. 2764 R. 8-11-81
" 2996 R. 1-12-"

[8]

PETITION DEPARTMENT

BRANCH).

Dis Cus 4065

P.W.

B

No 1619 W dy 27th June 82

Petitioner is referred to Order of Govt. No 2764 W, dated 8th Novr 1881, which must be regarded as final.

Cy

22-6-82

Petn

23/6

From T. R. Iyalu Naidu

Occupation Taluq Writer
Village of
Taluq Kurur
District Coimbatore

Dated 8th
Received 15th June 82
Enclosures 4

COPIED No. 1676

SUBJECT.

Restoration

No 1619 W dy 27th June 82

For

Northcote
Secy to Govt

To the Peln
with peln & enclos returned.

11 Decr 1876

Pet. 53/6
copy 21/6 R 26/6/82

Bangalore 5 August 1879

Draft order
Resubmitted, with

No 1

(a)

Coimbatore
27th February 1881

To,

J. Hannay Esqr.
Executive Engineer
Coimbatore

Sir,

Most Respectfully Sir,

I, J. K. Jyloo Naidoo Salug

Writer of Karur Salug most respectfully beg to bring the following for your favorable consideration & justice.

My Service in the P. W. D. extends to a period of 16 years and I have given every satisfaction to many of my Superiors in the discharge of my duties the fact of which will be seen from the testimonials I have with me. I was a Salug Writer for nearly about 13 years at Sultanpet & during the late reductions my Service was dispensed with but on subsequent order

I was reinstated on July last and was forbid
to Leave as a Salary Writer under Overseer
Mombasa Head?. This gentleman always has
a dislike towards me and grumble at me for
nothing at all which shews that he is unwilling
to have me under him. He has a private writer
under him and he is permitted to transact the
publick business and I am but a private man
to him and my work is only to attend upon him
and do his private business such as watching the
Gold Smiths at his Shop where he makes jewels
and to obey such instructions as he gives on his
private affairs. I have some of his letters written
to the effect which are in my hand with his replies
such as official Style in red ink and I can produce
them at any time publicly if required. On two
or three occasions when I denied to interfere in
his private affairs of the said description he was
greatly annoyed with me and this gave grounds

O.S. 31/5
Cir 22

to treat me in such a disgraceful manner
allowing a his private writer to write me a Slave
(by order) to a public Servant like him though
my pay is less than what he gets. I could not
suffer his ill treatment and not with standing
owing to my not being obedient to some of the
Contractors and Padugay Banians of Zanzi who
are his close friends and who are in fact the Overseers
Copartners, he is tend to dislike me which also
from some of the letters in my possession will bear
a proof. On the months of January last the
Overseer - Mombasa Head?. gave out from his
imprest Rs 150 to Contractor Dyanayagar Head?. and
when I objected to it he could not value my
words and thereby his animosity mingled
with awful vengeance towards me was increased
I have sufficient grounds if your honor can —

permit me to prove this also. I have now to bring to your honors notice that my most joining in his evil matters, he finds a great bar to his evil deeds and entirely hates me and to my great misfortune I hear that he is concocting certain false cases against me either with the object of removing or ruining me (an helpless man of long Service in the Department) In a dangerous state like this I am unable to lead a sound life and account of his incessible torments my bodily ailments have been greatly increased and I am in a very weak condition attending the Civil Dispensary of this place ah present I beg to represent your honor that I will have to sacrifice my life if I were to continue to work under an overseer of this style whose action is disagreable means and contemptable to those who have a tolerable experience and long Service in the Department and wishes to lead a peaceable life.

Under the foregoing circumstances I am unwilling to work under this Overseer and humbly beg your honor will be pleased to recommend me to the Superintending Engineer by forwarding this petition to transferme to some other Taluq

So

0.25.3/5
Cir 22
Dy 19/5

77

So that the remaining of my days be Spent among good and honest persons.

For such great favour towards a poor and helpless man of long service in the department I shall ever be thankful to your honor

I beg to remain
Respected Sir
Your most Obt & humble Servt

Servg. Lascar
Karur Servg Writer

(True Copy)

Coimbatore

10th March 1881

To

J Hannan Esqr

Executive Engineer

Coimbatore

Respected Sir,

I beg respectfully beg to inform your honor that on the 27th February 1881.

I Sent an application to your honor's address humbly begging your honor to transfer me to some other talugs as I am unwilling to serve under overseer Moorugasa Goods for reasons stated in the application Sent above. I am Sorry to Say that I have not yet been favoured with a favourable reply hitherto to that application which I registered and Sent. As I am now improving in my health and as the Sick leave granted me is to expire on the 15th Instt and have to join my duty.

As I have already stated in my application I greatly fear to Serve under Dewasee Mooragasa Moodr. who will no doubt try to ruin me and therefore I humbly beg your honor to issue Such orders of transferring me to any other Talug before my leave closes.

Humbly hoping that my poor request will be granted.

I beg to remain

Respected Sir

Your most Obt Servt

Tom Cyloo Naidoo

Talug Writer Tarcd.

(True Copy)

No 2

No 163

Memorandum

Writer Cyloo Naidoo is directed to report himself for employment to Supervisor Chinnatamby Pillay at Sultymanungalum on expiry of his leave.

Sdg J Hannan

Ex: Engr

Ex: Engrs Office
12th March 1881

(True Copy)

Boe: Engineers Office
Bangalore 5th Sept 1874

No 215

No 3

Memo:

Cloete Lyster Naidoo is directed to attend the Civil Surgeon at Coimbatore with a view to his being examined whether he is fit for further Services, as he is often on Sick leave. He should report himself to this office on his arrival at Coimbatore.

Lgd J Hannan
Exec Engr

29th April 1881

(Inwarded)

Bgre: Engineers Office
Bangalore 5th August 1881

No 366

No 4

Memo:

With reference to his letter dated 29th April 1881, Krishto Jyetoo Kadoo is informed that he must come in here to be examined by the Doctor as already ordered in this office No 345 dated 29th ultimo.

Sgd J Hannan
Ex: Engr.

5th May 1881

(True Copy)

Dec: Engineers Office
Bangalore 5 August 1879

Coimbatore
11th May 1881

No 5

To

J Hannan Esqr
Executive Engineer
Coimbatore

Most respected Sir,

I most respectfully beg to inform your Honor, that as my Sickness became very Severe, I was not able to attend for work and therefore I Submitted a Sick Certificate on the 29th April for one months leave upto 22nd May. As ordered by your honor in Memo No 345 of 29th April I came here and personally reported to your honor on the 9th instant; your honor is well aware of the State of my health and owing to my long Standing Severe Stomach pain my health failed me and by the debility caused by it, I am not able to carryout my works

Bangalore 5 March 1881

and I am therefore unfit for further Service
for my hand terribly shakes and the Stomach
pains often makes its appearance which renders
my case worse.

Received 13/5/81

Under these circumstances I humbly
beg your honor will be graciously pleased to
close my Service from the 22nd of this month
and recommend for pension to which I am
entitled for my long Service in the Department
and beg to arrange to relieve me on the 22nd Inst.

For which kindness and I shall
ever be thankful.

Humbly expecting for your honor's reply

I beg &c.

Ivvagaloo Naidoo

Clerk C.E.O.

(True Copy)

No 6 No 411

Memo=

With reference to his letter dated
11th May 1881, Clerk I. R. Iyaloo Naidoo
is informed that his resignation will
be accepted from 22nd instant.

With regard to the concluding
part of his letter requesting to recommend for
pension, he is informed that a Medical Certi-
ficate prescribed in Section 63 of the Civil
Pension Code should be submitted to
enable this office to prepare pension roll.

Sgd J Farnan
Ex: Engr.

21st May 1881

(True Copy)

No 7

Coimbatore
20th June 1881

To

J. Hannyngton Esqr
Executive Engineer
Coimbatore

Respected Sir

I do ordered by your honor I presented
myself before the Civil Surgeon Coimbatore
for a certificate qualifying me for pension, but
as that officer is of opinion that I should
remain in the Service Some time longer and
promised me to address your honor on that
Subject, but I was not favored with any
orders from your honor upto date. I beg most
respectfully that your honor will kindly please
to grant me permission to return to my duty
and Continue in the Service Some time longer

I &c

For which act of Charity I shall be
as in duty bound ever pray.

I beg &c.

Jno Eyles Lendon

Clerk D.B.Fort

(True Copy)

Postmarked 6 JY 81

No 392

No 8

Memo:

Your petition of 20th June 1881

Your resignation has been
accepted & declined to reinstate you

Sigd J Gunnan

Ex: Engineer

23rd June 1881

(True Copy)

R

Coimbatore
30th June 1881

No 3

To,

J. Stevenson Esqr
Executive Engineer
Coimbatore

Respected Sir,

I humbly beg to state with reference to your honor's Memo No 392 of 23rd Inst. that in my petition dated 20th June 1881 I did not request your honor to accept my resignation, but begged to order to return to my duty as the Civil Surgeon declared that I am not unfit for Service.

In my petition dated 11th May 1881 I was compelled to request your honor to close my Service on the 22nd May 1881 Viz: (before the confirmation of Sick leave granted on medical certificate,) owing to my Severe illness and great fear that any false charges of ex Service

as a nature would be brought by the Overseer against me Similar to one Civil Suit which he managed to bring through one of his relatives Ugmayagaa Moses a Contractor under him in Karimu Taluq, this he did with the evil intention of depraving of my appointment Confidently trusting that if any evidence be got to the effect that I have Some money transaction with the Contractor I might be caught according to the rules of P.W. Code in force and be removed from the Department; but this false Suit was not proved and was dismissed. I herewith forward a Copy of Judgement for your honors perusal as directed to Submit.

As your honor is aware I am a man of long Service of 17 years in the public Service and in the event of losing my post without any remuneration for the past Service it is a matter of great Consiquence and I am Surely to be undone.

Under the Circumstances said above I humbly beg your honor to order me to proceed and take up my former appointment which will be a great favour done towards an innocent man who rendered an honest good Service for So many years in the P.W.D.

Begging to be pardoned for my intrusion on your honors precious moments and hoping that my poor case will be taken into your honors kind Consideration and justice rendered.

I beg &c

Sd/ Ayyalu Naidu
Clerk P.W.D.

(True Copy)

No 10

No 493

Memo:

Clerk Jagdeo Naidoo is directed to report himself for duty to the Salug Overseer Kanur.

His absence from 22d Inst to the date of taking up his appointment at Kanur will be treated as on leave without allowances and he is warned that this indulgence will not be given again.

Sgd J Hannan
Coe: Engrs

4th July 1881

(True Copy)

D

No 358

A

Memo:

The Salary Overseer Kaner charges you with having taken away with you certain files of papers which were notes of instructions which he sent you from time to time regarding the issue of tools from Store, and that although he has made you both verbal and written requisitions to return them that up to the present you have not done so. You are now directed to return these papers at once to the Overseer and to explain your action in first having taken the papers with you and secondly in not returning them.

Sgd J Hannan

Exc. Engr.

2d May 1881

(True Copy)

A

D

No 211

Coimbatore
31st May 1881

To,

J Hannam Esqr
Executive Engineer
Coimbatore

Respected Sir,

With reference to your Memo No 358 of 2nd Instt I humbly beg to inform your honor that I am simply a Common Overseer Writer and I have no so much authority to remove files of papers from the office as falsely stated by the Overseer who finding no other means of bringing me to trouble tries in this affairs to ruin me.

The notes of instructions as stated by the overseer which were sent me from time to time are not public papers for it will be seen in every one of them strict instructions for me to look after his private business such as attending

the Jewellers Shop &c. I have clearly stated all the ~~points~~ facts in my application for transfer and if it had been enquired into the truth of the case would have been found out.

I have beg to bring to your Honors Consideration that the real object of the overseer now to ask for these Slips of papers is simply to pluck out them from my hands and to destroy them and afterwards to frame cases against me Similar to one which he conceited that I borrowed some money from Contractor Vengaya=ya Naidu &c. which was discharged by the District Moonsiff on the 26th Instt. as it clearly proved to be a false one. A Copy of the judgemant of this case be Submitted as soon as received from the District Moonsiff ordered to be furnished and also deprives me of papers which can prove of all his injustice and disgrace which he

8th October 1841

Showed me, Viz permitting his private Writer to write me Memo Signed by that writer (as by order) and many things which were particularized in former application for transfer.

In Conclusion I humbly beg to inform your Honor that I have not taken any public papers of the overseers office and the reason for my not returning them is that they are not directly public papers but they were written to me privately by the overseer in which many of his private affairs are concerned.

I beg &c.

Venkatasubba Naidu
Clerk C.D.D.R.

(True Copy)

No 12

Kanni & District Moonsiffs Court

Small No 76 of 1881

Plaintiff	Defendant
Vonayaga Moodaly	Iyeloo Naidoo
Pleader	Pleader
Pudmanabiar	Ramasawmy Iyer

Judgement.

The defendant denies that he did not borrow money from the plaintiff.

The point that the defendant received Rs 38 from the plaintiff can not be proved.

The Statements of the witnesses of the plaintiff are doubtful.

The case is dismissed with the expense.

Sigd S. Ramasawmy Iyengar

& District Moonsiff

26th May 1881.

Certified to be a true copy of the originals.

Sigd Vythianada Iyer

Head Clerk

(True translation)

B

Labg Writers answer

Overseers question

Please ask Cundasawmy and let me know who has signed the measurement sch of the enclosed bill whether it as ~~sayd~~ Drawer or the Supplier himself

If Cundasawmy can ~~verify~~ remember, that the Scheurs signed as ~~the~~ sayd Drawer You can with that, and geh two witnesses to Sign this & despatch ih off.

Jaffna 4 - 11 - 80
11-9-80

(True Copy)

Coimbatore
6th July 1881

No 413

To

J. Gannon Esqr.
Executive Engineer

Respected Sir,

Being deeply impressed with the sense of gratitude for the favour of your honor has bestowed upon me by ordering me to return to my duty, I most respectfully beg to represent the following to your honor's favourable Consideration.

I am now ordered in your honor's Memo No 493 of 4th Instant to go and report myself to the Taluq Overseer at Karur in doing which I beg to submit that I will hereby be brought into risk as under the past circumstances which I have already brought to your honor's notice in my

a

application dated 27th February 1881 for transfer
I am quite certain that misunderstandings
will surely ensure between me and the Overseer
through animosity, and it will cause my
destruction. It will not be impossible for
an officer in his position to bring me into
trouble owing to the grudge he has already
entertained against me. Tho my application
for transfer on account of the reason said above
your honor was pleased to transfer me to
Sultyamungalam Talug in Memo No 163 of 12th
March 1881, where I remained till now. If
I again resume my duty in Karur Talug the
Overseer will no doubt try to injure me which
as I am very much afraid would be to my
entire ruin, I most humbly and earnestly
entreat your generosity would be kindly pleased
to retransfer me to the place where I was
till now or any other Talug except Karur, so

8th October 1881

that I may spend the remaining part of
my days in a peaceful manner possible
without incurring your honor's displeasure to
which I was hitherto subjected to.

Begging to be excused for my
intrusion and humbly hoping that my poor
request will be favourably disposed of by
your honor.

I beg &c.

Sd/ Tayeloo Naidu
Clerk Dpt

No 1433

You are directed to move report
yourself to the Talug overseer Karur you will
not be sent to any other place. You have no
grounds whatever for complaint against this
overseer. No notice will be taken of any more
letter you write on this subject.

Sd/ J Hannan
Exe: Engineer

9-7-81

(True Copy)

8th October 1881

Coimbatore
12th September 1881

No. 114

To,

Major F. K. Mead, R.E.
Superintending Engineer
Coimbatore

Respected Sir,

I am to acknowledging the receipt of
your honors endorsement No 198 E.S of 9th Inst.
informing me that my services have been
dispensed with for not rejoining my appoint-
ment at Karur, & humbly beg to state that
I appealed to your honor fully trusting your
honor would by perusing my just petition,
render justice although the Executive
Engineer in Spite of all my earnest
Entreaties did not yield to my request.

It is my bad fate that this
Gentleman who transferred me to Settyamungalam

Pembadeck

8th October 1881

To

Colh. R. H. Sankey R.E.

Secretary to Government P.W.D.

Madras.

The humble petition of T. R. Iyelen

Native Tahsildar Trichinopoly D.P.W.

Most respectfully Sheweth.

That the petitioner was appointed for

about 17 years in the P.W.D. and has discharged

his duties to the entire satisfaction of many of his

Superiors. During the late reduction in the P.W.D.

his services were dispensed with but on the receipt

of a subsequent order he was reappointed as a

Tahsildar under Overseer Moorapasa Pandalliar

of Karur Taluq.

2 The gentleman from the very beginning

to the greatest misfortune of the petitioner treated

mind like his letters & aiming, and endeavoured
to bring charges against him either with the
object of bringing him to some trouble or to remove
him from his Talug. Fully knowing his bad idea
towards the petitioner, the petitioner on the 27th
February 1881 applied to the Executive Engineer
to transfer him to some other Talug, with
reasons that might lead him to believe, and
whereupon he (the petitioner) was transferred to
Sattyamangalam Talug as an writer under Superviser
Chinnaswamy Pillay; the enclosed copy of
correspondance on the subject will convince your
honor that the petitioner is not in fault.

Encls: No 1

Encls: No 2 from the Exec Engineer

3 While he was doing his duty in
Sattyamangalam Talug, he having become very sick
produced a medical certificate. He was ordered by
the Executive Engineer in his Nos 345 & 366 of
29th April & 5th May 1881 to come to Coimbatore
and present himself before the Civil Surgeon
as he (the petitioner) was supposed to be unfit
for further service by the Executive Engineer. He
obeyed the order above and sent in an application

Encls: Nos 3 & 4

to Executive Engineer on the 11th May 1881 to submit
his papers for pension. The petitioner afterwards
as ordered by the Executive Engineer presented
himself before the Civil Surgeon who on examin-
-ing him declared that he was not unfit for
further service; copies of correspondance as per
margin are forwarded for your honor perusal.
The Executive Engineer to the greatest surprise and
misery of the petitioner in his Memo No 392 of 23rd
June 1881 (a copy attached herein) stated without
any cause or reason that his resignation has been
accepted. The petitioner on receipt of this Memo,
sent in a petition to the Executive Engineer (a copy
here in enclosed) and stated therein that the
petitioner did not resign his appointment, but
wanted to order him to return to duty. He was
afterwards favoured with a reply posting him again
to Karur Talug a place from whence the petitioner
was transferred once owing to the dissension between
him and the Overseer.

Encls: No 5
Do No 6
Do No 7
Encls: No 8
Encls: No 9
Encls: No 10

4th From the foregoing reasons and from
the copies of correspondance enclosed, it would be
seen that a great hatred existed between the

Overseer and the petitioner and in the event of
his going back to Kayen and to duty under him
as ordered by the Executive Engineer who once being
quite conscious of all the fact of the case was pleased
to transfer him to Sattyamungalam; the petitioner
fears that the Overseer would surely try to ruin
him in some way or other. In one of the copies
of letter from the Executive Engineer which is marked
A and is enclosed herein it will be clearly found Encls: A
that the Overseer really concocted cases against him
(the petitioner) and after having failed in many
of his unworthy attempts to bring the petitioner to trouble,
he lastly reported to the Executive Engineer falsely
that the petitioner wantonly took out some papers out of
the files belong to his office and did not return them although
he was requested to do so on several times, this is no
doubt a serious charge against the petitioner, but his
reply to it satisfied the Executive Engineer that Encls: No 11
it is simply a false report of the Overseer.

5 Further, the Overseer induced one of his
relatives a Contractor by the name of Venugopa Naidu
to file a suit against the petitioner that he borrowed
from the said Contractor Rs 20-0-0 and this case after
enquiry

Bangalore 3 August 1879

enquiry by the District Moonsiff of Karur was
dismissed as it was proved to be a false one; the
petitioner has furnished the Executive Engineer
with the original judgement of the suit brought
by the said Contractor who is the relative of the Overseer
as it was required by the Executive Engineer in his
Endorsement No 295 of 21st March 1879 to know whether
the petitioner's Statement was true or false, a true transla-
tion of that judgement is enclosed herein for your
honors perusal. Further if the deposition given by the Encls: No 12
Overseer be perused, it would be found that the
Overseer was the chief party and the witness in the
case said above and which he brought it to ruin
the poor petitioner.

6 To prove another instance that there
has been a real malice between the Overseer and
the petitioner, the petitioner begs to enclose a Copy
of Roobs dated 11th September 1880 which is
marked B from the Overseer directing him to sign Encls: B
a bill for a Contractor (as Contractor knows Viz. ~~x~~ in good)
this was in reality written with an evil idea to
frame a charge of forgery against the petitioner

[illegible] on the event of his [illegible] Signing a bill for a Contractor.

The above and many other events are the sole causes which compelled the petitioner to apply for a transfer which unfortunately ended with the dispensions of the Services of the petitioner.

The petitioner humbly begs to bring to the kind consideration of your honr that the Executive Engineer as well as the Superintending Engineer fully knowing the grudge and enimity between the petitioner and the Overseer, ordered him to proceed to Karur and to work under the Karur Overseer; this order was simply to the destruction of the petitioner and not for his good.

7 Being very much afraid of the order retransferring him to Karur the petitioner on the 6th July 1881 humbly begged the Executive Engineer laying before him all the mischances that would likely to happen him by working again under a Overseer who fully hated him to the bottom and shown ample examples of enimity between them but his petition was otherwise unfavourably disposed of by the Executive Engineer who has unjustly dispensed with the Services of the petitioner. Encls: No 13

Here upon the petitioner finding no other alternative applied to the Superintending Engineer and laid before him his miserable case for Consideration and justice, but owing to his bad time the Superintending Engineer also in Communication with the Executive Engineer confirmed the order given by the Executive Engineer and has left the petitioner in a destitude condition.

8 On receipt of an order from the Superintending Engineer that his Services have been dispensed with, the petitioner on the 12th September applied to the Superintending Engineer to recommend him for pension, as he is likely to lose a long Service but the Superintending Engineer repeated his former order which made the petitioner's case worse. Encls: No 14

The poor petitioner was for a long time in the P.W.D. and was not an object of offence or displeasure to any of his Superiors who have given him best testimonials as to his good character &c.

After so long a time of the petitioner leaves to lose as long service of 15 years it is a matter of great consequence and it will be utterly for his revive.

Under the detailed circumstances said above the poor petitioner having none to rote for redress except your honor, most humbly hope your honor will be pleased to take his helpless case into your honors element consideration and, render him justice by restoring him to his former appointments.

For which act of kindness he (the petitioner) shall as in duty bound ever pray.

I beg to remain
Most respected Sir
Your most obedient & humble Servant

T. Ongloo Naidoo
Clerk C.E.O.
Cromelly Street
Coimbatore

Coimbatore No. 2404 of 19th Oct.
Referred to the Supt.g
Engr. 2nd Circle for remark
(By order)

Major R.E.
Dy. Chief Engr. P.W.D.

Recd. 20th

Copy addressed

O.L.S. 11/10.

M. 13.11.81.

Bangalore 5 August 1879

No. 466

Supg. Engineer's Office. II Circle
Coimbatore, 31st October 1881.

From,

The Superintending Engineer
II Circle.

To,

The Chief Engineer
P.W.D.
Madras.

Sir,

With reference to your Endorsement

Enclosures 19-

Ch. Engr's Endorsement No. 2404 of 19th Oct. 81 on Petition of 8th Oct. 81 from Ongaloo Naidoo to Ch. Engineer with 18 Enclosures (returned)

No. 2404 of 19th October I have the honor to remark that I consider Clerk Ongaloo Naidoo has been treated with every indulgence and that there was no cause left but to dismiss him. In June the Executive Engineer reported that since his reinstatement on the 10th July 1880 he had proved himself unfit for duty by constantly absenting himself on the plea of illness.

2. On the 11th May he sent in

Exc: Engineers Office
Bangalore 5 August 1879

a registered letter an application
that his services might cease from the
22nd May on the plea of ill health the
Civil Surgeon however would not
pass him and as this was the case on
the 22nd June I directed that as being
unable to produce a Certificate
his absence and application
amounted to a resignation and
that he had forfeited his appointment.
The Executive Engineer on this stated
that he had suggested his tendering
his resignation to obviate his having
to dismiss him and begged that he
might be allowed another 3 months
trial, I gave him this indulgence
and granted him Leave without
allowances for the time he had
been

been absent and he was directed to
join the Karur Overseers Office but
this he did not do, as this was
the case I directed the man's services
to be dispensed with.

The man sends any number
of petitions about but will not or
his health will not allow him to work
steadily.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most Obt. Servant.

Major RE.
Supg. Engineer, 2 Circle.

From P. W. C. No. 6317

The Supg Engineer
Second circle.

C.E. No. 2604. 15-10-87.

Dated 31st
Despd: Do } October 87.
Recd: 1 Nov.

No: 466.

Encl: 19

Clerk Cyalos Naidu:-

Remarking on the case of — and explaining the circumstances under which his services were dispensed with.

Entd in Receipt Regr.

No 27644

PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT.

PROCEEDINGS OF THE MADRAS GOVERNMENT.

UNDER date the 8th Nov. 1887.

Read the following:—

Petition from T. R. Iyalvo Naidu, late Taluq Writer, Mopti (Nil. dy 8th Octr 1887).

Letter from the Supg Engr 2° Circle (No. No. 466 dy 31st ——.)

Petitioner is informed that the Govt approve the action of the Supg Engineer in his case, and decline to interfere.

To,
The Petitioner.
" S.E. 2° Circle (old)
Despatched 8-11-87.

Ott
3/11/87

2764 W. 8.11.81.

Received 11/11

Coimbatore
November 1881

To

Lieut. R. H. Sankey. R.E.
Secretary to Government
P. W. D.
Madras.

Respected Sir,

I humbly beg to request your honor will be pleased to furnish me with a Copy of letter from the Superintending Engineer 4th Circle No 466 of 31st October 1881 to your honor regarding the dispensation of my Services.

I applied to your honor on the 11th November 1881 for which I have not been favoured with a reply hitherto

Begging to be excused for my intrusion on your honors precious moments.

I beg to remain
Respected Sir
Your most Obt Servt
* Sivagiriyar Naidoo
Late Clerk P.W.D.

* Committy Street
Coimbatore

Bgc: Engineers Office
Bangalore 5 August 1879

Fb. Do. 2764 dt. 11.81.

P.W.C. No. 6936.

D W

Thos. Hen. to Studies

Pl. Ltrs. cannot be complied with

Rtd

No. 2996 Wks 1 Dec/81

Recd. 25 Do. 81.

The Petitioners request is declined.

E

Rtd

28/12/81

Entd. in Recpt. Regr.

The Sub

despd 2-12-81

Do 5/12

C 22.11.81

ABSTRACT.

States that he served for a period of 17 years & that he was thrown out of employment owing to the reduction & was not entertained as a Tahsildar under Government & was also unsuccessful in obtaining a Talug Writership under Government. Says that he was told by a Gazetted Officer that the petitioner was entitled to take out some papers out of the file & did not return them & induced some of his Relatives who filed suits against him & that his services were dispensed with without any consideration -

Prays that under the circumstances within stated & in consideration of his 17 years service he may be reinstated in the Dept.

Submits certain papers connected with his case.