

(1) Number of pages 16
(2) Date of repair 19.3.87
(3) Initials of the Referencer lms ✓

TAMIL NADU ARCHIVES

GOVERNMENT OF TAMIL NADU

P. W. DEPARTMENT

G.O. No.
B.P. No.

1586. W

Press.
Mis.

Dated

22.6.1882

197.

lms

Not to be folded or roughly handled

22ND JUNE 1882, No. 1586 W., PUBLIC WORKS.

1586
1

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS.

PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT.

General.
Establishment.

No. 166.

READ—the following papers:—

From G. T. WALCH, Esq., Officiating Superintending Engineer, First Circle, to the Chief Engineer, Public Works Department, dated Dowlaishweram, 19th May 1882, No. 137 V.Z.

I have the honor to inform you that from the travelling allowance claims of Supervisor J. Kelly last September, when he joined the Vizagapatam District, Rs. 40-4-0 were disallowed by the various controlling officers, and with reference to this disallowance Mr. Kelly wishes the accompanying letter, dated 21st February 1882, forwarded to the Adjutant-General, but it seems to me that it should go first to you for your consideration of the matter.

2. I send a statement showing the details of the disallowance with my remarks regarding the items.

3. You will notice that Supervisor Kelly speaks of all the disallowed items as "actual amounts spent," but as some of them are mileage and tentage claims that is evidently inaccurate.

4. The delay in submitting the matter has arisen from the numerous references which I had to make to the district and Madras before I could thoroughly understand it and place it before you clearly.

STATEMENT showing the Travelling Allowance Claims of Sub-Conductor and Supervisor Kelly and the details of the disallowance, with Superintending Engineer's Remarks regarding the items.

As claimed by Sub-Conductor Kelly.		As passed.		Amount Disallowed.	Remarks.
	RS. A. P.		RS. A. P.	RS. A. P.	
1. Trainage charge for self, family and servants.	12 4 5	Double second-class fare from Kot-pudy to Madras.	8 9 0	6 4 0	On referring to the audited bill it is found that the amount of Rs. 12-4-0 claimed by Mr. Kelly was not included in the Executive Engineer's bill, but the Superintending Engineer added to the bill Rs. 6 on account of double second-class fare; as double second-class fare was passed, I do not see how more can be passed unless Mr. Kelly's case be considered a special one.

Statement showing the Travelling Allowance Claims of Sub-Conductor and Supervisor Kelly &c.—cont.

As claimed by Sub-Conductor Kelly.		As passed.		Amount Dis-allowed.	Remarks.
	RS. A. P.		RS. A. P.	RS. A. P.	
2 Cart-hire for carts from Vellore to Railway Station.	1 14 0				
3 Carriage-hire for self and family from Vellore to Railway Station.	1 8 0				
4 Cart-hire from Salt Cotaar to residence in Madras.	1 4 0				
5 Carriage-hire from Railway Station to residence.	1 0 0				
6 Carriage-hire to Deputy Chief Engineer's Office and Salt Cotaar.	3 0 0	Nil		21 12 0	These items were included in the Executive Engineer's bill, but struck out by the late First Circle Superintending Engineer; it appears to me that these items may be allowed.
7 Carriage-hire to Master Attendant's Office.	1 8 0				
8 Carriage-hire for self and family to Pier.	1 0 0				
9 Carriage-hire of luggage to Pier.	1 8 0				
10 Boat-hire accommodation and luggage.	6 0 0				
11 Boat-hire at Vinagapattam.	2 0 0				
12 Carts for luggage ..	1 2 0				
13 Luggage by Railway ..	4 12 0	Nil		4 12 0	The Executive Engineer states that this amount was disallowed by the Examiner with reference to paragraph 596 of the Code, but this item does not appear to have been included in the Executive Engineer's bill. It appears to me that double second-class fare being passed, this is not allowable. Disallowed by the Executive Engineer. It appears from paragraph 625 and Rule VIII, Appendix D, that as Mr. Kelly has not to pay table money, half tentage is not admissible.
14 Half tentage for 15th, 16th, and 17th.	3 0 0	Nil		3 0 0	
15 Mileage for 22nd, 23rd, 24th, 27th, and 30th for 153 miles at 5 annas per mile.	*43 1 0	Mileage for 22nd, 23rd, 27th, and 30th for 133 miles at 5 annas per mile. Tentage for 24th.	41 9 6 2 0 0	4 8 0	Disallowed by the Executive Engineer, who states that the correct distance travelled by Mr. Kelly on the 22nd, 23rd, 27th, and 30th is only 133 miles, for which Rs. 41-9-0 have been passed to him, and for the 24th tentage has been passed to him instead of mileage, the distance travelled by him on that day being only 5 miles. The disallowance appears to me to be correct.
Total ..	89 13 0	Total ..	49 9 0	40 4 0	

* This is not correct; it ought to be Rs. 47-13-0.

No. 166-A.

Office Note from D. C. GORDON, Esq., Examiner of Public Works Accounts, to the Secretary to Government, Public Works Department, dated 15th June 1882, No. 227.

The following remarks relate to the several items in the statement of Sub-Conductor Kelly's claims prepared by the Superintending Engineer:—

Item 1.—Inadmissible. Double second-class fare, which is intended to cover all expenses, has been already admitted to Sub-Conductor Kelly under paragraph 596 of the Public Works Code.

Items 2, 3, 5, and 8.—Actual expenses are not admissible to a Supervisor. Mileage will, however, be admitted under paragraph 596 of the Public Works Code for the distance travelled.

Items 4, 6, 7, 9, 12, and 13.—Inadmissible, as mileage is intended to cover all such charges, vide paragraph 605.

Items 10 and 11.—Rs. 6 can be admitted as landing charges out of the sum of Rs. 8 claimed under the provisions of paragraph 675 of the Public Works Code.

Item 14.—The latter portion of paragraph 625 of the Public Works Code has been cancelled. Sub-Conductor Kelly was provided with free messing while on board, and is not under any circumstances entitled to half travelling allowance.

Item 15.—The Executive Engineer's disallowance is correct.

No. 167.

ORDER—dated 22nd June 1882, No. 1586 W., Public Works.

Sub-Conductor Kelly's claims will be disposed of in accordance with the foregoing Note from the Examiner, Public Works Accounts.

2. The disallowances against which he protests are purely matters of departmental regulation against which no appeal can lie to His Excellency the Commander-in-Chief.

The letter addressed to the Adjutant-General will therefore be returned to the Sub-Conductor.

(True Extract.)

Under Secy. to Govt., P.W.D.,
Irrigation Branch.

To the Superintending Engineer, First Circle.
" Examiner of Public Works Accounts.
" Fifth Circle, with four Enclosures.
" Military Department.

Exd. A. F. Goodall.

Spare Copies

GENERAL.
ESTABLISHMENT.

Gobt. of [1882] Madras.
PUBLIC WORKS.

Dated June, 22nd.

Recd.

Encl.

G.O., 22nd June 1882, No. 1586W.

JUNE.
Nos. 166-167.

Sub-Conductor Kelly.

The travelling allowance claims of — to
be disposed of in accordance with the Ex-
aminer's Note.

No. 137 V.L.

FROM

THE SUPERINTENDING ENGINEER

FIRST CIRCLE.

TO,

THE CHIEF ENGINEER

P. W. Dohb
FOR IRRIGATION.

Encl. /

Statement

letter to the

Chief Engineer

for the

Dowlishwarum

19th May 1882

SIR,

Despatch 24

I have the honor to inform you that from the Travelling Allowance claims of Supervisor J Kelly last September, when he joined the Vizagapatam District, Rs 40-4-0 were disallowed by the various controlling officers and with reference to this disallowance Mr Kelly wishes the accompanying letter dated 21/2/82 forwarded to the Adjutant General but it seems to me that it should go first to you for your consideration of the matter.

(2) I sent a statement shewing the details of the disallowance with my remarks regarding the items.

You

(3) You will notice that Supervisor Kelly speaks of all the disallowed items as "actual amounts spent" but as some of them are mileage and tentage claims (that is evidently inaccurate).

(4) The delay in submitting the matter has arisen from the numerous references which I had to make to the District and Madras before I could thoroughly understand it and place it before you clearly.

I have the honor to be
Sir

Your most obedient servant

(Signature)

Offg. Superintending Engineer
1st Circle

No. 688 d d / 30 / 5 / 12
Regd No 161 d d / 30 / 5 / 12
To C

For remarks.

29.5.82
To W.
227. 9/15 June 1882

The following remarks relate to the several items in the statement of Sub Conductor Kelly's claims prepared by the superintending Engineer:-

Item 1. Inadmissible- Double second class fare which is intended to cover all expenses has been already admitted to Sub Conductor Kelly, under para 596 of the P.W. Code.

Items 2, 3, 5, 8. Actual expenses are not admissible to a Supervisor. Mileage will however be admitted under para 596 of the P.W. Code for the distance travelled.

Items 4, 6, 7, 9, 12, 13. Inadmissible as mileage is intended to cover all such charges, vide para 605.

Items 10 and 11. Rs. 6 can be admitted as landing charges out of the sum of Rs. 8 claimed under the provisions of para 675 of the P.W. Code.

Item 14. The latter portion of para 625 of the P.W. Code has been cancelled. Sub Conductor Kelly was provided with free messing

P.W.C. No 34/15

From 1586/4
The Supg Engineer
1st Circle

To The Chief Engineer
P.W.D.
Madras

Date 22
24
Received
May 10/82

No 137 V.L.
Enclosure

Travelling Allowance
claims of Sub Conductor & Supervisor
J. Kelly. disallowed
by various controlling
Officers. Forwards
a statement showing
the details of-

while

while on board and is not
under any circumstances
entitled to Half travel-
ling allowance.

Item 15. The Executive Engineer's
disallowance is correct.

DC2

C.

CD
19/6

1586
5

Statement showing the travelling allowance claims of Subconductors Kelly and the whole of the disallowance with Superintending Engineer's remarks regarding the items.

Submitted by Subconductor Kelly. As passed disallowance

1. Charge for self, family and servants 12 4 " Double 2nd Class fare from Kithurst to Madras 17 6 4 " 14 6 4 " 21 12 "

On referring to the audited bill, it is found that the amount of Rs 12-4-0 claimed by Mr. Kelly was not included in the Examine Engineer's bill, but the Super Engineer added to the bill Rs. 6 on account of double 2nd Class fare; As double 2nd Class fare was passed, I do not see how more can be passed unless Mr. Kelly's case be considered a special one.

2. Carriage for carts from Villam to Railway station 14 "

3. Carriage hire for self, family and servants 8 "

4. Carriage from Kithurst to Madras 14 "

5. Carriage hire from Railway station to Residence 14 "

6. Carriage hire to Dy. Chief Engineer's Office & Sub Station 8 "

7. Carriage hire to Madras 8 "

8. Carriage hire to Madras 8 "

Nil

9. Charge for Baggage to Pier 8 "

10. Charge for Baggage to Pier 8 "

11. Charge for Baggage to Pier 6 "

12. Charge for Baggage to Pier 2 "

13. Charge for Baggage to Pier 2 "

6 13

14. Charge for Baggage to Pier 12 "

15. Charge for Baggage to Pier 12 "

16. Charge for Baggage to Pier 12 "

17. Charge for Baggage to Pier 12 "

18. Charge for Baggage to Pier 12 "

586

The Examine Engineer states that the amount was disallowed by the Examine Engineer with reference to para 5 & 6 of the Regs. but these items do not appear to have been included in the Ex Engineer's bill. It appears to me that double 2nd Class fare being passed, this is not allowable.

Disallowed by the Examine Engineer. It appears from Para 62 & 7, Rule VIII Appendix B that as Mr. Kelly has not to pay table money, half tentage is not admissible.

Disallowed by the Ex Engineer who states that the correct distance travelled by Mr. Kelly on the 22, 23, 24, 25 & 26 is only 133 miles for which Rs 41-9-0 have been passed to him for the 22nd tentage has been passed to him in head of mileage, the distance being 133 miles. The disallowance applies being only 5 miles. The disallowance applies to the correct.

499 " 40 4 " 60 to be correct

89 13 "

Disallowed by the Ex Engineer

19-5-02, contd.

PROCEEDINGS OF THE MADRAS GOVERNMENT.

Under date the 22nd June/82
Read the following:

Letter from the Suptg Engr^y

First Circle

H. E. No 137. WZ d/22nd May/82

Office Note from Ex^t. P. W. acct

No 227 d/15th June 1882

Sub Conductor
Kelley's claims will be
disposed of in accordance
with the foregoing note
from the Examiner P.W.
Accounts.

2. The disallowances
against which he
protests are purely
matters of departmental
regulation against
which no appeal can
lie to H. E. the Commander
in Chief.

To
The Suptg Engr^y First Circle ✓ The letter addressed
The Suptg Engr^y Fifth Circle to the Assistant General
with 4 enclosures. Will therefore be returned
The Exam^r P. W. acct^y to the Sub Conductor
The Military Dept. ✓

Atty 19.6.82
20/6/82

~~1586~~
8

3415

Gobt. of (1882) Madras.
PUBLIC WORKS.

Dated

Price
20/6

Recd.

Encl.

G. O. 22 June 1882, No. 1586a

Nos. 116 to 167

Sub Comr Kelly

The travelling allow claims

I — • to be disposed of in
accordance with the Revenue
note