

ms. B. 6. 12568. 17-5-82

NOTES.

REFERENCE TO MADRAS P. W. D. CODE.

CHAPTER XIV.—PARAS. 1829 TO 1832.

1. The object of this Return is to place before Government an analysis of all shortcomings in matters of financial regularity in the operations of each month with a view to their being remedied. It should be submitted to Government on the first day of the third month succeeding that to which the accounts relate.

2. There should be a separate statement for each separate Fund—Imperial, Provincial, Local, and Irrigation.

3. The Provincial statement will be posted from the Divisional Statements of Objectionable Expenditure. The statement need not be delayed in order to embody the remarks of the Superintending Engineers if these do not reach the Examiners Office in time. It is desirable, however, that the Superintending Engineer's opinion should be recorded as far as may be practicable, and, in order to ensure this being done, the Divisional Statement of Objectionable Items should be checked immediately on receipt and sent to the Superintending Engineer for his remarks before the audit of the other accounts is proceeded with.

4. All Executive Officers who have failed to submit their Divisional Statements of Objectionable Items with their Monthly Accounts, as well as those whose statements are generally incomplete, should be specially brought to the notice of Government under "General Remarks" at the end of the statement.

5. Items of irregular expenditure which are left unincorporated by Executive Engineers in their Divisional Statements of Objectionable Items should be distinctly noted as such either in a separate supplementary statement or in the original statement in red ink.

6. Columns under all the heads should be filled up in each case so as to bring out clearly where estimate or appropriation exists and where it does not.

7. In the case of large projects such important features as the following should be stated with precision:—

- | | | |
|--|---|--|
| A. Lump sum estimate. | { | 1. Submitted to Chief Engineer. |
| B. Abstract detail estimate by sub-heads, and so on. | | 2. Submitted to Superintending Engineer. |
| | | 3. Not yet submitted. |

8. A large work which is proceeded with on merely a lump sum sanction is still objectionable till an abstract and detail estimate by sub-heads is submitted and sanctioned for it by competent authority.

9. Special mention should be made of works being carried out in portions by authorities, beyond whose powers of sanction it would be to sanction the expenditure as a whole.

10. An important point to notice is the duration of a serious irregularity.

11. Voluminous masses of detail, when their practical significance could be given in a few lines, should always be avoided as a rule. If, for instance, the state of matters as to sanction in one month does not materially differ from that of a preceding month, it will answer all practical purposes to say so, instead of sending another full report.

12. Too much stress can never be laid on the fact that this statement is not to take place of special reports, then and there, of works on which serious irregular expenditure is being largely incurred, either without estimate or appropriation.

p. 6. do. 673 W. 10-3-82.
" " 1094 W. 2-5-82.

P. W. D. Madras.
Form No. M 64a.

CENTRAL OFFICE OF ACCOUNTS.

CIRCLE Architect Division

FUND Imperial and Provincial

Provincial Statement of Objectionable Items
in the Accounts of Executive Engineers
for the Month of March 1882.

No. 1094

MADRAS,

Dated June 1882

FORWARDED for orders to the Secretary to Government,
Public Works Department, Madras.

Examiner of P. W. Accounts,
Madras.

MS. 296.

ms. 1244 Re 1744

MS. 296
ms. 1244 Re 1744

MS. 256
O. 9/6. Re 156

MS. 296

MS. 296
MS. 1244 Re 1744

Provincial Statement of Objectionable Items in the Accounts requiring the

Item No.	Particulars of each Item.	Month in the Accounts of which Objectionable Expenditure first appeared.	COMPARISON WITH ESTIMATE.			COMPARISON WITH APPROPRIATION OF THE YEAR.			Expenditure during the Month.
			Amount of Estimate.	Expenditure up to Date.	Excess over Estimate.	Amount of Appropriation.	Expenditure of the Year.	Excess over Appropriation.	
	<u>Imperial</u> <u>Excess over appropriation</u>								
1	Constructing Telegraph office at Madras					77500	77753	253	14275
2	Constructing a Post office at Madras					80925	85948	5023	7091
	<u>Irregularities</u> <u>Works for which Revised estimates are likely to be required</u>								
3	Constructing Telegraph office at Madras					25792	27955		
4	Constructing a Post office at Madras					24521	28483	3962	

of Executive Engineers for the Month of
orders of Government.

March 1882

Opinion of the Superintending Engineer,
with Final Remarks by Examiner.

Orders by Government.

No 1549 r a / 19th Jano / 82

Consulting Architect
states that these excesses
were due to certain un-
expected payments for
timber and certain un-
foreseen adjustments.
They were accepted by
this office as the
official year had
expired.

These Excesses may be
passed as they are covered
by savings on the total grant
for Imperial other
services.

Sanction to these
revised Estimates
still awaits

The Submission
of these revised Estimates
should be expedited

D. C. Lowrey

Examiner of P. W. Accounts,

Madras.

2/6

2/6

To the Consulting Architect
Thro' the Commr. P. W. Accts.

2/6

Sept 19/6/82