

Edmore circ

ordered, but on further examination, he confessed that his family and goods were still at his former station, and that he did not rent a house at the place ordered.
His.

[illegible]

Yellow Pine
A 4 August 1881.

C. Engineer
More

426

Boards, Godavery.

will be good enough to
reply to my letter No. 16
inissal. of Sub overseer
cautions for this request

received 3 separate orders
of Gernagudem Taluk
different works (cultures & re-
gulation to Nallacherla
olhapuram, a hamlet
1/2 mile of Nidadavolu.

cells at Nidadavolu) no
made no attempt to obey.

3. In the same month he received an order from the Overseer, Endorsed by me to change his fixed station from Ahagallu to Montapalli, the latter being more central in his section. Of this order he took no notice. On my personal inquiry at the latter village in May, I was told that he stayed there for a night occasionally. He, on being asked by me, stated that he had changed his fixed station to the place ordered, but on further examination, he confessed that his family and goods were still at his former station, and that he did not rent a house at the place ordered.

159/ E. L. Linnick 3rd grade

I beg that you will be good enough to reconsider your decision in reply to my letter no 16 of 27-5-81. regarding the dismissal of Sub overseer D. Mammiah Naidu. My reasons for this request are as follows.

1. In February last he received 3 separate orders from the Overseer in charge of Ternagudem Taluk to collect materials for different works (culvert ^{near} on Road from Madapalligudem to Nallacherla. Repairing well at Jagannadhapuram, a hamlet of Nallacherla, culvert in 1st mile of Nidadavolu. and Rangidi Road and 2 wells at Nidadavolu) in his section. These orders he made no attempt to obey.
2. He was also ordered in that month by the Overseer to meet him and myself at a certain place in his section. That order he also disregarded.
3. In the same month he received an order from the Overseer, Endorsed by me to change his fixed station from Chagallu to Tirantapalli, the latter being more central in his section. Of this order he took no notice. On my personal inquiry at the latter village in May, I was told that he stayed there for a night occasionally. I, on being asked by me, stated that he had changed his fixed station to the place ordered, but on further examination, he confessed that his family and goods were still at his former station, and that he did not rent a house at the place ordered.

His.

merely he called making it his fixed station.

He forwarded his diary for May too entering Anantapalli as his head quarters even after the above confession before me.

4. In April last he was ordered by the Overseer (on receipt of an order from me) to collect all the tools under his charge at Tadapalligudem in his section for half yearly inspection. This he apparently made no attempt to do. On my arrival at the place specified, I found there only a small part of the tools under his charge and he could give no satisfactory explanation of the absence of the rest. I was strongly of opinion (after several personal interviews with him) that the cause of disobedience to all these orders and of his false statement with regard to change of fixed station, was that he was in a state of imbecility of mind that he could not even understand the orders given him, or that his statement was really false. I therefore wrote as I did in my letter above quoted. After receiving your reply I sent him for examination to the Zillah Surgeon who reported it necessary for him to have one month's sick leave, but stated that there was nothing to show that his mind was deranged. I must therefore suppose that his disobedience and false statements were wilful.

5. He is so entirely unable to supervise or manage work of any sort that in one case (in this official year) of work in his section where more than a Gunast's supervision was necessary, the Overseer was obliged to depute the other Sub Overseer of Geranagudem Taluk to supervise the work. Sub Overseer D. Maniah is in fact utterly and perfectly useless.

I request therefore that you will be good enough to sanction his dismissal and.

I beg that you will be good enough to let me know your decision at an early date.

I have &c &c
J. P. de Winton
L. Food Engineer

(True copy)

7th November 1881
Pippara — } (J. P. de Winton
L. Food Engineer
Ellore Circle)

(True copy)

J. P. de Winton
Rake Local Fund &c &c Sub Overseer

Handwritten text at the top of the right page, possibly a title or header.

Handwritten text block on the right page, first paragraph.

Main body of handwritten text on the right page, consisting of several paragraphs.

Handwritten text at the bottom of the right page, including a date and a signature.

1881 / 10 / 25 -
Handwritten text block on the bottom left of the right page.

Signature and name 'Sule Amerken' on the bottom right of the right page.

True copy
Handwritten text at the very bottom of the right page.

[Faint, mostly illegible handwritten text, likely bleed-through from the reverse side of the page.]

(88)
L. G. R.
24-11-81

Sent to Mr. Foster.

The President of the Local Fund Board.
Godavery District.
Cocanada

The humble petition of Dasara
Mamiah Vaidw late Sub-
-seer in Zernagudem Talug Ello
Most respectfully sheweth, that your
petitioner has received an order from
the L. F. Engineer intimating that your
honor has dismissed your petiti-
-oner for "neglect of duty". Your petiti-
-oner humbly & respectfully begs your
honor will kindly investigate into
the matter and met out justice to your
honors innocent victim, as your pe-
-titioner is a man with a large family
dependent on him, and who will have
-ve to share in a great measure in
this loss of your petitioner's appointm-
-ent, and have to undergo difficulties
if your humble petitioner is not right-
-ted by your honor.

Your petitioner has been over 5
years in the service and begs to state
that Mr. Taylor some time ago in the
year 1879 recommended your petitioner
to be promoted to first grade over-
-seer, which your honor can ascertain
from Mr. Walch. The appointment
was filled up as your petitioner's app-
-lication was late in reaching Mr.
Walch.

promised promotion when another
vacancy occurred. Your petitioner
most humbly and respectfully begs
your honor will take pity on your
poor petitioner and restore him to
his appointment, and should this
be impracticable your petitioner humbly
begs your honor to accept this as
your petitioner's resignation, to ena-
ble your petitioner to get a chance
of obtaining an appointment in any
other Department and in serve mea-
-sure save your petitioner from being
placed in difficulties.

Your petitioner respectfully solicits
your honor's kind consideration of
this matter and pardon for intruding
on your honor's valuable time, for which
your petitioner will be ever grateful.

With due respect

I am

Honored Sir

Your most obedient servant.

D. Alamiak

Lake Local Fund 3rd grade Sub. Div.
No 64.

I cannot alter my decision.

28/11/81. (Signed) W. S. Foster
President.

(55)
28/12/81
(Over copy)
D. Alamiak
Lake Local Fund 3rd grade Sub. Div.

[Faint, mostly illegible handwritten text in Devanagari script, likely bleed-through from the reverse side of the page.]

1881
 5-11-81
 Prepare Copy of my letter
 to President & his
 reply

1881
 464
 Copy of my letter to President
 & his reply enclosed

8th Oct 81.

R. P. deWinton Esq.
 Local Fund Engineer
 Ellore Circle.

Most Honored Sir

With reference to your honor's docket
 No 24 dated 8th October 1881 with regard
 to my dismissal, I (Dasari Maniahlat
 Local Fund Sub Overseer Yerangudem
 Taluq.

Most respectfully beg to request that
 your honor will be pleased to favor me
 with the copies of your honor's reports
 made against me to the President and
 that the orders passed thereon by the
 President.

I beg to remain
 Most Honored
 Sir

30th October
 1881
 Dasari
 Devalaishwaram

Your most obedient ser-
 vant.
 Dasari, Maniahlat
 Late Local Fund Sub
 Overseer.

(Your copy)
 Maniahlat
 Late Local Fund Sub Overseer

[Faint handwritten notes and signatures at the bottom of the left page.]

No 468.

Local Funds Office
Camp Niladavolu, 29th Sep-
-tember 1881.
Dis. 3-10-81.

From

The President
L. Fund Boards

To

The Local Fund Engineer
Ellore Circle.

Sir

In reference to your letter No 16
dated 9th Instant, I have the honor to
sanction the dismissal of Sub Overseer
D. Mamiak Naidu and to approve the
arrangement proposed in your letter No 16
dated 27th May last.

I have &c &c
/s/ W. S. Foster.

President

(True Copy)

7th November 1881
Pippara

/s/ R. P. de Minton
Local Fund Engineer
Ellore Circle.

(True Copy)

D. Mamiak
Late Local Fund & Grade Sub Overseer

Colonel R. W. Barker, C. B., R. E.
 To the Government D. P. W.
 Secretariat
 Ootacamund.

D. B. R. E.

GOVERNMENT D. P. W.

OOTACAMUND.

Memble petition of Dasary Maniah late
 Grade L. F. Sub Overseer Yeranagudem
 Godavery District.

as a Sub Overseer in the D. P. W. in
 Local Fund Board in 1880, and his
 Vide Enclosure A).

That, on the 16th November 1881, he
 the Local Fund Engineer that Petitioner
 competency, and the circumstances that

(s) letter to the President, No. 16 of
 ted though applied for) recommended
 cause. The President, however, did
 dent's opinion, that the recommended

alfilled; again the Engineer with a
 duce health certificate which is not
 ed it when he entered the service.
 reported that there was nothing to
 Then the Local Fund Engineer stated
 ng statements which he prominently mentioned
 also added his apparently uncalled for opinions
 pique which the Engineer harboured in his mind.

5. That sometime after joining his duty for climatical reasons and for
 frequent indisposed state of health he was subjected to, Petitioner applied (vide
 Enclosure D) to the Engineer for a transfer, adding at the same time, that if his
 repeated request could not be granted even at this time to consider the same as his
 resignation.

6. That as the Engineer sorrowfully twice failed in his object to weed out
 Petitioner from the Department, and taking advantage of the above letter,
 concocted the following series of false charges, and complained against Petitioner
 to the President (Vide Enclosure C).

TO

COLONEL R. H. SANKEY C. B. R. E.
SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT D. P. W.
OOTACAMUND.

*The humble petition of Dasary Mamiah late
3rd Grade L. F. Sub Overseer Yeranagudem
Taluk Godavery District.*

VERY RESPECTFULLY SHOWETH:—

That Petitioner was entertained as a Sub Overseer in the D. P. W. in October 1877, and was transferred to the Local Fund Board in 1880, and his service on the whole, amounts to five years (Vide Enclosure A).

2. That Petitioner regrets to add that, on the 16th November 1881, he received an order (Vide Enclosure B) from the Local Fund Engineer that Petitioner was dismissed for neglect of duty and incompetency, and the circumstances that led to his dismissal are as follows.

3. That in his (Local Fund Engineer's) letter to the President, No. 16 of 25th May 1881, (*copy of which was not granted though applied for*) recommended Petitioner for dismissal, for some unknown cause. The President, however, did not do so, but concurred with the Vice President's opinion, *that the recommended dismissal should not be sanctioned.*

4. That seeing his object was not fulfilled; again the Engineer with a sinister motive, directed Petitioner to produce health certificate which is not required as the Petitioner had once produced it when he entered the service. After a month's treatment the Zillah Surgeon reported that there was nothing to show that Petitioner's mind was deranged. Then the Local Fund Engineer stated that Petitioner wilfully made wrong statements which he prominently mentioned in his letter (Enclosure C) and he also added his apparently uncalled for opinions which clearly prove the private pique which the Engineer harboured in his mind.

5. That sometime after joining his duty for climatical reasons and for frequent indisposed state of health he was subjected to Petitioner applied (vide Enclosure D) to the Engineer for a transfer, adding at the same time, that if his repeated request could not be granted even at this time to consider the same as his resignation.

6. That as the Engineer sorrowfully twice failed in his object to weed out Petitioner from the Department, and taking advantage of the above letter, concocted the following series of false charges, and complained against Petitioner to the President (Vide Enclosure C).

7. That Petitioner (a) disobeyed the Overseer's orders for execution of certain works, (b) disregarded the orders given him to meet the Engineer and Overseer at a certain place (c) took no notice of the orders given him for the change of his Head quarters, (d) failed to make any attempt for the collection of tools in pursuance to the Overseer's orders, and (e) entirely unable to supervise or manage works.

8. That subsequently as reply to the letter embodying the above charges, and presumably as a reply also to Petitioner's resignation letter the dismissal order (Enclosure B) was received.

9. That on this, Petitioner representing the injustice done in his case, appealed (Vide Enclosure E) to the President for a review, who instead of inquiring into his case, simply endorsed "I cannot alter my decision" (Vide endorsement No. 64 dated 28th November 1881 on Enclosure E.)

10. That Petitioner begs to submit the following explanations and sincerely trusts that your honor will evidently see the high-handed injustice done in his miserable case.

11. That with references to clauses (a, b, c, d, e) in para 7 Petitioner begs to submit the following explanations *Seriatim*.

(a) Petitioner admits the receipt of the orders referred to in this clause. In due obedience to the said orders which were for the repairs of two wells at Nidathavole, to a culvert on Nidathavole road, to a well at Jagannathapuram, and for collecting materials for a new culvert on Nallacherla road Petitioner prepared the concrete required for the said two wells, and while collecting the available materials, and reporting the same to the overseer, proceeded on to inspect the quarries for collecting materials for other works. On the way unfortunately, the cart was capsized and Petitioner was seriously hurt, which obliged him to attend the Hospital at Tallapudy and reported the accident to the Overseer forwarding a certificate from the Hospital Assistant subsequent to his discharge from the Hospital, Petitioner repeatedly asked the overseer for money to pay in advance to workmen for quarrying the stone and to bandy men for carting, to which the overseer verbally instructed Petitioner not to carry out the said works owing to the close of the official year. Hence, Petitioner made no arrangements, how could it then be that Petitioner disobeyed the orders is only imaginable (b) Petitioner cannot but refute the statement that he disregarded the Overseer's order. For on a certain day the Engineer accompanied by the overseer and by Petitioner, left Nallacherla and arrived at Pangidy, inspecting the Trunk road. On the very evening when Petitioner saw the overseer, he gave no orders neither to meet him nor the Engineer. Nevertheless Petitioner feeling sensible that it is his duty to show the Engineer all works, left Chagallu early in the morning to meet the overseer and the Engineer at Pangidy but met them at Meeranagaram after they have walked a distance of one mile; as the Engineer left his station at an unusually earlier hour which is usual with him. In the face of the absence of any orders the Engineer calls this as neglect of orders. (c) Petitioner took no notice of the order as to the change of fixed station from Chagallu to Ananthapalli is contrary to fact. Some 8 days after the said order was received from the overseer, Petitioner left for Ananthapalli, and having in vain, inquired for a house to live in, settled himself in a hotel with necessary things, and went on circuit, as usual. About ten days afterwards, while Petitioner was on circuit, the Engineer, proceeding

on his inspection duty, appears to have sent his lascar to Ananthapalli Village to inquire if Petitioner permanently removed himself thither. The ignorant villagers misunderstanding his settlement in the village, appears to have informed the lascar that Petitioner was occasionally used to put up in a hotel. On his way, to Covoor, the Engineer again inquired Petitioner on the same subject, to which Petitioner replied that he made Ananthapalli as Head Quarters but informed him the truth that he kept his family and unnecessary things still at Chagallu till he could get a convenient lodging, which course is usual to all natives. This the Engineer construed as a false statement. (d) Petitioner did not make any attempt as to the overseer's order to collect tools at Thadapalligudem, is likewise contrary to fact. The number of tools under your Petitioner was about 190, one of the two Gumastas under Petitioner the overseer sent to gather information as to ruined temples, and the other to Rajahmundry to watch Local Fund Boats. Owing to their absence and the shortness of time, Petitioner was only able to collect three-fourths of the tools at the place ordered, and informed the Engineer that the rest will be collected at Pangidy *a course not quite unusual*. To enable him to do so, Petitioner in vain asked the Engineer and Overseer to leave the station before. As Petitioner was accompanying his superiors, he was not able to collect all the rest of the tools for his examination at Pangidy, as promised. On this the Engineer ordered Petitioner to collect them all at Prakkilunka which Petitioner did, except a few which with all his efforts he unavoidably failed to gather, as the tools were given by the Gumastas to coolies, unknown to petitioner for which he produced receipts signed by the Gumastas. Subsequent, to this, the Overseer sent a list of the tools unexamined ordering petitioner to send them up to the store at Tallapudy, which he did. In the face of this, how for the accusation alluded to in this para is tenable, Petitioner begs that your honor will judge.

12. (e) That Petitioner was so entirely unable to supervise or manage works and in one case the Overseer was obliged to depute the other Sub Overseer to supervise the work is totally a wilful charge. In fact while petitioner was doing his duties in his Section satisfactorily the Overseer wilfully ordered another Sub Overseer to inspect the loose stone revetment of Rs. 70 of the Savarum road which is very simple, as it is very close to the Head quarters of that Sub-overseer.

13. When Petitioner reasonably applied (Vide Enclosure F) for all the copies of the reports sent against him, copies of two documents (Enclosure C and G.) only were given him; which not only shows that the refusal is in direct contravention to Rules, but also that there was some motive in the mind of the Engineer, which presumably cannot but be any other than that, if copies were granted the injustice done in Petitioner's case would be clearly visible to appellate authorities.

14. That in his service of 5 years, Petitioner bore an unblemished character and his D. P. W. Officer Mr H. S. Taylor certified to his good character and intelligent and able discharge of duties in the annual or administration reports; but owing to Petitioner's misfortune, failed to be entered in the service register which Petitioner in vain endeavoured to procure copies and which induced him more than once to recommend Petitioner for a 1st class Overseer ship. Petitioner begs to observe that all the faults for which he was so severely dealt with are not so serious as will clearly be seen that they cannot be excused or condoned by milder punishments.

15. That under the facts and arguments above urged, Petitioner most humbly begs that in your honor's unerring Judgment and exalted wisdom will kindly condescend to a deep and clement consideration of Petitioner's heart-rending case as above narrated, which Petitioner believes cannot fail to enlist your honor's sympathy and thereby that even handed justice may be dealt out to him by directing that Petitioner be reemployed in D. P. W. and his past service in D. P. W. be reckon as pensionable which will Petitioner believes, save him and his large family from being drowned in the sea of poverty and for which inestimable and worthy boon, Petitioner as in duty bound Shall Ever pray.

MASULIPATAM, BUTTAXIPETT
KISTNA DISTRICT,
19th May 1882.

G. P. Munniah
Late L. F. 3rd Grade Sub-Overseer.

No. 1426 W

PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT.

PROCEEDINGS OF THE MADRAS GOVERNMENT.

UNDER date the

Read the following:—

Petition from Munniah dated 17th May 1882.
Late L. F. 3rd Grade Sub-Overseer
Godavari District

Petitioner is informed that the Government are unable to interfere with the action of the Local Fund Board in his case, and that application for the appointment of sub-overseers should be made to Superintending Engineers.

Cur

30.6.82

To
The Petitioner

received 8/6/82.

Plt
1/6/82

2.5.30p

No. 1126 wdf 7th June/62

10/6/5

10/6/6

Endin R. Ke.

B5

A4