Archives I –10,006—16-8 77.

(1) Number of pages 18

(2) Date of repair 24. 2. 87

(3) Initials of the Referencer Lens

TAMIL NADU ARCHIVES

GOVERNMENT OF TAMIL NADU

Public Works DEPARTMENT

G.O. No. 134 & 134 A W — Press.
B.P. No. — Mis.

Dated 16. 1. 1882 ~~197~~.

JHLNJ

Not to be folded or roughly handled

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS.

PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT.

134 & 134A
1

16th January 1882, No. 134 W.

Buildings and Roads.
Civil Works.

Read the following paper:—

No. 80. From Major J. PENNYCUICK, R.E., Superintending Engineer, Third Circle, to the Chief Engineer, Public Works Department, dated Bellary, 10th January 1882, No. 217.

In reference to your endorsement No. 1, dated 2nd January 1882, on copy of telegram from the Secretary to the Government of Bombay, Public Works Department, I have the honor to state that I find on inquiry that the quarry in question was made over to this department expressly for cantonment and other works, and that no stone is allowed to be removed from it without a pass from the Executive Engineer or Sub-division Officer.

2. It appears that the Deputy Collector without any communication with the Executive Engineer gave permission to a contractor employed by the Bellary-Hubli State Railway to obtain stone from this quarry, and that the Executive Engineer knowing nothing of this, very rightly refused to allow him to work there.

3. The Executive Engineer of the Railway (Mr. Bull) made no request for permission for the contractor to work, but merely asked Lieutenant Boddy whether it was the fact that he had been prevented from doing so, and on the latter's replying in the affirmative, communicated at once with the Government of Bombay.

4. The position of the quarry prevents its being greatly extended without inconvenience to the public, but a limited quantity of stone can be spared from it. I have therefore requested Lieutenant Boddy to allow the contractor to quarry such stone as can be removed without inconvenience to the public, or detriment to the cantonment works, on the understanding that he is to be subject to whatever rules are laid down for the management of the quarry, and that this permission is liable to be revoked at any time if such revocation be considered necessary.

5. I cannot but think that Mr. Bull would have shown more discretion, and he would certainly have saved a great deal of trouble, if he had simply explained the facts to Lieutenant Boddy or to myself, and asked permission for his contractor to make use of the quarry.

6. The Deputy Collector's action in granting the contractor permission to work at a quarry which he knew to be under the charge of the Executive Engineer, without communication with the latter, appears to be of doubtful propriety.

No. 81. ORDER THEREON, 16th January 1882, No. 134 W.

THE action of the Superintending Engineer is approved.

2. The Collector of Bellary will be requested, in the Revenue Department, to call for and submit an explanation of the Deputy Collector's action.

(True Extract.)

(Signed) R. H. SANKEY, Colonel, R.E.,
Secretary to Govt., P.W.D.

To the Superintending Engineer, Third Circle.
" Revenue Department.

Exd. S. T. Austin.
Spare Copies

BUILDINGS AND ROADS;
CIVIL WORKS.

Govt. of [1882] Madras.

PUBLIC WORKS.

To

THE SUPERINTENDING ENGINEER,
THIRD CIRCLE,

&c., &c., &c.

Dated January, 16*th*.

Issued

Recd. *Encl.*

G.O., 16*th January* 1882, *No.* 134 *W.*

JANUARY.

Nos. 80-81.

Quarries at Bellary.—The action of the Superintending Engineer, Third Circle, in connection with the removal of stones from the — for railway works approved, and explanation of the Deputy Collector's action for granting permission for the removal of stones without communication with the Executive Engineer to be submitted by the Collector through the Revenue Department.

134 of 1364
2

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS.

PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT.

16th January 1882, No. 134-A W.

Buildings and Roads.
Civil Works

Read the following paper:—

No. 80. From Major J. PENNYCUICK, R.E., Superintending Engineer, Third Circle, to the Chief Engineer, Public Works Department, dated Bellary, 10th January 1882, No. 217.

In reference to your endorsement No. 1, dated 2nd January 1882. on copy of telegram from the Secretary to the Government of Bombay, Public Works Department, I have the honor to state that I find on inquiry that the quarry in question was made over to this department expressly for cantonment and other works, and that no stone is allowed to be removed from it without a pass from the Executive Engineer or Sub-division Officer.

2. It appears that the Deputy Collector without any communication with the Executive Engineer gave permission to a contractor employed by the Bellary-Hubli State Railway to obtain stone from this quarry, and that the Executive Engineer knowing nothing of this, very rightly refused to allow him to work there.

3. The Executive Engineer of the Railway (Mr. Bull) made no request for permission for the contractor to work, but merely asked Lieutenant Boddy whether it was the fact that he had been prevented from doing so, and on the latter's replying in the affirmative, communicated at once with the Government of Bombay.

4. The position of the quarry prevents its being greatly extended without inconvenience to the public, but a limited quantity of stone can be spared from it. I have therefore requested Lieutenant Boddy to allow the contractor to quarry such stone as can be removed without inconvenience to the public, or detriment to the cantonment works, on the understanding that he is to be subject to whatever rules are laid down for the management of the quarry, and that this permission is liable to be revoked at any time if such revocation be considered necessary.

5. I cannot but think that Mr. Bull would have shown more discretion, and he would certainly have saved a great deal of trouble, if he had simply explained the facts to Lieutenant Boddy or to myself, and asked permission for his contractor to make use of the quarry.

6. The Deputy Collector's action in granting the contractor permission to work at a quarry which he knew to be under the charge of the Executive Engineer, without communication with the latter, appears to be of doubtful propriety.

No. 81. ORDER THEREON, 16th January 1882, No. 134 W.

THE action of the Superintending Engineer is approved.

2. The Collector of Bellary will be requested, in the Revenue Department, to call for and submit an explanation of the Deputy Collector's action.

(True Extract.)

(Signed) R. H. SANKEY, Colonel, R.E.,
Secretary to Govt., P.W.D.

To the Superintending Engineer, Third Circle.
" Revenue Department.

No. 82. (DOCKET No. 134-A W., dated 16th January 1882.)

COPY of the above forwarded to the Government of Bombay, in continuation of telegram of the 1st January 1882.

(Signed) R. H. SANKEY, Colonel, R.E.,
Secretary to Govt., P.W.D.

Exd. S. T. Austin.
Spare Copies

BUILDINGS AND ROADS.
CIVIL WORKS.

Govt. of {1882} Madras.

PUBLIC WORKS.

To

THE SECRETARY TO THE
GOVERNMENT OF BOMBAY.

Dated January, 16*th*

Issued

Recd. *Encl.*

16*th January* 1882, *No.* 134-*A. W.*

JANUARY.
Nos. 80—82.

FORWARDING Proceedings regarding the quarrying of stone at Bellary, for the Bellary-Hubli State Railway.

P/ 134 of 1364

SUPERINTENDING ENGINEER'S OFFICE, 3rd Circle

No. 217

~~Madras~~
~~Chepauk~~ Bellary 10th January 1882.

From Major J. Pennycuick R.E.

THE SUPERINTENDING ENGINEER,

III ~~1st~~ CIRCLE,

To

THE Chief Engineer

P.W.D.

Madras.

SIR,

Enclosures.

In reference to your endorsement No. 1 dated 2nd January 1882, on copy of Telegram from the Secretary to the Government of Bombay Public Works Department I have the honour to state that I found on enquiry that the quarry in question was made over to this Department expressly for cantonment and other works, and that no stone is allowed to be removed from it without a pass from the Executive Engineer or Sub Divisional Officer.

2 It appears that the Dy Collector

without any communication with the Executive Engineer gave permission to a contractor employed by the Bellary Hoobly State Railway to obtain stone from this quarry, and that the Executive Engineer knowing nothing of this, very rightly refused to allow him to work there.

3 The Executive Engineer of the Railway (Mr Bull) made no request for permission for the contractor to work, but merely asked Lt Boddy whether it was the fact that he had been prevented from doing so, and on the latter replying in the affirmative, communicated at once with the Government of Bombay.

4. The position of the quarry prevents its being greatly extended without inconvenience to the public, but a limited quantity of stone can be spared from it. I have therefore requested Lt Boddy to allow the Contractor to quarry such stone as can be removed without inconvenience to the public, or detriment to the cantonment works, on the understanding that he is to be subject to whatever rules are laid down for the management of the quarry, and that this permission is liable to be revoked at any time if such revocation be considered necessary

5. I cannot but think that Mr Bull would have shewn more discretion, and he would certainly have saved a great deal of trouble, if he had simply explained the facts to Lieut Boddy or to myself and asked permission for his contractor to make use of the quarry

6 The Deputy Collector's action in granting the contractor permission to work at a quarry which he knew to be under the charge of the Executive Engineer, without communication with the latter, appears to be of doubtful

prosperity.

I have the honour to be
Sir
Your most obed. Servant
[illegible] Major R.E.
Superintending Engineer
3rd Circle

C.E. No 1 a/2.1.82.

From

The Superintending Engineer,

III ~~1st~~ Circle,

To

The Chief Engineer

P.W.D.

Madras.

Dated 10th January 1882.
Recd.

ENCLOSURES.

No. 217

Quarrying Stone at Bellary for Bellary Hosbly State Railway Works

—

Reporting that the Railway Eg. Eqr. has been permitted for — & stating the circumstances under which he was refused to do so.

—

Circ: 13.1.82. R. No 1 a/2

P. W. DEPARTMENT. 1348/1344

READ the following :—

Letter from the Superintending Engineer 3rd Circle. No 217 dated 10th January 1882.

FOR ORDERS.

P. Thompson Lt. Col. R.E.

Chepauk
January 1882

Ag: Under Secy to Govt.

R.S.

Action Proposed

Submitted

Secretary

FOR ORDERS.

134 pp

Circ: 13/1/82

No 134 W

Public Works Department.

PROCEEDINGS OF THE MADRAS GOVERNMENT.

Under date the 16th January 1882

Read the following:—

From the S. E. 3rd Circle H.S. No 217 dy 10 January 1882.

The action of the Supdg Engineer is approved—

2. The Collector of Bellary will be requested in the Rev: Dept., to call for & submit an explanation of the Deputy Collectors action,

H.S. No 134A dy 16.1.82.

Copy of the above forwarded to the Govt

S.E. 3 Circle
Rev. Dept.

Recd
20/1/82

Sir t. of Boundary in
Continuation of Telegram
of the 7th January 1885

Q

16.1.82

Read
30-1-82

O 187

Govt. of {1882} Madras.

PUBLIC WORKS.

To

Dated 1881

Issued

Read. Encl.

G. O., 18th January 1882, No. 134-340 A.

Nos. 80 & 82

Bridges at Bellary.

The Action of the Dy: Engr. 3rd Circle in connection with the removal of Palonies from the — for Ry: works appears to be explanation of the Dy Collrs action for granting permission for the removal of Palonies without communication with the Ry: Engr: to be submitted by the Collector through the Rev Dept.

Forty: Corps: regarding the quarrying of Palonie at Bellary for the Bellary Hospital Stats Railway Station Receipt Rept. Encld. in

Secy/1