



N^o 193.

Madura Dist^t Magistrate's Office
24th March 1882.

From

The District Magistrate
Madura

To

The Executive Engineer
Madura Division
Madura.

Sir,

With reference to your letter
N^o 870, dated 25th February 1882, I
with 2 vouchers have the honor to forward Account
Current and schedule of expenditure
for the months of August and
November 1881 for the repair of Sub
Magistrate's Quarters and Sub Jail
at Tirupatur, with the completion
certificate appended to the schedule
of the latter month.

2. The Head Assistant Collector
in charge of the work reports, that
when the work was in progress, the
Acting Deputy Tahsildar found
it expedient to make some further
deviations

Seidejail
18-7-81-

To

The Acting Head Assistant Collector
Madura

Honored Sir,

I beg to report regarding the completion of the repairs to the Sub Jail and Sub Magistrate's Cutcherry at Tirupatur.

2. The work was finished a day or two before I gave over charge of the office on the 25th June last.

3. The Contractor gave me a bill for the work on the 25th, and I had no time to make the check measurement and certify to its correctness.

4. I have however examined the work in a general way and found it all right.

5. While the work was going on, I authorized the Contractor to make certain deviations from the estimate. They are detailed in the accompanying statement. The nature of deviations briefly are as follow.

(1) The use of certain new materials such



18-7-81




Copy of Draft
Magistrate's Cutcherry
stating that the
work done is
correct and the
amount of the bill.

such as rafters, reapers, tiles, and bamboo mats in excess of the estimate, as I was satisfied that the proportion of the old materials which actually were found fit to be utilized turned out to be less than what according to the estimate had been calculated.

2. I found that the tiled coping of the northern side wall of the Record room in the Sub-Magistrate's Cutcherry had become cracked and was in a dangerous state. I therefore authorized the Contractor to reconstruct it. Its cost is only Rs. 4-8-4. The work is obviously necessary.

3. The estimate has provided for the flooring of the front verandah. At the time when the estimate was prepared, there was a small room at the northern end of this verandah, whose southern wall was merely the continuation of the southern wall of the record room. Subsequent to the estimate and before the repairs were commenced the wall appears



appears to have been knocked down, and the room enlarged by taking up a portion of the veranda. The marginal sketch illustrates the above. The present room on the veranda is A, F, while the former was A, E. B, F, was thus included before in the veranda, and the flooring of it is provided for in the estimate. I had therefore either to order the flooring of the whole A, F, or leave it ^{out} altogether. I thought the former course was better, inasmuch as the room is required for the Sub-Registrar, and there ought to be some flooring or other. The extra cost thereby incurred is only Rs. 6-6-4.

4. The only remaining item in which the estimate has been deviated from is the presumption of north veranda referred to in the original estimate, under wood work for Sub-Magistrate's

Magistrate's Cutcherry. The estimate provides for wall plate of vengai wood 10 feet long. There was actually required a beam 2 feet longer thus increasing the cubic contents by $\frac{3}{4}$ " of a cubic foot, which at the prescribed rate costs Rs. 2-4-0.

6. The bill given by the Contractor for these extra pieces of work amounts to Rs. 101-11-9. But I have cut it down to Rs. 91-7-8.

7. The state of the allotment for the whole work stands thus.

According to the D.P.W. revised estimate dated 20th January 1880 } Rs. a.s. 1190-0-0.

Deduct estimated amount of the repairs to the Hospitals abandoned under orders } 129-8-1

Balance 1060-7-11

Add amount of supplemental estimate dated 14th October 1880 } 157-15-0

Total 1218-6-11

Add the amount of the bill marked III on account of the alterations & modifications carried out under the orders of the Ag. Dy. Tahildar } 91-7-8

1309-14-7

Deduct amount allowed as per revised estimate for sundries & superintendence not utilized } 53-14-7

Balance 1256-0-0

8. Therefore the extra allotment required for payment is Rs. 66-0-0 only for which I beg that immediate sanction be obtained x x x x x

(Signed) S. Ramu Iyer
Ag. Sub. Dy. Sheristadar

(True Extract)

[sd] E. G. Ricketts

Dy. Dy. Collector
for Distt. Magistrate

(True Copy)

Wm. Houston
Executive Engineer
Madura Division

29/4/82

No 64 M.D. Sup^r Engineer's office
Vennar Head 4th May 1882.

From

Colonel J. Beatty R.E.
Sup^r Engineer, Circle.

To,

Colonel R. H. Sankey C.B.E.
Chief Engineer P.W.D.

Sir,

With reference to the Proceeding
of the Madras Government No 1820^W
of the 25th ^{July 1881} ordering me, in compliance
with the wishes of the Examiner,
to submit an abstract of the comple-
tion estimate for the sub. Magistrates
butcherry at Tirupatur, I have
the honor to inform you that the
District Magistrate of Madura, under
whose supervision the work was
carried out, states in his letter to
the Executive Engineer No 193 of the
24 March 1882, that the abstract estimate
cannot now be furnished. Copy of
^{the} District Magistrate's letter and its

enclo: 2



P. H. 82
27/6/82

Tirupattur Sub.
Magistrate's Lett.
24-3-82
District Magistrate's
Letter No 193
24-3-82

enclosure are herewith forwarded.

2. I may however observe —
that under standing order no 107 of 1882
of the Public Works Code, abstract Estimates
are only required for works and
repair Estimates ^{which} exceed Rs 2500;
while the estimate of this work only
amounted to 1190 Rupees.

I have the honor to be

Sir

Your most obedient servant.

L. Beatty - Col. R. R.

Sup. Engineer, Bircala.

Recd
1/11/82

SM

Regd No 104 d/11 May/82

No 619 d/10/5/82
To

C.

For note & return

13.5.82

9.5.82

Dr W.

No 124 d/12 May/82

Noted and returned.

oc2

C.

B No 1245 d/16 May/82.

Recorded

cu

15.5.82

25-7-81 No 1820 W

Hg

10-5

Dr W.

P. No. C. No. 29/6

From.

The Supr Engineer

b: brick

To.

The Chief Eng

P. W. D.

We b & h D.

Dated 11 May 182

B No 1245 d/16 May/82

Sub magistrates
butcheri at Trin-
-patin-

Informing that the
District Magistrate
of Madras under
whose supervision
the repairs to the
were carried out, states
that the abatement Es-
-timate of the con-
-pletion of the work
is furnished. Cannot not
be furnished. B No 10