

NOTES.

REFERENCE TO MADRAS P. W. D. CODE.

CHAPTER XIV.—PARAS. 1829 TO 1832.

1. The object of this Return is to place before Government an analysis of all shortcomings in matters of financial regularity in the operations of each month with a view to their being remedied. It should be submitted to Government on the first day of the third month succeeding that to which the accounts relate.

2. There should be a separate statement for each separate Fund—Imperial, Provincial, Local, and Irrigation.

3. The Provincial statement will be prepared from the Divisional Statements of Objectionable Expenditure. A statement need not be delayed in order to embody the remarks of the Superintending Engineer if these do not reach the Examiners Office in time. It is desirable, however, that the Superintending Engineer's opinion should be recorded as far as may be practicable, and, in order to ensure this being done, the Divisional Statement of Objectionable Items should be checked immediately on receipt and sent to the Superintending Engineer for his remarks before the audit of the other accounts is proceeded with.

4. All Executive Officers who have failed to submit their Divisional Statements of Objectionable Items with their Monthly Accounts, as well as those whose statements are generally incomplete, should be specially brought to the notice of Government under "General Remarks" at the end of the statement.

5. Items of irregular expenditure which are left unincorporated in Executive Engineers in their Divisional Statements of Objectionable Items should be distinctly noted as such either in a separate supplementary statement or in the original statement in red ink.

6. Columns under all the heads should be filled up in each case so as to bring out clearly where estimate or appropriation exists and where it does not.

7. In the case of large projects such important features as the following should be stated with precision:—

- | | |
|--|--|
| A. Lump sum estimate. | 1. Submitted to Chief Engineer. |
| B. Abstract detail estimate by sub-heads, and so on. | 2. Submitted to Superintending Engineer. |
| | 3. Not yet submitted. |

8. A large work which is proceeded with on merely a lump sum sanction is still objectionable till an abstract and detail estimate by sub-heads is submitted and sanctioned for it by competent authority.

9. Special mention should be made of works being carried out in portions by authorities, beyond whose powers of sanction it would be to sanction the expenditure as a whole.

10. An important point to notice is the duration of a serious irregularity.

11. Voluminous masses of detail, when their practical significance could be given in a few lines, should always be avoided as a rule. If, for instance, the state of matters as to sanction in one month does not materially differ from that of a preceding month, it will answer all practical purposes to say so, instead of sending another full report.

12. Too much stress can never be laid on the fact that this statement is not to take place of special reports, then and there, of works on which serious irregular expenditure is being largely incurred, either without estimate or appropriation.

Go 697 x 699 w. 17. 3. 82.

10/10/82

P. W. D. 2215

P. W. D. Madras.
Form No. M 64a.

CENTRAL OFFICE OF ACCOUNTS.

CIRCLE 5th

FUND Imperial and Provincial

Provincial Statement of Objectionable Items
in the Accounts of Executive Engineers
for the Month of January 1882

At MADRAS,
Dated 5-4- 1882.
Recd. 6th April

FORWARDED for orders to the Secretary to Government, Public Works Department, Madras.

D. C. L. L. L.

Examiner of P. W. Accounts,
Madras.

B. 1086 H. 4/1-5/82

Entd. in Receipt Rep.
With order

Provincial Statement of Objectionable Items in the Accounts requiring the

Item No.	Particulars of each Item.	Month in the Accounts of which Objectionable Expenditure first appeared.	COMPARISON WITH ESTIMATE.			COMPARISON WITH APPROPRIATION OF THE YEAR.			Expenditure during the Month.
			Amount of Estimate.	Expenditure up to Date.	Excess over Estimate.	Amount of Appropriation.	Expenditure of the Year.	Excess over Appropriation.	
	Presidency Du		Superior						
1	Constructing 2nd Latrines with 20 Seats (Experimental) for patients of the Sappers and Miners Bangalore. " "				536 536				
	Lehinglept.								
2	New Barracks for Royal Artillery at Mount	Aug 1887			8408 8408				
					Excess over Estimate				
3	Accommodation for European Infantry	Nov 1887			724 967 243				

of Executive Engineers for the Month of

January 1887

Opinion of the Superintending Engineer, with Final Remarks by Examiner.

Orders by Government.

Superintending Engineer states that the Estimate for this work cannot be prepared till the experimental work is finished and plan approved of by Government.

No. 1086 W. d/1st May/82

These irregularities 2 The Estimate is 8 months due are again brought and the delay is very objectionable to notice of Government. The Sup. & Inf. will see to the completion & submission of the Estimates in question.

Provincial Statement of Objectionable Items in the Accounts requiring the

Item No.	Particulars of each Item.	Month in the Accounts of which Objectionable Expenditure first appeared.	COMPARISON WITH ESTIMATE.			COMPARISON WITH APPROPRIATION OF THE YEAR.			the Expenditure during Month.
			Amount of Estimate.	Expenditure up to Date.	Excess over Estimate.	Amount of Appropriation.	Expenditure of the Year.	Excess over Appropriation.	
	<i>Chingleput</i> <i>Works for which Revised Estimates are likely to be required.</i>								
6	<i>Agricultural College Government Farm at Saidapett</i>	<i>Augt 30 1881</i>							

of Executive Engineers for the Month of orders of Government.

188

Opinion of the Superintending Engineer, with Final Remarks by Examiner.

Orders by Government.

This irregularity. The Sup^r Eng^r's attention is again brought directed to para 10 of G.O. No to notice of Govt. G.O. No 417 March/02

*To the Sup^r Eng^r 5 Lii
The Sup^r Eng^r 5 Lii
Sept 2/5/82.*

D. P. Guruswami
Examiner of P. W. Accounts,
Madras.