P. W. C. No. 7408

P. W. D. Madras.
Form No. M 64*a*.

NOTES.

Reference to Madras P. W. D. Code.

Chapter XIV.—Paras. 1829 to 1832.

1. The object of this Return is to place before Government an analysis of all shortcomings in matters of financial regularity in the operations of each month with a view to their being remedied. It should be submitted to Government on the first day of the third month succeeding that to which the accounts relate.

2. There should be a separate statement for each separate Fund—Imperial, Provincial, Local, and Irrigation.

3. The Provincial statement will be posted from the Divisional Statements of Objectionable Expenditure. The statement need not be delayed in order to embody the remarks of the Superintending Engineers if these do not reach the Examiners Office in time. It is desirable, however, that the Superintending Engineer's opinion should be recorded as far as may be practicable, and, in order to ensure this being done, the Divisional Statement of Objectionable Items should be checked immediately on receipt and sent to the Superintending Engineer for his remarks before the audit of the other accounts is proceeded with.

4. All Executive Officers who have failed to submit their Divisional Statements of Objectionable Items with their Monthly Accounts, as well as those whose statements are *generally incomplete*, should be specially brought to the notice of Government under "General Remarks" at the end of the statement.

5. Items of irregular expenditure which are left unincluded by Executive Engineers in their Divisional Statements of Objectionable Items should be distinctly noted as such either in a separate supplementary statement or in the original statement in red ink.

6. Columns under all the heads should be filled up in each case so as to bring out clearly where estimate or appropriation exists and where it does not.

7. In the case of large projects such important features as the following should be stated with precision:—

A. Lump sum estimate.
B. Abstract detail estimate by sub-heads, and so on.

1. Submitted to Chief Engineer.
2. Submitted to Superintending Engineer.
3. Not yet submitted.

8. A large work which is proceeded with on merely a lump sum sanction is still objectionable till an abstract and detail estimate by sub-heads is submitted and sanctioned for it by competent authority.

9. Special mention should be made of works being carried out in portions by authorities, beyond whose powers of sanction it would be to sanction the expenditure as a whole.

10. An important point to notice is the duration of a serious irregularity.

11. Voluminous masses of detail, when their practical significance could be given in a few lines, should always be avoided as a rule. If, for instance, the state of matters as to sanction in one month does not materially differ from that of a preceding month, it will answer all practical purposes to say so, instead of sending another full report.

12. Too much stress can never be laid on the fact that this statement is not to take place of special reports, then and there, of works on which serious irregular expenditure is being largely incurred, either without estimate or appropriation.

Ex 2892 W. 18-11-81.

CENTRAL OFFICE OF ACCOUNTS.

Circle 3rd

Fund Local

(On Duplicate)

Provincial Statement of Objectionable Items in the Accounts of Executive Engineers for the Month of September 188/.

No.

Madras,
Dated 14 December 188/.

Recd 15th

Forwarded for orders to the Secretary to Government, Public Works Department, Madras.

B. No. 3162 Way 20 Dec 81

D. C. Ramaswamy

Examiner of P. W. Accounts,
Madras.

Entd. in Receipt Regr.

With order

Provincial Statement of Objectionable Items in the Accounts requiring the

of Executive Engineers for the Month of September 1881

orders of Government.

Item No.	Particulars of each Item.	Month in the Accounts of which Objectionable Expenditure first appeared.	Comparison with Estimate.			Comparison with Appropriation of the Year.			Expenditure during the Month.	Opinion of the Superintending Engineer, with Final Remarks by Examiner.	Orders by Government.
			Amount of Estimate.	Expenditure up to Date.	Excess over Estimate.	Amount of Appropriation.	Expenditure of the Year.	Excess over Appropriation.			
				Local							No. 3162 Way 20 Dec 81
*	Completion Certificate not submitted to the Examiner for works above Rs 2500										
	Suddapah										
1	Repairs of road from Cooty to Kurnool	1	5.300	5.281							
2	Do. from Kurnool to Berlooty	1	4.200	4.213							
3	Do. Bellary to Kurnool	1	5.200	5.213							
4	Do. Kurnool Via Suddapah and Mundial	1	12.870	11.006							
5	Do. from Costymar Papully Via Rampoor Pass	1	5.000	5.097							
6	Completion of the Kurnool High School	1	8.985	8.910							
										The non-Submission of these completion Certificates is again brought to the notice of Government.	The Supt Engrs should submit the Completion Certificates without delay to the Examiner and have these Items removed. DW

S. E. 3d Circle
thro' the Exs P.W.s

dcapd 21-12-81-

CH 15/1

D. P. Cameron

Examiner of P. W. Accounts,
Madras.

P. W. D. Madras.
Form No. M 64*a*.

P. W. 6. No. 6677

Rect: 14 Nov: 81

CENTRAL OFFICE OF ACCOUNTS.

(in dup:

CIRCLE 3rd

FUND Local

Provincial Statement of Objectionable Items in the Accounts of Executive Engineers for the Month of August 188/.

No.

MADRAS,
Dated 12—11— 188/.

FORWARDED for orders to the Secretary to Government, Public Works Department, Madras.

D. C. Lowry

Examiner of P. W. Accounts,
Madras.

NOTES.

REFERENCE TO MADRAS P. W. D. CODE.

CHAPTER XIV.—PARAS. 1829 TO 1832.

1. The object of this Return is to place before Government an analysis of all shortcomings in matters of financial regularity in the operations of each month with a view to their being remedied. It should be submitted to Government on the first day of the third month succeeding that to which the accounts relate.

2. There should be a separate statement for each separate Fund—Imperial, Provincial, Local, and Irrigation.

3. The Provincial statement will be posted from the Divisional Statements of Objectionable Expenditure. The statement need not be delayed in order to embody the remarks of the Superintending Engineers if these do not reach the Examiners Office in time. It is desirable, however, that the Superintending Engineer's opinion should be recorded as far as may be practicable, and, in order to ensure this being done, the Divisional Statement of Objectionable Items should be checked immediately on receipt and sent to the Superintending Engineer for his remarks before the audit of the other accounts is proceeded with.

4. All Executive Officers who have failed to submit their Divisional Statements of Objectionable Items with their Monthly Accounts, as well as those whose statements are *generally incomplete*, should be specially brought to the notice of Government under "General Remarks" at the end of the statement.

5. Items of irregular expenditure which are left unincluded by Executive Engineers in their Divisional Statements of Objectionable Items should be distinctly noted as such either in a separate supplementary statement or in the original statement in red ink.

6. Columns under all the heads should be filled up in each case so as to bring out clearly where estimate or appropriation exists and where it does not.

7. In the case of large projects such important features as the following should be stated with precision:—

A. Lump sum estimate.
B. Abstract detail estimate by sub-heads, and so on.
1. Submitted to Chief Engineer.
2. Submitted to Superintending Engineer.
3. Not yet submitted.

8. A large work which is proceeded with on merely a lump sum sanction is still objectionable till an abstract and detail estimate by sub-heads is submitted and sanctioned for it by competent authority.

9. Special mention should be made of works being carried out in portions by authorities, beyond whose powers of sanction it would be to sanction the expenditure as a whole.

10. An important point to notice is the duration of a serious irregularity.

11. Voluminous masses of detail, when their practical significance could be given in a few lines, should always be avoided as a rule. If, for instance, the state of matters as to sanction in one month does not materially differ from that of a preceding month, it will answer all practical purposes to say so, instead of sending another full report.

12. Too much stress can never be laid on the fact that this statement is not to take place of special reports, then and there, of works on which serious irregular expenditure is being largely incurred, either without estimate or appropriation.

fo 15-5 W. 22-6-81
26120 - 28-10-81

Indexed 19/11

C/P
8/11

Entd. in Receipt Regr.
B No. 2892 W dy 18-11-81
Witham & Co

Provincial Statement of Objectionable Items in the Accounts requiring the orders of Government.

of Executive Engineers for the Month of August 1881.

Item No.	Particulars of each Item.	Month in the Accounts of which Objectionable Expenditure first appeared.	Comparison with Estimate.			Comparison with Appropriation of the Year.			Expenditure during the Month.	Opinion of the Superintending Engineer, with Final Remarks by Examiner.	Orders by Government.
			Amount of Estimate.	Expenditure up to Date.	Excess over Estimate.	Amount of Appropriation.	Expenditure of the Year.	Excess over Appropriation.			
	Trichinopoly										B No 2892 Way 18 Nov 81
1	Remetalling the portion of N° 3 or Madras Road between Cauveri and Coleroon Bridges	"	"	"	"	"	4393	4393	1546	Want of appropriation of funds is brought to the notice of Government.	The Superintending Engineer Sixth Division will take early steps to have these items removed.
	Vizagapatam.										
2	Maintenance of Road N° 63 from Parvatipuram to Kullimoda	"	"	397	397	"	"	"	~~10~~ 110	Provision of Rupees 1350 has been made in the Local Fund Budget under other Agency: it is not therefore understood why the Executive Engineer is carrying out the works.	

To the Supg. Engineer Sixth Circle through the Examiner P.W.A. V 8th

T. E. Coutts

Examiner of P. W. Accounts,
Madras.