

PROCEEDINGS of the MADRAS GOVERNMENT.

Read the following from
the Public Works Department
dated 11th December 1880 No. 2483,
transferring letter from Super-
sintending Engineer 2nd Circle,
regarding Sanitary Works in
the North Arcot District
and requesting that the
sum of Rs. 2272 sanctioned
may be provided in the
Financial Department, as no
funds are available in the
Public Works Department.

Order thereon 10 January 1881 No. 48

The Accountant General
will arrange for the adjust-
ment of the sum of Rs. 2272
sanctioned in G.O. No. 2037, dated
25th October 1879, debiting Pro-
vincial funds.

(True Extract)

(1) Encl.

Under Secretary

To The Public Works Department

Sanitary Works

1881

P.W.

Per P.W.D.

J. H. C. 159

Office of Charge
to January 1887.

N. 90

Transferred
to the Public Works
Department.

(By order)

William H. Bond
Secretary

July 27 1886

- 4 5

July 27 1886

914-15

By J. H. C. 159
By J. H. C. 159

County Museum of the
Public Works Department
in the Public Works
Department.

Transferred

Transferred: 1885-15-7-80.

No. 94 & 914 Jan 1887

Communicated
to the Examiner
Public Works Accounts.

D. 111

117 + 118

Exam. P. W. Accts. 14-1-87

J. H. C. 159

Govt. of 1880 1885.

Transferred

No. 90

Public Works
Department

Nos.

Communicated
to the S. 2^d Circle
and to the Examiner
Public Works Accounts
in continuation of
Go. 2798 W. 4/6 Nov/79

D. 111

115 + 116

S. 2^d Circle ✓
Exam. P. W. Accts. ✓ 13-1-87

Regarding Accounts
for Public Works
for the year of 1880 1885
Transferred on 1880
to 2037 225 Dec 1886
1879 Dec 1886
Transferred on 1880

68-1-27-1887-11-1-1887

N^o 914
B

Copy of the foregoing forwarded to the Secretary
to the Government of Madras, Military Department, for
the information of His Excellency the Governor-in-Council,
with reference to the docket from the Public Works Depart-
ment N^o 1686 W. dated the 15th July 1880.

By order

H. Lee. Lees Colonel
Deputy Secy to the Govt. of India.

upon the point until the receipt of the statement
on which my original Query was raised, from
which it appeared that the officer was entitled
only to Rs 874. 7. 3 per month, and it was accord-
ingly suggested that the opportunity thus presented
of effecting a complete adjustment should be
utilised, whether having regard to the lapse
of time that has occurred, and to the fact that
Colonel Oakes is now on the Retired list, any
recovery should be made from him or not, must
be for the decision of the Secretary of State. The
amount which in my view of the case, has been
overissued is as follows.

Amount due as per statement
transmitted in a letter from Government of India
(Public Works Department) of 21st August 1879
N^o 93,

From	To	@	Rs	S	d.
26 September 1873	28 February 1874				
		@ Rs 869. 9. 0. @ 1/11	430.	11.	1
"	1 st @ 31 March 1874	@ Rs 874. 3. @ 1/11	83.	16.	1
"	1 st Apr 1874 to 31 st March 1875				
		@ Rs 874. 7. 3. @ 1/10	961.	18.	0
"	1 st Apr 1875 to 31 st July 1875				
		@ Rs 874. 7. 3. @ 1/10 1/4	324.	5	6
			£ 1800. 10-8.		
Amount issued in this Country			1787. 14-6		
			Difference £ 12. 16. 2.		
do do in adjustment			15. 6. 0		
			Overpayment £ 2- 9- 10.		

N^o

other respects the statement appears to me to have been correct both in principle and detail.

The reason assigned in the accompanying Correspondence for inserting in the Column for March 1875, the amounts (received and due) for 30/31st for the month only, and for leaving that for April altogether blank, appears to me somewhat peculiar. This course was adopted it seems, because the Officer, having already received the maximum allowance sanctioned by the 1868 Rules, could not be entitled to any more.

This position is of course in controversy, but the question whether in the event of his having received more than he was entitled to, the overpayment should not be deducted has been entirely ignored. An "adjustment" which, while providing for all cases of underpayment, carefully omits all overpayments, appears to me to be very incomplete. I believe that, at the time when £1000 a year were issued to Lieutenant Colonel Oakes, considerable doubts being entertained as to its correctness, a Reference to India was made on the subject, pending a reply to which no adjustment was to be made. No certain information however, was to my knowledge obtained

upon

I agree with the Auditor in thinking that he should also have adjusted the amount over paid.
3. Considering however the length of time which has elapsed and the fact that Colonel Oakes has now retired from the service, I have decided that the Amount overpaid to him shall not now be recovered. ✓

I have seen
G^d/Stortington

Memorandum by Auditor

It will be seen that in the Statement at foot of my original Query, the Calculation of the amount to which Lieutenant Colonel Oakes was entitled for the period 26th to 30th September 1873, was based on the assumed full leave allowance of Rs 845-15-8 per month. From the Memorandum now furnished by the officiating Examiner, Public Works Accounts, it appears that the rate should have been Rs 869-9-0 so that for the 5 days in September, the amount due instead of being £13-10-3., as previously stated should have been £13. 16. 10, but in all

other

Pay and Allowances

Government of India.

MILITARY DEPARTMENT.

It is requested that the
above heading, with number
and date of this communi-
cation, may be quoted in any
subsequent correspondence
on this subject.

Fort William, the 31st December 1880Military
No 385-India Office
London 18th November 1880.

To

His Excellency the most Honorable
The Governor General of India
in Council.

My Lord Marquis,

With reference to paragraph 1 of

your Military Letter No 347, dated 24th August 1880.dated 24th September
1880.

I forward herewith Copy of a further Memorandum
by the Auditor of this office, on the subject of the W.
Statement of arrears of Major's Pay due to Colonel
Oakes, Royal Engineers, which accompanied
your letter in the Public Works Department No 93
P.W., of the 21st August 1879.

2. In Compiling this Statement the
Examiner of Public Works Accounts, Madras, took
the opportunity of adjusting the accounts under paid
to Colonel Oakes, while he was on furlough, and

For H. information of this