

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS.

PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT.

17th March 1881, No. 743 W.

Buildings and Roads.
Military Works.

Read the following papers :—

No. 58. From the Superintendent of Works, Presidency, dated 25th February 1881, No. 4,341.

No. 58-A. Proceedings of the Madras Government in the Military Department, dated 11th March 1881, No. 1,454.

FROM the Public Works Department, No. 547, dated 5th March 1881, submitting for approval a plan and estimate for constructing a reservoir at the outer gate of the Commissary General's Office for the storage of water to be used in the event of fire breaking out on the premises.

Approved.

(True Extract.)

(Signed) E. L. HANKIN, Colonel,
Ag. Secy. to Government.

No. 59. ORDER THEREON, 17th March 1881, No. 743 W.

THE estimate, amounting to Rs. 543, for constructing a reservoir at the outer gate of the Commissary General's Office at Madras is sanctioned.

2. The necessary funds are appropriated, by transfer, from the Reserve under Military Repairs, in the current year's budget.

3. This sanction has been registered as Imperial Ordinary No. 42 B. of 1880-81, and classified as Military, Original Works.

(True Extract.)

(Signed) R. H. SANKEY, Colonel, R.E.,
Secretary to Government, P.W.D.

To the Superintendent of Works, Presidency, with two enclosures.
" Examiner of Public Works Accounts, with the Estimate.
" Military Department.

Exd. S. T. Austin,
Spare Copies

BUILDINGS AND ROADS.
MILITARY WORKS.

Gobt. of (1881) Madras.
PUBLIC WORKS.

To

THE SUPERINTENDENT OF WORKS,
PRESIDENCY,
&c., &c., &c.

Dated March, 17th.

Issued

Recd.

Encl.

G. O., 17th March 1881, No. 743 W.

MARCH.

Nos. 58-59.

Commissary-General's Office at Madras.—
Sanctions estimate for constructing a reser-
voir at the outer gate of the —.



The sum of Rs 543
mentioned within, having
been lately sanctioned in
G.O. No 743 W. of 17th March
1881. the work cannot be
carried out before the
end of the current official
year; hence the allot-
ment is surrendered.

Approved by
Major R.E.
Superintendent of Works
Presidency

N.B.—If there is not room here for the whole of the
explanation an additional half sheet or more of foolscap
should be added, and the explanation should be continued
thereon.

From *Supdt. of Works*
The District Engineer,
Presidency,
P. W. C. No 2066 A.
To
The Secretary to Government,
Public Works Department,
through the Examiner,
No. 1, 910 P. W. Accounts.

Dated 30 March 1881
Recd: 1 April '81

Submits application for modification
of * *Imperial* Budget
appropriations, for the reasons noted in the
margin.

No. 216 B. Q. Dated 31 March 1881.

Forwarded to the Secy. to Government.

The Surrender may be credited to the Reserve
with Government under original No. 1870.
Indubitably for *W. Brown*
Examiner, P. W. Accounts.

CR
31/3. Proceedings of Madras Government,
No. 31.3, dated 187.

Read application from District Engineer,
No. of 187,
requesting modification of *
Budget Appropriations.

Order Thereon, 187, No.

The modifications shown in the accom-
panying statement are sanctioned.

No. 910 W. of 6 April 1881
(True Extract.)

Lodged
Secretary to Government.

* Here enter the head of service.

Application for *Rearrangement* of Budget appropriations
Superintendent of Works
 Presidency

Fund *Ordinary*
 Head of Service *Imperial* System or
Class Original Works Main-head Military

ESTIMATE. Register No.	Budget Item (if any).	Name of Work, &c.	EXPENDITURE.		Appropriation already made.	PROPOSAL.		SANCTION.	
			To end of last year.	During year.		Total up to date.	Increase or re-duction.	Appropriation as it will stand.	Increase or re-duction.
42 B. 543 80-81		Constructing Reservoir at the order gate of the Commisary General's Office, Brachar...						543 543	

* Here enter "increase" or "rearrangement" as the case may be.

N.B.—The application must be submitted in duplicate, but the explanations need only be given on one copy. The other copy will be returned showing the modifications sanctioned, if any. Proposed reductions should be first detailed and totalled, and then proposed increases. The two totals must always agree.

Secy. to Government.

Supdt. of Works

Explanation of necessity for modifications applied for.



N.B.—If there is not room here for the whole of the explanation an additional half sheet or more of foolscap should be added, and the explanation should be continued thereon.

From *Supdt. of Works*
 The District Engineer,
 Presidency,
 P. W. C. No 2066
 To The Secretary to Government,
 Public Works Department,
 through the Examiner,
 P. W. Accounts.
 No. 1,918

Dated 30 March 1881
 Recd 1 April 81

Submits application for modification of * *Imperial* Budget appropriations, for the reasons noted in the margin.

No. 216. B. Q. Dated 31 March 1881.

Forwarded to the Secy. to Government.

The surrender may be credited to the Reserve with the first under orig 6 works
Military
 for Examiner, P. W. Accounts.

C.P. 105.
 31/3. Proceedings of Madras Government,
 No. , dated 187 .

Read application from District Engineer,
 No. of 187 ,
 requesting modification of *
 Budget Appropriations.

Order Thereon, 187 , No. .

The modifications shown in the accompanying statement are sanctioned.

(True Extract.)

Secretary to Government.

* Here enter the head of service.

Application for *Rearrangement* of Budget appropriations *Final Ordinary*
Superintendent of Works *Head of Service Imperial* *System or*
Precedence *Class Original Works* *Main-head Military*

ESTIMATE.	Register No.	Amount	Budget Item (if any).	A, B, or C, class.	Name of Work, &c.	EXPENDITURE.		Appropriation already made.	PROPOSAL.		SANCTION.	
						To end of last year.	During year.	Total up to date.	Increase or reduction.	Appropriation as it will stand.	Increase or reduction.	Appropriation up to date.
	42 B.	543			Constructing a Reservoir at the							
	80-81				outer gate of the temporary							
					General's Office, Madras.			543 543				

* Here enter "increase" or "rearrangement" as the case may be.

N.B.—The application must be submitted in duplicate, but the explanations need only be given on one copy. The copy will be returned showing the modifications sanctioned, if any. Proposed reductions should be first detailed and totalled, and then proposed increases. The two totals must always agree.

Henry Michael Esq.

Secy. to Government

Supdt. of Works